Inhibitory effects of piperine and black pepper essential oil on multispecies biofilm formation by *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* Typhimurium, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 

Emanoelli Aparecida Rodrigues dos Santos, Leonardo Ereno Tadielo, Jhennifer Arruda Schmiedt, Paulo Henrique Silva Orisio, Eduarda de Cássia Lima Brugeff, Fábio Sossai Possebon, Maria Olivia Pereira, Juliano Gonçalves Pereira, Luciano dos Santos Bersot

PII: S0023-6438(23)00430-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114851

Reference: YFSTL 114851

To appear in: LWT - Food Science and Technology

Received Date: 25 March 2023

Revised Date: 4 May 2023

Accepted Date: 9 May 2023

Please cite this article as: Rodrigues dos Santos, E.A., Ereno Tadielo, L., Arruda Schmiedt, J., Silva Orisio, P.H., de Cássia Lima Brugeff, E., Sossai Possebon, Fá., Olivia Pereira, M., Gonçalves Pereira, J., dos Santos Bersot, L., Inhibitory effects of piperine and black pepper essential oil on multispecies biofilm formation by *Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella* Typhimurium, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, LWT - Food Science and Technology* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114851.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



# CRediT author statement

Emanoelli Aparecida Rodrigues dos Santos: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Curation, Writing - Preparation of the original draft. Leonardo Ereno Tadielo: Methodology, Visualization, Investigation. Jhennifer Arruda Schmiedt: Methodology, Visualization, Investigation. Paulo Henrique Silva Orisio: Methodology, Visualization, Investigation. Eduarda de Cássia Lima Brugeff: Methodology, Visualization, Research. Fábio Sossai Possebon: Formal analysis, Writing-Revision and Editing. Maria Olivia Pereira: Formal analysis, Writing - Revision and Editing. Juliano Gonçalves Pereira: Formal analysis, Writing- Revision and Editing. Luciano dos Santos Bersot: supervision, project management, Writing, Revision and Editing.

Journal Pre-proof

# INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF PIPERINE AND BLACK PEPPER ESSENTIAL OIL ON MULTISPECIES BIOFILM FORMATION BY *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* Typhimurium, AND *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

4

Emanoelli Aparecida Rodrigues dos Santos<sup>a</sup>, Leonardo Ereno Tadielo<sup>a</sup>, Jhennifer
Arruda Schmiedt<sup>b</sup>, Paulo Henrique Silva Orisio<sup>b</sup>, Eduarda de Cássia Lima Brugeff<sup>b</sup>;
Fábio Sossai Possebon<sup>a</sup>, Maria Olivia Pereira<sup>c</sup>, Juliano Gonçalves Pereira<sup>a</sup>, Luciano
dos Santos Bersot<sup>b,\*</sup>

9

<sup>a</sup>São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu campus, School of Veterinary
 Medicine and Animal Science, Distrito de Rubião Jr, SN, 18618-970, Botucatu, São
 Paulo, Brazil.

<sup>13</sup> <sup>b</sup>Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Palotina campus, Department of Veterinary

14 Sciences, Rua Pioneiro, 2153, Jardim Dallas, 85950-000 Palotina, PR, Brazil.

<sup>15</sup> <sup>c</sup>University of Minho, Gualtar campus, Centre of Biological Engineering (CBE), 4710–

16 057, Braga, Portugal.

17

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail address: <u>lucianobersot@ufpr.br</u>

# 19 Abstract

20 This study aimed to evaluate the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities of black pepper essential oil (BPEO) and piperine and the effect of piperine on gene expression in a 21 22 multispecies biofilm composed of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* Typhimurium, 23 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on a polypropylene surface. The minimal inhibitory concentrations of BPEO and piperine were 100 and 25 mg/mL, respectively, against 24 this consortium of microorganisms. Sessile cell counts were 5.35-7.35 log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> 25 and varied over time. The total population eradicated in the biofilm ranged from 78.9% 26 (5.88 log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) to 99.8% (4.16 log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>). Evaluation of biofilm-related gene 27 expression showed upregulation of the L. monocytogenes genes agrC (24 and 72 h), 28 agrD (72 h), and prfA (72 h) and downregulation of all evaluated S. Typhimurium and 29 P. aeruginosa genes (24 and 72 h) in the untreated control biofilm. The addition of 30 31 piperine resulted in upregulation of the L. monocytogenes genes agrB (24 and 72 h), agrC (72 h), agrD (24 and 72 h), and prfA (24 h); the S. Typhimurium genes agfA (24 32 and 72 h), adrA (24 and 72 h), and csgD (72 h); and all evaluated P. aeruginosa genes 33 (24 and 72 h). Piperine more effectively controlled the multispecies biofilm. 34

35

Keywords: antibiofilm, bioactive compounds, gene expression, inhibition mechanism
 37

# 38 **1. Introduction**

39

In the food industry, persistence of microorganisms on abiotic surfaces 40 has been reported. Interactions among these microorganisms can alter their 41 survival and persistence on industrial surfaces (Iñiguez-Moreno, Gutiérrez-42 Lomelí, & Avila-Novoa, 2019; Fagerlund, Langsrud, & Møretrø, 2020; Sereno et 43 al., 2019). The interactions in biofilms can be mutually beneficial, increasing 44 microorganism survival due to improved resistance to environmental stress and 45 increasing their individual persistence in these environments (Bridier et al., 2015; 46 47 Pang, Chen, & Yuk, 2019). Adherent bacterial cells in a biofilm and free cells 48 differ in terms of gene expression and metabolism (Guzmán-Soto et al., 2021). Understanding the underlying mechanisms can improve the detection and control 49 of microbial biofilms in food processing environments. 50

Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella Typhimurium are important 51 pathogens in food handling environments (CDC, 2020; Sereno et al., 2019; 52 Scobie et al., 2019). The cooperation and interaction between pathogenic and 53 non-pathogenic microorganisms have been evaluated in vitro. There are studies 54 55 reporting the formation of mixed biofilms by L. monocytogenes and Salmonella spp. (Govaert, Smet, Walsh, & Van Impe, 2019; Tadielo et al., 2022) and the 56 interaction of these pathogens together with *Lactobacillus* sp. (Jara et al., 2019) 57 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Yamakawa, Tomita, & Sawai, 2018) on food 58 handling surfaces. 59

60 *P. aeruginosa* is an opportunistic microorganism with high food 61 deterioration capacity at refrigeration temperatures that can directly affect the 62 shelf life of food products (Raposo, Pérez, De Faria, Ferrús, & Carrascosa, 2017).

The ability of *P. aeruginosa* to form biofilms on different surfaces contributes to its persistence in industrial environments and may facilitate the persistence of other pathogenic species through interaction (Yamakawa et al., 2018; Castro, Da Silva Fernandes, Kabuki, & Kuaye, 2021; Del Mar Cendra, & Torrents, 2021).

Black pepper (*Piper nigrum*) is a spice grown in tropical regions that is 67 widely used in Brazil as both a condiment and preservative (Perigo et al., 2016; 68 Salehi et al., 2019; Vidal, 2020). It has antimicrobial activity against foodborne 69 pathogens (Amrutha, Sundar, & Shetty, 2017), and some studies have shown 70 that black pepper essential oil (BPEO) has antimicrobial (Nikolić et al., 2015; 71 72 Amalraj, A., Haponiuk, J. T., Thomas, S., & Gopi, S., 2020), antifungal, and 73 antioxidant effects (Li et al., 2020). Piperine, the main active component of black pepper, has been shown to be safe and effective in various medicinal applications 74 (Zarai et al., 2013; Mickymaray, 2019). Its antibacterial activity has also been 75 proven against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and ESβL-76 producing Klebsiella pneumonia (Zahin et al., 2021). However, no studies have 77 analyzed the inhibitory effects of piperine on multispecies biofilms on abiotic 78 surfaces or the mechanisms of action of BPEO as an antibiofilm agent, nor 79 80 compared the effects of different natural compounds on multispecies biofilms containing L. monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa. 81

Thus, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the antimicrobial and 82 antibiofilm activities of BPEO and piperine; evaluate the effects of piperine on the 83 gene expression profiles of multispecies biofilms composed L. 84 of monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa on polypropylene surfaces; 85 and determine the treatment with the best antibiofilm effect. 86

87

# 88 2 Material and methods

89 2.1 Strains

The strains used in this study, L. monocytogenes (LM) serotype IVb 90 (LAC/LM/P.SUI1/28) S. Typhimurium (SAL) 91 and serogroup O:4 (LAC/SAL/P.SUI1/62), were isolated from the surface of equipment and utensils 92 used in a meat processing area (Sereno et al, 2019; Viana et al., 2019) and were 93 obtained from the culture bank of the Food and Water Quality Inspection and 94 Control Laboratory (LACOMA) of the Federal University of Paraná. A strain of P. 95 aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) was also used (PS). The strains for the present study 96 were stored at -18°C in tryptone soy broth supplemented with 0.6% yeast extract 97 (TSB-YE; Oxoid) containing 20% (v/v) glycerol until use. 98

99

100 2.2 Obtaining and preparing BPEO and piperine

BPEO (BATCH: 299, CAS: 84929-41-9) and piperine (1-piperolyperidine; 101 BATCH: B27666, CAS: 94-62-2) were purchased from FERQUIMA Indústria e 102 Comércio, Ltd. and Start Bioscience Laboratory Materials, Ltd., respectively. The 103 104 BPEO was characterized in a study carried out by Souza et al., 2016. BPEO has 105 α-inene (12.09%), sabinene (11.22%), β-pinene (11.44%), δ-3-carene (6.77%), 106 limonene (13.88%), E-carvophyllene (24,49%) as major compounds. Working solutions were prepared prior to each experiment and stored at room temperature 107 in the dark. The solutions were diluted in 5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-108 Aldrich) and added to TSB-YE broth containing 0.5% polysorbate 80 (Tween 80®; 109 110 Sigma-Aldrich).

111

2.3 Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of BPEO and
piperine in a mixed culture of *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*

MICs were determined using the broth microdilution method according to 115 116 the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2003) protocol, with some modifications. Inoculums of combinations of microorganisms (LM+SAL, PS+LM, 117 PS+SAL, and SAL+LM+PS) were prepared from 24 h cultures until reaching a 118 0.5 McFarland standard. An aliquot (100 µL) of Mueller Hinton broth (MH) 119 supplemented with 0.5% Tween 80 was added to each well of a 96-well plate. 120 121 Next, 200 µL of serial dilutions (1:2) of BPEO or piperine (200, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 122 6.25, 3.12, 1.57, and 0.78 mg/mL) were added to the wells. MH (80 μL) and 20  $\mu$ L of standardized inoculum (final concentration, 1.0 × 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL) were added. 123 The plates were incubated at 37°C with shaking (120 rpm) for 24 h. Bacterial 124 growth was assessed by measuring the turbidity in the wells. After 24 h of 125 incubation, a pin replicator was used to transfer 1 µL of solution from each well 126 with no visible growth to petri plates containing MH agar, and the plates were 127 incubated at 37°C for 24 h to evaluate microbial growth. The MIC was the lowest 128 129 concentration capable of completely inhibiting bacterial growth.

130

2.4 Evaluating the effects of BPEO on multispecies biofilm formation on apolypropylene surface

133 The effects of BPEO on multispecies (*L. monocytogenes*, *S.* 134 Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*) biofilm formation and elimination on 135 polypropylene coupons incubated for up to 96 h at 10°C were evaluated under 136 the following conditions: Multispecies biofilm formation (positive Control, C+),

multispecies biofilm formation in the presence of 50% of the MIC of BPEO, and Multispecies biofilm formation in the presence of the MIC of BPEO. The evaluation temperature (10°C) was chosen based on current legislation for the maximum temperature of the cutting room in pig slaughterhouses (Brasil, 1995).

Biofilm formation was evaluated in the presence of different 141 concentrations of BPEO. In TSB-YE broth, BPEO was added at 50% and 100% 142 of the MIC, as determined using a combined culture of the three microorganisms. 143 First, the polypropylene coupons (1.0 cm  $\times$  1.0 cm  $\times$  0.1 cm) (n = 90) were 144 washed with 70% (w/w) alcohol, rinsed three times in distilled water, and sterilized 145 146 in an autoclave for 15 min at 121°C. Then, 90 mL of inoculum was prepared in 147 TSB-YE supplemented with 1% meat extract by mixing equal amounts of L. monocytogenes (30 mL), S. Typhimurium (30 mL), and P. aeruginosa (30 mL) 148 149 cultures individually adjusted to 0.5 McFarland. An aliquot (1.0 mL) of the broth was evaluated to confirm the initial inoculum. Three flasks containing the mixed 150 culture were prepared as follows: one was used as an untreated positive control, 151 one was treated with 50% of the MIC of BPEO, and the third was treated with the 152 153 MIC of BPEO. The flasks were incubated for 96 h at 10°C with orbital shaking at 154 120 rpm. The planktonic cells in 0.1 mL aliquots of broth and the sessile cells on two coupons from each treatment were determined in duplicate at 1, 12, 24, 48, 155 72, and 96 h of incubation. 156

Sessile cells were quantified by washing the coupons with 10 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then immersing them in 1 mL of PBS containing 1% Tween 80. Sessile cells were removed by sonication (40 kHz for 1.5 min, twice) and vortexing (1.5 min, twice). Then, appropriate serial dilutions were prepared, and 10  $\mu$ L aliquots were inoculated on tryptone soy agar (TSA,

KASVI) to determine the total biofilm bacterial counts (Herigstad et al., 2001), Oxford Listeria agar (OXA; Oxoid) for *L. monocytogenes*, cetrimide agar (KASVI) for *P. aeruginosa*, and xylose lysine deoxycholate agar (XLD; KASVI) for *S.* Typhimurium. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 24–48 h, and two replicates were analyzed for each condition. The results are expressed as log CFU/mL and log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> for planktonic and sessile cells, respectively, and the percent logarithmic reduction was calculated using the following formula:

% Biofilm Eradication =  $(\log_{10} \text{ CFU/cm}^2 (\text{control}) - \log_{10} \text{ CFU/cm}^2 (\text{treatment})) \times 100$  $\log_{10} \text{ CFU/cm}^2 (\text{control})$ 

169

170 2.5 Evaluating the effects of piperine on multispecies biofilm formation on a171 polypropylene surface

The effects of piperine on the dynamics of multispecies biofilm formation on polypropylene coupons by *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa* isolates were evaluated by incubation with piperine for 1, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h at 10°C. The growth conditions, biofilm extraction, counting, and result were as previously described in section 2.4.

177

178 2.6 Determination of the relative distribution (RD) of bacterial cells in the179 multispecies biofilms

The total number of cultivable cells was enumerated by plating serial dilutions on nonspecific and specific agar plates, as described in section 2.4. The RD of each of the three species in the multispecies biofilm was reported as a percentage (single species A + single species B + single species C) and was calculated both before (control biofilm) and after treatment with the evaluated compounds (BPEO and piperine), as follows: 186

187

188

 $RD (\%) = \frac{\log_{10} CFU/cm^2 \text{ (single species A)}}{\text{total } \log_{10} CFU/cm^2 \text{ (single species A + single species B + single species C)}} \times 100$ 

2.7 Evaluation of the expression of genes related to biofilm formation in L. 189 monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa with and without piperine. 190 191 Multispecies biofilms cultured with and without piperine at the MIC (25 mg) collected at 24 and 72 h were used to evaluate the effect of piperine on the 192 193 expression of L. monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa genes related to biofilm formation. The evaluated genes were agrABCD and prfA for L. 194 monocytogenes; agfA, adrA, and csgD for S. Typhimurium; and rhlL, lasL, lasR, 195 196 and algD for P. aeruginosa (Table 1). RNA was extracted from sessile cells using TRIzol<sup>®</sup> reagent as previously described (Villa-Rodrigues et al., 2018; Tadielo et 197 al., 2022), and the quantity, quality, and purity of the extracted material were 198 evaluated by determining the 260/280 and 260/230 ratios using a NanoDrop® 199 2000 spectrophotometer (Bustin et al., 2009) and visualization using 1.5% 200 agarose gel electrophoresis. Total RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-Free 201 DNase (Promega<sup>®</sup>) and reverse transcribed using the GoScript<sup>™</sup> Reverse 202 Transcription System (Promega<sup>®</sup>) kit to obtain the complementary DNA (cDNA), 203 204 according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA contamination was evaluated by conducting reactions containing all kit reagents except reverse transcriptase 205 (RT-, negative control). A dilution curve of cDNA from individual microorganism 206 samples (1:10, 1:100, 1:1000, and 1:10000) was used to identify the optimal 207 concentration for use in qPCR. 208

triplicates with two repetitions using a Rotor-Gene Q (Qiagen<sup>®</sup>) and GoTag<sup>®</sup> 210 Colorless Master Mix (Promega<sup>®</sup>), according to the manufacturer's instructions, 211 in a final volume of 20 µL. The housekeeping genes rlpD1 (L. monocytogenes), 212 16s rRNA (S. Typhimurium), and 16s rRNA (P. aeruginosa) were used as positive 213 controls for cDNA extraction, treatment, synthesis, and PCR normalization. The 214 215 results were analyzed using Rotor-Gene Q Series software (Qiagen®), and relative gene expression was calculated using the comparative threshold cycle 216 method  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta CT})$  (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). 217

218

209

219 2.8 Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The Shapiro-220 221 Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for normality were used to evaluate differences in the dynamics of multispecies biofilm formation and elimination as 222 a function of time and BPEO treatment versus the control and to evaluate the 223 effects of piperine on the dynamics of biofilm formation. The non-parametric 224 Mann-Whitney test was used to compare different treatments and times. All 225 analyses were performed using IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> statistics software, version 2.0, and 226 significance was set at 0.05. 227

228

# 229 3 Results

3.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of BPEO and piperine

In the present study, the antimicrobial activity of BPEO was evaluated in the test microorganisms (Table 2). When *L. monocytogenes* was cultured with *S.* Typhimurium, a lower concentration of BPEO was required to inhibit bacterial

growth than when cultured with *P. aeruginosa* and in triple association.
Assessment of bacterial growth on specific agar showed no *L. monocytogenes*growth at the MIC in the mixed culture of the three microorganisms (Table S1).

Piperine showed stronger effects than BPEO, as it inhibited bacterial
growth at lower concentrations than BPEO. The MIC of piperine was 25 mg/mL
for the culture of the three microorganisms (LM+SAL+PS) (Table 2), which was
four times lower than the MIC of BPEO.

241

3.2 Effects of BPEO on biofilms formed on a polypropylene surface

243 Figure 1A-D shows the effects of BPEO on the dynamics of multispecies 244 (L. monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa) biofilm formation. A biofilm consisting of all three microorganisms (Figure 1A) began to adhere to the 245 polypropylene surface in the control biofilm at 1 h and increased until 96 h, with 246 greater population increase after 48 h. The effect of BPEO was concentration and 247 time dependent. BPEO at 100 mg/mL (Figure 1A) had effects on adherence at 1 248 h, but there was no statistical difference between the two BPEO concentrations 249 250 at the other evaluated times, with a reversal at 12 h. Therefore, when compared 251 to the control, the two tested concentrations of BPEO had significant antibiofilm effects (p < 0.05). BPEO affected biofilm formation by all three microorganisms, 252 which was dependent on contact time (Figure 1B, C, D). For L. monocytogenes 253 254 (Figure 1B) and S. Typhimurium (Figure 1C), the strongest antibiofilm effects were observed at later incubation times (72 and 96 h). An opposite trend was 255 256 observed with P. aeruginosa (Figure 1D), as effects were only observed at the first two timepoints (1 and 12 h); after these time points, the microorganism 257 counts were higher than those in the control. 258

259

260 3.3 Effects of piperine on the formation of multispecies biofilms

Figure 2 shows the effects of two piperine concentrations on multispecies biofilm formation by *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa* over time. The results showed that compared to the untreated control, piperine inhibited the formation of the multispecies biofilm (Figure 2A) in a time-dependent manner. However, there was no difference (p > 0.05) between treatments. This was also observed for individual *L. monocytogenes* (Figure 2B), *S.* Typhimurium (Figure 2C), and *P. aeruginosa* biofilms (Figure 2D).

268

3.4 Comparison of the effectiveness of BPEO and piperine on multispeciesbiofilm formation and maintenance

Evaluation of the total multispecies biofilm population (Figure 3) showed that the addition of piperine at the MIC (25 mg/mL) had a greater inhibitory effect on biofilm formation than BPEO. However, sessile cell counts increased after 24 h.

The susceptibility of *S*. Typhimurium and *P. aeruginosa* to piperine were similar to that of the total population, and they were more susceptible at 25 mg/mL. For *L. monocytogenes* alone, BPEO at the maximum concentration (100 mg/mL) more effectively inhibited biofilm formation at 12 and 72 h than piperine and was incubation time dependent.

280

281 3.5 Multispecies biofilm RD

Figure 4 shows the RD in the multispecies biofilms (CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) in the presence of BPEO and piperine for each bacterial population over time. At the

first two time points (1 and 12 h), no species was predominant. However, after 24 h, *L. monocytogenes* showed the highest individual counts (>40%) in both the control biofilm and with 25 mg/mL piperine. This was not observed in the presence of BPEO. After 48 h, S. Typhimurium predominated, outcompeting *L. monocytogenes. P. aeruginosa* counts were the lowest in biofilms treated with piperine (Table S2). However, *P. aeruginosa* had the highest RD in biofilms treated with BPEO.

291

3.6 Effect of piperine on the expression of genes related to biofilm formation in *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*.

All examined genes were expressed at the evaluated times, except for *L.* monocytogenes agrA (Figure 5A-B). In the multispecies biofilm, the *L.* monocytogenes gene agrC was upregulated at 24 h (19.6 fold) and 72 h (1.1 fold) as was agrD (1.4 times) and prfA (2.3 times) at 72 h. All evaluated *S.* Typhimurium and *P. aeruginosa* genes were downregulated at the evaluated time points (Figure 5C-F).

The addition of 25 mg of piperine to the multispecies biofilm altered the expression of genes not expressed in the control biofilm. For example, piperine upregulated the *L. monocytogenes* genes agrB (24 and 72 h), agrC (72 h), agrD(24 and 72 h), and prfA (24 h) and the *S*. Typhimurium genes agfA (24 and 72 h), adrA (24 and 72 h), and csgD (72 h) (Figure 5C-D). All evaluated *P. aeruginosa* genes were upregulated at 24 and 72 h of incubation (Figure 5E-F) in the mixed culture.

307

308 4 Discussion

In this study, we evaluated biofilm formation by a consortium of three bacterial species. The kinetics of biofilm formation showed that bacterial interaction within the population increased over time, which corresponds to the steps of the biofilm formation process (Cooper, Bjarnsholt, & Alhede, 2014).

The antibacterial effect of BPEO against L. monocytogenes, S. 313 Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa* was demonstrated, corroborating the findings 314 of Nikolić et al. (2015). However, in our study, higher concentrations of BPEO 315 were required to inhibit bacterial growth. This difference could be attributed to the 316 characteristics of the evaluated microorganisms and the chemical composition of 317 318 the BPEO used (Dhifi, Bellili, Jazi, Bahloul, & Mnif, 2016; Souza, Dias, Piccoli, & Bertolucci, 2016). Dhifi et al. (2016) suggested that the activity of BPEO may be 319 due to the presence of major components; however, the main constituents of the 320 oil are probably not solely responsible for its antimicrobial activity. Our results 321 show that BPEO is a natural antimicrobial and that its effects can vary according 322 to its chemical composition. 323

Previous studies reported that piperine has various biological functions 324 325 and can be used safely (Zarai et al., 2013; Mickymaray, 2019). Its effects have 326 been described in a few studies with Streptococcus mutans (Dwivedi & Singh, 2016), Chromobacterium violaceum (Vázguez-Martínez et al., 2020), and S. 327 Typhimurium (Tokam Kuaté, Bisso Ndezo & Dzoyem, 2021); and the determined 328 MICs were 0.33, 30, and 0.512 mg/mL, respectively. Our study corroborates 329 these concentrations. We observed interactions between the 330 studied microorganisms under our experimental conditions. 331

332 Piperine and BPEO can inhibit the formation and maintenance of 333 multispecies biofilms. In our study, the effect of BPEO on multispecies biofilm

formation was proportional to treatment time and concentration. These results differ from those of Walmiki & Ravishankar (2017), who showed that BPEO was ineffective on biofilms formed by pathogenic bacteria. This difference can be explained by the metabolism of the multispecies biofilm itself, since there were negative effects on both adhesion and growth, with decreases in sessile cell growth, but not total eradication.

In our study, L. monocytogenes showed cooperative behavior; it had the 340 highest sessile cell count and highest tolerance to BPEO in mixed biofilm culture. 341 The accompanying microbiota may be important for the survival of 342 343 microorganisms more susceptible to poor environmental conditions. This behavior could be attributed to the production of extracellular polymeric 344 substance (EPS) matrix as well as its thickness and distribution around each 345 microorganism within the biofilm (Waheed et al., 2021), which increase the 346 protective barrier. 347

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of two concentrations of 348 BPEO and piperine on the formation and maintenance of multispecies biofilms 349 350 over time. Tokam Kuate et al. (2021) evaluated the antibiofilm activity of piperine 351 on S. enterica serotypes and showed that the combination of piperine and the active ingredient in aminoglycoside antibiotics inhibited biofilm formation by 352 43.3% and eradicated 40% of pre-formed biofilms, indicating that piperine is a 353 good antimicrobial adjuvant. The percent inhibition in our study corroborates 354 these studies, with individual S. Typhimurium sessile cell counts reduced by 355 356 93.8% to 99.6% after 96 h of incubation. Piperine has good antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects and should be considered as an alternative for microbiological 357 control in industrial food handling environments. However, since piperine is 358

insoluble in water (Zarai et al., 2013), to enhance its potential applications,
 methods need to be developed to improve its stability while maintaining its
 antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects.

In our study, treatment with 25 mg of piperine altered the transcription of 362 genes present in the agr locus (agrA, agrB, agrC and agrD) and prfA for 363 L.monocytogenes, agfA, adrA and csgD for S. Typhimurium and rhlL, lasL, lasR 364 and algD for P. aeruginosa, Unlike Gandra et al. (2019) who detected high agrA 365 transcription levels, we did not detect its expression under any experimental 366 condition. However, we detected transcription of the *L. monocytogenes* genes 367 agrC, agrD, and prfA in the multispecies biofilms. These results show the role of 368 369 L. monocytogenes in maintaining the multispecies biofilm. The differences in gene transcription levels between individual and mixed S. Typhimurium and P. 370 aeruginosa biofilms show the influence of the growth medium and other 371 conditions, as biofilm formation is influenced by the environment. 372

Under our experimental conditions, the expression levels of the prfA, 373 agrD and agrB genes of L. monocytogenes were upregulated. This behavior is a 374 defense mechanism against the effects of piperine on bacterial cell membranes, 375 376 since the compound affects the integrity of the membrane, increasing the permeability of the cell wall and leading to an oxidative stress process (Rieu, 377 Weidmann, Garmyn, Piveteau, & Guzzo, 2007; Rieu, Lemaître, Guzzo, & 378 379 Piveteau, 2008; Thakre et al., 2020; Tripathi et al., 2022). The observed downregulation of agrC may result in quorum sensing (QS) changes as an effect 380 of the experimental conditions. AgrC and agrA are involved in signal transduction 381 and the regulation of genes related to biofilm formation (Riedel et al., 2009). 382

383 Deregulation of the *agr locus* can result in QS changes, which may interfere with 384 biofilm formation and maintenance.

Salmonella Typhimurium csgD was upregulated late in the biofilm 385 formation process (72 h) only in the treatment groups, as no upregulation was 386 observed in the control. However, sessile cell counts in the treatments were lower 387 than those in the control, indicating that other factors are important for biofilm 388 formation and maintenance. Previous studies showed that csgD is important in 389 the biofilm maturation stage but not in the cell adhesion stage (Grantcharova, 390 Peters, Monteiro, Zakikhany, & Römling, 2010), which corroborates its late 391 392 expression.

AdrA and agfA were only expressed in the biofilm treated with 25 mg/mL piperine, which may be due to the effects of stress factors, such as temperature, environmental competition, and the treatment itself. The upregulation of these genes under piperine treatment can be attributed to the search for a way to neutralizing the effects of piperine on the bacterial cells (Arteaga et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2020).

In the mixed biofilm, treatment with piperine upregulated all evaluated P. 399 400 aeruginosa genes, which was not corroborated by the literature, as it was previously reported that natural products such as BPEO and its active 401 compounds resulted in the negative regulation of QS-related genes (Yin et al., 402 403 2022). Expression levels of *rhll*, *las*R, and *lasl* were also increased at 72 h, which may be because piperine has stronger effects in the intermediate stage of biofilm 404 formation than at in the initial stages of adhesion and biofilm formation. This 405 406 corroborates the phenotypic results, which showed reduced efficacy over time, with persistence of viable cells on the assessed surface. 407

The gene expression results showed that the MIC of piperine changed the transcription profile of genes related to QS and biofilm formation in *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa* biofilms. However, the strongest effects were observed at the initial evaluation times, indicating interference in cell adhesion. The reduced effects of piperine over time should not be attributed to its ineffectiveness, but rather its limitations in application and our evaluation methods.

Some authors claim that BPEO is more effective than the isolated active 415 ingredient, perhaps due to the synergistic activity of the major compounds (Dhifi, 416 417 Bellili, Jazi, Bahloul, & Mnif, 2016; Vidács et al., 2018). In this study, comparison 418 of the antibiofilm activities of piperine and BPEO showed that piperine is a better antibiofilm agent. BPEO may be less effective due to its greater volatility, which 419 may be further compromised with longer incubation times, resulting in lower 420 antimicrobial efficacy. Thus, the difference in efficacy between the test 421 compounds (BPEO and piperine) may be explained by their mechanisms of 422 action and volatility. 423

# 424

# 425 **5 Conclusion**

Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of piperine and BPEO showed that piperine is the superior phytocompound due to its lower MIC and the possibility for standardization as it has less variability in chemical composition compared to BPEO. BPEO and piperine have good antibiofilm activity and can effectively inhibit the initial adhesion and maintenance of multispecies biofilm on the surface of polypropylene, which is widely used as a food handling material in an industrial environment (boards and conveyor belts), as well as at a temperature of 10 °C

determined by current legislation for the cutting room of refrigerated pork 433 slaughterhouses. Piperine affects multispecies biofilm formation by increasing or 434 decreasing the expression of biofilm-forming genes from L. monocytogenes, S. 435 Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*. However, more physical-chemical evaluations 436 are needed to confirm its long-term stability and activity, as well as the best 437 application methods on food handling surfaces to minimize residual effects that 438 may cause sensory changes in products. However, more physical-chemical 439 evaluations are necessary to confirm its long-term stability and activity as well as 440 the best methods of application on food handling surfaces to minimize residual 441 442 effects that may cause sensory changes in products.

443

# 444 6 Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

448

# 449 7 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (*Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* - CNPq, Brasília, DF, Brazil), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (*Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* - CAPES, Brasília, DF, Brazil – Code 001), and the Federal University of Paraná (*Universidade Federal do Paraná* – UFPR; nº 02/2020 – RESEARCH/PRPPG/UFPR – Araucária Foundation).

457

## 458 8 References

- Amalraj, A., Haponiuk, J. T., Thomas, S., & Gopi, S. (2020). Preparation, characterization and
  antimicrobial activity of polyvinyl alcohol/gum arabic/chitosan composite films incorporated
  with black pepper essential oil and ginger essential oil. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 151, 366-375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.02.176
- Amrutha, B., Sundar, K., & Shetty, P. H. (2017). Spice oil nanoemulsions: Potential natural
  inhibitors against pathogenic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. from fresh fruits and vegetables. *LWT-Food* Science and Technology, 79, 152-159.
- 466 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.01.031</u>
- Arteaga, V., Lamas, A., Regal, P., Vázquez, B., Miranda, J. M., Cepeda, A., & Franco, C. M.
  (2019). Antimicrobial activity of apitoxin from *Apis mellifera* in *Salmonella* enterica strains
  isolated from poultry and its effects on motility, biofilm formation and gene expression. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 137, 103771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103771
- Autret, N., Raynaud, C., Dubail, I., Berche, P., & Charbit, A. (2003). Identification of the agr locus
  of *Listeria monocytogenes*: role in bacterial virulence. *Infection and Immunity*, 71(8), 44634471. https://doi.org/10.1128%2FIAI.71.8.4463-4471.2003
- Bahari, S., Zeighami, H., Mirshahabi, H., Roudashti, S., & Haghi, F. (2017) Inhibition of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing* by subinhibitory concentrations of curcumin
  with gentamicin and azithromycin. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 10, 21-28.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2017.03.006
- 478Barak, J. D., Gorski, L., Naraghi-Arani, P., & Charkowski, A. O. (2005). Salmonella enterica479virulence genes are required for bacterial attachment to plant tissue. Applied and480EnvironmentalMicrobiology,7(10),5685-5691.
- 481 <u>https://doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.71.10.5685-5691.2005</u>
- Brasil, Ministério da agricultura, pecuária e abastecimento. 1995. Normas técnicas de instalações
  e equipamentos para abate e industrialização de suínos. https://www.gov.br/agricultura/ptbr/assuntos/inspecao/produtos-animal/empresario/arquivos/Portaria\_711.1995.pdf/view/
  (Accessed on 04/05/2023).

- 486 Bridier, A., Sanchez-Vizuete, P., Guilbaud, M., Piard, J. C., Naitali, M., & Briandet, R. (2015).
- Biofilm-associated persistence of food-borne pathogens. *Food Microbiology*, 45, 167-178.

488 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.04.015</u>

- Bustin, S. A., Vladimir B., Jeremy A. G., Jan H., Jim H., Mikael K., Reinhold M., Tania N., Michael
- W. P., Gregory L. S., Jo V., & Carl T. W. (2009). The MIQE guidelines: Minimum Information
  for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clinical Chemistry*, 55(4), 611–
  622. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797
- Castro, M. S. R., Da Silva Fernandes, M., Kabuki, D. Y., & Kuaye, A. Y. (2021). Modelling *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation on stainless
  steel surfaces and controlling through sanitisers. *International. Dairy Journal*, 114, 104945.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2020.104945</u>
- 497 CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019. Foodborne Outbreak Tracking and
   498 Reporting (FOOD Tool). <u>https://wwwn.cdc.gov/norsdashboard/</u> (Accessed in September
   499 20, 2022).
- 500 CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2003). *Methods For Dilution Antimicrobial* 501 *Susceptibility Tests For Bacteria That Grow Aerobically* (6<sup>a</sup> ed.). CLSI document M07-A6.
   502 NCCLS document M7-A6 (ISBN 1-56238-486-4).
- Cooper, R. A., Bjarnsholt, T., & Alhede, M. (2014). Biofilms in wounds: a review of present
  knowledge. *Journal of Wound Care*, 23(11), 570-582.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2014.23.11.570</u>
- 506Del Mar Cendra, M., & Torrents, E. (2021). Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms and their partners507incrime.BiotechnologyAdvances,107734.
- 508 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107734</u>
- 509 Dhifi, W., Bellili, S., Jazi, S., Bahloul, N., & Mnif, W. (2016). Essential oils' chemical 510 characterization and investigation of some biological activities: A critical review. *Medicines*,
- 511 3(4), 25. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines3040025</u>
- Dwivedi, D., & Singh, V. (2016). Effects of the natural compounds embelin and piperine on the
  biofilm-producing property of Streptococcus mutans. *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine*, 6(1), 57-61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.jtcme.2014.11.025</u>

- Fagerlund, A., Langsrud, S., & Møretrø, T. (2020). Microbial diversity and ecology of biofilms in
  food industry environments associated with *Listeria monocytogenes* persistence. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 37, 171-178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2020.10.015
- Gandra, T. K. V., Volcan, D., Kroning, I. S., Marini, N., De Oliveira, A. C., Bastos, C. P., & Da
  Silva, W. P. (2019). Expression levels of the agr locus and *prfA* gene during biofilm
  formation by *Listeria monocytogenes* on stainless steel and polystyrene during 8 to 48 h of
  incubation 10 to 37° C. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 2(300), 1-7.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.03.021
- Govaert, M., Smet, C., Walsh, J. L., & Van Impe, J. F. (2019). Dual-species model biofilm
  consisting of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium: development and
  inactivation with cold atmospheric plasma (CAP). *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 2524.
  <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02524">https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02524</a>
- Grantcharova, N., Peters, V., Monteiro, C., Zakikhany, K., & Römling, U. (2010). Bistable
  expression of CsgD in biofilm development of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 192(2), 456-466. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.01826-08</u>
- Guzmán-Soto, I., Mctiernan, C., Gonzalez, M., Ross, A., Gupta, K., Suuronen, E. J., Ma, H. F.,
  Griffith, M., & Alarcon, E. I. (2021). Mimicking biofilm formation and development: Recent
  progress in *in vitro* and *in vivo* biofilm models. *Iscience*, 24(5), 102443.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102443
- Hendiani, S., Pornour, M., & Kashef, N. (2019). Quorum-sensing-regulated virulence factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are affected by sub-lethal photodynamic inactivation. *Photodiagnosis* and *Photodynamic Therapy*, 26, 8-12.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdpdt.2019.02.010</u>
- Herigstad, B., Hamilton, M., & Heersink, J. (2001). How to optimize the drop plate method for
  enumerating bacteria. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 44(2), 121-129.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-7012(00)00241-4
- Iñiguez-Moreno, M., Gutiérrez-Lomelí, M., & Avila-Novoa, M. G. (2019). Kinetics of biofilm
  formation by pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms under conditions that mimic the
  poultry, meat, and egg processing industries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
  303(16), 32-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.04.012

$\sim$	urn	D		nr	$\Delta f$
U.	սսս			$\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{I}}$	UΙ

- Jara, J., Pérez-Ramos, A., Del Solar, G., Rodríguez, J. M., Fernández, L., & Orgaz, B. (2020).
  Role of *Lactobacillus* biofilms in *Listeria monocytogenes* adhesion to glass surfaces. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 334, 108804.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108804</u>
- Latasa, C., Roux, A., Toledoğarana, A., Ghigo, J. M., Gamazo, C., Penadés, J. R., & Lasa, I.
  (2005). BapA, a large secreted protein required for biofilm formation and host colonization
  of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis. *Molecular Microbiology*, 58(5), 1322-1339.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04907.x
- Li, Y. X., Zhang, C., Pan, S., Chen, L., Liu, M., Yang, K., Zeng, X., & Tian, J. (2020). Analysis of
  chemical components and biological activities of essential oils from black and white pepper
  (*Piper nigrum L.*) in five provinces of southern China. *LWT Food Science and Technology*,
  v.117, p.108644. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108644</u>
- Livak, K.J., & Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
  quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods*, 25(4), 402-408.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262</u>
- Mickymaray, S. (2019). Efficacy and mechanism of traditional medicinal plants and bioactive
   compounds against clinically important pathogens. *Antibiotics*, 8(4), 257.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8040257</u>
- Miranda, R. O., Campos-Galvão, M. E. M., & Nero, L. A. (2018). Expression of genes associated
   with stress conditions by *Listeria monocytogenes* in interaction with nisin producer
   *Lactococcus lactis. Food Research International,* 105, 897-904.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.12.030</u>
- Nikolić M., Stojković D., Glamočlija J., Ćirić A., Marković T., Smiljković M., & Soković M. (2015).
  Could essential oils of green and black pepper be used as food preservatives?. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(10), 6565-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-015-</u>
  <u>1792-5</u>
- Pang, X., Chen, L., & Yuk, H. G. (2020). Stress response and survival of *Salmonella* Enteritidis
  in single and dual species biofilms with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* following repeated
  exposure to quaternary ammonium compounds. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 325(16), 108643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108643</u>

		D	r	nr		
	aı					

- 575 Perigo, C. V., Torres, R. B., Bernacci, L. C., Guimaraes, E. F., Haber, L.L., Facanali, R., Vieira, 576 M. A. R., Quecini, V., & Marques, M. O. M. (2016). The chemical composition and 577 antibacterial activity of eleven Piper species from distinct rainforest areas in Southeastern 578 Brazil. Industrial Crops and Products, 94, 528-539. 579 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.09.028
- Pieta, L., Garcia, F. B., Riboldi, G. P., De Oliveira, L. A., Frazzon, A. P.G., & Frazzon, J. (2014).
   Transcriptional analysis of genes related to biofilm formation, stress-response, and
   virulence in *Listeria monocytogenes* strains grown at different temperatures. *Annals of Microbiology*, 64(4), 1707-1714. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-014-0814-2
- Raposo, A., Pérez, E., De Faria, C. T., Ferrús, M. A., & Carrascosa, C. (2017). Food spoilage by *Pseudomonas* spp.— an overview. *Foodborne Pathogens and Antibiotic Resistance*, 4158. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119139188.ch3
- Riedel, C. U., Monk, I. R., Casey, P. G., Waidmann, M. S., Gahan, C. G., & Hill, C. (2009). AgrDdependent quorum sensing affects biofilm formation, invasion, virulence and global gene
  expression profiles in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 71(5), 1177-1189.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06589.x
- Rieu, A., Weidmann, S., Garmyn, D., Piveteau, P., & Guzzo, J. (2007). Agr system of *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e: role in adherence and differential expression pattern. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(19), 6125-6133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00608-07</u>
- Rieu, A., Lemaître, J. P., Guzzo, J., & Piveteau, P. (2008). Interactions in dual species biofilms
  between *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e and several strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 126, (1-2), 76-82.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.05.006
- Salehi, B., Zakaria, Z. A., Gyawali, R., Ibrahim, S. A., Rajkovic, J., Shinwari, Z. K.; Khan, T.,
  Sharifi-Rad, J., Ozleyen, A., Turkdonmez, E., Valussi, M., Tumer, B. T., Fidalgo, M. L.,
  Martorell, M. M., & Setzer, W. N. (2019). Piper species: A comprehensive review on their
  phytochemistry, biological activities and applications. *Molecules*, 24(7), 1364.
- 602 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24071364
- Scobie, A., Kanágarajah, S., Harris, R.J., Byrne, L., Amar C., Grant, K., & Godbole, G. (2019).
  Mortality risk factors for listeriosis A 10 year review of non-pregnancy associated cases

- in England 2006–2015. *Journal of Infection*, 78, 208-214.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2018.11.007
- Sereno, M.J., Viana, C., Pegoraro, K., Da Silva, D. A. L., Yamatogi, R. S., Nero, L. A., & Bersot,
  L. S. (2019). Distribution, adhesion, virulence and antibiotic resistance of persistence *Listeria monocytogenes* in a pig slaughterhouse in Brazil. *Food Microbiology*, 84(103234).
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.05.018
- Souza, A.A., Dias, N. A.A., Piccoli, R.H., & Bertolucci, S.K.V. (2016). Composição química e
  concentração mínima bactericida de dezesseis óleos essenciais sobre Escherichia coli
  enterotoxigênica. *Revista Brasileira de Plantas Medicinais*, 18(1), 105-112.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-084X/15\_050</u>
- Tadielo, L.E., Belle, T.H., Santos, E.A.R., Schmiedt, J.A., Cerqueira-Cézar, C.K., Nero, L.A.,
  Yamatogi, R.S., Pereira, J.G., & Bersot, L.S. (2022). Pure and mixed biofilms formation of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium on polypropylene surfaces. *LWT* -*Food Science and Technology*, 162, 113469. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113469</u>
- Thakre, A., Jadhav, V., Kazi, R., Shelar, A., Patil, R., Kharat, K., ... & Karuppayil, S. M. (2021).
  Oxidative stress induced by piperine leads to apoptosis in Candida albicans. *Medical Mycology*, *59*(4), 366-378. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/mmy/myaa058</u>
- Tokam Kuaté, C. R., Bisso Ndezo, B., & Dzoyem, J. P. (2021). Synergistic Antibiofilm Effect of
   Thymol and Piperine in Combination with Aminoglycosides Antibiotics against Four
   Salmonella enterica Serovars. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1567017">https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1567017</a>
- Tripathi, A. K., Ray, A. K., & Mishra, S. K. (2022). Molecular and pharmacological aspects of
  piperine as a potential molecule for disease prevention and management: evidence from
  clinical trials. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, *11*(1), 16.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-022-00196-1
- Vázquez-Martínez, J., Buitemea-Cantúa, G. V., Gutierrez-Villagomez, J. M., García-González, J.
  P., Ramírez-Chávez, E., & Molina-Torres, J. (2020). Bioautography and GC-MS based
  identification of piperine and trichostachine as the active quorum quenching compounds in
  black pepper. *Heliyon*, 6(1), 03137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e03137</u>

	11111	nal				
U	ա	llai			U	

- Viana, C., Sereno, M.J., Pegoraro, K., Yamatogi, R. S., Call, D.R., Bersot, L. S., & Nero, L. A.
- 635 (2019). Distribution, diversity, virulence genotypes and antibiotic resistance for Salmonella
- 636 isolated from a Brazilian pork production chain. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,

637 310, 108310. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108310</u>

- Vidács, A., Kerekes, E., Rajkó, R., Petkovits, T., Alharbi, N. S., Khaled, J. M., Vágvölgyi, C., &
  Krisch, J. (2018). Optimization of essential oil-based natural disinfectants against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* biofilms formed on polypropylene surfaces. *Journal*
- 641 of Molecular Liquids, 255, 257-262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.01.179</u>
- Vidal, M. D. F. (2020). Evolução do cultivo de pimenta-do-reino na área de atuação do BNB. *Caderno* Setorial ETENE, 146.
  https://www.bnb.gov.br/documents/80223/8330297/2020 CDS 146.pdf/32584f2bb9f9-

645 9754-1fd3-d285be923804. (Accessed in October 21, 2022).

- Villa-Rodríguez, E., Ibarra-Gámez, C., & De Los Santos-Villalobos, S. (2018). Extraction of highquality RNA from Bacillus subtilis with a lysozyme pre-treatment followed by the Trizol
  method. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 147, 14-16.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.02.011
- Waheed, H., Mehmood, C. T., Yang, Y., Tan, W., Fu, S., & Xiao, Y. (2021). Dynamics of biofilms
  on different polymeric membranes–A comparative study using five physiologically and
  genetically distinct bacteria. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 642, 120000.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.120000</u>
- Walmiki, M. R., & Ravishankar R, V. (2017). Cell attachment inhibition and anti-biofilm activity of *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Cuminum cyminum* and *Piper nigrum* essential oils against
  pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, 20(1), 59-68.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2017.1287011</u>
- Wu, D. Q., Cheng, H., Duan, Q., & Huang, W. (2015). Sodium houttuyfonate inhibits biofilm
  formation and alginate biosynthesis-associated gene expression in a clinical strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in vitro. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, 10(2), 753-
- 661 758. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2015.2562
- Yamakawa, T., Tomita, K., & Sawai, J. (2018). Characteristics of biofilms formed by coculture of
   *Listeria monocytogenes with Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at low temperatures and their

sensitivity to antibacterial substances. *Biocontrol Science*, 23(3), 107-119.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.4265/bio.23.107</u>

- Yang, Y., Khoo, W. J., Zheng, Q., Chung, H. J., & Yuk, H. G. (2014). Growth temperature alters *Salmonella* Enteritidis heat/acid resistance, membrane lipid composition and
  stress/virulence related gene expression. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
  172(17), 102-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.12.006
- 670 Yin, L., Zhang, Y., Azi, F., Zhou, J., Liu, X., Dai, Y., Wang, Z., Dong, M., & Xia, X. (2022). Inhibition
- of biofilm formation and quorum sensing by soy isoflavones in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Food Control*, 133, 108629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108629</u>
- Zahin, M., Bokhari, N. A., Ahmad, I., Husain, F. M., Althubiani, A. S., Alruways, M. W., ... &
  Shalawi, M. (2021). Antioxidant, antibacterial, and antimutagenic activity of *Piper nigrum*seeds extracts. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, *28*(9), 5094-5105.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.05.030</u>
- Zarai, Z., Boujelbene, E., Salem, N. B., Gargouri, Y., Gargour, Y., & Sayari, A. (2013). Antioxidant
  and antimicrobial activities of various solvent extracts, piperine and piperic acid from *Piper nigrum. LWT* -*Food Science and Technology*, 50(2), 634-641.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.07.036</u>

681	Captions to figures and tables
682	
683	Tables
684	<b>Table 1.</b> Target genes and primer sequences used to evaluate gene expression
685	in multispecies biofilms composed of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>L. monocytogenes</i> , and <i>S.</i>
686	Typhimurium.
687	Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of BPEO and piperine (mg/mL) against L.
688	monocytogenes (LM), S. Typhimurium (SAL), and P. aeruginosa (PS).
689	
690	Figures
691	Figure 1. Effects of BPEO at 100 and 50 mg/mL on the dynamics of multispecies
692	biofilm formation by L. monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa.
693	Figure 2. Effects of piperine (12.5 mg/mL - 50% MIC; and 25 mg/mL - MIC) on
694	the dynamics of multispecies biofilm formation by L. monocytogenes, S.
695	Typhimurium, and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
696	Figure 3. Comparison of the antibiofilm effects of piperine and BPEO
697	Figure 4. Relative distribution (RD) of each microbe in multispecies biofilms over
698	time.
699	Figure 5. Relative expression levels of biofilm-related genes in the multispecies
700	biofilms with and without piperine treatment.

 Table 1. Target genes and primer sequences used to evaluate gene expression in multispecies biofilms composed of *P. aeruginosa*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *S.* Typhimurium.

Microorganism	Gene	Sequence 5'-3'	Product size (bp)	Function	Reference
P. aeruginosa	16s rRNA	GGCTCAACCTGGGAACTGCA	137	Endogenous Pseudomonas sp.	Hendiani et al., 2019
		CAGTATCAGTCCAGGTGGTCGC			
	rhlL	GTAGCGGGTTTGCGGATG	101	QS system	Bahari et al., 2017
		CGGCATCAGGTCTTCATCG			
	lasL	CGCACATCTGGGAACTCA	176	QS system	Bahari et al., 2017
		CGGCACGGATCATCATCT			
	<i>las</i> R	CTGTGGATGCTCAAGGACTAC	133	QS system	Bahari et al., 2017
		AACTGGTCTTGCCGATGG			
	algD	AGAAGTCCGAACGCCACA	250	Alginate biosynthesis	Wu et al., 2015
		TCCAGCTCGCGGTAGAT			
L. monocytogenes	rplD1	GTCCCTTGACGTAGGGATGC	113	Endogenous Listeria sp.	Miranda et al., 2018
		GGAACAAACGCTGGCGAAAT			
	agrA	CGGGTACTTGCCTGTATGAA	149	QS and biofilm formation	Pieta et al., 2014
		TGAATAGTTGGCGCTGTCTC			
	agrB	AGGTACATTTGGATTTATACTGCTCAAC	81	QS and biofilm formation	Autret et al., 2003
		TCTTCACCGATTAAAGGCAAACT-3			
	agrC	ATTGACAAGATTTCGATGGATAGTATAGA	88	QS and biofilm formation	Autret et al., 2003
		CACAAGTTAACGCCGCTTCA			
	agrD	AAATCAGTTGGTAAATTCCTTTCTA	113	QS and biofilm formation	Rieu et al., 2007
		AATGGACTTTTTGGTTCGTATACA			
	prfA	GGAAGCTTGGCTCTATTTGC	145	Biofilm formation and virulence regulator	Pieta et al., 2014
		ACAGCTGAGCTATGTGCGAT			
S. Typhimurium	16s r <i>RNA</i>	CAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAAC	167	Endogenous Salmonella sp.	Yang et al., 2014
		GACTCAAGCCTGCCAGTTTC			
	agfA	GAAGCTCGTCGCTGGAAGTC	101	Fimbriae production, adhesion, and biofilm formation	Latasa et al., 2005
		TTCCGCTTAATTTAATGGCCG			
	adrA	GAAGCTCGTCGCTGGAAGTC	92	Cellulose production	Latasa et al., 2005
		TTCCGCTTAATTTAATGGCCG			
	csgD	TCCTGGTCTTCAGTAGCGTAA	168	Biofilm production	Barak et al., 2005
		TATGATGGAAGCGGATAAGAA			

**Table 2.** Minimal inhibitory concentration of BPEO and piperine (mg/mL) against *L. monocytogenes* (LM), *S.* Typhimurium (SAL), and *P. aeruginosa* (PS).

Microorganisms	BP (mg/mL)	Piperine (mg/mL)
LM + SAL	50	12.5
LM + PS	100	12.5
PS + SAL	100	25
LM + SAL + PS	100	25

<u>-25</u>

**Figure 1.** Effects of BPEO at 100 and 50 mg/mL on the dynamics of multispecies biofilm formation by *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*.



<sup>1</sup>Small letters represent statistical differences between incubation times (p < 0.05), capital letters represent statistical differences between treatments at the same incubation time. (A) Total population, (B) *L. monocytogenes*, (C) *S.* Typhimurium, (D) *P. aeruginosa.* 

<sup>2</sup>C+ = Positive Control; BPEO = Black Pepper Essential Oil

**Figure 2.** Effects of piperine (12.5 mg/mL - 50% MIC; and 25 mg/mL - MIC) on the dynamics of multispecies biofilm formation by *L. monocytogenes*, *S.* Typhimurium, and *P. aeruginosa*.



<sup>1</sup>Lowercase letters represent statistical differences between treatments and incubation times (p < 0.05). Error bars represent the standard deviation of two experiments performed on biological duplicates. (A) Total population, (B) *L. monocytogenes*, (C) *S.* Typhimurium, (D) *P. aeruginosa.* 

<sup>2</sup>C+ = Positive Control; MIC = Minimal Inhibitory Concentration



# Figure 3. Comparison of the antibiofilm effects of piperine and BPEO.

<sup>1</sup>Asterisks (\*) indicate a statistical difference (p < 0.05) in incubation time between treatments. <sup>2</sup>BPEO: Black Pepper Essential Oil.

Figure 4. Relative distribution (RD) of each microbe in multispecies biofilms over time.





agrB agrD prfA agrC Α 2.5 18 2 4.5 4 3.5 2.5 2 1.5 1.5 0.5 2 1.5 Relative gene expression 6 0 6 7 1 Relative gene expression Relative gene expression 0 200 200 200 200 Relative gene expression 1 0.5 0 -0.5 0 -1 -0.5 -1 0 C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg agrD agrB prfA agrC В 2.5 2 3 2 expression Relative gene expression .0 L C C Relative gene expression 1.5 1 Relative gene e -0.5 -1 0 0 C+ Piperine 25 mg Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg С csgD agfA adrA 0 -2 3 2.5 1.5 Relative gene expression 0 200 200 200 Relative gene expression expression 2 1.5 -0.5 1 0.5 Relative 0 -0.5 -1 -1 -1 rine 25 mg Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ C Pig agfA csgD D adrA 6.5 5.5 4.5 5.5 expression ion Relative gene expression 4.5 3.5 4.5 xpre 3.5 3.5 2.5 Relative gene 7.5 0.5 Relative gene 2.5 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ lasR algD rhIL Е lasL 3 2 11.5 4.5 2.5 9.5 expression Relative gene expression Relative gene expression gene expression 1.5 3.5 2 7.5 1 2.5 1.5 5.5 Relative gene 1 0.5 1.5 3.5 Relative 0.5 0.5 ۵ 1.5 0 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ algD lasR rhIL lasL F 69 149 11.5 399 e gene expression 2.5 2.5 expression Relative gene expression 6 6 6 6 65 sion 119 299 expre 89 ang 199 gene 59 Relative Relative 99 2.5 Relati 29 9 -0.5 -1 C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg C+ Piperine 25 mg

<sup>1</sup>Target gene expression levels were normalized to the housekeeping genes *rplD* (*L. monocytogenes*), 16s rRNA (*S.* Typhimurium), and 16s rRNA (*P. aeruginosa*).

<sup>2</sup>(A, B) The *L. monocytogenes* genes *agr*ABCD and *prfA*; (C, D) the *S.* Typhimurium genes *agfA*, *adrA*, and *csgD*;

and (E, F) the P. aeruginosa genes rhlL, lasL, lasR, and algD at 24 and 72 h.

 $^{3}C+ = Positive Control.$ 

ournal Pressoo

# **Highlights:**

- BPEO and piperine inhibit L. monocytogenes, S. Typhimurium, and P. aeruginosa growth
- BPEO decreased the adhesion time of a multispecies biofilm on a • polypropylene surface
- Piperine had sufficient antimicrobial activity to eradicate multispecies biofilm •
- Piperine weakens bacterial adhesion through gene dysregulation •

# **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: