ABSTRACT
The current study aimed to identify which natural products and which research directions are related to the major contributors to academic journals for diabetes therapy. Bibliometric data were extracted from the Web of Science online database using the search string TOPIC = (“natural product” OR “natural compound” OR “natural molecule” OR “phytochemical” OR “secondary metabolite”) AND TS = (“diabet”) and analysed by a bibliometric software, VOSviewer. The search yielded 3694 publications, which were collectively cited 80,791 times, with an H-index of 117 and 21.9 citations per publication on average. The top-contributing countries were India, the USA, China, South Korea and Brazil. Curcumin, flavanone, resveratrol, carotenoid, polyphenols, flavonol, flavone and berberine were the most frequently cited natural products or compound classes. Our results provide a brief overview of the major directions of natural product research in diabetes up to now and hint on promising avenues for future research.
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1. Introduction

Diabetes is a metabolic chronic disease characterised by elevated blood levels of glucose/sugar because the body cannot produce enough insulin or effectively use the insulin, leading to serious damage to the heart, blood vessels, nerves, eyes and kidneys (https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes). There are two types of diabetes: type 1 diabetes (T1DM) and type 2 diabetes (T2DM) (Alberti and Zimmet 1998). T1DM is characterised by deficient insulin production in the body. The most common is T2DM (previously known as adult-onset or non-insulin-dependent diabetes), resulting from the body’s ineffective use (peripheral tissue resistance) of insulin (World Health Organization 2016). Diabetes is a major public health concern (Zimmet et al. 2016). According to the Global Report on Diabetes 2016 from WHO, the number of cases as well as the prevalence of diabetes have been steadily growing over the past several decades. In total, around 422 million adults were living with diabetes in 2014, in comparison to 108 million in 1980 globally. Moreover, 1.5 million deaths were due to diabetes in 2012, with an additional 2.2 million deaths because of increasing the risks for cardiovascular and other diabetes-associated diseases (World Health Organization 2016). T1DM cannot be prevented at present. Although T2DM can be reduced by a healthy lifestyle, including a healthy diet, exercise and increased physical activity, avoiding smoking and maintaining a body weight in a healthy range (Lv et al. 2017). There is a lack of optimally acting drugs with lower side effects to treat it (Souto et al. 2011). Therefore, there is intensive research interest in better understanding diabetes and finding better treatment options, including the identification of natural products with anti-diabetic effects (Wang et al. 2014; Ríos et al. 2015; Souto et al. 2019; Vieira, Souto, Sánchez-López, López Machado, Severino, Jose, Santini, Fortuna, et al. 2019; Vieira, Souto, Sánchez-López, López Machado, Severino, Jose, Santini, Silva, et al. 2019).

Many natural products have been used worldwide for controlling blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes. There are several reviews summarising the common natural products used for managing diabetes (Shapiro and Gong 2002; Tiwari and Rao 2002; Ríos et al. 2015; Alam et al. 2018; Choudhury et al. 2018; Salehi et al. 2019). For instance, in Mexico, herbal extracts from *Cecropia obtusifolia* Bertol. (Cecropiaceae),...
Equisetum myriochaetum Schlecht & Cham (Equisetaceae), Leptolobium panamense (Benth.) Sch.Rodr. & A.M.G.Azevedo (syn. Acosmium panamense (Benth.) Yacolev) (Fabaceae), Agarista mexicana (Hemsl.) Judd. (Ericaceae), Cucurbita ficifolia Bouché (Cucurbitaceae), Brickellia veronicaefolia (Kunth) A. Gray (Asteraceae) and Parmentiera aculeata (Kunth) Seem. (Bignoniaceae) are commonly used to lower the blood glucose level for treating diabetes (Andrade-Cetto and Heinrich 2005). In Sri Lanka, one of the most frequently used medicinal plants was reported to be Senna auriculata (L.) Roxb. (Fabaceae) (Sathasivampillai et al. 2017). In South America, Bauhinia forficata Link (Fabaceae), commonly known as ‘paw-of-cow’, is widely used in ethnomedicine for therapy of diabetes (Pepato et al. 2002). There also are multiple studies well documenting the role in the treatment of diabetes of Abelmoschus esculentus L. (Moench), native of Africa, and grown different countries from Africa to Asia, Southern Europe and America (Dubey and Mishra 2017; Durazzo et al. 2019; Daliu et al. 2020).

The mechanisms of anti-diabetic action include inhibition of $\alpha$-glucosidase and $\alpha$-amilase in the digestive tract, modulation of glucose uptake and the expression of glucose transporters, stimulation of insulin secretion and pancreatic $\beta$-cell proliferation, control of insulin resistance and regulation of oxidative stress (Rios et al. 2015; Choudhury et al. 2018; Gong et al. 2019). Several anti-diabetic natural product-based drugs are now available in the market, such as Diabecon®, Glyoherb® and Diabeta Plus®, with each of them comprised of multiple active ingredients (Choudhury et al. 2018). It should be noted that many pieces of evidence for anti-diabetic action of natural products came from in vitro and in vivo preclinical studies, as Alam et al. (2018) reported that between 2005 and 2016, there were 63 such studies and only 16 clinical studies.

With the growing number of publications as well as natural products reported to be useful for treating diabetes, there exists an interest to evaluate the literature in a quantitative way, so that the most productive contributors, common topics and frequent keywords can be identified. For instance, China and India have a long history of practicing traditional Chinese medicine and Ayurveda, respectively. According to 2016 data from World Health Organization, they have 1.3 billion population each, with diabetes prevalence of 9.4% and 7.8%, respectively (https://www.who.int/diabetes/country-profiles/en/). As a consequence, are these countries more productive in terms of publishing papers on natural products in diabetes research? The current study is aimed to provide an answer to this and other questions along this line. By providing a quantitative overview of the research literature, researchers may have a better understanding of the global research activities in this field, frequently investigated natural products and leading collaborators.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data source

The Web of Science (WoS) online database was queried with the following search string: TS = (‘natural product’ OR ‘natural compound’ OR ‘natural molecule’ OR ‘phytochemical’ OR ‘secondary metabolite’) AND TS = (‘diabet’). The search identified publications with a combination of these words or their derivatives in their titles,
abstracts or keywords. The authors’ subscription to the database allows the retrieval of documents published as early as in 1956. No additional filters were placed on the search, e.g. publication language, publication type, etc. The identified publications were initially evaluated with the ‘Analyse Results’ and ‘Create Citation Report’ functions of the WoS platform, and subsequently exported as ‘Full Record and Cited References’ to VOSviewer (version 1.6.15), a bibliometric software, for further analysis. As a result, the following parameters were assessed: publication year, publication count, citation count, authorship, institution, country/region, journal, WoS category, document type, language.

2.2. Term map

Words from the titles and abstracts were visualised as a term map by VOSviewer with default settings (Van Eck and Waltman 2010, 2011; Waltman et al. 2010). Terms that appeared in at least 0.5% (n = 19) of the analysed publications were visualised. Bubble size represents the number of publications. Bubble colour represents the citations per publication (CPP). Two bubbles are spatially closer if respective terms co-appeared more frequently.

3. Results and discussion

The search returned with 3694 publications that were collectively cited 80,791 times, with an H-index of 117 and 21.9 CPP in general. The earliest indexed document was published in 1991 in the Journal of Ethnopharmacology and reported the hypoglycaemic activity of bassic acid, isolated from the rootbark of Sideroxylon obtusifolium subsp. obtusifolium (Roem. & Schult.) T.D.Penn. (syn. Bumelia sartorum), in diabetic rats through enhanced secretion of insulin (Naik et al. 1991). The cumulative publication and citation counts grew steadily in the 2000s and had a much sharper increase in the 2010s (Figure 1). The growth of citation count was larger than that of publication count. Meanwhile, 67.7% of the publications were original articles (CPP = 15.3), and
29.8% were reviews (CPP = 37.1). Most indexed publications were published in English (n = 3656; 99.0%), followed by Spanish (n = 15) and Portuguese (n = 8).

### 3.1. Productive authors

Table 1 shows the top 5 most productive authors. The most productive author was Gokhan Zengin from Selcuk University, Turkey (n = 36, CPP = 10.6). His most highly cited paper in the current dataset was a paper that reported the total phenolic contents of extracts of *Haplophyllum myrtifolium* Boiss. (Zengin et al. 2014). On the other hand, the author with highest CPP among the top 5 was Kalidas Shetty, with his most highly cited paper evaluating clonal herbs of Lamiaceae species for inhibiting diabetes and hypertension-related enzymes (Kwon et al. 2006). It should be noted that ‘Li J’ (n = 28) was originally ranked second by WoS ‘Analyse Results’ function. Upon closer examination, the record should be a combination of Li Jia who had 13 publications, Li Jian with 2, Li Jin with 4, Li Jing with 3, Li Jingya with 3 and Li Jun with 3. Similarly, ‘Chen J’, ‘Li Y’ and ‘Kumar A’ ranked 5th to 7th, respectively, by WoS, and were representing multiple authors, each of whom wrote a few papers only. Therefore, they are not listed in Table 1.

### 3.2. Productive institutions

The most productive institution was Chinese Academy of Sciences (n = 84; CPP = 21.2). Its most highly cited paper was a review on the resources and biological activities of natural polyphenols (Li et al. 2014). Besides the top 5 most productive institutions listed in Table 2, there were 7 more institutions with at least 20 publications, namely National Autonomous University of Mexico (Mexico), Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (Iran), Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Iran), King Saud University (Saudi Arabia), King Abdulaziz University (Saudi Arabia), National Taiwan University (Taiwan, China) and Universiti Putra Malaysia (Malaysia).

### 3.3. Productive countries/regions

Regarding countries/regions, the most productive was India (n = 717; CPP = 15.3) (Table 3). The most highly cited paper was a review on the potential health benefits of plant polyphenols as dietary antioxidants against various diseases including diabetes (Pandey and Rizvi 2009). In terms of original research, the most highly cited one was a report that demonstrated the effect of curcumin on enhancing wound healing in rats and mice with diabetes induced by streptozotocin and genetic modification, respectively (Sidhu et al. 1999). Meanwhile, the USA had the highest CPP among the
The top 5 countries. The most highly cited original research was the evaluation study of Lamiaceae species with Shetty being the corresponding author (Kwon et al. 2006). Figure 2 shows that the lead by the USA was taken by India since 2014, whereas the productivity of China has been growing fast and may take the second place in a few years’ time. The growth rate of South Korea and Brazil seem to be similar.
3.4. Productive journals

The most productive journal was *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* \( (n = 135; \text{CPP} = 11.1) \), which started to publish 40 years ago (Table 4). Its most cited paper was a review of the traditional uses of *Psidium guajava*, including applications for the treatment of diabetes (Gutiérrez et al. 2008). It should be noted that *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, the only journal among the top 5 without an impact factor, had a much lower CPP than the other 4.

3.5. Productive WoS categories

As expected, the largest WoS category for the analysed publications was pharmacology and pharmacy \( (n = 1135; \text{CPP} = 21.3) \) (Table 5). The relatively similar publication shares of the top 5 categories not only suggested the diverse research directions in the analysed diabetes literature, but also related to the versatility of the journals, e.g. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* is counted by WoS by 4 categories: pharmacology and pharmacy, chemistry medicinal, integrative and complementary medicine and plant sciences. Papers related to biochemistry and molecular biology seemed to have a higher CPP, consistent to the higher CPP received by *Molecules*, a major journal in this category.

3.6. Term map

A total of 1148 terms appeared in at least 0.5\% \( (n = 19) \) of the 3694 publications and they are visualised as a term map (Figure 3). It should be noticed that animal studies (mainly rats and mice, upper part of Figure 3) and content determination studies of plants (left part) were relatively less cited than the studies involving higher clinical relevance, particularly those also dealing with co-morbidities other than diabetes, such as cardiovascular disease and cancer (lower right part). Some highly cited \( (\text{CPP} > 30) \) natural products or compound classes included curcumin \( (n = 100; \text{CPP} = 69.1) \), flavanone \( (n = 23; \text{CPP} = 49.1) \), resveratrol \( (n = 87; \text{CPP} = 47.7) \), carotenoid \( (n = 72; \text{CPP} = 44.8) \), polyphenols \( (n = 28; \text{CPP} = 42.8) \), flavonol \( (n = 47; \text{CPP} = 39.9) \), flavone \( (n = 33; \text{CPP} = 38.5) \) and berberine \( (n = 42; \text{CPP} = 30.4) \). Their structures are illustrated in Figure 4.

3.7. Keyword usage

Over 950 author keywords appeared in at least 3 of the 3694 publications. They covered a variety of topics, some of which were surrounding diabetes as the popular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WoS category</th>
<th>Publication count (% of total)</th>
<th>Citations per publication (CPP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacology and pharmacy</td>
<td>1135 (30.7)</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry medicinal</td>
<td>711 (19.2)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry and molecular biology</td>
<td>516 (14.0)</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food science technology</td>
<td>455 (12.3)</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrative and complementary medicine</td>
<td>386 (10.4)</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Top 5 most productive WoS categories.
topics, such as antioxidants, oxidative stress, inflammation and obesity. The top 20 keywords are listed in Table 6. The words ‘flavonoids’ and ‘polyphenols’ were natural products that were frequently listed as keywords. Pathways related to $\alpha$-glucosidase and insulin resistance were frequently investigated.

Some commonly mentioned plant species and family names were *Moringa oleifera* ($n = 12$, CPP = 19.1), cinnamon ($n = 8$, CPP = 17.8), fenugreek ($n = 8$, CPP = 12.8), Lamiaceae ($n = 8$, CPP = 44.3), *Carica papaya* ($n = 7$, CPP = 7.9), Cucurbitaceae ($n = 7$, CPP = 31.9), garlic ($n = 7$, CPP = 18.3), legumes ($n = 7$, CPP = 61.1), pomegranate ($n = 7$, CPP = 35.4), saffron ($n = 7$, CPP = 15.9) and *Stevia rebaudiana* ($n = 7$, CPP = 19.0).

### 3.8. Comparison with other studies in the literature

The current study evaluated the literature of natural products in diabetes research. We found that more than 3600 publications investigated on this topic, with the original article-to-review ratio being 2.3:1. This ratio is larger than that for dietary natural...
product research (1.5:1) (Yeung, Aggarwal, et al. 2018), but smaller than natural products in cancer research (4.0:1) (Yeung, El-Demerdash, et al. 2018). Meanwhile, this ratio seems to be smaller than those of research literatures that are not limited to clinical relevance, such as antioxidants (12.5:1) (Yeung, Tzvetkov, et al. 2019), curcumin

![Chemical structures of selected highly cited natural products.](image)

Table 6. Top 20 most common author keywords.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword</th>
<th>Publication count (% of total)</th>
<th>Citations per publication (CPP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>468 (12.7)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidant</td>
<td>235 (6.4)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytochemicals</td>
<td>221 (6.0)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>203 (5.5)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural products</td>
<td>155 (4.2)</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>149 (4.0)</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>133 (3.6)</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>131 (3.5)</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>116 (3.1)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidants</td>
<td>110 (3.0)</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-diabetic</td>
<td>109 (3.0)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>99 (2.7)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>98 (2.7)</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Glucosidase</td>
<td>96 (2.6)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidant activity</td>
<td>94 (2.5)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>88 (2.4)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin resistance</td>
<td>86 (2.3)</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptozotocin</td>
<td>85 (2.3)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicinal plants</td>
<td>80 (2.2)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytochemical</td>
<td>76 (2.1)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The increasing productivity of India and China in the second half of the 2010s is similar to the situation in curcumin literature (Yeung, Horbańczuk, et al. 2019).

It is interesting to notice that *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, which has no impact factor, was the second most productive journal with CPP = 1.6. The journal is relatively new, with its first volume published in 2010, and is open access. Readers can access the full text easily. Perhaps its accessibility can promote its visibility in the short future so that the papers will be cited more.

Meanwhile, it is reassuring to notice from the term map that studies with higher clinical relevance tended to be cited more than animal and *in vitro* studies. This finding seems to be different from a citation analysis on some medical fields, in which basic research tended to be cited more than clinical research (Van Eck et al. 2013). Many of the lab studies of natural product and ethnopharmacological research are antioxidant assays of specimen, such as food and medicinal plants, some of which may have questionable translational value into clinical settings and are thus rejected by some journals nowadays (Yeung, Heinrich, et al. 2018). It is expected that in the future the more clinically relevant studies will continue to get more citations.

Many natural product-derived chemicals are beneficial for managing diabetes, through various means some of which were identified among the common terms and keywords, e.g. oxidative stress, cytokine and inflammation, insulin and $\alpha$-glucosidase (Alam et al. 2018). Of course, there are many ways to modulate the disease process. For instance, (poly)phenolic compounds are reported to regulate carbohydrate metabolism, improve glucose uptake, protect pancreatic beta cells, enhance insulin action and regulate signalling pathways to cell homeostasis (Dias et al. 2017).

One of the highly cited natural products was curcumin. In diabetic rats and mice, curcumin was found to promote wound healing, improve glycemic control and reverse inflammatory and metabolic derangements (Sidhu et al. 1999; Kowluru and Kanwar 2007; Weisberg et al. 2008). In human randomised controlled trials, consumption of curcumin was reported to reduce the risk of prediabetic individuals of becoming diabetic (Chuensamarn et al. 2012), lower the atherosclerotic risks in patients with T2DM (Chuensamarn et al. 2014) and reduce their inflammatory cytokines and markers of oxidative stress (Usharani et al. 2008; Panahi et al. 2017). Another highly cited natural product was resveratrol. It was found to be therapeutic for treating T2DM by being a SIRT1 and Akt activator that improves glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity (Milne et al. 2007; Brasnyó et al. 2011; Bhatt et al. 2012). Also, berberine has shown considerable effectiveness in the management of diabetes and other metabolic diseases *in vitro*, in preclinical *in vivo* models and in clinical studies (Neag et al. 2018; Belwal et al. 2020; Yeung et al. 2020).

### 3.9. Limitations

One major limitation of the current study is that we only used data from a single database, WoS. Some relevant publications may be indexed by other databases but not in WoS. However, since each database indexes publications and count citations differently, it is not possible for us to merge the presented data, especially the citation
data, across multiple databases. Future follow-up studies may consider using data generated from different databases to compare with the results reported in this study.

4. Concluding remarks

A bibliometric analysis was performed for natural products in diabetes research. Results showed that the literature grew steadily in terms of publication and citation counts in the 2000s and has been growing more quickly in the 2010s. The literature has so far accumulated around 3700 publications. The most productive countries come from Asia, North and South America. China and India have become more productive since the 2010s. The publications were mostly dealing with pharmacology and pharmacy, medicinal chemistry and biochemistry and molecular biology. Animal studies and content determination studies of plants were relatively less cited than studies with direct clinical relevance. α-Glucosidase and insulin resistance were among those frequently mentioned keywords. Some highly cited natural products or compound classes included curcumin, flavanone, resveratrol, carotenoid, polyphenols, flavonol, flavone and berberine. Among these, curcumin, resveratrol and carotenoid were more frequently investigated. However, they have not yet been developed into drugs, with potential hindrances from low bioavailability (Dei Cas and Ghidoni 2019) reservation from physicians (Wahner-Roedler et al. 2006) and patenting limitations (Wong and Chan 2014). We anticipate that future research should further validate the efficacy of these natural products on managing diabetes through human studies, and to set the optimal route of administration and dosage, so that the initial promising results can be readily translated into clinical practice. Along this line, we hope that more future studies will thus be published in medical journals reporting their potential benefits in clinical trials.
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