Effect of antioxidant-rich propolis and bee pollen extracts against D-glucose induced type 2 diabetes in rats
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ABSTRACT

The present study was designed to investigate the preventive effect of propolis, bee pollen and their combination on Type 2 diabetes induced by D-glucose in rats. The study was carried out by feeding daily two concentrations (100 and 200 mg/Kg BW) of propolis or bee pollen (or their combination to normal (non-diabetic) and diabetic rats for a period of 16 weeks. In vivo biochemical changes associated to diabetes are induced by drinking a solution containing 10% of D-glucose (diabetic rats). The in vitro antioxidant activity was also evaluated and the chemical composition of propolis and bee pollen extracts was determined by UHPLC-DAD. Phytochemical composition of propolis and bee pollen revealed the presence of several natural antioxidants, such as hydroxycinnamic acids, hydroxybenzoic acids, flavonoids, flavan-3-ols and stilbens. The major antioxidant compound present in propolis was Naringin (290.19 ± 0.2 mg/Kg) and in bee pollen was apigenin (162.85 ± 0.82 mmol/L). These results have been related with a high antioxidant activity, more intense in propolis extract. In rats, the administration of D-glucose had induced hyperglycemia (13.2 ± 0.82 mmol/L), increased plasmatic insulin levels (25.10 ± 2.12 U/L) and HOMA-IR index (14.72 ± 0.85) accompanied with dyslipidemia, elevation of hepatic enzyme levels, and a change in both serum renal biomarkers and plasmatic calcium. The co-administration of propolis and bee pollen extracts alone or in combination restored these biochemical parameters and attenuated the deleterious effects of D-glucose on liver and kidney functions. Furthermore, these effects were better attenuated in the combined therapy-prevented diabetic rats. Hence, it is possible to conclude that propolis and bee pollen can be used as a preventive natural product against diabetes induced dyslipidemia and hepato-renal damage.

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) or non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a chronic progressive disease characterized by chronic fasting hyperglycemia and resulting in defective insulin action (Association, 2013). This pathology is most often linked to life style changes, obesity, physical inactivity and family antecedents. Currently, its incidence is increasing exponentially, and affects more than 350 million people worldwide, estimates are that it will grow to about 642 million people by 2040 (Ogurtsova et al., 2017). Several hypotheses were put in place in order to explain the mechanisms involved in the manifestation of diabetes and its associated risks. The most solid one is that linking to oxidative stress, in fact hyperglycemia is a main factor triggering oxidative stress via the overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Fahruddin, Alanazi, & Jackson, 2017). In turn, the excess of ROS generated by hyperglycemia interact with the free and sulphhydryl amine groups of the intracellular and extracellular proteins and subsequently forming the amadori products, which then will decompose and form the glycation products (AGEs) (Al-Malki, 2013). The chronic elevation of plasma glucose level has deleterious effects on the secretion of insulin from beta cells. Its action on peripheral tissues, blood vessels, nerve cells and other cell types is known as gluco-toxicity (Poitout et al., 2010). T2D can be associated with many complications affecting multiple organs such as eyes, kidneys, heart and brain (Sina, Graffy, & Simmons, 2018).
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Diabetes mellitus (DM) induces diabetic nephropathy and diabetic hepatotoxicity through different mechanisms including, the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPKs) signaling pathways as well as the down-regulation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) and heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) expression (Ebrahimí et al., 2019; Karim, Rahman, Changlek, & Tanglepong, 2020). Undeniably, these complications could not just be due to the oxidative stress induced by diabetes but also due to the attenuation of the physiological defense system via glycosylation of the antioxidant enzymes. The relationship between diabetes, hepato-renal damage and oxidative stress has been the subject of previous studies (El Menty et al., 2019; Ogar, Egbung, Nna, Atangwo, & Itam, 2019).

Nature is an inexhaustible source of products and bioactive molecules that can be used as alternative treatments, being propolis one of them. Propolis, known as a miracle drug, is a sticky, resinous substance made by a complex mixture of bee-released and plant-derived compounds. In general, raw propolis is composed of around 50% resin, 30% waxes, 10% essential oils, 5% pollen and 5% of various organic compounds. In general, raw propolis is composed of around 50% resin, 30% waxes, 10% essential oils, 5% pollen and 5% of various organic compounds (Al-Hariri, 2011). Phytochemical analyzes of propolis have made by a complex mixture of bee-released and plant

Numerous studies have shown that propolis has different therapeutic pounds (Al-Hariri, 2011). Phytochemical analyzes of propolis have revealed the presence of several bioactive molecules. Among these molecules we quote quercetin, phenolic acids and its esters, caffeic acid phenyl ester (CAPE) (Hosnuter et al., 2004) steroids, terpenoids and amino acids (Burdock, 1998). Also, propolis has a very large fraction of minerals and trace elements including zinc (Zn) which intervenes as co-factors of antioxidant enzymes (Pietta, Gardana, & Pietta, 2002). Numerous studies have shown that propolis has different therapeutic properties such as antioxidant, antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, anticancer, antiangiogenic and hepatoprotective (Sforcin & Bankova, 2011). Furthermore, in vitro studies have shown that propolis inhibits α-amylase and α-glucosidase activities as part of its anti-hyperglycemic mechanism (El-Guendouz et al., 2016).

Pollen is another bee product that is extremely rich in bioactive molecules, like flavonoids. Numerous biological effects are attributed to bee pollen, which include antioxidant (Leja, Mareczek, Wyzgolik, Klepacz-Baniak, & Czekoroska, 2007), cardioprotective, hepatoprotective, and anti-inflammatory effects (Rzepecka-Stojko et al., 2015).

Until now, no study had examined the combined effect of propolis and bee pollen on type 2 diabetes and its related metabolic disorders. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the chemical composition of antioxidant polyphenols in propolis and bee pollen extracts and also to evaluate the influence of several doses of these extracts and their mixture in the prevention of T2D, dyslipidemia and hepatorenal dysfunction induced by D-glucose in rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Propolis and bee pollen samples and extract preparation

Propolis and fresh bee pollen samples were harvested, on May 2018, from hives installed in Skoura (Middle Atlas, Morocco) where the predominant vegetation consists of Pinus, sylvestris, Ceratonia silqua, Rosmarinus officinalis, Juniperus oxycedrus, Thymus vulgaris, Bupleurum spinosum, Globularia alypum and Cistus lanadanerus. The extracts were prepared by macerating 1 g of each sample in 30 mL of ethanol aqueous solution (70% v/v) with mechanical stirring for one week at room temperature. The final extract was filtered, centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min and the supernatant was collected for further analysis. Subsequently, the filtrate was concentrated in a rotary evaporator. Distilled water was added to obtain two concentrations (100 and 200 mg/kg BW) for animals feeding (Park & Ikegaki, 1998).

2.2. Chemical analysis of extracts

2.2.1. Total phenolics content (TPC)

The quantification of phenolics content was determined following the method of Folin-Ciocalteau described by (Miguel, Doughmi, Aazza, Antunes, & Lyoussi, 2014). 500 μL of Folin-Ciocalteau (0.2 N) reagent and 400 μL of sodium carbonate solution (7.5%) were added to 100 μL ethanolic extract of propolis or bee pollen. The intensity of produced color was measured at 760 nm (Perkin Elmer Lambda 40 UV/VIS spectrophotometer,) after incubating the mixture in the dark for 2 h. Gallic acid (0.071 to 0.719 mg/mL) was used as a standard to achieve the calibration curve (R² = 0.999) and the result was expressed in milligram gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram of sample (mg GAE/g).

2.2.2. Total flavonoids content (TFC)

Total flavonoids were determined according to the procedure described by (Kong, Mat-Junit, Aminudin, Ismail, & Abdul-Aziz, 2012). Briefly, one hundred microliters of bee pollen or propolis ethanolic extracts was mixed with sodium nitrite (5%) and 150 μL of AlCl₃ (10%). After 5 min, 200 μL of NaOH (1%) was added and placed in the dark for 1 h. The intensity of the produced color was measured at 510 nm. Quercetin (0.261–1.423 mg/mL) was used as a standard to achieve the calibration curve (R² = 0.997) and the flavonoids content was expressed in milligram of the quercetin equivalent (QE) per gram of sample (mg QE/g).

2.2.3. Identification and quantification of polyphenols compounds by UPLC-DAD

Samples were analyzed by Shimatzu N experea X2 UPLC chromatograph equipped with Diode Array Detector (DAD) (Shimadzu, SPD-M20A) according to the method described by (Ferreira-Santos et al., 2019). Separation was performed on a reversed phase Aquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle size; from Waters) and a precolumn of the same material at 40 °C. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min. HPLC grade solvents water/formic acid 0.1% (A) and acetonitrile (B) were used. The elution gradient for solvent B was as follows: from 0.0 to 5.5 min eluent B at 5%, from 5.5 to 17 min linearly increasing from 5 to 60%, from 17.0 to 18.5 min a linearly increasing from 60 to 100%; the column was equilibrated at 5% from 18.5 to 30.0 min. Phenolic compounds were identified by comparing their UV spectra and retention times with that of corresponding standards. All standards used were of analytical grade (purity level above 94%) and procured from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Quantification was carried out using calibration curves for each compound analyzed using concentrations between 250 and 2.5 mg/mL. In all cases, the coefficient of linear correlation was R² greater than 0.99. Compounds were quantified and identified at different wavelengths (250–370 nm). All analyses were made in triplicate.

2.3. Evaluation of in vitro antioxidant activity

2.3.1. Total antioxidant activity (TAA)

Total antioxidant activity of propolis or bee pollen samples was evaluated by the phosphomolybdenum method according to the method described by (Prieto, Pineda, & Aguilar, 1999). 1 mL of reagent solution (6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) was added to 25 μL of ethanolic extract of propolis or bee pollen and the mixture was incubated for 90 min in a water bath at 95 °C. After, the absorbance was read at 695 nm and ascorbic acid was used as the standard calibration (0.171 to 0.872 mg/mL, R² = 0.999).

The results were expressed in milligram of ascorbic acid equivalent (AAE) per gram of sample (mg AAE/g).

2.3.2. Free radical scavenging activity

Free radical scavenging activity (DPPH assay) was measured according to the method described by (Miguel et al., 2014). Briefly, 875 μL of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl-hydrate (DPPH) solution (63.4 μM, prepared in ethanol) was mixed with 25 μL of ethanolic extract of propolis or bee pollen, and the mixture reaction was incubated during one hour in the dark. The control consisted in using the solvent of 1.423 mg/mL) was used as a standard to achieve the color was measured at 760 nm (Perkin Elmer Lambda 40 UV/VIS spectrophotometer.) after incubating the mixture in the dark for 2 h. Gallic acid (0.071 to 0.719 mg/mL) was used as a standard to achieve the calibration curve (R² = 0.999) and the result was expressed in milligram gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram of sample (mg GAE/g).
extraction instead of the sample. The absorbance was read at 517 nm and the antiradical activity (% inhibition) was estimated using the Eq. (1). DPPH inhibition concentration at 50% (IC\textsubscript{50}) is determined using five different dilutions of each sample, considering that the percent inhibition had to be between 20% and 80%.

\[
\%\text{inhibition} = \frac{\text{Abscontrol} - \text{Abssample}}{\text{Abscontrol}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

2.4. Experimental animal’s protocol

Sixty six male wistar rats, 11 week old with 173 ± 3 g of body weight (BW), were used in this experiment. The animals were housed in a standard environmental condition (23 ± 3 °C with 12 h light/dark cycles) and fed with standard rodent chow and water ad libitum. Ethical approval was obtained from Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, under the responsibility of the Animal Facility and the Laboratory of Natural Substances, Pharmacology, Environment, Modeling, Health and Quality of Life, University of Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco (USMBA-SNAMOPEQ 2017–03). The care and handling of the animals were in accordance with the internationally accepted standard guidelines for the use of animals.

Rats were randomly allocated into 11 groups of six rats. Groups 1 to 5 are considered normal non-diabetic control groups, whereas groups 6 to 11 are considered diabetic groups (induced by 10% D-glucose in drinking water throughout the study).

- Group 1: received daily by gavage distilled water (10 mL/Kg BW) and had free access to tap water;
- Group 2: received daily by gavage propolis extract (200 mg/Kg BW) and had free access to tap water;
- Group 3: received daily by gavage bee pollen extract (200 mg/Kg BW) and had free access to tap water;
- Group 4: received daily by gavage propolis extract (100 mg/Kg BW) and had free access to tap water;
- Group 5: received daily by gavage bee pollen extract (100 mg/Kg BW) and had free access to tap water;
- Group 6: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage distilled water (10 mL/Kg BW);
- Group 7: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage propolis extract (200 mg/Kg BW);
- Group 8: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage pollen extract (200 mg/Kg BW);
- Group 9: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage propolis extract (100 mg/Kg BW);
- Group 10: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage bee pollen extract (100 mg/Kg BW);
- Group11: had free access to a drinking solution containing 10% of D-glucose and received daily by gavage 100 mg/Kg BW of propolis extract + 100 mg/Kg BW of bee pollen extract.

The study lasted for 16 weeks. At the end of study, fasted rats were anesthetized with light diethyl ether. Blood sample was withdrawn from each rat by retro-orbital puncture, collected into tubes, and then plasma was separated by centrifugation (2000 × g) during 10 min.

The treatment duration was chosen based on the results of (Katsuda et al., 2014; Oussaïd et al., 2020). The pollen and propolis extracts doses were selected according to (El Menyiy, Al Waili, Bakour, Al-Waili, & Lyoussi, 2016; El Ghouizi, El Menyiy, Falcao, Vilas-Boas, & Lyoussi, 2020).

2.4.1. Biochemical analysis

Plasmatic levels of aspartate aminotransferases (AST), alanine aminotransferases (ALT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C), very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL-C), uric acid, creatinine, urea, albumin, total protein, blood glucose, plasma insulin levels were determined by radioimmunoassay method (Rat insulinRIA kit, Millipore, St Charles, MO, USA). Plasma, sodium (Na\textsuperscript{+}), potassium (K\textsuperscript{+}) were analyzed using ion-selective potentiometry method (Architect c8000i biochemistry analyzer), and plasma calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) was determined basing on it reaction with Arsenazo III (2,2′,5,5′-Dihydroxy-3,6-disulphonaphthylene-2,7-bisazo)-bisbenzenear-sonic acid). The intensity of produced color was measured bichromatically at 660/700 nm (Architect c8000i biochemistry analyzer). Model homeostosis evaluation (HOMA-IR) (Eq. (2)), and homeostatic model-β (HOMA-β) (Eq. (3)) were calculated following formula described by (Matthews et al., 1985), quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) (Eq. (4)) was determined according to (Katz et al., 2000).

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{Insulin}(\frac{\mu\text{U}}{\text{mL}})}{22.5} \times \frac{\text{Glucose}(\text{mg/dL})}{\text{(2)}}
\]

\[
\text{HOMA-β} = \frac{20 \times \text{Insulin}(\frac{\mu\text{U}}{\text{mL}})}{\text{Glucose}(\text{mg/dL})} - 3.5 \text{ (3)}
\]

\[
\text{QUICKI} = \frac{1}{\log\text{fastinginsulinlevel} (\frac{\mu\text{U}}{\text{mL}}) + \log\text{fastingbloodglucose} (\text{mg/dL})} \text{ (4)}
\]

2.4.2. Body weight change and organ weights

The BW in each group of rats was measured at the beginning and at the end of the experiment using an electronic balance. Percentage changes were calculated by comparing the diabetic control group (Group 6) with normal non-diabetic group (Group 1) and the diabetic treated groups with diabetic control. Liver, pancreas and kidney were removed at the end of the study and weighted.

2.5. Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation). Statistical comparisons between the groups were performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey test using GraphPad Prism\textsuperscript{®} software (version 5.0, GraphPad software, Inc., San Diego, USA). Significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Phytochemical analysis and in vitro antioxidant activity of extracts

As presented in Fig. 1, the chemical analysis shows that propolis contains 89.71 ± 5.12 mg GAE/g of total phenolic contents, total flavonoid content is 38.56 ± 2.47 mgQE/g) and total antioxidant capacity is 96.17 ± 7.38 mg AAE/g. The phenolic and flavonoid contents in bee pollen sample are lower (19.68 ± 0.50 mg/mL for propolis and 0.91 ± 0.05 mg/mL for propolis and 0.91 ± 0.05 mg/mL for bee pollen).

3.2. Polyphenols compounds identification

Fourteen polyphenolic compounds were quantified in the propolis sample and thirteen were quantified in bee pollen (Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the major components detected in propolis were naringin (290.19 ± 0.2 mg/kg), hesperidin (271.77 ± 0.0 mg/kg) and rosmarinic acid (222.02 ± 6.2 mg/kg). However, apigenin (162.85 ± 17.7 mg/kg), rosmarinic acid (127.30 ± 6.2 mg/kg) and naringin (113.71 ± 6.8 mg/
kg) were the most predominant polyphenolic compounds quantified in analyzed bee pollen. Other detected polyphenolic compounds are quercetin, gallic acid, kaempferol, chlorogenic acid, rutin, resveratrol, cinnamic acid, ellagic acid, ferrulic acid, o-coumaric acid, vanilic acid, catechin. However, cinnamic acid and gallic acid were not detected in propolis; kaempferol, chlorogenic acid and catechin were not detected in bee pollen.

3.3. In vivo effects of propolis and bee pollen extracts

3.3.1. Blood glucose and insulin resistance

The administration of propolis and bee pollen extracts to non-diabetic animals has no effects in glycemia, insulinemia and associated parameters (Fig. 2). The data presented in (Fig. 2 A) shows a significant increase (p < 0.001) in the fasting blood glucose levels of diabetic rats compared to the control groups. However, the administration of propolis alone at a dose of 100 or 200 mg/kg BW and bee pollen at the same doses doesn’t affect the blood glucose level in non-diabetic control groups. While the co-treatment with propolis at the same doses induce a detectable decrease (p < 0.01) in the fasting blood glucose concentrations compared to control diabetic rats (Group 6). Also the co-administration of bee pollen at two tested doses (100 and 200 mg/Kg BW) has significantly reduced the fasting blood glucose levels in comparison with non-treated diabetic rats (Group 6). Similarly, the co-administration of the mixture of propolis and bee pollen (Group 11) showed a strong decrease in the blood glucose levels compared to the control diabetic rats and the other treated diabetic groups.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Propolis</th>
<th>Bee pollen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxycinnamic acids</td>
<td>40.60 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.17 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamic acid</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>46.01 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Coumaric acid</td>
<td>35.47 ± 0.2</td>
<td>27.10 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>25.31 ± 0.0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosmarinic acid</td>
<td>222.02 ± 6.2</td>
<td>127.30 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxybenzoic acids</td>
<td>40.60 ± 0.6</td>
<td>17.17 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>32.54 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanilic acid</td>
<td>10.58 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td>37.94 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.02 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavan-3-ols</td>
<td>18.83 ± 0.1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>18.83 ± 0.1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>290.19 ± 0.2</td>
<td>113.71 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringin</td>
<td>271.77 ± 0.0</td>
<td>15.63 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperidin</td>
<td>14.78 ± 0.2</td>
<td>48.12 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>50.37 ± 0.8</td>
<td>162.85 ± 17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>26.48 ± 1.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>34.37 ± 1.3</td>
<td>95.36 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>86.25 ± 0.2</td>
<td>44.90 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1165.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td>748.9 ± 56.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of polyphenols compounds are expressed in concentration (mg/kg) mean ± SD of 3 experiments. n.d: not detected.
After 16 weeks of D-glucose intake, the plasmatic insulin levels increased significantly (Fig. 2 B). This increase was majorly prevented after oral co-administration of propolis (200 and 100 mg/kg BW) and bee pollen (200 and 100 mg/kg BW). The combined treatment of propolis and pollen (Group 11) showed a greater reduction of plasma insulin levels compared with animals treated with only one type of extract.

Furthermore, the insulin resistance index estimated by HOMA-IR significantly increased (p < 0.001) in rats treated with D-glucose compared to the control non-diabetic group (Group 1). However, the treatment with propolis or bee pollen at two tested doses showed a significant reduction in HOMA-IR index and thus, insulin resistance in comparison with the non-diabetic control group. Moreover, the effect promoted by the extracts is dose dependent and the combined treatment with propolis and bee pollen reduce HOMA-IR (p < 0.001). In addition, the diabetic group (Group 6) expressed a very low value of HOMA-β. The co-administration of propolis, bee pollen or both showed a significant improvement of all these parameters, with the best data observed in the

Fig. 2. Blood glucose, Plasma insulin, HOMA-IR index, HOMA-β, and QUICKI. a: comparison between the group 1 and all groups, b: comparison between the group 6 and all groups, c: comparison between group 7 and group 11, d: comparison between group 8 and group 11 (the significance started at p < 0.05).
rats which received the combined treatment of propolis and pollen extracts (Group 11).

### 3.3.2. Plasma lipid profile

Fig. 3 shows the plasmatic levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and VLDL-cholesterol. The diabetic group (Group 6) showed a significant increase in TC, TG, LDL-C and VLDL (p < 0.001) and decrease in HDL-C (p < 0.001) as compared to the non-diabetic groups (Groups 1 to 5). Treatment with propolis or pollen in non-diabetic rats has no effects in lipid profile, expressed similar values to the rats that received distilled water. The co-treatment with propolis or bee pollen at two tested doses, reversed these increases of TC, TG, LDL-C and VLDL promoted by D-glucose intake and leads to increase in HDL-C (p < 0.001). The results also indicate that the combined co-treatment of extracts (propolis + bee pollen) decreased plasmatic TC, TG, LDL-C and VLDL levels (p < 0.001) and increased HDL-C level (p < 0.001) as compared to the non-treated diabetic group (Group 6) or treated diabetic group with a single extract.

### 3.3.3. Plasmatic determinations of renal biomarkers

Fig. 4 represents the plasmatic levels of creatinine, urea, uric acid and total protein in the control and diabetic groups. The groups that receive 10% D-glucose (diabetic groups) depict a significant increase in the creatinine, urea, and uric acid levels (p < 0.001), and significant decrease in total protein and albumin levels (p < 0.001), compared to non-diabetic rats. The diabetic group treated with propolis or bee pollen (100 and 200 mg/kg BW) showed a significant reduction of serum creatinine, urea, uric acid and increase in total protein and albumin levels. The diabetic group (Group 11) treated simultaneously with propolis and bee pollen, showed a significant decrease in creatinine, urea, and uric acid (p < 0.001), and a significant increase in total protein and albumin compared to non-treated diabetic group (Group 6).

Regarding plasma electrolytes, the data presented in Fig. 4 (F,G,H,I) showed that D-glucose treatment did not cause any significant changes in the serum level of sodium (Na⁺), potassium (K⁺) and chloride (Cl⁻). Whereas, it induced a significant decrease in plasma calcium (Ca²⁺) compared with the control group 6 (p < 0.05), however, the co-treatment with propolis, bee pollen or both of them has prevented the plasma calcium loss.

### 3.3.4. Liver function

The data in Fig. 5 indicates that diabetic animals expressed a significant increase in ALT, AST, ALP and LDH compared to the control non-diabetic group (Group 1). Daily administration of propolis and bee pollen extracts did not show effects in the control animals, obtaining similar values to those of Group 1. The diabetic group co-treated with propolis or bee pollen at high (200 mg/kg BW) or a low (100 mg/kg BW) dose, alone or in combination showed a significant improvement in all biomarker levels in comparison with diabetic non-treated group (Group 6).

### 3.3.5. Body and organs’ weights

The rats’ weights were measured at the beginning and at the end of the experiment. The derived data in table 2 increased significantly (p < 0.01) the final body weight and body weight gain in the diabetic rats compared to normal rats, the recorded percentage of changes were 40.56% and 198.18% respectively. The administration of propolis at a high dose showed a significant decrease in the final body weight and body weight gain compared to the control group, with a percentage change of −5.72% and −36.32%, respectively. The co-treatment with propolis at a low (Group 9) and a high (Group 7) doses showed a significant decrease in the final body weight and body weight gain compared with the diabetic group, and the recorded percentage changes were −15.68% and −39.90%, −16.78% and −44.58% respectively. The administration of fresh bee pollen at a high dose recorded a final body weight and weight gain in comparison with the control group, the recorded percentage changes were 31.89% and 140.44% respectively. The co-administration of propolis at a high and a low doses showed a significant decrease in the final body weight and body weight gain as compared to diabetic group, while the combined co-treatment showed a percentage change in final body weight and weight gain in comparison with the diabetic group −13.53% and −25.28% respectively.

The body weight change was accompanied by the alteration of the liver, kidneys and pancreas weights. Table 3 showed that the treatment with propolis and bee pollen both did not affect the organs’ weight. D-glucose chronic administration decreased significantly the relative weight of kidneys, pancreas and liver. However, the co-treatment with propolis, bee pollen and their combination improved significantly the organs’ relative weight, with the best recovery related to the co-treatment with the bee pollen (200 mg/kg BW).
Fig. 4. Plasmatic levels of renal biomarkers, A: Creatinine; B: Urea; C: Uric acid; D: Total protein; E: Albumin; F: Calcium; G: Sodium; H: Potassium; I: Chloride. a: comparison between the group 1 and all groups, b: comparison between the group 6 and all groups, c: comparison between group 7 and group 11, d: comparison between group 8 and group 11 (the significance started at $p < 0.05$).

Fig. 5. Effect of interventions on ALT, AST, PAL and LDH Levels. a: comparison between the group 1 and all groups, b: comparison between the group 6 and all groups, c: comparison between group 7 and group 11, d: comparison between group 8 and group 11 (the significance started at $p < 0.05$).
samples, ranging from (0.74 to 8.20 mg AAE/g), that can be explained by the presence of antioxidant compounds (Singh, 2008). The data showed that propolis and bee pollen extracts exhibited high antioxidant activity and expressed a strong free radical scavenging capacity. Indeed, the amount of phenolic content in the samples collected from different areas in Morocco had total antioxidant capacity.

Due to the variety in the composition of food or other biological samples, studying the antioxidant compounds is very attractive for researchers and industries due to its wide application. In this sense, the use of consistent and convenient methodologies for the rapid quantification of antioxidants is one of the important points in this type of studies. Normally, different in vitro assays, with different mechanisms of action, are needed to confirm the antioxidant properties of natural molecules, extracts and foods. In practice, several in vitro test procedures are carried out for evaluating antioxidant activities with the samples of interest. Another aspect is that antioxidant test models vary in different respects. Therefore, it is difficult to compare fully one method to other one (Singh & Singh, 2008).

In this sense, in our study, two independent tests were carried out to affirm the antioxidant capacity of bee pollen and propolis extracts. For example, the DPPH assay is associated with the free radical scavenging activity promoted by the compounds present in the extracts, whereas the phosphomolybdate method (where Mo(VI) is reduced to Mo(V) in the presence of antioxidant compounds) is normally associated with total antioxidant capacity.

Total antioxidant capacity (90.17 ± 7.38 mg AAE/g) go on hind with the data published by (Touzani et al., 2018), where seven Moroccan propolis samples collected from different areas in Morocco had total antioxidant activity ranging between 6.56 ± 0.82 and 90.87 ± 2.91 mg AAE/g. DPPH scavenging assay showed a powerful antiradical activity (IC50 = 0.17 ± 0.00 mg/mL), which is included in the range of Moroccan propolis, varied from 0.019 mg/mL to 1.190 mg/mL (Touzani et al., 2018). Bee pollen is another bee product; it’s considered as a biological matrix very rich in natural antioxidants (Denisow & Denisow-Pietrzyk, 2016). It was found that the total phenolic content in Polish bee pollen is 23.3 mg GAE/g (Rzepecka-Stojko, Stec, Kurzeja, Gawrotńska, & Pawłowska-Goral, 2012), in Lithuanian bee pollen is 23.3 mg GAE/g (Cekstereytė et al., 2016). In the Egyptian bee pollen, the total phenolic contents were between 0.8 ± 0.07 and 2.3 ± 0.014 mg GAE/g (Abdel-salam, Foda, Abdel-Aziz, & Abd, 2018). The amount of total phenolic content in our bee pollen sample is (19.68 ± 0.12 mg GAE/g), which is higher than that signaled for Egyptian bee pollen and lower than that found in Polish and Lithuanian bee pollen. Flavonoids are considered as a powerful secondary metabolite, certainly, they are responsible for several biological properties. Bee pollen’s flavonoid content was previously evaluated by several studies. It was found in a range between 1.81 ± 0.040 and 4.44 ± 0.125 mg QUE/g (Mayda, Oszkó, Ecem Bayram, Gerekç, & Sorkun, 2020) in Turkish bee pollen and from 15.28 ± 0.04 to 31.80 ± 0.13 mg QUE/g in Malaysian bee pollen (Harif Fadzilah, Jaapar, Jajuli, & Wan Omar, 2017). Regarding free radical scavenging ability (DPPH), the concentration of bee pollen required to inhibit 50% of DPPH radical is 0.91 ± 0.02 mg/mL, which is lower than the published by (Mayda et al., 2020) for different bee pollen samples from Turkey, ranging between 1.29 ± 0.03 and 3.85 ± 0.03 mg/mL.

The qualitative and quantitative phytochemical composition of bee products is strongly variable and depend on the ecosystems and harvest year (Bankova, 2009). In fact, the soil nature, weather conditions and...
the local plant source influence their composition and consequently gives its potential health benefits and a wide spectrum of biological activity including anti-diabetic, antimicrobial, antitumor, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and cardio protective activities (Pasupuleti, Sammugam, Ramesh, & Gan, 2017).

4.2. Antidiabetic effect of bee pollen and propolis extracts

Hyperglycemia is the main cause of diabetes complications, and it is one of the factors associated with morbidity and mortality (Vichaijun, Khananurak, & Sophonmithiprasert, 2019). Our results illustrate that 16 weeks of treatment with D-glucose induced a significant increase in blood glucose, insulinemia and HOMA-IR index, which is in agreement with the finding of (El Midaoui, Haddad, & Couture, 2016). The measurement of insulinemia is one of the most recommended parameters used for the diagnosis of T2D (Konate et al., 2014). Chronic hyper-insulinemia induces a down regulation of insulin receptor phosphorylation and reversible reduction of receptor tyrosine kinase activity, leading to insulin resistance associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus (Catalano et al., 2014; Kanety, Moshe, Shafrir, Lunenfeld, & Karasik, 1994). The present results showed that the co-administration of propolis or bee pollen extracts at two tested doses (100 and 200 mg/kg BW) are able to ameliorate the rise in blood glucose, HOMA-IR index and insulinemia. In the same sense, the combined treatment with propolis and pollen has remarkably reduced the level of all these parameters. Also, the diabetic animals expressed a very low value of HOMA-β and insulin sensitivity and a significant reduction in QUICKI (marker of insulin sensitivity) concurring with the findings of (Abdel Aziz et al., 2020). However, the co-administration of propolis, bee pollen or both showed a significant increase of these parameters, with the best data observed in the group which received the combined treatment (Group 11). This may be due to the reduction of pancreatic oxidative stress generated by chronic accumulation of blood glucose. Previous data revealed that phenolic compounds have a wide diversity of pharmacological activities and strong effects on numerous chronic diseases including diabetes (Chen, Gnanaraj, Arulsev, El-Seedi, & Teng, 2019; Huang et al., 2019). The outcome of (Ahmed, Hassan, Abdel-Twab, & Abdel Azeem, 2017) showed that naringin has strong anti-hyperglycemic and anti-hyperlipidemic effects in nicotinamide/streptozotocin induced T2D in rats. Apigenin ameliorates glucose level, vascular dysfunction and regulates lipid metabolism as well as insulin resistance in T2D rats (Ren et al., 2016). In fact, naringin and apigenin were the most predominant phenolic compounds detected in our propolis and bee pollen samples, respectively. This supports the hypothesis of their contribution in the mechanism of the anti-hyperglycemic effect and management of insulin sensitivity.

The report of (Chen et al., 2019) about phenolic acids and their mechanisms involved in the management of diabetes has shown that, ferrulic acid controls and reduces chronic blood sugar by stimulating insulin secretion and expression of GLUT4 and MAP kinase. Chlorogenic acid stimulates glucose transport by the translocation of GLUT4 and the activation of IRS-1 and PI3K signaling pathways. In addition, it inhibits hepatic Glc-6-Pase in db/db in mice homozygous for diabetes spontaneous mutation model. Ellagic acid is another phenolic acid that has strong anti-diabetic effect, in fact it is able to inhibit IL-1 and TNF-α and hence, inhibits AP-1 and MAPK. Moreover, it also stimulates malondialdehyde (MDA) level in the liver and kidney tissues in diabetic mice (Konate et al., 2014). Overall, the anti-hyperglycemic effect of propolis and bee pollen used in this study could be due to the interaction between these bioactive molecules through diverse signaling pathways (Fig. 6).

4.3. Protective effect of bee pollen and propolis extracts on liver biochemical changes

The liver plays a crucial role in glucose and lipid homeostasis, the plasma level of ALT, AST, LDH and ALP is an essential indicator reflecting the functional status of the liver. In this study, the diabetic group expressed a remarkable increase in serum levels of ALT, AST, ALP, and LDH (Fig. 5). These results were in agreement with those reported by (Orsolić, Sirovina, Končič, Laković, & Gregorović, 2012). The high plasma level of ALT, AST, ALP, and LDH is an index of liver damage, indicating liver structural changes (Bakour et al., 2017), and hepatic cell necrosis, accompanied by the secretion of these enzymes into the bloodstream from the cytosol (Bakour et al., 2018). That could be attributed to the oxidative damage of ROS generated by diabetes (Kangraikar, Patil, & Bandivadekar, 2010). However, rats receiving propolis or bee pollen simultaneously with D-glucose expressed lower levels of ALT, AST, LDH and ALP. In the same context, the combined extracts co-treatment induced a remarkable recovery of these key enzymes of the liver function. Indeed, propolis and bee pollen prompted the protective effect and prevented the complications of diabetes (Fig. 6). The protective effect of propolis and bee pollen might be due to their richness on various phytochemical compounds (Table 1). Previous study reports that resveratrol has been revealed to exert hepatoprotective action against oxidative stress-caused liver injury by activating Nrf2 signaling pathway and stimulating the production of

![Fig. 6. Schematic diagram showing a proposed mode of actions of bee pollen and propolis extracts in D-glucose induced type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia and hepatorenal dysfunction.](image-url)
glutathione (GSH), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), NADPH dehydrogenase quinone-1 (NQO1), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) and glutathione S-transferase (GST) (Jia et al., 2019).

4.4. Protective effect of bee pollen and propolis extracts on kidney biochemical changes

Our data indicate that free access to drinking water contained 10% of D-glucose for 16 weeks significantly increased the levels of creatinine, urea, and uric acid and decreased the total protein and albumin compared to non-diabetic control rats. These results are major sign of diabetic nephropathy, which is considered as one of the dangerous complications of diabetes (Al-Logmani & Zari, 2011). These results are consistent with previous studies by other authors (Al-Malki & El Rabey, 2015; Balaabrammam, Gp, & Chatterjee, 2014). In diabetic groups the simultaneous administration of propolis or bee pollen extracts with daily ingestion of D-glucose showed a reduction in the levels of creatinine, urea, and uric acid, in contrast, a remarkable increase in albumin and total protein levels was shown. This change in plasma albumin levels induced by diabetes, may be attributed to inflammation or liver failure (Akirov, Masri-Iraqi, Atamna, & Shimon, 2017). This is in agreement with the results of (Orsolić et al., 2012), which shows that the co-treatment with propolis at a dose of 50 mg/kg BW significantly reduces plasma levels of urea, uric acid and creatinine. Quercetin presented in bee products has been proved to prevent renal injury through the modulation of Akt/mTOR pathway as a result of its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory action (Rusal F. Hasan, Altimimi, Alaridy, & Hadi, 2020). The concurrent treatment with propolis and bee pollen (Group 11) ameliorated these parameters and nearly restored them to their normal levels. This could be attributed to the synergistic effects between their bioactive molecules (Fig. 6).

4.5. Protective effect of bee pollen and propolis extracts on dyslipidemia

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) and T2D express two different forms of dyslipidemia. T1D most commonly associated with normal LDL-C and HDL-C levels and high value of TG. However, T2D is generally characterized by low levels of HDL-C and a normal level of LDL-C and a high level of TG (Molitch, 2006). The present study showed that diabetic animals have expressed a massive elevation in plasma TG, TC, LDL-C and VLDL accompanied by a significant decrease of serum HDL-C, index of dyslipidemia. Dyslipidemia contributes to the mechanism of oxidative stress in T2D (Aouachéri, Saka, Krim, Messaadia, & Maidi, 2015; Stahlan et al., 2013), leading to other chronic cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and atherosclerosis (Molitch, 2006). Atherosclerosis is usually associated with LDL oxidation (Matsura, Hughes, & Khamashva, 2008). Previous studies have shown that propolis reduces lipid peroxidation in vitro and in vivo (Inokuchi et al., 2006; Matsura et al., 2008). This could be the mechanism involved in the prevention of cardiovascular disease CVD. In this study, the treatment of diabetic rats with propolis has restored the normal values of lipid profile, this is in agreement with the findings of (Konate et al., 2014). Also the diabetic animal’s co-treated with bee pollen alone or in combination with propolis showed a significant decrease in TC, TG, LDL-C and VLDL, in parallel a significant increase in HDL-C. This confirms their preventive effect on diabetes induced dyslipidemia. Therefore, bee pollen and propolis, particularly their association have the potential to prevent and reduce CVD.

4.6. Protective effect of bee pollen and propolis extracts on body and organs weights changes

Body weight gain is one of the most common symptoms of T2D, especially, when the glycemic status is uncontrolled. In the present study, the chronic administration of 10% D-glucose caused a significant increase in the final body weight and body weight gain in comparison with the normal group. This is in agreement with the results of (Ross et al., 2011), illustrating the association of T2D and obesity. Opposite toT1D, which is manifested by the body weight loss (Mohamed, Ahmed, Hozayen, & Ahmed, 2018). This may be explained by the anabolic effect of insulin and it ability to stimulate cell growth and promote storage of fatty acids in adipose and muscle tissue (Brown, Guess, Dornhorst, Taheri, & Frost, 2017). Hence, the co-administration of propolis at a high and a low dose and the co-administration of bee pollen at same doses have significantly reduced the body weight and the body weight gain compared to the diabetic group. The decrease of body weight following the co-treatment with propolis is more significant than bee pollen co-treatment. The body weight decrease of diabetic rats co-treated with propolis extracts is probably due to its repressive effect on PPARα protein expression, which attenuated fat accumulation in adipocytes (Rayalam et al., 2007). In the same context, it was found that propolis administration inhibits cholesterol synthesis by decreasing hepatic HMG-CoA reductase protein (Ichi et al., 2009).

Also the Table 3 showed that the treatment with propolis or bee pollen does not affect the organs relative weight. The data illustrates that the treatment with D-glucose for 4 months caused a significant decrease of organs’ relative weight (liver, kidney and pancreas) in comparison with the control group, this goes in hand with the findings of (Bai, Zang, Ma, & Xu, 2016). However, the co-treatment with propolis alone, pollen alone or both had induced a marginal increase in kidney, liver and pancreas’ relative weight. This is consistent with results of (Mohamed et al., 2018). The liver weight loss may occur as a result of the breakdown of glycogen, lipids and proteins caused by lack of insulin in liver cells (Qaid & Abdelrahman, 2016). In general, the decrease of organs’ relative weights can be attributed to the destruction of its cells by the excess of free radicals generated through diabetes, which is illustrated by the biochemical parameters.

5. Conclusion

Overall, the results of this study showed for the first time that co-administration of propolis and bee pollen extracts, especially their combination, are able to attenuate the T2D caused by a high-glucose intake. The role of these natural ingredients involves prevention of hyperglycemia, insulinemia, HOMA-IR index, HOMA-β, Insulin sensitivity, pancreatic β-cell function and lipid profile. Furthermore, these natural products ameliorate hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity as diabetic complications.
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