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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be an associative ring with unity 1 and let $a, d \in R$. An element $a \in R$ is called invertible along $d$ if there exists unique $a^{\| l d}$ such that $a^{\| d d} a d=d=d a a^{\| d}$ and $a^{\| l d} \in d R \cap R d$ (see [7, Definition 4]). In this note, we present new characterizations for the existence of $a^{l l d}$ by a clean decomposition of $a d$ and $d a$. As applications, existence criteria for the Drazin inverse and the group inverse are given. Also, we show that $a d$ and $d a$ are uniquely strongly clean, provided that $a^{\| l d}$ exists and $a d=d a$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings $R$ considered are assumed to be an associative ring with unity 1 . We say that $a \in R$ is regular if there exists $x \in R$ such that $a=\operatorname{axa}$. Such $x$ is called an inner inverse of $a$, and is denoted by $a^{-}$. An element $a \in R$ is said to be group invertible if there exists $b \in R$ such that $a b=b a, a b a=a$ and $b a b=b$. Such a $b$ is called a group inverse of $a$, it is unique if it exists, and is denoted by $a^{\#}$. It is known that $a^{\#}$ exists if and only if there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $a=a^{2} x=y a^{2}$. In this case, $a^{\#}=y a x=y^{2} a=a x^{2}$.

Let $R$ be a ring with involution *, i.e., * satisfying $\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=a,(a b)^{*}=b^{*} a^{*}$ and $(a+b)^{*}=a^{*}+b^{*}$ for all $a, b \in R$. An element $a \in R$ (with involution) is Moore-Penrose invertible [14] if there exists $x \in R$ such that $a x a=a, x a x=x,(a x)^{*}=a x$ and $(x a)^{*}=x a$. Such $x$ is called a Moore-Penrose inverse of $a$, it is unique if it exists, and is denoted by $a^{\dagger}$. The standard notions of Drazin inverses can found in mathematical literature [5]. The symbols $R^{-1}, R^{\#}, R^{D}$ and $R^{\dagger}$ denote the sets of all invertible, group invertible, Drazin invertible and Moore-Penrose invertible elements in $R$, respectively.

Green's preorders (see [6]) in a ring $R$ are defined by: (i) $a \leq_{\mathcal{L}} b$ denotes $a \in R b$; (ii) $a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} b$ denotes $a \in b R$; (iii) $a \leq_{\mathcal{H}} b$ denotes $a \in b R \cap R b$. Given $a, d \in R$, an element $b \in R$ is called the inverse along $d$ [7] if bad $=d=d a b$ and $b \leq_{\mathcal{H}} d$. Such $b$ is unique if it exists, it is called the inverse of $a$ along $d$, and is denoted by $a^{\| l d}$. Furthermore, Mary [7] showed that (i) $a \in R^{\#}$ if and only if it is invertible along $a$; (ii) $a \in R^{D}$ if and only if it is invertible along $a^{m}$, for some positive integer $m$; (iii) $a \in R^{+}$if and only if it is invertible along $a^{*}$. In these cases, $a^{\#}=a^{\| l a}, a^{D}=a^{\| a^{m}}$ and $a^{+}=a^{\| l a^{*}}$. More results on the inverse along an element can be referred to $[2,8]$. It follows from [7] that if $a^{\| l d}$ exists then $1-a a^{\| l d}$ and $1-a^{\| l d} a$ are idempotents. Also, by [8], we know

[^0]that $a^{\| d}$ exists implies $d a+1-d d^{-} \in R^{-1}$ and $a d+1-d^{-} d \in R^{-1}$, where the regularity of $d$ is ensured by the existence of $a^{\| l d}$ ([7, Theorem 7]).

Recall that an element of a ring $R$ is called clean if it can be written as the sum of an idempotent $e$ and a unit $u$. A clean ring is one whose each element is clean, which dates back to the paper of Nicholson [9]. Given a clean decomposition $a=e+u$, it is called special clean [1] if $a R \cap e R=0$, and is strongly clean [10] if it is a clean decomposition and $e u=u e$. Later, Chen et al. [3] called $a \in R$ uniquely strongly clean [3] if it has a uniquely strongly clean decomposition. Several scholars [4,11,15] paid attention to the cleanness of elements in rings. Since clean elements can be written as the sum of an idempotent and a unit, they has close relations with the inverse along an element (idempotents and units can be constructed by this types of generalized inverses). However, few articles are presented about the connections between the cleanness of elements and their generalized inverses.

It should be noted that the classical invertibility constructed by generalized inverses is usually the form $e k+1-e$, where $e$ is an idempotent. In particular, for the case of the inverse of $a$ along $d$, taking $e=d d^{-}$ and $k=d a$. For the group inverse of regular elements $d$, setting $e=d d^{-}$and $k=d$. However, a key issue for investigating the clean decomposition of the inverse along an element is that we need the unit of the form $e k-1+e$. So, at the beginning of Section 2 , we illustrate that $e k-1+e$ is a unit if and only if $e k+1-e$ is a unit.

In this note, we give an existence criterion for the inverse along an element, which slightly differs from the result of Mary and Patrício [12]. We then characterize the inverse of the product of triple elements along an element by using one-sided inverse along an element. Moreover, the formulae relating them are given. New characterizations for the inverse of $a$ along $d$ are obtained by clean decompositions of $a d$ and $d a$. As special cases, existence criteria for the group inverse and the Drazin inverse are given. Finally, we show that both $d a$ and $a d$ are also uniquely strongly clean, provided that $a^{\| l d}$ exists and $a d=d a$.

## 2. The cleanness of elements and the inverse along an element

We first begin with the following lemmas, which play an important role in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1. [8, Theorem 2.1] Let $a, d \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a^{\| l d}$ exists.
(ii) $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ da and (da) $\in R^{\#}$.
(iii) $d \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ad and $(a d) \in R^{\#}$.

In this case, $a^{\| l d}=d(a d)^{\#}=(d a)^{\#} d$.
Lemma 2.2. (Jacobson's Lemma) Let $a, b \in R$. Then
(i) If $(1-a b) \in R^{-1}$, then $(1-b a) \in R^{-1}$ and $(1-b a)^{-1}=1+b(1-a b)^{-1} a$.
(ii) If $(a b-1) \in R^{-1}$, then $(b a-1) \in R^{-1}$ and $(b a-1)^{-1}=b(a b-1)^{-1} a-1$.

Mary and Patrício [8] presented the existence criterion for the inverse along an element by units in a ring, i.e., the equivalences (i) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{iv}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ in Proposition 2.3 below. We next show that $d a+\left(1-d d^{-}\right) \in R^{-1}$ is equivalent to $d a-\left(1-d d^{-}\right) \in R^{-1}$.

Let $e, k \in R$ with $e$ idempotent. Assume that $e k+1-e$ is a unit in $R$. Then, by Lemma $2.2, u=e k e+1-e$ is also a unit in $R$. Consequently, eke $=e u e$ is a unit in $e R e$, which gives that $e(-k) e$ is a unit, and then $e(-k) e+1-e$ is a unit in $R$. Therefore, $-e k e+1-e$ is a unit, which implies that $e k e-1+e$ is a unit and hence $e k-1+e$ is a unit by Lemma 2.2. Dually, if $e k-1+e$ is a unit then so is $e k+1-e$. More details on corner rings can be referred to [13].

We remind the reader that there must be a connection with $k$ and $e$ in the first summand $x$, that is, $e$ must be somehow in $x$. If it contains no $e$, then $x+(1-e) \in R^{-1}$ does not imply $x-(1-e) \in R^{-1}$ in general. Such as, let $R=\mathbb{R}_{2 \times 2}$ be the ring of all 2 by 2 real matrices. Suppose $x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ and $e=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$. Then $x+(1-e)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in R^{-1}$. But $x-(1-e)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \notin R^{-1}$.

Let $d \in R$ be regular. If $e=d d^{-}$and $k=d a$, then $e k+1-e=d a+1-d d^{-} \in R^{-1}$ if and only if $e k-1+e=d a-1+d d^{-} \in R^{-1}$. Dually, setting $f=d^{-} d$ and $h=a d$, then $h f+1-f=a d+1-d^{-} d \in R^{-1}$ if and only if $h f-1+f=a d-1+d^{-} d \in R^{-1}$. We hence add two characterizations for the inverse along an element.

Proposition 2.3. Let $a, d \in R$ with $d$ regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a^{\| l d}$ exists.
(ii) $u=d a-1+d d^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(iii) $v=a d-1+d^{-} d \in R^{-1}$.
(iv) $u^{\prime}=d a+1-d d^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(v) $v^{\prime}=a d+1-d^{-} d \in R^{-1}$.

In this case, $a^{\| d}=u^{-1} d=d v^{-1}=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} d=d\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.
Proof. By a direct check, we know that $u^{-1} a=a v^{-1}$ is the inverse of $a$ along $d$.
It follows from [18, p.168] that $a \in R^{\#}$ if and only if 1 is invertible along $a$. Hence, it follows an existence criterion for the group inverse of a regular element.

Corollary 2.4. Let $a \in R$ be regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a \in R^{\#}$.
(ii) $u=a-1+a a^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(iii) $v=a-1+a^{-} a \in R^{-1}$.
(iv) $u^{\prime}=a+1-a a^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(v) $v^{\prime}=a+1-a^{-} a \in R^{-1}$.

In this case, $a^{\#}=u^{-1} a=a v^{-1}=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a=a\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.
Let us recall that [18] $a \in R^{+}$if and only if $a^{*}$ is invertible along $a$. Hence, we get the following result concerning the existence criterion of the Moore-Penrose inverse.

Corollary 2.5. Let $R$ be a ring with involution and let $a \in R$ be regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a \in R^{+}$.
(ii) $u=a a^{*}-1+a a^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(iii) $v=a^{*} a-1+a^{-} a \in R^{-1}$.
(iv) $u^{\prime}=a a^{*}+1-a a^{-} \in R^{-1}$.
(v) $v^{\prime}=a^{*} a+1-a^{-} a \in R^{-1}$.

In this case, $a^{+}=\left(u^{-1} a\right)^{*}=\left(a v^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a\right)^{*}=\left(a\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)^{*}$.
Let $R$ be a ring with involution and let $a, x \in R$. If $x$ satisfies $a x a=a$ and $(a x)^{*}=a x$, then $x$ is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $a$, and is denoted by $a^{(1,3)}$. If $x$ satisfies $a x a=a$ and $(x a)^{*}=x a$, then $x$ is a $\{1,4\}$-inverse of $a$, and is denoted by $a^{(1,4)}$. It is well known that $a$ is Moore-Penrose invertible if and only if it is both $\{1,3\}$-invertible and $\{1,4\}$-invertible. Moreover, $a^{\dagger}=a^{(1,4)} a a^{(1,3)}$.

Suppose we are given any $p, a, q$ in involutory rings $R$, the present author Patrício [12] illustrated that $p a q$ is Moore-Penrose invertible if and only if $p a$ is $\{1,3\}$-invertible and $a q$ is $\{1,4\}$-invertible, provided that $p^{\prime} p a=a=a q q^{\prime}$ for some $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in R$. In this case, $(p a q)^{+}=(a q)^{(1,4)} a(p a)^{(1,3)}$.

Inspired by the above mentioned author's work, we consider the relations between the inverse of paq along $d$ and left inverses of $a q$ and right inverse of $p a$ along certain element.

Let us now recall some definitions and properties of one-sided inverse along an element.
Lemma 2.6. [17] Let $a, d \in R$.
(i) An element $b \in R$ is called a left inverse of $a$ along $d$ if bad $=d$ and $b \leq_{\mathcal{L}} d$. Moreover, $a$ is left invertible along $d$ if and only if $d \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ dad.
(ii) An element $b \in R$ is called a right inverse of a along $d$ if $d a b=d$ and $b \leq_{\mathcal{R}} d$. Moreover, $a$ is right invertible along $d$ if and only if $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ dad.

Our notation follows [17, 18]. For instance, the symbol $a_{l}^{\| d}$ (resp., $a_{r}^{\| d}$ ) denotes a left (resp., right) inverse of $a$ along $d$.

It follows from [17, Corollary 2.5] that $a$ is invertible along $d$ if and only if it is both left and right invertible along $d$. In particular, by Lemma 2.6, $a$ is invertible along $d$ if and only if $d \leq_{\mathcal{H}} d a d$. However, the present authors [17] did not present the formula between the inverse along an element and one-sided inverse along an element.

Theorem 2.7. Let $p, a, q, d \in R$. If there exist $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in R$ such that $d p p^{\prime}=d=q^{\prime} q d$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) paq is invertible along $d$.
(ii) aq is left invertible along $d p$ and $p a$ is right invertible along $q d$.

In this case, $(p a q)^{\| d}=(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Since paq is invertible along $d$, by Lemma 2.6 , we have $d \leq_{\mathcal{L}} d p a q d$ and $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} d p a q d$, and consequently $d p \leq_{\mathcal{L}} d p a q d p$ and $q d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} q d p a q d$. Again, from Lemma 2.6, it follows that $a q$ is left invertible along $d p$ and $p a$ is right invertible along $q d$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) As $a q$ is left invertible along $d p$, then there is $x \in R$ such that $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p}=x d p$. Hence, $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=x d p a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=x q^{\prime} q d p a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=x q^{\prime} q d=x d$, which implies $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d} p a q d=x d p a q d=$ $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a q d=(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a q d p p^{\prime}=d p p^{\prime}=d$.

Since $p a$ is right invertible along $q d$, we have $(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=q d y$ for some $y \in R$. A straightforward calculation gives $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=d y$, we hence get $d p a q(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=d p a q d y=d p a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=d$.

Finally, $(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}=x d=d y \leq_{\mathcal{H}} d$.
Therefore, $p a q$ is invertible along $d$ and $(p a q)^{\| d d}=(a q)_{l}^{\| d p} a(p a)_{r}^{\| q d}$.
Taking $p=q=1$ in Theorem 2.7, it follows that
Corollary 2.8. [19, Proposition 2.3] Let $a, d \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a$ is invertible along $d$.
(ii) $a$ is both left and right invertible along $d$.

In this case, $a^{\mid l d}=a_{l}^{\| d}=a_{r}^{\mid l d}=a_{l}^{\mid l d} a a_{r}^{\| d}$.
Next, we give another existence criterion of the inverse along an element by the cleanness of elements.
Theorem 2.9. Let $a, d \in R$ with $a d=d a$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a^{\| l d}$ exists.
(ii) $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ da, there exist $e^{2}=e \in R$ and $u \in R^{-1}$ such that $d a=e+u$ is both a strongly clean decomposition and $a$ special clean decomposition.
(iii) $d \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ad, there exist $f^{2}=f \in R$ and $v \in R^{-1}$ such that ad $=f+v$ is both a strongly clean decomposition and a special clean decomposition.

In this case, $a^{\| d}=u^{-2} d a d=d a d v^{-2}$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that $a^{\| l d}$ exists. Then $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} d a$ by Lemma 2.1. As $a^{\| d}$ exists and $a d=d a$, then $d \in R^{\#}$ (see [18, p.170]). Set $e=1-d d^{\#}$ and $u=d a-1+d d^{\#}$. Then $e^{2}=e$, and $u \in R^{-1}$ from Proposition 2.3. We compute $e u=-e=u e$ and hence $d a=e+u$ is a strongly clean decomposition. Let $b \in d a R \cap e R$. Then there are $x, y \in R$ such that $b=d a x=e y=e d a x=\left(1-d d^{\#}\right) d a x=0$. So, $d a=e+u$ is a special clean decomposition.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Since $d a=e+u$ is both a strongly clean decomposition and a special clean decomposition, it follows dae $=e d a \in d a R \cap e R=0$. Multiplying by $d a$ on both left and right sides gives $(d a)^{2}=u d a=d a u$, hence $d a=u^{-1}(d a)^{2}=(d a)^{2} u^{-1}$. So, $d a \in R^{\#}$ and $(d a)^{\#}=u^{-2} d a$, which together with Lemma 2.1 ensures that $a^{\| l d}$ exists since $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} d a$. Moreover, $a^{\| l d}=(d a)^{\#} d=u^{-2} d a d$.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) is similar to the proof of (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

It is known that $a \in R^{D}$ if and only if $a$ is invertible along $a^{m}$ for some positive integer $m$. Hence, in the characterization of Drazin inverses, the condition $d \leq_{\mathcal{R}} d a$ of Theorem 2.9 can be reduced to $a^{n-1} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$. We claim that the condition $a^{n-1} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a^{n}$ can be dropped. Indeed, if $a^{n}=e+u$ is both a strongly clean decomposition and a special clean decomposition for some positive integer $n$, then $e a^{n}=a^{n} e \in e R \cap a^{n} R=0$. Hence, $a^{n}=u^{-1} a^{2 n}=a^{2 n} u^{-1}$, which implies $a^{n} \in a^{n+1} R \cap R a^{n+1}$.

The following result characterizes the existence criterion of the Drazin inverse by clean decompositions of certain element.

Corollary 2.10. Let $a \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a \in R^{D}$.
(ii) There exist $e^{2}=e \in R$ and $u \in R^{-1}$ such that $a^{n}=e+u$ is both a strongly clean decomposition and a special clean decomposition, for some positive integer $n$.

In this case, $a^{D}=u^{-2} a^{2 n-1}=a^{2 n-1} u^{-2}$.
By substituting "a projection $\left(p^{2}=p=p^{*}\right)$ " for "an idempotent" in the appropriate concepts, it follows notions of the strongly $*$-clean decomposition and special *-clean decomposition in $*$-ring (see [16]).

It follows from (see e.g. [20, Lemma 2.2]) that $a^{(1,3)}$ exists if and only if $a \leq_{\mathcal{L}} a^{*} a$, and $a^{(1,4)}$ exists if and only if $a \leq_{\mathcal{R}} a a^{*}$. We next give characterizations for the Moore-Penrose inverse by *-clean properties.

Corollary 2.11. Let $R$ be a ring with involution and let $a \in R$ with $a a^{*}=a^{*} a$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $a \in R^{\dagger}$.
(ii) $a^{(1,3)}$ exists, there exist $p^{2}=p=p^{*} \in R$ and $u \in R^{-1}$ such that $a^{*} a=p+u$ is both a strongly *-clean decomposition and a special *-clean decomposition.
(iii) $a^{(1,4)}$ exists, there exist $q^{2}=q=q^{*} \in R$ and $v \in R^{-1}$ such that $a a^{*}=q+v$ is both a strongly *-clean decomposition and a special *-clean decomposition.

In this case, $a^{\dagger}=u^{-2} a^{*} a a^{*}=a^{*} a a^{*} v^{-2}$.
It follows from Theorem 2.9 that $d a$ and $a d$ have strongly clean decompositions, under certain assumptions. It is of interest to consider whether such strongly clean decomposition is unique? Finally, we illustrate this fact.

Theorem 2.12. Let $a, d \in R$ with $a d=d a$. If $a^{\| l d}$ exists, then
(i) da is uniquely strongly clean.
(ii) ad is uniquely strongly clean.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 2.9 that $d a$ has a strongly clean decomposition $d a=e+u$, where $e^{2}=e$, $u \in R^{-1}$ and dae $=e d a=0$.

Suppose that $d a=f+v$ is another strongly clean decomposition, where $f^{2}=f, v \in R^{-1}$ and $f d a=d a f=0$. To prove $d a$ is uniquely strongly clean, it is sufficient to prove $e=f$.

As eda $=0$, then $d a=(1-e) d a=(1-e)(e+u)=(1-e) u$, and consequently $1-e=d a u^{-1}$. Multiplying the equality above by $f$ on the left concludes $f(1-e)=f d a u^{-1}=0$. Hence, $f=f e$.

Also, from daf $=0$, it follows $d a=v(1-f)$ and $1-f=v^{-1} d a$. Multiplying by $e$ on the right yields $(1-f) e=v^{-1} d a e=0$. Thus, $e=f e$.

Therefore, $e=f$ and $d a$ is uniquely strongly clean.
(ii) can be proved by a similar way of (i).

As special results of Theorem 2.12, we have
Corollary 2.13. Let $a \in R^{D}$. Then $a^{n}$ is uniquely strongly clean, for some positive integer $n$.
Corollary 2.14. Let $a \in R^{\#}$. Then $a$ is uniquely strongly clean.

## References

[1] E. Akalan, L. Vaš, Classes of almost clean rings, Algebr. Represent. Theory 16 (2013) 843-857.
[2] J. Benitez, E. Boasso, The inverse along an element in rings with an involution, Banach algebras and $C^{*}$-algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra 65 (2017) 284-299.
[3] J.L. Chen, Z. Wang, Y.Q. Zhou, Rings in which elements are uniquely the sum of an idempotent and a unit that commute, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009) 215-223.
[4] A.J. Diesl, Nil clean rings, J. Algebra 383 (2013) 197-211.
[5] M.P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958) 506-514.
[6] J.A. Green, On the structure of semigroups, Ann. Math. 54 (1951) 163-172.
[7] X. Mary, On generalized inverses and Green's relations, Linear Algebra Appl. 434(2011) 1836-1844.
[8] X. Mary, P. Patrício, Generalized inverses modulo $\mathcal{H}$ in semigroups and rings, Linear Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013) 1130-1135.
[9] W.K. Nicholson, Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 229 (1977) 269-278.
[10] W.K. Nicholson, Strongly clean rings and fittings' lemma, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999) 3583-3592.
[11] W.K. Nicholson, Y.Q. Zhou, Clean general rings, J. Algebra 291 (2005) 297-311.
[12] P. Patrício, The Moore-Penrose inverse of a factorization, Linear Algebra Appl. 370 (2003) 227-235.
[13] P. Patrício, R. Puystjens, Generalized invertibility in two semigroups of a ring, Linear Algebra Appl. 377 (2004) 125-139.
[14] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 51 (1955) 406-413.
[15] J. Šter, Lifting units in clean rings, J. Algebra 381 (2013) 200-208.
[16] L. Vaš, *-clean rings; cleanness of some Baer *-rings and von Neumann algebras, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 3388-3400.
[17] H.H. Zhu, J.L. Chen, P. Patrício, Further resulst on the inverse along an element in semigroups and rings, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016) 393-403.
[18] H.H. Zhu, J.L. Chen, P. Patrício, Reverse order law for the inverse along an element, Linear Multilinear Algebra 65 (2017) 166-177.
[19] H.H. Zhu, J.L. Chen, P. Patrício, X. Mary, Centralizer's applications to the inverse along an element, Appl. Math. Comput. 315 (2017) 27-33.
[20] H.H. Zhu, X.X. Zhang, J.L. Chen, Generalized inverses of a factorization in a ring with involution, Linear Algebra Appl. 472 (2015) 142-150.


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A09 (mandatory); Secondary 15A27, 16H99 (optionally)
    Keywords. (inverses along an element, one-sided inverses along an element, clean elements, strongly clean decompositions, special clean decompositions, uniquely strongly clean elements)

    Received: 0112 2016; Accepted: dd Month yyyy
    Communicated by (Dijana Mosić, mandatory)
    This research is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No. 1808085QA16) and the Portuguese Funds through FCT- 'Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia', within the project UID-MAT-00013/2013.

    Email addresses: hhhzu@hfut.edu. cn (Huihui Zhu), pedro@math.uminho.pt (Pedro Patrício)

