

Index

- 1. INTRODUCTION1**
 - 1.1. SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH3
 - 1.2. OUTLINE OF THE THESIS.....4

- PART I - Traditionally manufactured clay bricks: characterization and NDT**

- 2. HISTORIC CLAY BRICKS: A BRIEF OVERVIEW7**
 - 2.1. HISTORIC EVOLUTION8
 - 2.2. THE NATURE OF THE CLAY.....10
 - 2.2.1. *Origin of raw clay material*.....11
 - 2.2.2. *Mineral composition*12
 - 2.2.3. *Non – argillaceous material and other elements*13
 - 2.3. PRODUCTION PROCESS13
 - 2.3.1. *Main steps in early manufacturing*13
 - 2.3.2. *Kaolinite firing*15
 - 2.4. PROPERTIES OF FIRED BRICKS16
 - 2.4.1. *Elementary physical properties of the clay brick*.....17
 - 2.4.2. *Mechanical properties*23
 - 2.4.3. *Interaction with water*26
 - 2.4.4. *Chemical properties*28
 - 2.5. CAUSES OF DAMAGE AND ALTERATION29
 - 2.5.1. *Production/manufacture*29
 - 2.5.2. *Moisture*31
 - 2.5.3. *Action of the freezing/thawing icy water*.....31
 - 2.5.4. *Soluble salts and efflorescence*32
 - 2.5.5. *Temperature*33
 - 2.5.6. *Biological deterioration*34
 - 2.5.7. *Atmospheric contamination*34
 - 2.6. SUMMARY.....35

- 3. CHARACTERISATION OF THE BRICK SAMPLE.....37**
 - 3.1. NATURE AND ORIGIN OF THE MATERIALS37
 - 3.2. ANALYSIS OF THE DIMENSIONS.....40
 - 3.3. VISUAL DEFICIENCIES43
 - 3.3.1. *Raw material and production method*.....43

3.3.2.	<i>Drying and firing</i>	46
3.4.	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES.....	47
3.4.1.	<i>Methodology</i>	47
3.4.2.	<i>Results</i>	48
3.5.	SUCTION RATE AND WATER ABSORPTION BY CAPILLARITY.....	50
3.5.1.	<i>Specimen's preparation and methodology</i>	50
3.5.2.	<i>Results</i>	53
3.6.	CHEMICAL COMPOSITION	55
3.6.1.	<i>Description of the testing apparatus and methodology</i>	55
3.6.2.	<i>Results</i>	56
3.6.3.	<i>Homogeneity and origin of the raw clay</i>	58
3.7.	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	60
3.7.1.	<i>Preparation of the samples</i>	60
3.7.2.	<i>Testing apparatus and methodology</i>	61
3.7.3.	<i>Results</i>	63
3.8.	SUMMARY	64
4.	CORRELATIONS WITH MICRODRILLING TESTS	67
4.1.	INTRODUCTION.....	67
4.2.	DRILLING RESISTANCE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM (DRMS).....	67
4.3.	TESTING METHODOLOGY	70
4.4.	WEARING OF THE DRILL BIT	73
4.5.	MEASURED DRILLING RESISTANCE.....	74
4.5.1.	<i>Church of São Cristo do Outeiro (OU)</i>	74
4.5.2.	<i>Monastery of S^{ta} Maria do Pombeiro (PO)</i>	76
4.5.3.	<i>Monastery of Salzedas (SA)</i>	79
4.5.4.	<i>Monastery of São João de Tarouca (TA)</i>	81
4.5.5.	<i>Monastery of São Martinho de Tibães (TI)</i>	83
4.5.6.	<i>Christ's Convent, in Tomar (TO)</i>	85
4.5.7.	<i>New handmade bricks</i>	87
4.6.	DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AND SUMMARY	89
4.7.	CORRELATIONS	91
4.7.1.	<i>Compressive strength versus microdrilling</i>	91
4.7.2.	<i>Porosity and bulk weight versus microdrilling</i>	94
4.7.3.	<i>Multivariate linear regression</i>	95
4.8.	SUMMARY	100
5.	CONCLUSIONS (PART I)	101
5.1.	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	103
	REFERENCES (PART I).....	105

PART II - NDT in masonry using Ground Penetrating Radar

6.	GROUND PENETRATING RADAR: A STATE OF THE ART.....	113
6.1.	HISTORICAL NOTES.....	114
6.2.	DESCRIPTION AND PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION OF GPR.....	117
6.2.1.	<i>Basic instrumentation.....</i>	<i>117</i>
6.2.2.	<i>Operative mode.....</i>	<i>119</i>
6.3.	PROPAGATION OF RADIOWAVES IN DIELECTRICS.....	123
6.3.1.	<i>Nature of electromagnetic waves.....</i>	<i>123</i>
6.3.2.	<i>Dielectric properties of earth and manmade materials.....</i>	<i>125</i>
6.3.3.	<i>Radiowaves' speed of propagation.....</i>	<i>127</i>
6.3.4.	<i>Transmission and reflection coefficients.....</i>	<i>127</i>
6.3.5.	<i>Penetration depth.....</i>	<i>129</i>
6.3.6.	<i>Energy loss and attenuation.....</i>	<i>130</i>
6.4.	SYSTEM DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS.....	134
6.4.1.	<i>Noise.....</i>	<i>134</i>
6.4.2.	<i>Radar resolution.....</i>	<i>136</i>
6.4.3.	<i>Signal sampling.....</i>	<i>138</i>
6.4.4.	<i>Predicting GPR exploration depth.....</i>	<i>139</i>
7.	VALIDATION OF THE GPR METHODOLOGY.....	143
7.1.	MAIN OBJECTIVES OF THE ONSITEFORMASONRY PROJECT.....	143
7.2.	STONE MASONRY WALLS.....	144
7.2.1.	<i>Description of the specimens.....</i>	<i>145</i>
7.2.2.	<i>GPR Results.....</i>	<i>147</i>
7.2.3.	<i>Summary.....</i>	<i>154</i>
7.3.	STONE/BRICK MASONRY WALL – OBELIX.....	155
7.3.1.	<i>Description of the specimen and methodology.....</i>	<i>155</i>
7.3.2.	<i>GPR Results.....</i>	<i>158</i>
7.3.3.	<i>Summary.....</i>	<i>165</i>
7.4.	CASTLE OF PISECE, SLOVENIA.....	165
7.4.1.	<i>Description of the monument.....</i>	<i>165</i>
7.4.2.	<i>Results.....</i>	<i>167</i>
7.4.3.	<i>Summary.....</i>	<i>179</i>
7.5.	ALTES MUSEUM, BERLIN, GERMANY.....	179
7.5.1.	<i>Description of test sites and results.....</i>	<i>180</i>
7.5.2.	<i>Summary.....</i>	<i>198</i>
7.6.	CHURCH OF THE MONASTERY OF JERÓNIMOS.....	198
7.6.1.	<i>Description of the monument.....</i>	<i>198</i>
7.6.2.	<i>Testing sites and results.....</i>	<i>199</i>

7.6.3. Summary	217
7.7. BOUTACA’S BRIDGE.....	217
7.7.1. Description of the testing site and results.....	218
7.7.2. Summary	227
8. CONCLUSIONS (PART II).....	229
8.1. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS	230
REFERENCES (PART II).....	233
ANNEX A.....	241
ANNEX B.....	247
ANNEX C.....	253