Adrenoceptor-stimulated inflammatory response in stress-induced serum amyloid A synthesis
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Abstract

Rationale Stressful life events are suggested to contribute to the development of various pathologies, such as cardiovascular disorders, whose etiopathogenesis is highly associated with elevated levels of serum amyloid A (SAA) proteins. SAA synthesis in the liver is regulated by a complex network of cytokines acting independently or in concert with various hormones/stimulants including the stress-activated sympathetic nervous system.

Objective This study aims to investigate the underlying mechanisms that regulate the stress-induced hepatic synthesis of SAA, with particular focus on adrenoceptors (AR), major components of the sympathoadrenal response to stress.

Methods and results We demonstrated that repeated stress elevates IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα serum levels in mice, accompanied by increased synthesis and secretion of hepatic SAA1/2 and SAA3, an effect that was blocked by AR antagonists. Moreover, stimulation of α1- and β1/2-ARs mimics the stress effect on SAA1/2 regulation, whereas α2-AR stimulation exhibits a relatively weak impact on SAA. In support of the essential cytokine contribution in the AR-agonist induced SAA production is the fact that the anti-inflammatory drug, sodium salicylate, prevented the AR-stimulated hepatic SAA1/2 synthesis by reducing IL-1β levels, whereas IL-1β inhibition with Anakinra mimics this sodium salicylate preventive effect, thus indicating a crucial role for IL-1β.

Interestingly, the AR-driven SAA3 synthesis was elevated by sodium salicylate in a TNFα-dependent way, supporting diverse and complex regulatory roles of cytokines in SAA production. In contrast to α1/α2-AR, the β1/2-AR-mediated SAA1/2 and SAA3 upregulation cannot be reversed by fenofibrate, a hypolipidemic drug with anti-inflammatory properties.

Conclusion Taken together, these findings strongly support a critical role of the AR-stimulated inflammatory response in the hepatic SAA production under stressful conditions, highlighting distinct AR type-specific mechanisms that regulate the hepatic synthesis of SAA1/2 and SAA3.

Keywords SAA1/2 · SAA3 · Stress · Adrenoceptors · IL-1β · IL-6 · TNFα

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-018-5149-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Introduction

Clinical and experimental evidence suggests that environmental stress and stressful life events contribute to the development of various pathologies whose aetiology is highly associated with elevated levels of serum amyloid A (SAA) proteins (Dong et al. 2011; Marzi et al. 2013; Miyazaki et al. 2016; Ye and Sun 2015) (Johnson et al. 2004; O’Brien et al. 2005; Ridker et al. 1998). For instance, SAA mediates the retention of high- and low-density lipoproteins on vascular extracellular matrix and thus, favors atherogenesis by increasing cholesterol deposition in plaques; a condition associated with increased risk for cardiovascular disorders (Artl et al. 2000; Malle and De Beer 1996; O’Brien et al. 2005). Moreover, the longstanding overproduction of SAA is
connected with the pathogenesis of systemic AA amyloidosis, which is found in chronic inflammatory, neoplastic, and infectious diseases. In systemic amyloidosis, the fibril proteins are alpha amyloid proteins (AA amyloids), formed by proteolytic splitting of SAA, and deposit in organs, such as the liver (Husebekk et al. 1985; Kisilevsky 1983; Pettersson et al. 2008; Skogen et al. 1980; Sletten and Husby 1974). It has been reported that excessive deposition of AA amyloids into systemic organs could result in organ failure or even become fatal (Jayaraman et al. 2017; Kingman and Pereira 2001; Nishi et al. 2008).

SAA proteins are secreted during the acute phase of inflammation and are considered as pleiotropic. They serve several roles including the recruitment of immune cells to inflammatory sites, the activation of NF-κB and other transcription factors, the modification of the lifespan of neutrophils, the transport of cholesterol to liver and secretion to bile, as well as the induction of enzymes mediating the degradation of extracellular matrix (Ye and Sun 2015; Zhang et al. 2005). SAA1–SAA3 are the major acute phase reactants in mice (O’Brien et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2005) synthesized in the liver and increased up to 1000-fold upon exposure to acute inflammatory stimulus (Lee et al. 2017; Malle and De Beer 1996; O’Brien et al. 2005; Steel and Whitehead 1994). The major stimulators of SAA synthesis in the liver are the pro-inflammatory cytokines, with interleukin 1β (IL-1β), hepatocyte stimulating factor/interleukin 6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) being among the critical ones (Cray et al. 2013; Gabay and Kushner 1999; Jacobsen and Andersen 2007; Lee et al. 2017). Several diverse factors may regulate the immune response in humans and animals, with stress holding a critical role. Individuals exposed to stress show increased morbidity, which has been attributed, in part, to impaired function of their immune system (Chrousos 2009; Johnson et al. 1992). Previous studies demonstrated a cross-talk between the immune system and autonomous nervous system, with both the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) playing a critical role. Upon SNS activation by stress, catecholamines such as epinephrine and norepinephrine, are secreted from the adrenal medulla and sympathetic nerve terminals (Chrousos 2009; Johnson et al. 1992; Nicolaides et al. 2015) and induce their pleiotropic effects via stimulation of adrenoceptors (ARs). In particular, catecholamines target lymphocytes and phagocytes that express different ARs, thus triggering a general immune and pro-inflammatory mediator response (Johnson et al. 2005). Moreover, catecholamines are also secreted from these immune cells and act in an autocrine/paracrine fashion regulating phagocytes and affect lymphocyte trafficking and cytokine production, among others, via stimulation of α1/α2/β2-ARs (Flierl et al. 2009; Nicolaides et al. 2015; Spengler et al. 1990, 1994; Tan et al. 2007). However, the interplay of stress and in particular, of SNS in the immune response and SAA regulation, remains complex and poorly understood. For instance, previous studies report controversial evidence on the SNS effects on different components of the immune system (Elenkov et al. 2000; Flierl et al. 2009; Madden et al. 1989), while the role of stress on SAA regulation remains unclear, with several studies reporting either an up- or down-regulating stress-driven impact (Aich et al. 2009; McConnell et al. 2009). Therefore, the present study investigates the effect of stress, a major activator of SNS, on the regulation of SAA in the liver focusing on AR-linked pathways, major components of the sympathoadrenal response to stress (Cruise et al. 1987; Lalchandani et al. 2004; Minneman and Esbenshade 1994; Virtanen 1989).

**Materials and methods**

**Animals**

Male SV129 mice (9 weeks old) were housed in a temperature and light-controlled environment. Standard rodent chow and tap water were provided ad libitum. Animals were adapted to handling for an adaptation period of 1 week prior to the experiment. Ethics approval statement: all procedures were carried out in accordance with Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources (USA) guidelines and approved prior to the initiation of this study by the National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. They also conformed to the International European Ethical Standards (86/609-EEC) for the care and use of laboratory animals.

**Stress paradigm**

In an isolated environment, experimental animals were exposed to restraint stress 2 h daily for 4 consecutive days (Konstandi et al. 2004). Throughout the stress, animals were restricted to adequately ventilated individual 50-mL conical plastic tubes (3 × 10 cm modified Falcon tubes). During the stress task, no pain and physical squeezing were applied to the animals. They were allowed to rotate from supine to prone position, but they could not turn head to tail. Non-stressed controls were left undisturbed in their home cages, but food and water were removed for 2 h to match the stress group.

**Drugs and treatment**

The α1-AR agonist, phenylephrine hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, 2 mg/kg) and the β1/2-AR agonist, isoprenaline hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, 2 mg/kg) were administered intraperitoneally for 4 consecutive days. The α2-AR
agonist, dexmedetomidine hydrochloride (Dexdomitor, Orion Pharma-Pfizer, USA, 5 μg/kg) was given subcutaneously for 4 consecutive days. The dose of dexmedetomidine was chosen in order to avoid sedation. Epinephrine (Sigma-Aldrich, α/β-AR agonist) was administered daily for 4 consecutive days in the optimal dose (0.5 mg/kg, s.c.) to avoid cardiovascular implications. Prazosin hydrochloride (α1-AR antagonist; Sigma-Aldrich, 20 mg/kg i.p.) or atipamezole hydrochloride (α2-AR antagonist; Antisedan, Orion Pharma, Pfizer, 200 μg/kg s.c.), were administered 30 min prior to stress. Propranolol hydrochloride (β1,2-AR antagonist; Sigma-Aldrich, 10 mg/kg, i.p.) was administered 15 min before stress. The dosages of the AR-agonists were selected according to the literature to achieve sufficient stimulation of the adrenoceptors (Virtanen 1989), while preserving all animals healthy throughout the experiment. Sodium salicylate (Sigma, 200 mg/kg i.p.) was administered 30 min prior to stress. Mice also received Anakinra (IL-1 receptor inhibitor, Remicade, Centocor, USA; 50 μg/kg s.c.), were administered 30 min prior to stress. The AR agonists. Another group of animals was treated with Infliximab (TNFα inhibitor, Remicade, Centocor, USA; 10 mg/kg s.c., once daily, total 20 mg/kg) administered 1 h before the first sodium salicylate injection of the day. Control animals of the last two experimental series received the vehicle containing 500 mg sucrose, 0.5 mg polysorbate-80, 2.2 mg monobasic sodium phosphate monohydrate, and 6.1 mg dibasic sodium phosphate dihydrate per 10mL sterile water. As sodium salicylate and all AR-agonists used in this study were dissolved in saline, all other controls received saline. Experimental animals were fed the normal diet. There were also animals treated with either normal saline (i.p.) or fenofibrate (FEN, in rodent chow 0.2%), which also received the AR agonists. After the stress exposure and 2 h after the last drug-treatment, animals were killed by CO2 asphyxiation followed by decapitation. Trunk blood was collected in BD Microtainer Serum Separator Tubes (Becton, Dickinson and Company, USA) for hormonal, biochemical and western blot analyses and kept at −80 °C. Liver samples were dissected for total RNA and cellular protein extraction.

### Quantitative real-time PCR

TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used for isolation of total RNA from the tissues following the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of total RNA was determined spectrophotometrically. Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qPCR) was performed with cDNA generated from 1 μg total RNA with a SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). Gene-specific primers were designed for qPCR using the Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primer sequence (5′–3′): SAA1/2, forward: TCGACGTCGTAGTGTCG, reverse: GCTCGGCTCCTGCTCC; SAA3, forward: AGTAGGCTGCCACATGTCT, reverse: TTCATTGC CATCATCTTTTG; and β-actin, forward: TATGGGA ACGACGTTCC, reverse: GGCATAGGCTCT TACCAGATGC. SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) was used for the real-time reactions, which were carried out using the ABI PRISM 7900 HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The PCR conditions were the following: 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min, and 1 cycle of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s, and 95 °C for 15 s. Relative mRNA expression levels were normalized to β-actin and values were quantified using the comparative threshold cycle method.

### Western blot analysis

Immunoblot analysis of SAA proteins was carried out using serum samples from the treated animals. Serum samples were subjected to fragmentation using specific nanofilters (Pall Nanosep Omega 30K PK100, Utech Products Inc. Schenectady, NY), which removed proteins with molecular weight higher than 30 kDa. Protein concentrations were determined with a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Proteins separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (15%) electrophoresis were transferred overnight (100 mA at 4 °C) to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Membranes were blocked in 3% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS)-Tween buffer for 1 h. Blocked membranes were incubated in rat monoclonal IgG2b specific for mouse SAA antibody (1:200; sc-59,680; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The expressions of the phospho- and total protein levels of kinase Akt and the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (Erk1/2) were analyzed in liver protein extracts using RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors, PMSF (10 μM), BGP (50 μM), and NaF (50 μM). Total extracts were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (7%) electrophoresis and immunoblotting using the phosphor and total Akt, Erk1/2 antibodies (1:500 and 1:1000, respectively; Cell Signaling). Immunoblotting with GAPDH antibody (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used as loading control. After incubation with the appropriate secondary antibody (Cell Signaling), antigens were revealed by enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (ECL Clarity, Bio-Rad). Signal
monitoring and quantification were achieved using a BIORAD ChemiDoc and ImageLab BIORAD software. All values were normalized and expressed as a percentage of control values.

### Hormonal and biochemical determinations

Serum IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα levels were determined using IL-1β EIA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), IL-6 EIA (eBioscience Ready-SET-Go, San Diego, USA) and TNFα OptEIA EIA (BD Biosciences-Pharmingen) kit assays, respectively. To assess the possible toxic effects of the AR-agonists, serum ALT and AST levels were measured with the DiscretePak ALT and AST Reagent kits (Catachem Inc., Bridgeport, CT), respectively. As a key element of the neuroendocrine response to stress (Chrousos 2009; Johnson et al. 1992), corticosterone (CORT) levels were measured using a Corticosterone EIA kit (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI) and found ranging from 30 to 100 pg/mL in non-stressed controls and 160 to 300 pg/mL in stress-exposed mice (mean ± SE; control vs. stress, 87.0 ± 21.3 vs. 226 ± 40.0, \( n = 20, P < 0.001 \)). The detection limit was about 40 pg/mL and the intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 4.1%. Monitored by RapiTex CRP kit assay (Siemens), serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were not significantly altered by repeated stress or AR agonists. In all treatment groups, CRP values ranged at levels lower than 6 μg/mL (no agglutination was observed).

### Statistical analysis

All data are presented as the mean ± SE and were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s or Tukey’s post hoc analysis. Correlation analysis (see Table 1) was performed using the Pearson’s coefficient correlations. The significance level for all analyses was set at probability of less than 0.05.

### Table 1 Correlation between liver SAA expression and serum cytokine levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>IL-1β</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
<th>TNFα</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comparison: Con vs. Ph (α1-AR agonist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA1/2</td>
<td>0.82*</td>
<td>0.79*</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA3</td>
<td>0.86*</td>
<td>0.82*</td>
<td>0.87*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con vs. Dext (α2-AR agonist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA1/2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con vs. Isop (β1/2-AR agonist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA1/2</td>
<td>0.73*</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
<td>0.95*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA3</td>
<td>0.83*</td>
<td>0.80*</td>
<td>0.93*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

**Exposure to repeated stress increases SAA synthesis: role of adrenoceptor-linked pathways**

To evaluate the impact of psychophysiological stress on SAA synthesis, mice were exposed to repeated restraint stress. We found that repeated stress markedly increased mRNA levels of SAA1/2 and SAA3 isoforms in the liver of animals where these proteins are predominantly produced (Uhlar and Whitehead 1999) (Fig. 1a, b). Furthermore, western blot analysis revealed that restraint stress also increased total SAA protein load in the serum (Fig. 1c, d), an effect that was accompanied by elevated IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα serum levels when compared to non-stressed controls (Fig. 1e). Along with glucocorticoids, catecholamines released upon stress represent the major elements of the stress response (Chrousos 2009; Johnson et al. 1992; Nicolaides et al. 2015) and display their properties by activation of α- and β-adrenoceptors (ARs) (Cooper and Bloom 1996). To identify the role of catecholamines and α1-, α2-, and β1/2-AR in the regulation of SAA, we next treated animals with epinephrine (Epin, α/β-AR agonist) or agonists of different ARs. As shown in Fig. 2, Epinephrine (Ph), an α1-AR agonist, or isoprenaline (Isop), a β1/2-AR agonist increased SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA expression in the liver of mice, and elevated total SAA protein load in serum, when compared to controls (Fig. 2c, d). Furthermore, Ph and Isop (α1- and β1/2-AR agonist, respectively) triggered a marked increase in serum IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα serum levels (Table 2) that was statistically correlated with SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA levels (Table 1). Note that Dext (α2-AR agonist) exhibited a mild effect on the above cytokines that was not correlated with SAA levels (Tables 1 and 2). Combined with the weaker effect of Dext on SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA expression, these findings suggest that stimulation of α2-AR alone is not able to trigger markedly SAA production and secretion.

As the above findings clearly demonstrated the driving role of different ARs in hepatic SAA synthesis and secretion, we next investigated the involvement of AR-linked pathways in the stress-induced SAA upregulation by treating mice with either α- or β-AR-antagonists prior to stress exposure. We found that blockade of either α1-, α2-, or β1/2-ARs with prazosin (Pz), atipamezole (Ati), or propranolol (Prop),
respectively, prior to stress, prevented the upregulating effect of stress on SAA1/2 (Fig. 2e). Interestingly, blockade of either α2- or β1/2-ARs alone by Ati or Prop, respectively, prevented the upregulating effect of stress on SAA3, whereas blockade of α1-AR with Pz did not prevent it (Fig. 2f). Thus, the above findings indicated that the stress-induced SAA1/2 upregulation is mediated by stimulation of all types of ARs (Fig. 2e), whereas that of SAA3 is mediated mainly by α2- and β1/2-AR stimulation (Fig. 2f). It should be noted that the effect of AR-agonists on SAA synthesis is not related to any toxic effect, as AST and ALT serum levels were not significantly modified by the drugs used (Supplementary Table 1).

Dissecting the inflammatory signals in adrenoceptor-mediated SAA up-regulation

To further assess the involvement of cytokines in the AR-mediated upregulation of SAA, a different group of animals was simultaneously treated with AR agonists and the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, sodium salicylate, known to reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine synthesis in macrophages (Housby et al. 1999; Vittimberga Jr. et al. 1999) and to inhibit the production of COX2/Pg/PKA, downstream elements in IL-1β-related pathways (Schiller et al. 2006). As shown in Fig. 3, sodium salicylate prevented the α1-, α2-, and β1/2-AR induced hepatic SAA1/2 upregulation (Fig. 3a) that was accompanied by suppression of serum IL-1β levels (Fig. 3b); note that serum IL-6 levels remained unaffected [Ph vs. (Ph + sodium salicylate), 107.6 ± 19.6 vs. 103.0 ± 32.1, n = 10]. In order to clarify the potential role of IL-1β, we next investigated the effect of Anakinra, an IL-1β inhibitor, which blocked the AR agonist-induced SAA1/2 upregulation (Fig. 3c), confirming the critical role of IL-1β in the AR-induced SAA1/2 upregulation.

Interestingly, sodium salicylate exhibited a different effect on SAA3 synthesis when administered in combination with AR agonists. Specifically, the combined treatment with sodium salicylate and Ph (α1-AR agonist) resulted in a robust increase in hepatic SAA3 mRNA levels (Fig. 3d), which could be related to the increased serum TNFα levels detected in this treatment group (Fig. 3e). In support of this notion, cotreatment of Ph + sodium salicylate with the TNFα inhibitor

Fig. 1 Repeated restraint stress triggers the induction and release of serum amyloid proteins. a–d Animals exposed to repeated restraint stress (Str) exhibited higher hepatic mRNA levels of serum amyloid A1/2 (SAA1/2) (a), serum amyloid A3 (SAA3) (b), as well as higher serum SAA apoprotein levels (c, d) compared to undisturbed control animals (Con). e In parallel, serum cytokine levels, interleukin 1β (IL-1β), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), were markedly increased in the stressed animals compared to controls. All numerical data are shown as mean ± SEM; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001
(Infliximab) prevented the robust upregulation of hepatic SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA levels, while pharmacological stimulation of \( \alpha_1 \)- or \( \beta_{1/2} \)-adrenergic receptors (AR) by phentylephrine (Ph) or isoprenaline (Isop) [\( \alpha_1 \)- and \( \beta_{1/2} \)-AR agonist, respectively] also triggered the induction of SAA1/2 and SAA3 (a, b). Similarly, the serum SAA apoprotein load was also increased by Epin, Ph, and Isop (c, d). Note that the stimulation of \( \alpha_2 \)-AR by dexmedetomidine (Dext) also triggered the induction of SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA expression in the liver, but to a lesser extent. e, f Treatment of animals with AR-antagonists prior to stress [prazosin (Pr), \( \alpha_1 \)-AR antagonist; propranolol (Prop), \( \beta_{1/2} \)-AR antagonist; atipamezole (Ati). \( \alpha_2 \)-AR antagonist] blocked the stress-driven increase of hepatic SAA1/2 mRNA levels, while in contrast to \( \beta_{1/2} \)- and \( \alpha_2 \)-AR antagonists, single treatment with Pr, the \( \alpha_1 \)-AR antagonist, did not block the stress-evoked increase of SAA3 mRNA levels. All numerical data are shown as mean \(+\) SEM; *\( P<0.05 \), **\( P<0.01 \), ***\( P<0.001 \).

**Fig. 2** Role of adrenergic receptors in serum amyloid A (SAA) regulation under control and stressful conditions. a–d Epinephrine (Epin) treatment increased hepatic SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA levels, while pharmacological stimulation of \( \alpha_1 \)- or \( \beta_{1/2} \)-adrenergic receptors (AR) by phentylephrine (Ph) or isoprenaline (Isop) [\( \alpha_1 \)- and \( \beta_{1/2} \)-AR agonist, respectively] also triggered the induction of SAA1/2 and SAA3 (a, b). Similarly, the serum SAA apoprotein load was also increased by Epin, Ph, and Isop (c, d). Note that the stimulation of \( \alpha_2 \)-AR by dexmedetomidine (Dext) also triggered the induction of SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA expression in the liver, but to a lesser extent. e, f Treatment of animals with AR-antagonists prior to stress [prazosin (Pr), \( \alpha_1 \)-AR antagonist; propranolol (Prop), \( \beta_{1/2} \)-AR antagonist; atipamezole (Ati). \( \alpha_2 \)-AR antagonist] blocked the stress-driven increase of hepatic SAA1/2 mRNA levels, while in contrast to \( \beta_{1/2} \)- and \( \alpha_2 \)-AR antagonists, single treatment with Pr, the \( \alpha_1 \)-AR antagonist, did not block the stress-evoked increase of SAA3 mRNA levels. All numerical data are shown as mean \(+\) SEM; *\( P<0.05 \), **\( P<0.01 \), ***\( P<0.001 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeated treatment</th>
<th>IL-1β</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
<th>TNFα</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>27.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>11.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epin (( \alpha/\beta )-AR agonist)</td>
<td>102 ± 18.1***</td>
<td>50.6 ± 8.2**</td>
<td>390 ± 186.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph (( \alpha_1 )-AR agonist)</td>
<td>100 ± 13.8***</td>
<td>107.6 ± 19.6***</td>
<td>261 ± 54.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dext (( \alpha_2 )-AR agonist)</td>
<td>50.6 ± 12.6**</td>
<td>48.0 ± 7.4**</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isop (( \beta_{1/2} )-AR agonist)</td>
<td>124 ± 5.0***</td>
<td>44.5 ± 1.4***</td>
<td>179 ± 60.6***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that is potentially associated with the SAA1/2 downregulation that was observed in mice of this treatment group (see Fig. 3a). A clearly different profile of sodium salicylate effect on Erk1/2 phosphorylation (p-Erk1/2 levels) was found. In particular, sodium salicylate did not alter the Ph-induced reduction of Erk1/2 phosphorylation, while it strengthened that of Isop (Fig. 3g, h; Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Fenofibrate-induced blockade of the adrenoceptor-mediated SAA upregulation**

As previous studies have reported the involvement of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα, negative regulator of inflammatory response) in SAA production (Gervois et al. 2004; Yamazaki et al. 2002); (Delerive et al. 1999; Staels 2000).
et al. 1998), we next investigated the impact of fenofibrate (FEN), a PPARα-agonist with anti-inflammatory properties (Won 2013), on AR-driven SAA regulation. We found that, when administered simultaneously with Ph or Dext (α1- and α2-AR agonist, respectively), FEN prevented the AR agonist-induced upregulation of SAA1/2 and SAA3 in the liver (Fig. 4a, b). Furthermore, this FEN inhibiting effect was accompanied by marked reduction of IL-1β serum levels (Fig. 4c). Pearson’s coefficient correlation showed that this FEN-induced blockade is highly correlated with serum IL-1β and IL-6 levels ($R^2 = 0.883$ and 0.834, respectively, $P<0.001$). However, FEN was unable to block the Isop ($\beta_{1/2}$-AR agonist)-induced upregulation of SAA1/2 and SAA3 (Fig. 4a, b) suggesting that the protective role of FEN against the AR-mediated upregulating effect on SAA does not include that of $\beta_{1/2}$-ARs. On the other hand, serum TNFα levels were markedly increased in mice treated with both, Ph and FEN, compared to mice treated with Ph alone (Fig. 4d). Nonetheless, it is of interest to note that, whereas IL-1β and TNFα serum levels were markedly decreased by FEN in mice treated with Isop when compared to those treated with Isop alone (Fig. 4c, d); apparently, FEN did not manage to block

**Fig. 4** Fenofibrate blocked the AR-stimulated SAA induction. **a, b** Pre-treatment with Fenofibrate (FEN) has blocked the hepatic induction of SAA1/2 and SAA3 mRNA expression by α1- and α2-AR agonists, but not that by $\beta_{1/2}$-AR agonists. **c, d** The increase of serum IL-1β levels induced by all three types of AR agonists was blocked by FEN treatment (e). In contrast, the FEN-driven blockade of the AR-triggered SAA3 induction was accompanied by increased TNFα serum levels in mice under Ph treatment, and reduced TNFα serum levels in those under Isop treatment (d). **e, f** Western blot analysis showed that FEN increased the hepatic pAkt and pErk1/2 levels in mice following Ph treatment, while no effect was found in total Akt and Erk levels. All numerical data are shown as mean ± SEM; *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$
the Isop-driven increase in SAA1/2 and SAA3 production. These findings profoundly exclude a PPARα involvement in the β1,2-AR-induced SAA1/2 and SAA3 synthesis (Fig. 4a, b). Furthermore, it was found that the combined treatment of FEN and Ph, markedly increased the phosphorylated levels of Erk1/2 and Akt (Fig. 4c, f), suggesting an involvement of these negative regulators in the FEN-mediated blockade of the Ph-induced SAA1/2 mRNA expression (Fig. 4a).

**Discussion**

There is an increasing body of evidence supporting that the dynamic equilibrium between immune system and CNS is regulated by autonomic pathways with catecholamines holding key roles (Flierl et al. 2009; Sternberg 2006; Tracey 2002). Accordingly, activation of SNS by stress or different drugs could target immune cells (e.g., macrophages) that express all types of ARs. The present findings are in line with those of previous studies indicating the up-regulating effect of stress on SAA (Bryleva et al. 2017; Collier et al. 2011; Turlo et al. 2015). They further support the involvement of different ARs in the stress-driven synthesis of both SAA1/2 and SAA3 in the liver, while total serum SAA protein load was also increased, indicating an AR-mediated systemic increase of these acute phase proteins. Previous studies indicated that catecholamines released by stress regulate the inflammatory potential of the immune cells by stimulating ARs and thus, triggering the secretion of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα (Flierl et al. 2007, 2008, 2009). Therefore, the afore-mentioned increase in SAA synthesis in the liver could be attributed to the AR-stimulated cytokine release from the immune cells, such as macrophages (Ghezzi and Sipe 1988; O’Brien et al. 2008)—see also Fig. 5. This notion is further supported by the fact that direct stimulation of hepatocyte AR signaling pathways, using primary hepatocyte cultures treated with AR agonists, did not affect SAA1/2 and SAA3 expression (data not shown). Nonetheless, the contribution of hepatic AR-linked pathways should not be excluded as the molecular chaperons, ApoE and α1-antichymotrypsin, participating in the formation of amyloid proteins, are missing from primary hepatocyte cultures (Ma et al. 1994).

Furthermore, aiming to dissect the potentially differential role of various ARs in the regulation of synthesis and secretion of SAA1/2 and SAA3 proteins, this study suggests that, despite the fact that the stress-driven SAA1/2 production requires all three types of ARs monitored in this study (α1-, α2-, β1,2-ARs), only the α2- and β1,2-AR driven pathways appear to regulate the stress-evoked SAA3 synthesis (see Fig. 2e, f). Furthermore, pharmacological activation of distinct ARs also mimic, at least in part, the stress-triggered hepatic production of SAA1/2 and SAA3 supporting their potential contribution to SAA regulation. In this set of experiments investigating the impact of distinct AR type activation on SAA production, α1- and β1,2-AR exhibited a stronger effect than that of α2-AR. It is noteworthy that during stress, adrenaline and noradrenaline are released and stimulate all types of ARs. It is known that α2-AR stimulation (by an agonist) results in inhibition of noradrenaline release and therefore, to reduced stimulation of post-synaptic α1- and β1,2-ARs (Cooper and Bloom 1996; Furchgott 1972; Langer 2015; Lohse 2015; Fabbri and Moon 2016). On the contrary, α1- and β1,2-AR agonists directly stimulate the post synaptic ARs. Furthermore, the α2-ARs expressed on hepatic cell membranes are linked to Gi proteins and inhibit the cAMP/PKA/CREB signaling pathway, whereas the α1- and β1,2-ARs are linked to Gs proteins and stimulate this pathway, thus differently affecting SAA synthesis (Barneda-Zahonero et al. 2009).

The present findings suggest a potential strong role for the AR-triggered inflammatory response in SAA regulation. Specifically, the AR-mediated SAA1/2 upregulation was prevented by sodium salicylate (a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug), that could be attributed to the suppression of various cytokines (e.g., IL-1β); this effect was highly correlated to hepatic SAA1/2 expression. The critical role of IL-1β in the AR-agonist-induced SAA1/2 upregulation was also demonstrated using Anakinra (IL-1β inhibitor), which blocked the upregulation of SAA1/2 triggered by all three types of ARs. In contrast to IL6, the α1-AR-driven increase of IL-1β (Ph treatment) was prevented by sodium salicylate, a fact that further supports the direct involvement of IL-1β, but not that of IL-6, in the α1-AR-driven SAA1/2 synthesis (see Fig. 5).

Fibrates, such as fenofibrate, are hypolipidemic drugs, widely used in lowering plasma triglyceride and cholesterol levels in patients with hyperlipidemias (Gervois et al. 2004; Yamazaki et al. 2002). Often, these patients suffer from cardiovascular disorders and are treated with drugs targeting AR. Fenofibrate also displays anti-inflammatory properties acting as a negative regulator of genes involved in the inflammatory response. Previous studies reported that FEN lowered the synthesis of acute phase proteins via activation of the nuclear receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) (Delerive et al. 1999; Gervois et al. 2004; Staels et al. 1998). In this study, fenofibrate (FEN) blocked the α1- and α2-AR-induced upregulating effect on SAA1/2 and SAA3 synthesis, but not that mediated by β1,2-AR. Moreover, when combined to α1/α2-AR agonist, FEN blocked the hepatic SAA1/2 and SAA3 upregulation, a fact that has been mainly correlated with the suppression of serum IL-1β and IL-6 concentrations. It should be noted that, despite the suppression of serum IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα levels, FEN did not prevent the Isop-induced SAA upregulation, indicating that potentially, there is no crosstalk between the β-AR-induced SAA upregulation and PPARα, cytokines, or
other FEN-related pathways. Interestingly, the FEN-induced blockade of the α1-AR stimulated SAA expression in the liver, was accompanied with the activation of Erk1/2 and Akt-related signaling pathways, which display a negative control on SAA regulation (Fasshauer et al. 2004; Nguyen and Gao 1999). The sodium salicylate repressive impact on β1/2-AR-driven SAA1/2 synthesis, could be also explained on the basis of the negative control of PI3k/Akt signaling pathway on SAA synthesis (Fasshauer et al. 2004; Lu et al. 2013; Nguyen and Gao 1999) as the combined treatment of sodium salicylate and the β1/2-AR agonist, Isop, markedly increased Akt phosphorylation compared to that of Isop alone. The observed reduced activation of Erk1/2 signaling in the liver of mice under this combined treatment, appears not to effectively eliminate the down-regulating effect on SAA1/2 triggered by the activation of Akt.

Interestingly, the mechanisms regulating SAA3 seem to be different from those regulating the production and secretion of SAA1/2 (see also Fig. 5). In contrast to SAA1/2, administration of sodium salicylate simultaneously with AR agonists, mainly with Ph (α1-AR agonist), led to a robust induction of hepatic SAA3 expression. This effect is profoundly triggered by TNFα that was highly elevated in serum, potentially via the sodium salicylate-induced inhibition of COX2 (Taupin et al. 1993). Notably, inhibition of TNFα by Infliximab blocked the upregulating effect of the combined treatment using AR agonist and sodium salicylate on SAA3, underscoring the involvement of TNFα in this regulatory pathway. This effect is of a paramount significance as SAA3 is not only a well-known acute phase reactant, but also a molecular link between insulin resistance, obesity, and cardiovascular disease (Fasshauer et al. 2004). Future studies should clarify the presence (or not) of a cross-talk or counterbalance between SAA1/2 and SAA3 production.

The present study investigated the effect of stress, a major activator of SNS, on the regulation of SAA in the liver focusing on adrenoceptor (AR)-linked pathways, major components of the sympathoadrenal response to stress and targets...
of drugs used for the treatment of hypertension, asthma, angina pectoris, heart failure, arrhythmias, prostatic hypertrophy, glaucoma, and depression (Lalchandani et al. 2004; Minneman and Ebenshade 1994; Virtanen 1989). Thus, the findings of this study are of particular interest as indicate that individuals repeatedly exposed to stress or treated with drugs with sympathomimetic properties or directly targeting AR (mainly those of α1- and β1,2-AR subtype), could exhibit increased SAA synthesis in the liver, mediated by pro-inflammatory cytokines (see Fig. 5). The stress and AR-induced SAA1/2 upregulation can be prevented, in most cases, by anti-inflammatory drugs. However, it should be noted that the combined treatment using AR agonists and the anti-inflammatory drug, sodium salicylate, could dramatically increase TNFα release. In the long run, the increased production and secretion of SAA could be connected with important pathogenic precipitants of different disease states related to stressful conditions, such as cardiovascular disorders.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Dr. Kristopher Krautz for his valuable technical assistance and support and Dr. Konstantinos Ritis, Professor of Immunology, for his constructive comments on these studies.

Author contributions MK designed the experiments; MK, TM, FM, AK, and CA conducted experiments; MK, IS, and FJG performed data quantification and analysis; MK, and IS wrote the manuscript, while FJG was involved in the manuscript revision.

Funding This research was supported by the European Union (European Regional Development Fund-ERDF) and the Greek national funds through the Operational Program “THESSALY-MAINLAND GRECE AND EPIRUS-2007-2013” of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF 2007–2013, Grant 346985/80753) and the National Cancer Institute Intramural Research Program.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

interleukin-8, and granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor expression in human monocytes by an endogenous anxiogenic benzodiazepine ligand, triakontatetraneuropeptide—evidence for a role of prostaglandins. Mol Pharmacol 43:64–69