

The Moore-Penrose inverse of a factorization*

Pedro Patrício[†]
Centro de Matemática
Universidade do Minho
Campus de Gualtar
4710-057 Braga
Portugal

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the product of matrices PAQ , where A is von Neumann regular and there exist P' and Q' such that $P'PA = A = AQQ'$. We give necessary and sufficient conditions in order to PAQ be Moore-Penrose invertible, extending known characterizations. Finally, an application is given to matrices over separative regular rings.

1 Introduction

Let R be an arbitrary ring with unity 1, $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ be the set of $m \times n$ matrices and $\mathcal{M}_m(R)$ the ring of $m \times m$ matrices over R . Let $*$ be an involution, see [8], on the matrices over R . Given an $m \times n$ matrix A over R , A is (*von Neumann*) *regular* if there exists an $n \times m$ matrix A^- such that

$$AA^-A = A.$$

The set of von Neumann inverses of A will be denoted by $A\{1\}$. That is,

$$A\{1\} = \{X \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(R) : AXA = A\}.$$

*Research partially supported by Departamento de Matemática da Universidade do Minho, Portugal.

[†]E-mail: pedro@math.uminho.pt

A is said to be *Moore-Penrose invertible* with respect to $*$ if there exists a (unique) $n \times m$ matrix A^\dagger such that:

$$\begin{aligned} AA^\dagger A &= A, \\ A^\dagger AA^\dagger &= A^\dagger, \\ (AA^\dagger)^* &= AA^\dagger, \\ (A^\dagger A)^* &= A^\dagger A. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $m = n$, then the *group inverse* of A exists if there is a (unique) $A^\#$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} AA^\# A &= A, \\ A^\# AA^\# &= A^\#, \\ AA^\# &= A^\# A. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we give an alternative proof of the main result from [6], as well as a more general formula for the computation of the Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix, extending results from [9], [6] and [3]. As an application we derive the Moore-Penrose inverse of matrices over separative regular rings, using recent results that appear in [1].

2 Results

The following lemma was proved in [7] and will provide a simpler and shorter proof of [6, Theorem 1] in the next theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ be a regular matrix and $B \in \mathcal{M}_m(R)$ such that $AX = B$ is a consistent matrix equation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\Gamma = BAA^- + I_m - AA^-$ is an invertible matrix for one and hence all choices of $A^- \in A\{1\}$.
2. $\Omega = A^-BA + I_n - A^-A$ is an invertible matrix for one and hence all choices of $A^- \in A\{1\}$.

Moreover,

$$\Omega^{-1} = A^-AA^-\Gamma^{-1}A + I_n - A^-A$$

and also

$$\Gamma^{-1} = A\Omega^{-1}A^-AA^- + I_m - AA^-.$$

Theorem 2. *Let T be an $m \times n$ matrix over R . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. T is von Neumann regular and $TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-$ is invertible.
2. T is von Neumann regular and $T^-TT^*T + I_n - T^-T$ is invertible.
3. The Moore-Penrose inverse T^\dagger exists w.r.t. $*$.

In that case, besides the expressions for T^\dagger in [6],

$$\begin{aligned} T^\dagger &= T^* (TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-)^{*^{-1}} \\ &= (T^-TT^*T + I_n - T^-T)^{*^{-1}} T^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from Lemma 1, taking $B = TT^*$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let T^\dagger and T^- , respectively, be the Moore-Penrose inverse and a von Neumann inverse of T . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\dagger*}T^\dagger (TT^*TT^-) &= T^{\dagger*}T^*T^{\dagger*}T^*TT^- \\ &= T^{\dagger*}T^*TT^- \\ &= TT^\dagger TT^- \\ &= TT^- \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (TT^*TT^-) TT^\dagger T^{\dagger*}T^- &= TT^*TT^\dagger T^{\dagger*}T^- \\ &= TT^*T^{\dagger*}T^*T^{\dagger*}T^- \\ &= TT^*T^{\dagger*}T^- \\ &= TT^\dagger TT^- \\ &= TT^-. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_m &= \left(T^{\dagger*}T^\dagger TT^- + I_m - TT^- \right) (TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-) \\ &= (TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-) \left(TT^\dagger T^{\dagger*}T^- + I_m - TT^- \right) \end{aligned}$$

and $TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-$ is invertible.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let $U = TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-$ and $V = T^-TT^*T + I_n - T^-T$. Assume U is invertible, and consequently V invertible. As

$$UT = TT^*T = TV$$

then

$$TT^*(TV^{-1}) = T = (U^{-1}T)T^*T,$$

and therefore T is Moore-Penrose invertible (see [8, Lemma 3]) with

$$\begin{aligned} T^\dagger &= (TV^{-1})^* T (U^{-1}T)^* \\ &= (U^{-1}T)^* T (U^{-1}T)^* \\ &= (U^{-1}TT^*U^{-1}T)^* \\ &= (U^{-1}TT^*U^{-1}TT^{-1})^* \\ &= (U^{-1}TT^*TT^{-1}U^{-1}T)^* \\ &= (TT^{-1}U^{-1}T)^* \\ &= (U^{-1}T)^*. \end{aligned}$$

since $UT = TV$, U commutes with TT^{-1} and $U^{-1}TT^*T = T$. As $U^{-1}T = TV^{-1}$,

$$T^\dagger = (TV^{-1})^*.$$

□

Remark. Assume $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ is **-regular*, that is, every matrix A over R is regular (or equivalently, R is a regular ring) and

$$A^*A = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0$$

holds. This implication is equivalent to A is **-cancellable*, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} A^*AB &= A^*AC \Rightarrow AB = AC, \\ B'AA^* &= C'AA^* \Rightarrow B'A = C'A, \end{aligned}$$

where B, B', C, C' have appropriate sizes. In this case, and by a result of R. Puystjens and D.W. Robinson (see [8, Lemma 3]), *all* matrices over R are Moore-Penrose invertible. So, for any T belonging to a **-regular* $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ and for every choice of $T^- \in T\{1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= TT^*TT^- + I_m - TT^-, \\ V &= T^-TT^*T + I_n - T^-T \end{aligned}$$

are invertible matrices.

Theorem 3. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$ with von Neumann inverse A^- . Let $P \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times m}(R)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times q}(R)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\tilde{U} = AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^- + I_m - AA^-$ is invertible.
2. $\tilde{V} = A^-AQQ^*A^*P^*PA + I_n - A^-A$ is invertible.
3. $(PAQ)^\dagger$ exists w.r.t. $*$ and there exist P', Q' such that $P'PA = A = AQQ'$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (PAQ)^\dagger &= \left(P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ \right)^* \\ &= \left(PA\tilde{V}^{-1}Q \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

If \tilde{U} is invertible then $AQQ^*A^*P^*AA^-$ is invertible in the ring $AA^-M_mAA^-$. That is, there exists $X \in AA^-M_mAA^-$ for which

$$AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-X = AA^- = XAQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-.$$

Then

$$A^-AQQ^*A^*P^*PA(A^-XA) = A^-A = A^-XAQQ^*A^*P^*PA$$

which implies $A^-XA \in A^-AM_nA^-A$ is an inverse of $A^-AQQ^*A^*P^*PA$ in $A^-AM_nA^-A$. Therefore, $A^-AQQ^*A^*P^*PA + I_n + A^-A$ is an invertible matrix.

(3) \Rightarrow (1).

In the first place, we remark that

$$PAQ(PAQ)^* + I - PAQ(PAQ)^\dagger = PAQ(PAQ)^*PAQ(PAQ)^\dagger + I - PAQ(PAQ)^\dagger$$

has inverse

$$\left((PAQ)^* \right)^\dagger (PAQ)^\dagger + I - PAQ(PAQ)^\dagger.$$

As $(PAQ)^\dagger$ is in particular a von Neumann inverse of PAQ , then

$$PAQ(PAQ)^*PAQ(PAQ)^- + I - PAQ(PAQ)^-$$

is invertible for any choice of $(PAQ)^- \in PAQ\{1\}$.

It is clear that $Q'A^-P'$ is a von Neumann inverse of PAQ . As $(PAQ)^\dagger$ exists, then

$$PAQ(PAQ)^*PAQ(Q'A^-P') + I_p - PAQ(Q'A^-P')$$

is invertible, i.e.,

$$K = PAQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-P' + I_p - PAA^-P'$$

is invertible. Setting $E = PAA^-P'$, and since $E^2 = E$ and K is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} W &= PAQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-P' \\ &= EKE \end{aligned}$$

is invertible in the ring $EM_p(R)E$. So, there exists a $X \in EM_p(R)E$ such that

$$E = WX, \tag{1}$$

$$E = XW. \tag{2}$$

By (1), and as $EX = X$,

$$\begin{aligned} PAA^-P' &= E \\ &= WX \\ &= WEX \\ &= (WPAA^-)P'X \\ &= (PAQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-)P'X. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying on the left by P' and on the right by PAA^- , we have

$$(AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-)P'XPAA^- = AA^-$$

and therefore

$$[(AA^-)AQQ^*A^*P^*P(AA^-)][(AA^-)P'XP(AA^-)] = AA^- \tag{3}$$

By (2), and as $XE = X$,

$$\begin{aligned} PAA^-P' &= E \\ &= XW \\ &= XEW \\ &= XPAA^-P'W \\ &= XP(AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-P'). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying on the left by AA^-P' and on the right by PAA^- ,

$$[(AA^-)P'XP(AA^-)][(AA^-)AQQ^*A^*P^*P(AA^-)] = AA^-. \tag{4}$$

Combining (3) and (4), it follows that $AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-$ is invertible in the ring $AA^- \mathcal{M}_m(R) AA^-$ and therefore $AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^- + I_m - AA^-$ is an invertible matrix.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) If $\tilde{U} = AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^- + I_m - AA^-$ is invertible, then as $AA^- \tilde{U} = AQQ^*A^*P^*PAA^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= AA^-A \\ &= AA^- \tilde{U} \tilde{U}^{-1} A \\ &= AQ \left(Q^* A^* P^* PAA^- \tilde{U}^{-1} A \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we take $Q' = Q^* A^* P^* PAA^- \tilde{U}^{-1} A$. Moreover, since $\tilde{U}A = AQQ^*A^*P^*PA$ and \tilde{U} is invertible,

$$A = \left(\tilde{U}^{-1} AQQ^*A^*P^* \right) PA$$

and we can take $P' = \tilde{U}^{-1} AQQ^*A^*P^*$. To show that $(PAQ)^\dagger$ exists it is sufficient to show that

$$PAQ(PAQ)^*PAQ(PAQ)^- + I_p - PAQ(PAQ)^-$$

is invertible for one choice of $(PAQ)^-$, in this case for $(PAQ)^- = Q'A^-P'$. As \tilde{U} is invertible in the ring $\mathcal{M}_m(R)$ then $AA^- \tilde{U} AA^-$ is invertible in the ring $AA^- \mathcal{M}_m(R) AA^-$. So, there exists a X in $AA^- \mathcal{M}_m(R) AA^-$ such that

$$X(AA^-) \tilde{U}(AA^-) = (AA^-) \tilde{U}(AA^-) X = AA^-.$$

So,

$$\left[(AA^-) X (AA^-) \right] \left[(AA^-) AQQ^*A^*P^*P (AA^-) \right] = AA^-,$$

and since $AA^- = (AA^-)^2 = (AA^-) P'P (AA^-) = P'PAA^-$ and $A = P'PA$, it follows that

$$\left[(AA^- P') PAA^- X P' (PAA^- P') \right] \left[(PAA^- P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^-) \right] = AA^-.$$

Multiplying on the left by P and on the right by P' ,

$$\left[(PAA^- P') PAA^- X P' (PAA^- P') \right] \left[(PAA^- P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^- P') \right] = PAA^- P'.$$

Analogously, as

$$\left[(AA^-) AQQ^*A^*P^*P (AA^-) \right] \left[(AA^-) X (AA^-) \right] = AA^-$$

then

$$\left[(AA^- P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^- P') \right] \left[(PAA^- P') PAA^- X P' (PAA^-) \right] = AA^-,$$

and multiplying on the left by P and on the right by P' ,

$$[(PAA^-P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^-P')] [(PAA^-P') PAA^-XP' (PAA^-P')] = PAA^-P'.$$

Therefore,

$$(PAA^-P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^-P')$$

is invertible in the ring $(PAA^-P') \mathcal{M}_p(R) (PAA^-P')$ and consequently

$$(PAA^-P') PAQQ^*A^*P^* (PAA^-P') + I_p - PAA^-P'$$

is an invertible matrix. That is,

$$PAQ (PAQ)^* PAQ (Q'A^-P') + I_p - PAQ (Q'A^-P')$$

is an invertible matrix.

Let $U = PAQ (PAQ)^* PAQ (Q'A^-P') + I_p - PAQ (Q'A^-P')$. As $UPAA^- = PAA^- \tilde{U}$ and the invertibility of \tilde{U} implies the invertibility of U , then

$$U^{-1}PAA^- = PAA^- \tilde{U}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, and since AA^- commutes with \tilde{U} , then $AA^- \tilde{U}^{-1} = \tilde{U}^{-1}AA^-$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} (PAQ)^\dagger &= (U^{-1}PAA^-AQ)^* \\ &= (PAA^- \tilde{U}^{-1}AQ)^* \\ &= (P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ)^*. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\tilde{U}A = A\tilde{V}$ and thus $A\tilde{V}^{-1} = \tilde{U}^{-1}A$. So,

$$(PAQ)^\dagger = (PA\tilde{V}^{-1}Q)^*. \quad \square$$

Remark. Using the same notation of the previous proof, it is known (see [6]) that if U (and therefore V) is invertible then PAQ is Moore-Penrose invertible with

$$(PAQ)^\dagger = (PAQ)^* (UU^*)^{-1} (PAQ (PAQ)^*).$$

As $UPAA^- = PAA^- \tilde{U}$ and the invertibility of \tilde{U} implies the invertibility of U , then

$$U^{-1}PAA^- = PAA^- \tilde{U}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, and since AA^- commutes with \tilde{U} , then $AA^-\tilde{U}^{-1} = \tilde{U}^{-1}AA^-$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
(PAQ)^\dagger &= Q^*A^*P^*U^{*-1}U^{-1}PAQ(PAQ)^* \\
&= Q^*A^*\tilde{U}^{*-1}(A^-)^*A^*P^*PAA^-\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ(PAQ)^* \\
&= Q^*A^*\tilde{U}^{*-1}P^*P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ(PAQ)^* \\
&= (AQ)^*(P\tilde{U}^{-1})^*P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ(PAQ)^* \\
&= (P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ)^*P\tilde{U}^{-1}AQ(PAQ)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\tilde{U}A = A\tilde{V}$ and thus $A\tilde{V}^{-1} = \tilde{U}^{-1}A$. So,

$$(PAQ)^\dagger = (PA\tilde{V}^{-1}Q)^*PA\tilde{V}^{-1}Q(PAQ)^*.$$

Theorem 4. *If PAQ is a matrix product for which there exist matrices P' and Q' such that $P'PA = A = AQQ'$, then the Moore-Penrose inverse of PAQ exists if and only if $(PA)^{1,3}$ and $(AQ)^{1,4}$ exist, in which case*

$$(PAQ)^\dagger = (AQ)^{1,4}A(PA)^{1,3}.$$

Proof.

Assume, in the first place, $(PA)^{1,3}$ and $(AQ)^{1,4}$ exist. Then

$$AQ = AQ(AQ)^{1,4}AQ = AQ(AQ)^* \left((AQ)^{1,4} \right)^*,$$

and hence

$$PAQ = PAQ(PAQ)^*(P')^* \left((AQ)^{1,4} \right)^*.$$

Analogously,

$$PA = PA(PA)^{1,3}PA = \left((PA)^{1,3} \right)^* (PA)^* PA$$

and hence

$$PAQ = \left((PA)^{1,3} \right)^* (Q')^* (PAQ)^* PAQ.$$

We therefore have,

$$(PAQ)^\dagger = (AQ)^{1,4}P'PAQQ'(PA)^{1,3} = (AQ)^{1,4}A(PA)^{1,3}.$$

Conversely, assume $(PAQ)^\dagger$ exists. By one hand,

$$PAQ = PAQ(PAQ)^* \left((PAQ)^\dagger \right)^*$$

which implies $AQ = AQ(AQ)^*X$ is a consistent matrix equation on X . We will show that $X^* \in AQ\{1, 4\}$. Indeed it is a von Neumann inverse of AQ as

$$AQ = AQX^*AQ(AQ)^*X = AQX^*AQ,$$

and the idempotent X^*AQ is symmetric since

$$\begin{aligned} X^*AQ &= X^*AQX^*AQ \\ &= X^*AQX^*AQ(AQ)^*X \\ &= X^*AQ(AQ)^*X. \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments show that $(PA)^{1,3}$ exists if $(PAQ)^\dagger$ exists. \square

3 Matrices over separative regular rings

Throughout this section, R is a *separative* regular ring, i.e., for any finitely generated projective R -modules A and B , the following cancellation property holds:

$$A \oplus A \cong A \oplus B \cong B \oplus B \Rightarrow A \cong B.$$

A recent result states that every *square* matrix over a separative regular ring admits a diagonal reduction, i.e., is equivalent to a diagonal matrix (see [1, Theorem 2.5]). This means that for square matrices over separative regular rings the Moore-Penrose inverse can be characterized by [6, Theorem 2].

For nonsquare matrices over separative regular rings the characterization of the Moore-Penrose inverse can now be done in the following way:

Let $A_{m \times n} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(R)$, with $m < n$. Then we can complete it to a square matrix by adding zeros, and it follows from [1] that there exist invertible matrices P, Q and a diagonal matrix D such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{m \times n} \\ 0_{(n-m) \times n} \end{bmatrix} = PDQ. \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$A_{m \times n} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} I_m & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \end{bmatrix} P \right) DQ. \quad (6)$$

We are now in the conditions of Theorem 3 since $P' = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} \end{bmatrix}$ is a matrix such that

$$P' \left(\begin{bmatrix} I_m & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \end{bmatrix} P \right) D = D.$$

We therefore can apply Theorem 3 to the factorization (6). That is, $A_{m \times n}^\dagger$ exists if and only if

$$DQ \begin{bmatrix} A_{n \times m}^* & 0_{n \times (n-m)} \end{bmatrix} PDD^- + I_n - DD^-$$

is invertible for one and hence all choices of von Neumann inverses D^- of D .

For the case $n < m$, the outline of the application is analogous.

Acknowledgment

I want to thank Professor R. Puystjens for comments and for suggesting the application to matrices over separative regular rings.

References

- [1] P. Ara, K.R. Goodearl, K.C. O'Meara and E. Pardo. Diagonalization of matrices over regular rings. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 265:147-163, 1997.
- [2] S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer, Jr. *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Dover, 1979.
- [3] M.C. Gouveia and R. Puystjens. About the group inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse of a product. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 150:361-369, 1991.
- [4] R.E. Hartwig, More on the Souriau-Frame algorithm and the Drazin inverse. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 31(1):42-46, 1976.
- [5] D. Huylebrouck, R. Puystjens and J. van Geel. The Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix over a semi-simple artinian ring. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 16:239-246, 1984
- [6] P. Patrício. The Moore-Penrose inverse of von Neumann regular matrices over a ring. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, to appear.
- [7] P. Patrício and R. Puystjens. Generalized invertibility in two semi-groups of a ring. *Submitted*.

- [8] R. Puystjens and D.W. Robinson. The Moore-Penrose inverse of a morphism with factorization. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 40:129-141, 1981.
- [9] R. Puystjens and D.W. Robinson. Symmetric morphisms and the existence of Moore-Penrose inverses. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 131:51-69, 1990.
- [10] R. Puystjens and R.E. Hartwig. The group inverse of a companion matrix. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 43:137-150, 1997.