



What does 'student-centered' mean and how can it be implemented? A systematic perspective

Journal:	<i>Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education</i>
Manuscript ID:	BAMBED-13-0060
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Student Centered Education
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Apr-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Costa, Manuel Joao; Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, School of Health Sciences, University of Minho
Keywords:	active learning, assessment of educational activities, teaching and learning techniques methods and approaches, curriculum design development and implementation, general education for science majors, learning and curriculum design

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

view

1
2
3 What does 'student-centered' mean and how can it be implemented? A systematic perspective
4
5

6 Manuel João Costa
7
8
9

10 Student-centered education is in the air. It is present in many reform agendas for higher
11 education across every discipline and is shortlisted as an important goal for teaching and
12 learning in many reports and recommendations of professional agencies and scientific
13 societies (for example [1,2]). There is wide consensus that moving from teacher centered to
14 learner-centered courses, classroom designs and assessment programs is the best way forward
15 for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education. The move is particularly essential in
16 Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (BMB) introductory courses, if one considers for example
17 that in the USA "Lecture format is emphasized in at least 80% of classes at all levels." [3].
18 Defining what characterizes a student-centered BMB course is therefore necessary and urgent.
19 In other words, which criteria must be satisfied and what is the corresponding evidence that is
20 required to attest that a BMB course is student-centered? Unfortunately, there are no
21 categorical answers to this question.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 Recognizing that a certain class or course is absolutely teacher-centered or traditional is
31 relatively straightforward. All BMB faculty have lived through this experience and it is clearly
32 described in papers, books, blogs and illustrated by internet videos. It means sitting and
33 listening through a lot of "why is this relevant or interesting to me?" sort of lectures and
34 attending cookbook labs. Classifying any given course as student centered is less
35 straightforward. For example, if approximately 25% of class time of a BMB course uses some
36 faculty/student interactivity to tackle a relevant real world issue, is that course automatically
37 student centered? It is fair enough to acknowledge that the course is not purely traditional any
38 longer, but is adding short time intervals of active learning exercises all that there is to it? If
39 not, what is missing, what further changes are required and what elements are necessary to
40 support that a course is student centered?
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The concept of student centeredness needs to be operationalized so that faculty can better
50 use it. Student centeredness is easier to understand than to define. It is ultimately the
51 consequence of certain ways of teaching – for example, teaching that "focuses" on student
52 learning, or that puts "students at the center" of the educational process, or that makes
53 students "active and responsible learners". The definition of such ways of teaching - Learner-
54 centered, learning-centered teaching or student-centered learning - are imprecise. From a
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 more pragmatic point of view, finding an operational definition looks just as problematic.
4
5 Indeed student centeredness is multidimensional, as it relates to course design and
6
7 implementation, selection of relevant curriculum suited to the likely class needs, organization
8
9 of course materials and delivery of classes complying to current knowledge about adult
10
11 learning, assessments that steer learning and achieving a sustainable motivation and
12
13 engagement of students. The two resources discussed below are very useful for those who
14
15 wish to reflect, analyze and research the level of student centeredness in BAMBED.

16
17 The Book "Learner-Centered Teaching: Five Key Changes to Practice" [4] is a large step forward
18
19 in operationalizing a definition for student centeredness. The five key characteristics are (a)
20
21 the balance of power: faculty do not make all the choices about the course and may involve
22
23 students in deciding which examples might be used in class or which criteria will be applied to
24
25 measure a certain proficiency; (b) the function of content, which expands to include the
26
27 development of student metacognition, such as self-awareness; (c) the role of the teacher,
28
29 who becomes less a transmitter of knowledge and more a facilitator of learning; (d) the
30
31 responsibility for learning, which is more handed to the students, who can no longer just come
32
33 to class and listen but must be prepared to bring questions, contribute to class activities and
34
35 manage group work; (e) the purpose and processes of evaluation, which shifts from marking
36
37 students a couple of times per semester to providing constructive feedback and to assisting
38
39 with overcoming individual difficulties. These 5 principles are very useful. Coming back to the
40
41 above example on the introduction of active learning exercises, if it is implemented properly, it
42
43 could address terms b) , c) and d) but says nothing about a) and e). However, active exercises
44
45 may be targeted at high or low level of cognition, may focus on students conceptual difficulties
46
47 or not and may be connected to students interests or not. Having a more systematic
48
49 description of the components of each of the five principles would be very handy.

50
51 A paper by Blumberg and Pontiggia [5] is a relevant addition to this story. Under a
52
53 benchmarking perspective, the paper lists objective elements that might be collected to attest
54
55 learner –centeredness of a course, educational program or institution. The elements compose
56
57 a useful and comprehensive checklist. The authors offer 29 components under Weimer's 5
58
59 principles and I paraphrase below one principle for each dimension. For example, one
60
61 condition to balance power is focusing less on class attendance and more on providing flexible
62
63 opportunities for learning. Under the function of content is also " to help students evaluate
64
65 why they need to learn content, acquire discipline-specific learning methodologies, practice
66
67 using inquiry or ways of thinking in the discipline, and learn to solve real world problems". As
68
69 to the role of the instructor it also includes ensuring that learning objectives that are
70

1
2
3 measurable and attainable by students are articulated and aligned with classes and
4 assessments. The course should make students responsible for developing skills related to
5 reading and developing research. Assessment tools should include peer and self assessment
6 and should enhance students ability to learn from mistakes.
7
8

9
10 A clarification of what it means to teach in student centered ways can aid BMB faculty in
11 developing a more precise and comprehensive self-awareness regarding their teaching and in
12 identifying opportunities for further improvement. A more systematized description of the
13 concept is also important for those who wish to define and prioritize changes. The two
14 suggested resources are interesting learning tools for BMB faculty. Students will certainly
15 appreciate it.
16
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 References

24
25 [1] J. D. Bransford, A. L. Brown, R. R. Cocking, Eds. (2000) How People Learn: Brain, Mind,
26 Experience and School, National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
27

28
29 [2] AAAS (2009) Vision and Change A Call to Action, AAAS, Washington, DC. Available
30 at: http://visionandchange.org/files/2010/03/VC_report.pdf. Accessed April 2013.
31

32
33 [3] Teagle Working Group for ASBMB (2008) Biochemistry/Molecular Biology and Liberal
34 Education: A Report to the Teagle Foundation. Available at:
35 http://www.teaglefoundation.org/teagle/media/library/documents/learning/2008_asbmb_wहितेpaper.pdf?ext=.pdf. Accessed April 2013.
36
37
38

39
40 [4] M. Weimer (2002) Learner-Centered Teaching: Five Key Changes to Practice, The Jossey-
41 Bass Higher and Adult Education Series, San Francisco.
42

43
44 [5] P. Blumberg, L. Pontiggia (2011). Benchmarking the Degree of Implementation of Learner-
45 Centered Approaches. Innovative Higher Education 36, 189–202.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60