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#### Abstract

This paper proposes that high school graduates applying to higher education institutions do not have equal chances of succeeding. Therefore, admission outcomes must be taken into account by researchers and policy makers analysing college-going behaviour and the equity and efficiency of higher education systems.
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## 1. Introduction

Much recent research has been devoted to the evaluation of the factors that influence the decision of high-school graduates to pursue further education. One line of research in this field uses time-series data to examine the determinants of the demand of groups of students for higher education. Examples include Campbell and Siegel (1967), Pissarides (1982) and Wetzel et al. (1998). Another line of research uses cross-sectional data to estimate models explaining the college-going decision of individual students. Examples include Christensen et al. (1975), Fuller et al. (1982), Ehrenberg and Sherman (1984), Kodde and Ritzen (1988), López-Valcárcel and Quintana (1998), DesJardins et al. (1999) and Toutkoushian (2001). This research contributes greatly to the understanding of college-going behaviour, and can help in the formulation of educational policy in at least two ways. On the one hand, these studies help to explain and hence to predict the demand for higher education. On the other hand, they can be used to evaluate the extent into which the policy goal of equal access to higher education has been achieved.

However, most of these studies use enrolments as the measure of student demand, assuming, implicitly or explicitly, that there are no institutional constraints to university entrance meaning that anyone possessing a high-school diploma has access to at least one institution of higher education. If this assumption is incorrect, estimated regression coefficients from demand equations specified as single-equation functions are biased and inconsistent. While the assumption of unconstrained access to institutions of higher education may not be too restrictive in systems with relatively open enrolment policies, it might seriously affect the analysis of student demand for higher education in countries with numerus clausus admission controls systems. In such cases, enrolment figures reflect both student demand and the number of places made available at national levels,
making it difficult to identify whether variations in enrolments are due to variations in student demand or in institutional supply. Moreover, students admitted and enrolled in higher education institutions potentially have characteristics that systematically differ from applicants who are denied admission, specially in countries where educational capacity falls substantially below demand. ${ }^{1}$ Failure to take into account the admission process, therefore, limits the inferences that can be drawn from existing studies concerning the equity of the higher education system in those countries. It is the purpose of this study to show that students from applicant pools do not have equal chances of being admitted in higher education institutions holding constant important characteristics such as academic achievement, and an attempt is made to identify the determinants of success in university entrance.

## 2. The education system in Portugal

Education in Portugal is predominantly funded by the state, but fee-charging private institutions are also available for all educational levels. ${ }^{2}$ Currently, compulsory education takes nine years, and students aged 15 or older who successfully complete compulsory education have open access to secondary education. Education at this level runs for three years, and can take the form of general education, technological courses, vocational studies, or art courses. ${ }^{3}$ General education is organized into four branches of study: scientific and natural, arts, economic and social, and humanities. National final examinations are taken at the end of the three years and successful students, as

[^1]measured by school and exam results, receive a diploma and can apply to higher education institutions. Higher education is provided in universities, polytechnics, and higher education establishments at university or polytechnic level. Institutions set numerus clausus for all courses, specify a minimum entrance requirement for their various courses, and applicants may make up to six choices of course and institution in rank order. Selection is based on a weighted average of the mark in the student's secondary school certificate and the exam results in subjects specified by the institution. The institution determines the weight given to each of these components, and also sets minimum marks for admission.

## 3. Data and empirical results

The data used in the paper were collected through a national survey administered by NIMA $^{4}$ in collaboration with the Directorate General for Higher Education, the Portuguese Ministry of Education's central service responsible for organizing the procedure for students' application to higher education. A total of 12473 questionnaires were sent out during August 2000 to be completed by the students at the same place where they were submitting their application form to public higher education. ${ }^{5}$ Although there were 4716 replies (a response rate of 38 percent), only 2356 replies are used in our analysis, corresponding to the students who gave complete information on all the variables used in this paper. The variables used in the analysis as well as the descriptive statistics for the sample are shown in Table 1.

[^2]Table 1 - Definition of variables and descriptive statistics for the sample

| Variables | Description | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal and demographic characteristics |  |  |
| Female | Dummy variable, 1 if female, 0 otherwise | 0.65 |
| Age | Age in years | 19.1 |
| Siblings | Dummy variable, 1 if student has siblings, 0 otherwise | 0.73 |
| Region of residence | Set of dummy variables for the region of residence |  |
| NorthC | 1 if resides in Northern coastal region, 0 otherwise | 0.18 |
| CenterC | 1 if resides in Central coastal region, 0 otherwise | 0.20 |
| Lisbon | 1 if resides in Lisbon-and-Tagus-Valley region, 0 otherwise | 0.33 |
| Inland (North-andCenter) | 1 if resides in Northern and Central inland region, 0 otherwise | 0.16 |
| South | 1 if resides in Alentejo and Algarve region, 0 otherwise | 0.10 |
| Islands | 1 if resides in Portuguese islands (Madeira and Azores), 0 otherwise | 0.03 |
| Father and Mother | Dummy variable, 1 if both father and mother live in the household, 0 otherwise | 0.85 |
| Parents' Education | Set of dummy variables indicating the highest level of education attained by the student's father or mother |  |
| ParEdu1 | 1 if less than 4 years of education, 0 otherwise | 0.01 |
| ParEdu2 | 1 if completed 6 years of education, 0 otherwise | 0.38 |
| ParEdu3 | 1 if completed 9 years of education, 0 otherwise | 0.13 |
| ParEdu4 | 1 if completed 12 years of education, 0 otherwise | 0.18 |
| ParEdu5 | 1 if college, master or doctoral degree, 0 otherwise | 0.29 |
| Work | Dummy variable, 1 if student ever worked for pay, 0 otherwise | 0.34 |
| Educational characteristics |  |  |
| General | Dummy variable, 1 if attended general education in secondary school, 0 otherwise | 0.97 |
| Field of Study | Set of dummy variables for field of study attended in general education in secondary school |  |
| Scientific and natural | 1 if scientific and natural, 0 otherwise | 0.58 |
| Arts | 1 if arts, 0 otherwise | 0.07 |
| Economic and social | 1 if economic and social, 0 otherwise | 0.12 |
| Humanities | 1 if humanities, 0 otherwise | 0.23 |
| Fail | Dummy variable, 1 if student failed to make progress in at least one academic year during basic or secondary school, 0 otherwise | 0.49 |
| Mark (range 0 to 20) | Student's combined secondary school and national exam results as are taken into account by higher education institutions for admission | 14.4 |
| Success | Dummy variable, 1 if student was admitted by a higher education institution, 0 otherwise | 0.73 |
| No. observations |  | 2356 |

Information obtained from the Directorate General for Higher Education indicates that the composition of the sample by gender and entry success rates is similar to the composition of the universe. ${ }^{6}$ Table 2 reports binomial probit estimates of the determinants of success for the whole sample. To aid in interpretation, the coefficient estimates reported are the marginal effects evaluated at the sample means of all the variables, showing the impact of each variable on the probability of an applicant being admitted to a course/institution in his/her choice set.

Table 2 - Binomial probit estimates of probability of success

| Variables | Coefficient | Std. Error | Significance Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | -0.057 | 0.019 | 0.003 |
| Age | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.871 |
| Siblings | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.302 |
| Region of residence: |  |  |  |
| NorthC | -0.017 | 0.028 | 0.530 |
| CenterC | 0.028 | 0.025 | 0.275 |
| Inland | 0.072 | 0.026 | 0.009 |
| South | 0.037 | 0.030 | 0.235 |
| Islands | -0.130 | 0.064 | 0.029 |
| Father and Mother | 0.039 | 0.028 | 0.155 |
| Parents' Education: |  |  |  |
| ParEdu2 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.237 |
| ParEdu3 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.178 |
| ParEdu4 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.296 |
| ParEdu5 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.248 |
| Work | -0.019 | 0.021 | 0.351 |
| General | -0.112 | 0.061 | 0.048 |
| Field of Study: | -0.025 | 0.039 |  |
| Arts | 0.163 | 0.021 | 0.510 |
| Economic and social | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.000 |
| Humanities | -0.025 | 0.021 | 0.171 |
| Fail | 0.059 | 0.006 | 0.220 |
| Mark |  |  | 0.000 |
| Chi-square (df) | $231.26(20)$ |  | 0.000 |

[^3]As expected, given that it is taken as a method of rationing higher education places, students' application marks play an important role in determining success in higher education institutions' entrance. The results show that a one-unit increase in students' application marks increases the probability of success by about 6 percentage points, and the effect is highly statistically significant. The effect of Fail, which is taken as a further measure of students' academic ability, has the expected negative sign but is insignificant. Students taking general education in secondary school (which account for 97 percent of the sample) are, ceteris paribus, 11.2 percent less likely to succeed in entering higher education institutions than similar students taking technological, vocational or art courses. A possible explanation for this result might be that students taking more career-oriented courses are more likely to apply to higher education institutions with less stringent admission requirements.

Having studied the economic and social field significantly improves the probability of success. The results show that these students are 16.3 percent more likely to enter a higher education institution than their peers who studied the scientific and natural field (the reference category). Likewise, students taking the humanities' field are more likely to succeed in higher education entrance, but the effect is not statistically significant at conventional levels. These results may be reflecting constraints in the supply of spaces made available by higher education institutions for courses likely to be chosen by students studying scientific and natural subjects while in general secondary education, or quite stringent requirements for admission in those courses. These findings clearly have potential educational policy implications. First, if admission controls are supposed to restrain the oversupply of graduates in certain fields, our findings suggest that the Portuguese education system is not performing well in this respect given that there appears to be an excess number of college graduates in the economic and social, and
humanities specializations, while there is a shortage of graduates in the scientific and natural specializations (European Commission, 1999). Secondly, if secondary school students wishing to pursue higher education base in part their choice of study field in the success in entrance to higher education institutions of earlier cohorts, they might be discouraged from choosing scientific and natural subjects because they expect lower chances of success in admission to those specializations.

With respect to the set of personal and demographic variables included in the analysis, only gender and two of the region of residence' indicators, taken as proxies for geographic barriers to access, are significant predictors of the probability of success. Students living in the northern and central coastal region are more likely, and students coming from the Portuguese islands less likely, to succeed in higher education entrance than their counterparts living in the Lisbon-and-Tagus-Valley region (the omitted category). Ceteris paribus, females are 5.7 percent less likely to being admitted to a higher education institution than their male counterparts. A tentative explanation for this result might be that female students select courses/institutions for which more supply constraints or more selective requirements are in place. ${ }^{7}$ This effect might therefore be reflecting supply side as well as demand side effects.

## 4. Conclusions

It has been shown in this paper that the assumption of unconstrained access to institutions of higher education might seriously limit the usefulness of existing studies for explaining and predicting the demand for higher education. The results suggest that students' ability, secondary-school choices, and personal characteristics affect the

[^4]probability of success in higher education entrance. These findings should be of interest both to educational choice researchers and policy makers concerned about assessing and improving the efficiency and the equity of higher education systems.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, for example, Mizala and Romaguera (2000) documenting that in Chile only 15 percent of university students come from the 40 percent lowest-income families mainly due to a selective university entrance process in which only 40 percent of the applicants are admitted.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 1997, less than 18 percent of the portuguese student population attended private institutions (EURYBASE, http://www.eurydice.org).
    ${ }^{3}$ Special education is also available, and is generally delivered in mainstream schools with local support structures. More detailed information on the education system in Portugal and other European countries can be obtained from the EURYDICE (Education Information Network in Europe) database EURYBASE.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ NIMA is an applied microeconomics research unit at the University of Minho, Portugal.
    ${ }^{5}$ The number of questionnaires sent out corresponded to 25 percent of the total number of applicants to public higher education in year 2000.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ In 1999, females accounted for about 60 percent of the applicant pool, and about 75 percent of the applicants were admitted in higher education institutions (Directorate General for Higher Education-Direcção-Geral do Ensino Superior (http://www.desup.min-edu.pt)).

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The raw data show higher levels of academic ability for female students (mean marks are 14.6 and 14.2 for female and male students, respectively), and evidence found for the United States suggests that more able students are more likely to apply to more selective institutions. See, for example, Manski and Wise (1993), and Toutkoushian (2001).

