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Abstract

Although there are many types of Directory User Interfaces they all tend to give to their users the same global view of the Directory. However, a typical user will only be interested in some portion of the total Directory database. Providing such specific view might be done for instance by restricting the default DUA display to a subset of object classes or attribute types meaningful to a particular application.

The work described in this paper is not about interface styles or user friendliness. It is about defining mechanisms that allow for users to easily setup several configurations based on the information they intend to access. They should be adaptable to a variety of interfaces and, to demonstrate that, as well as raising some practical implementation issues, two interfaces based on these concepts are presented: A windows LDAP DUA and a WWW to X.500 gateway.

Directory interfaces adopting this model are expected to help users make more advanced uses of the Directory and at the same time not need such a good knowledge of X.500 and its operations.

I. Introduction

The X.500 protocol is very powerful and in the opinion of many people, excessively powerful, due to the complexity that such a flexibility implies. Without entering into such discussions there is however one point that must be stressed. The users of the Directory rarely use the great majority of the functionalities that they have at their disposal.

A typical interaction, irrespective of the interface being used, consists of very simple operations usually performed without any parameters. Even an experienced DSA manager using a powerful interface like dish\(^1\) will tend to work in such a rudimentary manner by using simple list and show operations. In so doing, the user is overwhelmed with more information, in terms of number of entries and attributes per entry, than he can handle or even need, which he could have avoided should he had used a correctly parametrized operation.

Superfluous information makes the user's task much more difficult. The alternative approach of writing one single well parametrized command that would return less but more significant information has important disadvantages:

- It requires a perfect knowledge of the interface commands and their parameters.
- It requires knowledge of the Directory schema in terms of attributes and object classes used, matching types or possible values for some attributes.
- It must be done every time the request is needed which may be tedious and a potential cause for mistakes.

Therefore, at least for the most common queries, some kind of automation that reliefs users from these burdens should be included in the functionality of the interface.

II. The external view

Although the Directory is not a general purpose database \(^2\), it is, nevertheless, a Database and therefore it has many common aspects with traditional general purpose DBMSs\(^3\). These systems are usually based upon a 3-layer architecture as illustrated in Figure 1, known as ANSI/SPARC architecture \[^3\] in which:

- The internal level - is the one closest to physical storage - i.e., it is the one concerned with the way the data is physically stored.
- The conceptual level - hides the details of physical storage structures and concentrates on describing entities, data types and relationships. The conceptual level is a representation of the entire information content of the database
- The external level - is concerned with the way the data is viewed by individual users. Each view typically presents in an adequate

\(^1\)dish is part of QUIPU, the UCL X.500 implementation \(^1\)

\(^2\)Database Management Systems
way the part of the database that a particular user is interested in and hides the rest of the database from that user.

![Diagram of ANSI/SPARC architecture]

If one tries to adapt this architecture to the Directory, one may easily associate the internal level with the data as is stored in DSAs \(^3\) like for instance in an EDB \(^4\) format.

As for the conceptual level it seems to match very well with the presentation of that data in a structure known as DIT \(^5\).

Finally there is the external level which corresponds to the information being presented to a user by a DUI \(^6\). The ANSI/SPARC term for an individual user’s view is an external view \(^5\). An external view, therefore, is the content of the database as seen by some particular user (that is, to that user the external view is the database). For example, a receptionist could see the Directory as an ordered list of people entries containing telephone and room numbers.

### III. The conceptual interface

External views are important specially in a global service like the Directory and there are several ways of providing them on Directory interfaces. The most obvious is by creating specialized programs. This is not very common however since it requires a perfectly stable service. A X.500 capable mail reader with a Directory Interface for retrieving mail addresses could be mentioned as an example.

A more feasible alternative is to create generic interfaces which can be configured to work in many different ways. They exploit the fact that many applications tend to fall into a small number of rather stereotyped patterns. Therefore they can be tailored to suit some service specific requirements by simply providing values for a few configuration parameters.

In a more or less complex way, almost all DUIs have some kind of configuration which is usually set at installation time. This method of setup has very little flexibility because it does not take into account the information being consulted. Even when there is only one single user he may need to access many different types of information. If he only possesses of a possible configuration then it must be made generic enough to serve all those access patterns.

A much more useful method would allow for several more precise setups oriented at specific applications. In fact, the setup is much more influenced by what is being consulted than by who is consulting so the user should be given the possibility to personalize his setup in a number of ways. The conceptual interface will therefore allow for several choices of service oriented configurations.

In order to take advantage of the power of X.500 without running into the problems of complexity mentioned earlier on, the DUI will have the ability to store several configurations in a persistent way.

Thus the user may refer to previously prepared complex setups by simply choosing the appropriate configuration.

In order to make it easier to describe how to configure a display of information, it is considered that the conceptual interface has at least two types of display formats both of them appearing either simultaneously in different display areas or, alternatively, in the same display area. They are:

- The display of a group of entries in a short format. This display format will be called list view.
- The display of a single entry in a longer format or even the complete display. This display format will be called entry view.

The list view is often used to select an entry to be displayed in the entry view.

### IV. The External Schema

Each external view is defined by means of an external schema, which basically consists of a set of meta-information elements or configuration variables. The defined meta-information elements
and their values will determine the view presented to the user. These elements however are all optional and the total absence of configuration will simply correspond to the conceptual view of the Global Directory Service.

Then, what should a representative set of meta-information elements be? An answer to this question has to account for both the actual user requirements and the protocol and interface capabilities. To simplify the inclusion of both inputs, the elements are classified into two layers. The first one will have a direct correspondence to the protocol operation parameters on top of which a second layer with more abstract elements may be implemented. The latter elements are more appropriate for users but in most cases must be mapped onto the elements of the lower level.

The choice between using only the elements of one level or a combination of both can only be made in the context of a particular implementation.

IV.A. Low level elements

Since most DUAs and X.500 gateways currently available are based on LDAP[6] and the functionalities of this protocol may also be found in the X.500, our model is also based on the LDAP protocol. As a consequence, the elements described match the parameters of the LDAP search operation.

Therefore, at the low level, an external schema will be defined by the configuration of the following variables or meta-information elements:

- Initial DIT position
- scope
- filter
- attributes (a list of the attributes to be returned from each entry found)
- Service elements (eg. sizelimit, timelimit or derefAliases).

IV.B. High level elements

Although very powerful, the low level elements are not very adequate for user manipulation and they provide no layout information. Therefore it is desirable to have the possibility of specifying a X.500 service in terms of higher level elements. During operation most of these elements will be mapped onto lower level elements as shown in figure 2.

High level elements are nearer the user and what is perception of a service definition might be. Instead of dealing with filters or other protocol related parameters, a user defines a service by providing answers to questions such as What are the only object classes that should make part of my service universe? or What are the essential attributes that all the objects must have in order to be included in my service universe?

A first set of high level elements has to do with data access. Its goal is to define the subset of the X.500 information that will constitute the service universe:

- **Mandatory object Classes** - this service element, when defined, determines that only objects of the indicated classes should be considered as belonging to the service universe. This element is mapped onto the low level element filter by adding a condition like objectClass=ClassType for each mandatory class. For instance if person and role were defined as mandatory object clas-
ses then a condition objectClass=person or objectClass=role would be automatically included in the filter of every search operation. As a consequence, only entries of those object classes or sub-classes would be returned. Browse operations however could build a filter that also allowed for the return of Non-Leaf objects in order to maintain navigability.

- **Mandatory attributes** - if defined, establish that only entries containing at least one of those attributes will be considered as part of the service universe. Once again a browse operation may be the exception by including NonLeaf entries. If one intended to define an external schema for a telephone directory service, the attributes telephoneNumber and facsimileTelephoneNumber would be good candidates for mandatory attributes. The mapping onto the lower level elements would be achieved by adding to the filter the condition telephoneNumber=/* or facsimileTelephoneNumber=/*. In this way, entries not containing any of these attributes would not be returned.

A second set of high level elements concerns data presentation. They configure the display of service data to the user in some appropriate fashion. The choices made here will affect the functioning of the list view and the entry view referred to in the description of the conceptual interface discussed in section III:

- **Entries sorting method**, defines the order in which entries are listed in a list view by selecting the attributes used to determine that order. In principle, because there is no way of controlling the order in which entries are returned, this is not mapped into any lower level element and must be implemented by the client. In order that a sorting operation be possible, an implementation decision might choose to force the return of the attributes selected as sorting keys by including them in the low level element attributes to get.

- **Displayed attributes**, this variable gives an indication on the attributes that should be displayed when presenting entries. An ordered list may be used to give an additional indication of the appropriate order in which to present these attributes. This will be mapped into the lower level element attributes to get. There should be one for entry views and another for list views.

- **Hidden attributes**, in some cases it may be simpler to indicate which attributes should not be displayed. This is a very useful facility if one intends to specify a rather generic service but wants to hide attributes that are considered of no interest to the application, like, for instance, administrative attributes. In principle it applies only to entry views.

- **Naming Style**, when presenting an entry’s name, different styles may be adopted. At least 3 styles should be allowed: DN for the full Distinguished Name, RDN for the entry’s Relative Distinguished Name and BaseDN which is an incomplete DN starting from the DN of the operation base object. The use of User Friendly Naming [?] may also be considered which would increase the possible values for this variable. Although name style configuration may be applied to entry views it may be more useful in list views.

- **Attribute labels**, the aim is to choose some schema to indicate what labels will be used to identify the names of the attributes to the user. The basic approach consists of just indicating whether attribute labels should or should not be presented. A more elaborated mechanism may include a choice among several languages or any other criteria. The external schema should allow different values for list and entry views.

V. Implementation of the model

The elements referred to so far are only those considered to be more generic and which do not compromise the model with any particular implementation. It is important to notice however that when it comes to a particular implementation many other meta-information elements may be included using the same concepts as long as they make sense in that particular context.

Some of such elements were not included in the general model because they depend too much on the interface programs being used. An example of such an element would be that which concerns cache parameterization.

Other elements are not clearly application specific and therefore it should be an implementation decision whether to associate them with a certain external view or not.

A good example is a LDAP server. In principle it would be configured on a per installation basis but there might be some advantages in doing so on a service basis. For example, to choose a server that is nearer to the information to be con-
sulted, and therefore faster, or to distribute complete configurations among beginner users.

V.A. Document Oriented DU A

The dodua implementation has been programmed in Windows. The service concept is implemented through the use of document files. Each document stores the meta-information associated with a certain service. Accessing a given service is achieved by opening the corresponding file. A user working with dodua can have several personal documents each one tailored to a specific information domain. The document approach has two great advantages, namely:

- A user is completely free to create whatever documents he considers he may need.
- A manager can use his knowledge of Directory Services in general and of his organization in particular to create complex documents which may then be distributed among users. When DUAs are installed they may already include a set of documents enabling novice users to immediately access X.500 information in such an intuitive way as opening a file.

Another advantage of the use of documents as the basis for meta-information is the large amount of meta-information they allow to store. The current dodua implementation goes far beyond the elements defined in the previous section. In each document it includes meta-information like LDAP servers, a service name and description, user information, several hotlists, cache parameters and parameters of program behavior.

The document model might even go beyond meta-information and support downloading of information itself like in the SWIX\(^7\) implementation.

Figure 3 shows a sample dodua screen.

V.B. Service Oriented Web gateway

SOW is an adaptation of the web500gw\(^8\). New operations were added to support the notion of multiple services. These new operations work with a special URL format in which the query string is used to carry meta-information variables. The lack of an accepted URL syntax for the X.500 protocol led us to define our own syntax. This syntax however is still under development and other related work like the one used in the SOLO [8] project is also being considered. The query string syntax is a list of configuration variables in the format \texttt{variableLabel=variableValue} separated by the / sign. Some variables allow for several values which must be separated by a comma like in the following example:

\begin{verbatim}
...MandOb=person/LiAttr=telephoneNumber,mail...
\end{verbatim}

The available variables allow the user to define the service information universe by setting mandatory objects or attributes and by specifying a generic filter and operation scope. They also allow to setup the display of information in

\(^7\) SWIX is developed at UMDAC (umdac, Umeå University, se)

\(^8\) web500gw was written by Frank Richter, Technical University of Chemnitz-Zwickau, Germany
VI. Conclusions

Our experience with a user defined service interface has shown that, after all, it is nice to have the X.500 world on your desktop but it is a lot of weight to be there at the same time, specially when all one wants is to get hold of the telephone number of someone working in one’s building. The provision of adequate views is therefore an essential element in the design of DUl's and can be specially useful to support directory applications that have reached a certain stability in terms of users and information content.

The model described seems to obviate some of the problems which have been pointed out as the cause of the little use of network applications [9]:

- It gives the users a method of producing services that respond to their requirements.

- There is no conflict between complexity and flexibility in terms of protocol operation. Although they remain related, it is easy to set the right balance by defining more or less complex services.

- The X.500 protocol becomes more hidden from users.

The updating of information is not considered in this paper because not enough experimental work has been done yet in this area. However there are some obvious benefits that could be gained with the extension of this model to update operations like the inclusion of templates on the service definition.

The concepts described can also be extended to other types of interfaces like report generator applications similar to the ones that exist in most Database Systems.
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