Climate change will affect mycotoxins in food. The 2007 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report is reinterpreted herein to account for what may occur with mycotoxins. Warmer weather, heat waves, greater precipitation and drought will have various impacts, depending on which regions of the world and mycotoxin systems are considered. The humidity issues are more complex as some areas will experience drought and others greater precipitation: in vivo data on the effects of moisture on mycotoxins in crops are more ambiguous than those for temperature. In vitro data on fungal growth and mycotoxin production may not relate directly to the situation in the field or post harvest, but are useful for base-line assumptions. The effects of climate in various regions of the world, i.e. Africa, Europe, Asia, Latin America and North America are considered in terms of mycotoxin contamination. Crops introduced to exploit altered climate may be subject to fewer mycotoxin producing fungi (the "Parasites Lost" phenomenon). Increased mycotoxins and UV radiation may cause fungi to mutate on crops and produce different mycotoxins. Whereas there is relevant information on aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol, and ochratoxin A, more mycotoxins require to be considered: Data on patulin are missing. The current paper considers uniquely ergot alkaloids. Amelioration strategies are provided. There is considerable urgency in the need to address these issues.
1. Introduction

Climate change (CC) ([IPCC, 2007]) will affect mycotoxins in food. Related to the following discussion it is necessary to point out that more than 1 billion people are living currently in malnutrition and hunger (Tirado, Cohen, Aberman, Meerman, & Thompson, 2010). Human activity is causing the weather phenomenon, hence we are living in the Anthropocene epoch whereby the environment is being changed (Crutzen & Stoermer, 2000; Pringle, Barron, Sartor, & Wares, 2011). Concentrations of methane, carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and chlorofluorocarbons in the atmosphere are increasing, resulting in environmental warming, greater precipitation, or drought. “Climate changes or extreme climatic events are already part of our everyday life and can be seen more and more frequently. Thus the subject of “climate change” is currently of unrivalled importance and discussions of its impact on the future of mankind are becoming more and more common in the various branches of society.” (Sant’Ana, 2010).

Unfortunately, greater effort has not been made to slow CC particularly by cutting industrial emissions. There is a lack of information on CC and food science, implying an even greater deficit with mycotoxins. Many data indicate that plant epidemics are influenced climatically (Paterson, in press; Paterson & Lima, 2010a). Changing temperature and rainfall may threaten food security (Miraglia et al., 2009; Miraglia, de Santos, & Brera, 2008; Tirado, Clarke, Jaykus, McQuatters-Gollop, & Frank, 2010; van der Fels-Klerx et al., 2009) and will have a negative impact especially in developing countries.

Weather-based plant disease forecasts relate to (a) CC models, and (b) predicting the effects of CC on whether, where and which mycotoxins will be changed. Mycotoxins depend on (a) climate (Table 1), (b) plant- and storage-associated problems (Table 1), and (c) non-infectious factors (e.g. bioavailability of (micro) nutrients, insect damage and other pests attack) that are driven by weather (Magan, Hope, Cairns, & Aldred, 2003), although the other factors cannot be ignored or emphasised selectively (see Magan et al., 2011; Paterson, in press). Insect and pest attack, pesticides, soil, fertilisers and trace elements also require to be studied further but largely fall outside the scope of the current review. The issue offers a complicated, multifaceted and interrelated scenario which could impair seriously the availability of food and feed in developing countries in particular (Miraglia et al., 2009; Tirado, Clarke, et al., 2010). Hence, crop yields will decrease or increase with corresponding mycotoxin changes as CC occurs.

The current authors consider how mycotoxins in food (and water) will be affected by building on the reviews of Paterson and Lima (2010a) and Magan et al. (2011) whilst presenting new concepts. Paterson and Lima (2010b,c,d) have influenced greatly the concepts presented herein. Climatic alterations have a high impact on plant–pathogen interactions (Jeger & Pautasso, 2008) and useful mycotoxin models for predicting contamination is a key component for addressing the issue (van der Fels-Klerx et al., 2009). The effects of CC on mycotoxins in the preharvest situation could be from hosts–fungi interactions, the fungi, or the hosts: epidemic severity may be decreased by CC effects on host–pathogen/environmental interactions (Chakraborty et al., 1998).

Mycotoxins may be lower if the temperature becomes too high for relevant fungi to grow, or produce mycotoxins. Some of the conditions which have been demonstrated in vivo to result in higher and lower mycotoxins are provided in Table 1. Table 2 lists the optima for production of mycotoxins and growth of the associated fungi from in vitro studies: Table 3 demonstrates typical lower moisture limit for seeds of important crops in vitro. Further important predictions can be made by considering all these data in a unifying manner such as presented herein. In vivo data that “integrate the environment” (Paterson & Lima, 2010a) (Table 1) are preferable generally to in vitro work on isolated fungi and unrepresentative crop substrates (Tables 2 and 3). The in vitro work cannot investigate all the parameters mentioned above that affect mycotoxins in crops unlike in vivo research. For example, Fusarium graminearum DNA and deoxynivalenol could be measured in wheat samples stored for long periods (Shaw, Bearchell, Fitt, & Fraaije, 2008), thus providing long-term information about the effect of CC in crops grown in Nature.

Furthermore, species extinction is predicted because of CC ([IPCC, 2007]) and fungi will be susceptible to this threat (Pringle et al., 2011), including the mycotoxigenic representatives (Paterson, 2010a). Fungal conidia are not so resistant as might be expected and of course the asexual forms, which occur in the primary mycotoxin producing fungi, do not produce hardy sexual spores. For example, the Aspergillus carbonarius conidia used in Leong, Hocking, and Scott (2006) were killed in a few hours at 37 °C. CC may lead to an expanding range of crop pests and altered transmission dynamics of insects, pests, and plant diseases, which will exacerbate yield reduction and impair food safety (Rosenzweig, Iglesias, Yang, Epstein, & Chivian, 2001). It is undeniable that these will affect mycotoxins in manners that are to some extent predictable, and it is worthwhile listing, for the record, areas that might at first appear obvious. The mycotoxins that pose the greatest potential risk are aflatoxins, trichotheccenes, fumonisins, zearalenone, ochratoxin A, ergot alkaloids (CAST, 2003) and patulin (see Magan et al., 2011). For example, maize has become a staple for many millions in warm regions throughout Africa, Asia, and the Americas with vulnerabilities exemplified by recent lethal aflatoxicoses in Kenya (Lewis et al., 2005). The fact that ergot alkaloids have not been considered before in terms of CC is a considerable omission: Claviceps spp. produces these toxic alkaloids (CAST, 2003). Ergot “bodies” contain toxic amounts of alkaloids and lead to the disease of ergotism in humans and other animals which consume them. Outbreaks of Claviceps africana and/or Claviceps sorgii in North America and Australia have become major concerns (Tables 1).

Paterson and Lima (2010a) asked, “How will climate change affect mycotoxins?” Magan et al. (2011) claimed that Paterson and Lima (2010a) contained omission in relation to (a) the effects of CO2 levels and temperature/water availability, (b) chemical and other control treatments and (c) integration of molecular and ecological information. However, Paterson (in press) pointed out that the areas were not omitted but were presented with different emphases. CO2 levels were not discussed in terms of direct effects as the literature only provides information on unrealistically high concentrations, and overall, the issues were addressed appropriately in Paterson and Lima (2010a).

There will be two predominant effects on crops due to CC: more may be produced in currently cool or cold regions (e.g. parts of Northern Europe), and fewer will be harvested in currently hot regions (e.g. many areas within Africa) (see Section 6.1). These basic facts will affect mycotoxins in predictable ways:

Scenario 1. There is likely to be an increase in total mycotoxins because more crops are produced within a region...
Scenario 2. A decrease is likely in total mycotoxins because fewer Lower moisture limits for growth of crops (Paterson & Lima, 2010a).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycotoxin</th>
<th>Increased danger</th>
<th>Decreased danger</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin preharvest</td>
<td>Heat (e.g. &gt; 25 °C) and drought dangerous. But humidity dangerous for cottonseeds. Rain at dry-down dangerous.</td>
<td>Cool temperatures safe (e.g. ≤ 20 °C) Corollary, is dry weather helps dry down and storage.</td>
<td>Cotty and Jaime-Garcia (2007); Shearer et al. (1992); Sanders et al. (1984); Schnitt and Hurburgh (1989); Jaime-Garcia and Cotty (2003); Cole et al. (1989); Bock et al. (2004); Cardwell and Cotty (2002); Miraglia et al. (2009); Magan et al. (2011); Lewis et al. (2005); Boyd &amp; Cotty (2001); Wilson and Payne (1994); Iqbal et al. (2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postharvest</td>
<td>Rain at harvest delaying dry-down and rain on peanut winnows.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

**Optimal temperature for mycotoxin production and growth in vitro** (the numbers in the table are in °C) (Sanchis & Magan, 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus species</th>
<th>Mycotoxins</th>
<th>Fungus growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternaria alternata</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternaria tenuissima</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus flavus</td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. verticillioides</td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. graminearum</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. culmorum</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ochraceus</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium verrucosum</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. expansum</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. carbonarius</td>
<td>30 °C higher than at 20 °C.</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek strains</td>
<td>15-20 °C.</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch cereal grains</td>
<td>15.5-16.0</td>
<td>15.0-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>14.5-15.0</td>
<td>15.0-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower, safflower, peanuts and copra</td>
<td>9.0-9.5</td>
<td>10.0-10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

Lower moisture limits for growth of Aspergillus spp. and Penicillium spp. on seeds of crops (Paterson & Lima, 2010a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Moisture content of grain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. ochraceus</td>
<td>16.0-18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. flavus</td>
<td>17.0-18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium spp.</td>
<td>16.5-20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. (Table 4). Hence, there are (a) three situations where the concentrations could be greater, (b) one equal situation, and (c) one lower scenario (Table 4). The situation that allows for the concentration to be less would have to be quite specific (e.g. introduction of a genetically modified (GM) crop) and so, overall, the concentrations are likely to be greater.

Scenario 2. A decrease is likely in total mycotoxins because fewer crops are produced within a region (Table 4). There are (a) three situations where the concentrations are lower, (b) one equal situation and (c) one higher scenario (Table 4). Hence, the concentrations are likely to be lower, although conditions such as drought or heat stress may increase levels from damage to crops and allowing ingress of fungi. The point is, there is a trend towards lower mycotoxins if the amount of crops produced are reduced and vice versa.

The intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2007) report is influential although was not discussed in Paterson and Lima (2010a). The current paper re-interprets the predictions made in the report in terms of mycotoxin contamination. The physiology of growth and mycotoxin production of the fungi are considered further especially in relation to the predicted alterations in weather.

2. Predictions concerning temperature on pre-harvest crops

2.1. A warmer planet is “virtually certain” (IPCC, 2007)

IPCC (2007) states that a warmer planet is “virtually certain” and warm spells or heat waves are “very likely”. Impacts on agriculture and food safety will vary for different geographical regions and profound impacts on agriculture will occur (e.g. alterations in arable land and crop yields, variations in the seasons, changes in soil quality). Increases in losses of soil minerals, variation in their bioavailability and alterations in soil microorganism ecosystems are all mentioned (IPCC, 2007). The report states that temperature will rise by approximately 4 °C in 100 years (Fig. 1). This may affect mycotoxin concentrations as fungi with higher temperature optima for growth and mycotoxin production will dominate in regions with currently cooler climates, or become less prevalent as the temperatures become too high in region where the temperature is already hot. Interestingly,
Table 1 indicates that temperature is more predictable in terms of the effect on mycotoxin problems than moisture effects.

More crops/greater yields will occur in currently cool regions and fewer crops/yields will occur in currently warm regions (IPCC, 2007). This corresponds to scenarios 1 and 2 respectively as discussed above and Table 4. If crops increase it will be important to consider increasing the amount of mycotoxin analyses proportionately. Where more crops/greater yields will occur from CC in currently cool regions, an increase in the total mass of mycotoxins will tend to occur simply because there are more crops (Table 4). The overall quality of the crops may be worse than before in terms of mycotoxins per unit weight of crop because, for example, aflatoxins may increase as the temperature increases towards the optima for the producing fungi. Finally, the currently cool regions will experience worsening storage conditions as the temperature increase to those compatible with increased fungal growth.

Fewer crops/yields will occur from CC in some currently warm regions. This will lead to a decrease in the total mass of mycotoxins simply because there are fewer crops (i.e. scenario 2 (see Section 1) and Table 4). However, it is possible that the crops produced will be of lower quality due to the stress effects of CC and may contain more mycotoxins per unit weight of crops. Finally, the new hot and dry conditions in some regions will lead to good storage conditions — a potential advantage of CC. This is because the hot and dry conditions will assist in maintaining the crop in a dry condition unsuitable for fungal growth and mycotoxin production.

Furthermore, some regions may become suitable for growing novel crops and others unsuitable for existing ones. More insect pests will occur at higher temperatures (IPCC, 2007) by which mycotoxigenic fungi are spread (Beniston & Diaz, 2004; Tirado, Clarke, et al., 2010) and more insects may increase insect-feeding birds perhaps resulting in more bird damage to crops: The ecological implications of CC are indeed complex.

2.2. Aflatoxins and producing aspergilli

The conditions which are more “dangerous” or “safer” for aflatoxin are presented in Table 1. However, developing crops are very resistant frequently to infection by Aspergillus flavus although high daily temperature minima lead to “poisoned crops” (Cotty & Jaime-Garcia, 2007). It is worth pointing out that changes in climate may lead to acute aflatoxicosis and human deaths even in modern times (Lewis et al., 2005). Heat (e.g. >25 °C) and drought are dangerous, although humidity is problematic for cottonseed. Furthermore, rain at dry-down is detrimental especially for further storage. Cool temperatures (e.g. < 20 °C) can be considered to be safer for aflatoxin contamination and aflatoxin may become more common in currently temperate climates in Western Europe as temperature increase (Miraglia et al., 2009). A particularly useful example of in vivo studies was provided by Iqbal et al. (2011) on chilies produced in summer or winter in Pakistan, where the chilies produced in summer had significantly higher aflatoxin contamination. Studying crops produced in different seasons within a year is recommended for determining the effects of climate.

Fungal infection occurs with drought and temperature increases (Sanders et al., 1984; Schmitt & Hurburgh, 1989); interestingly IPCC (2007) lists regions where these events will occur. Aflatoxins fungi are native to tropical, warm, arid and semi-arid regions. It is relevant to mention that changes in climate result in large alterations in the quantity of aflatoxin producing fungi (Bock et al., 2004; Shearer et al., 1992; Table 1). Indeed much of the organic matter in soils is colonised by aflatoxigenic fungi in such regions (Boyden & Cotty, 2001) and hence, this colonisation may occur when temperate regions become warmer (Miraglia et al., 2009). Aflatoxin producers are associated with hot, dry “agroecozones” in Africa with latitudinal shifts in climate influencing fungal structure (Cardwell & Cotty, 2002).

There exists a wide-range of temperature optima for growth from 35 to 21 °C for isolated A. flavus and F. graminearum strains
respectively (Table 2) with most other fungi falling between these values. Some fungi will dominate others as CC occurs on this basis. For example, A. flavus will tend to outcompete other fungi with lower optimum, although it may not survive in already hot climates (Iqbal et al., 2011; Paterson & Lima, 2010a). A. flavus was isolated more frequently than Aspergillus ochraceus from Brazil nuts and pepper from Brazil (Freire, Kozakiewicz, & Paterson, 2000): it would be unlikely that A. ochraceus would dominate A. flavus in 100 years on the basis of optimal growth temperatures (Table 2) making aflatoxin to remain the major concern. In Northern Portugal, A. carbonarius dominated the mycotoxigenic fungi from grapes (Serra, Lourenço, Alípio, & Venâncio, 2006; Serra, Mendonça, & Venâncio, 2006). A. flavus was present in lower amounts and Penicillium expansum was at low concentrations. In 100 years, A. flavus may outcompete A. carbonarius with aflatoxin become a greater risk than ochratoxin A. Equally, the conditions may become too hot for P. expansum and hence reducing the threat from patulin. Furthermore, at 30 °C the lag phases and growth rates of A. ochraceus and Aspergillus parasiticus were similar (Garcia, Ramos, Sanchis, & Marín, 2011). At 37 °C the A. ochraceus lag phase increased dramatically compared to A. parasiticus, and growth rates were lower for A. ochraceus. This indicates that the two species would compete at 30 °C but then A. parasiticus may dominate with aflatoxin becoming a greater problem, although the authors warn of the risks of using models as substitutes for the situations in the field. On this basis, A. flavus will not be dominated by Alternaria, Fusarium, Aspergillus (other species), and Penicillium species and aflatoxin will not be supplanted by alternariol, deoxynivalenol, fumonisins, and ochratoxin A as the optimum temperatures for mycotoxin production are usually only slightly different (Table 2). Equally, aflatoxin will not be supplanted by (a) ochratoxin in peanuts, corn, wheat, cheese, (b) deoxynivalenol in corn and wheat and (c) fumonisins in corn where the mycotoxins co-occur (CAST, 2003). In northern Europe the trend towards higher temperatures (IPCC, 2007; Miraglia et al., 2009) may lead to more crops being produced and increasing “higher temperature mycotoxins” e.g. aflatoxin, compared to deoxynivalenol. Heat waves (Beniston & Diaz, 2004) will result in fewer crops (scenario 2) and will make them liable to greater concentrations of mycotoxins. There may be a replacement of fungi with lower optimum, such as A. ochraceus replacing Fusarium spp. and ochratoxin A becoming increasingly problematic; other combinations can be predicted from Table 2 for other fungal/mycotoxin systems. For example, A. flavus, F. graminearum and Fusarium verticillioides grow on maize and the dominant species is determined by meteorological conditions (Magan et al., 2011; Miraglia et al., 2009). Hot and dry weather caused an outbreak in 2003 of A. flavus (which is xerophilic) resulting in aflatoxin in maize which was previously uncommon in Europe, including the south (Table 1). F. verticillioides is the most common species in the south which grows optimally at 25–30 °C and produces fumonisins. Furthermore, significant deoxynivalenol levels were only detected before 1995 in Italy (Miraglia et al., 2009). There were very hot and dry episodes in 2003–2004 in northern Italy where maize is a key crop and A. flavus became a significant problem because of the very dry conditions in those years (Giorni, Battilani, & Magan, 2008). They describe how isolated strains were able to colonise ripening maize rapidly and produce aflatoxin and “sterigmatocystin”. However, there were no data about the isolated strains colonising ripening maize (although the strains were from maize) and no information on sterigmatocystin production was presented. Cyclopiazonic acid and aflatoxin were detected, although some strains did not produce detectable aflatoxin. In vivo factors affecting mycotoxins in crops are provided in Table 1 which are potentially more relevant than the in vitro. Finally, there is awareness of A. flavus and aflatoxin as weapons (Paterson & Lima, 2010c) and CC may make some regions more susceptible to an attack than previously considered possible.

2.3. Deoxynivalenol, fumonisins and fusaria

Warm weather is dangerous in terms of increased deoxynivalenol production on crops (Table 1), although higher than 32 °C is safer and these data corresponds well to the in vitro data concerning growth optima which indicate that this temperature is greater than the optimum (Table 2). Humidity and rain provide ambiguous information on contamination, but generally, high levels of rain are more dangerous, whereas safer weather involves cool temperatures of -10 °C. Forecasting mycotoxin concentrations have provided the basis for decisions for reducing, or re-directing high concentrations of mycotoxins from the food chain. The predictive tool ‘DON cast’ (Hooker, Schaafsma, & Tamburic-Ilinic, 2002) for ameliorating CC problems (Paterson & Lima, 2010a) has been discussed, although a simpler system only using temperature and precipitation may also be useful.

Fusarium in wheat can be determined by (i) incidence, disease symptoms, or presence of “scabby” kernels at harvest and (ii) mycotoxins in mature grain at harvest. However, significant variation in toxin concentrations were observed depending on environmental and agronomic variables (Paul, Lippis, & Madden, 2006), making predictions unreliable that employ models from visible disease symptoms. Data from Argentina and the Philippines were produced to determine the effects of climate, insect damage, and hybrid on fumonisins concentrations in grain corn at harvest (de la Campa et al., 2005). The range of concentrations was much higher than that of a similar investigation in Canada (Paterson & Lima, 2010a). Mild temperatures and rain during maize growth is conducive to F. graminearum and deoxynivalenol which is relevant to central Europe. Surveys indicated an increase in F. graminearum over Fusarium culmorum as the former species has a higher temperature optimum (Jennings et al., 2004; Waalwijk et al., 2003) and this relates to predicted higher temperatures. However, the predominant risk is the same mycotoxin, deoxynivalenol (Table 1), making this observation less significant. Finally, Isbeart et al. (2009) demonstrated that F. graminearum was predominant due to the effect of warm weather in growing season 2004–2005, whilst F. culmorum was dominant in 2001–2002 where a low average July temperature of 17.4 °C occurred.

2.4. Ochratoxin A and Aspergillus spp. in wine

The conditions which favour ochratoxin A in wine are outlined in Table 1: High temperatures reaching 30 °C and increased humidity are dangerous, although dry condition cause problems occasionally. Temperatures lower than 21 °C are safer, with the effect of moisture being more ambiguous. It is noticeable that humidity levels tend to be more contradictory than temperatures in terms of mycotoxin levels in general. There are predictions of wine production moving north in Europe because of CC (Paterson and Lima, 2010a) and samples from 11 vineyards from 4 winemaking regions in the North and South of Portugal were assessed for ochratoxin A and fungi (Serra, Lourenço et al., 2006; Serra, Mendonça, & Venancio, 2006). Significant differences were observed in ochratoxin A from grapes between 2002 and 2003 which may have been related to temperature: isolation of ochratoxin A producing fungi were significantly different between 2001 and 2003. Small increases in temperature will bring the grapes into the ranges where critical levels are reached in French and Spanish wine (Paterson & Lima, 2010a). Delineating the effect of aw and temperature on A. carbonarius conidia may aid in understanding the incidence of this ochratoxin A-producing species in vineyard soils and grapes, contributing to CC modelling (Miraglia et al., 2009). The effects on isolated fungi are discussed in more detail in Paterson and Lima (2010a): Fungi associated with grapes and their ability to produce ochratoxin A on synthetic media were investigated (Bellè et al., 2005) and extrapolated to the in vivo situation. A positive correlation between the number of black aspergilli found in grapes and the temperature in the field was found. However, the term “black aspergilli” is unspecified in terms of ochratoxin production.
lead to greater fungal ingress and mycotoxin production. Soil erosion allows leaching of nutrients away from the plant and decreasing resistance to fungal infection, which may result in more mycotoxins. Conversely, storage conditions may improve under drought conditions perhaps resulting in fewer mycotoxins from improved drying of crops (Table 1). Drought stress will be important particularly in developing countries. For example, marginal land where stress tolerant sorghum was grown has been replaced with maize especially in Africa (IPCC, 2007; Miraglia et al., 2009) and it is perhaps obvious that, increasingly, mycotoxin methods for analysing maize over sorghum will be required.

3.1. Aflatoxins

Maize and peanuts are prone to A. flavus infection during water stress (Table 1) leading to increased preharvest aflatoxin contamination of food. W. sebi, Xeromycetes bispors and certain Chrysosporium spp. are xerotolerant but do not produce mycotoxins per se (Magan et al., 2011), although they are a graphic example of how a group of fungi could supplant another as discussed throughout the current paper. The effect of low/high precipitation phenomena on crops and mycotoxins are provided in Table 1. Late harvesting and heavy rain episodes may influence crop harvest quality and mycotoxin contamination if they are not dried efficiently. On the other hand, the predicted arid and drought climates will assist in drying crops as rain, at or near harvest, implies unacceptable concentrations of aflatoxin in many warm region crops (Cotty & Jaime-Garcia, 2007).

Arid to semi-arid and drought conditions in tropical countries are associated with contamination and may become widespread in areas normally toxin-free, e.g. when heat associated with drought spreads through the US Midwest. In some regions, infection only occurs when temperatures rise in association with drought (Sanders et al., 1984; Schmitt & Hurburgh, 1989). Regions where increased heat and drought are indicated (IPCC, 2007) experience greater aflatoxin (Cardwell & Cotty, 2002), further restricting the area over which crops profitably may be grown.

3.2. Fusarium toxins and species

The conditions conducive to contamination of crops in particular with fusaria, deoxynivalenol and fumonisins are provided in Table 1. Long, warm and humid periods encourage cereal ears infection by Fusarium spp. (Jenkinson & Parry, 1994; Parry, Jenkinson, & McLeod, 1995), and intense rainfall dispersed fusaria to ears during anthesis. van der Fels-Klerx et al. (2009) developed a European system for emerging mycotoxins (EM) in preharvest wheat supply systems. EM of fusaria were employed as the focus and key indicators were relative humidity, cultivation, temperature, water activity in kernels, rainfall, crop rotation, and fungicide use. Such a system would be invaluable to monitor CC and EM if the gaps in information are overcome. In addition, Miraglia et al. (2009) focus on fusaria in another European-based study of food safety which targets CC which emphasises that mycotoxins need to be evaluated on a case by case basis.

3.3. Ochratoxin A and grapes

The effect of environmental conditions can be determined in Table 1 in relation to grape and wine production. Delineating the effect of $a_w$ and temperature on A. carbonarius conidia may aid in understanding the incidence of this ochratoxin A-producing species in vineyard soils and on grapes (Miraglia et al., 2009), although in vivo studies are more important. The effects on the radial growth rate and ochratoxin A production of two Greek A. carbonarius isolates were determined. Both isolates grew optimally at 0.96 $a_w$ and from 30 to 35 °C, but maximum ochratoxin A production occurred under...
suboptimal growth conditions (0.93 to 0.96 $a_w$ and 15 to 20 °C). The isolates produced ochratoxin A at 0.90 to 0.98 $a_w$ and 15 to 30 °C whereas maximum ochratoxin A production was detected after 25 days of incubation at 0.96 $a_w$ and 20 °C. Importantly, the Greek strains were more xerotolerant than others from the Mediterranean basin with important ramifications for CC (Tassou, Natskoulis, Panagou, Spiropoulos, & Magan, 2007).

Furthermore, the survival of A.carbonarius conidia was determined in an Australian study (Leong et al., 2006) where survival and growth of spores was prolonged at $a_w$ below 0.6 and at low temperatures. Water activities of between 0.6-0.9 $a_w$ were often more deleterious than 1.0 at above 15 °C. However, at $a_w=1.0$ and 1 °C conidia lost viability more rapidly than at lower $a_w$. The authors concluded that increased incidence of black Aspergillus spp. in dry soils and from grapes in dry conditions may result partly from prolonged survival of conidia. Fungi associated with grapes and their ability to produce ochratoxin A was investigated (Belli et al., 2005). However, no significant correlation between black aspergilli presence and other meteorological factors was established. Of course, ochratoxin A may have been affected but was not investigated, also, the use of the term “black” when referring to the fungi does not indicate if the strains could produce ochratoxin.

Table 3 provides data on the lowest moisture content for growth of fungi on various crops and in drought increased A. ochraceus and ochratoxin A may be observed. However, Penicillium spp. (e.g. Penicillium verrucosum and P. expansum) with their associated mycotoxins, ochratoxin A and patulin respectively, may be threats where floods occur. Unfortunately, the optimum production characteristics for patulin were not provided in Magan et al. (2011) for comparison.

3.4. General

The use of “free to air CO2 enrichment” facilities and open top chambers (Chini, Bettiol, & Hamada, 2011) and other in vivo studies may be good options for CC research on mycotoxins as studies on isolated fungi, or pre-sterilised grain, do not represent the situation in the field, where even the act of isolating and preserving may alter the fungi (Santos, Paterson, Venêncio, & Lima, 2010). In addition, the emergence of disease covers evolution of new microbes, expansion in geographic range, increases in incidence, changes in pathways or pathology and infections in new host species or populations (Olival & Daszak, 2005). Hence it is possible that different mycotoxicogenic fungi will evolve and rates of mycotoxin production will change (see Sections 8 and 9).

4. Post harvest stored grain ecosystems

The second phase of contamination with mycotoxins may occur from crop maturation until consumption (Tirado, Clarke, et al., 2010; van der Fels-Klerx et al., 2009). The crop could be exposed to warm, moist conditions on the feedlot floor, in the field, during transportation (Tirado, Clarke, et al., 2010) and storage. However, problems begin from heavy rain before harvest and late dry-down (Table 1) with implications regarding CC. These continue during (i) field storage in piles, windrows, and modules, (ii) curing (e.g. in nut crops under tarpaulin) and (iii) use by consumers. The key environmental factors of temperature, water availability and gas composition influence production. Spoilage will not happen if grain is stored at $\leq 0.70 a_w$ and CC which facilitates obtaining this level will assist and vice versa. For example, the drying of chilies in Pakistan uses particularly low technology where the fruit can be left to dry on the plant, or dried on the ground (Iqbal et al., 2011) and which will be affected by CC. There are various interactions between factors which assist safe storage (Wallace & Sinha, 1981). In addition, farms which can afford to keep silos within safe ranges may “only” experience increased costs from more energy expenditure (Paterson & Lima, 2010a). Storage will be difficult in cases where CC results in high moisture levels leading to problems with drying crops (Table 1).

Interspecific and intraspecific interactions will occur depending on the prevailing environmental conditions. Magan et al. (2003) developed an Index of Dominance ($I$) to interpret dominance in grains which varied with $a_w$ and temperature. Presumably, an assessment of the role of mycotoxins was outside the remit of the study. The most competitive species in wheat grain in the UK were Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus nidulans, Penicillium brevicompactum, Penicillium hordei, and Penicillium roqueforti, none of which are the predominant mycotoxicogenic species (with the possible exception of P. roqueforti). Furthermore, an ochratoxin A-producing strain of A. ochraceus dominated A. candidus and A. flavus at 18 °C in situ: At 30 °C it was not dominant against A. flavus (Magan et al., 2003), indicating that in temperate climates A. flavus may become problematic, if the temperature increases to 30 °C. F. verticilloides and Fusarium proliferatum form niches with other storage fungi such as Penicillium spp., A. flavus and A. ochraceus at 25 and 30 °C. A. ochraceus, and Alternaria alternata demonstrated changed interactions with altered environment. The niche overlap is in a state of flux and influenced significantly by water availability, temperature and nutrient status. The importance of such fluxes is crucial to understanding and controlling mycotoxicogenic fungi in the stored grain ecosystem, as will occur during CC, although more information on how mycotoxins affect competition is required (Paterson & Lima, 2010a).

Fusarium species incubated with Aspergillus niger resulted in an increase in fumonisin especially at 0.98 $a_w$, although under drier conditions an increase did not occur on maize (Marín, Sanchis, Rull, Ramos, & Magan, 1998), relevant to regions that will become dryer from CC. However, A. niger can also produce fumonisin (Frivad, Smidsgaard, Samson, Larsen, & Thrane, 2007; Noomin, Mahakarnchanakul, Nielsen, Frisvad, & Samson, 2009) and so the increase may relate to both fungi producing these compounds which was not considered. Deoxynivalenol was stimulated from F. culmorum with Microdochium nivale on wheat grain with 0.995 $a_w$ and reduced under drier conditions (0.955 $a_w$) with Alternaria tenuissima, Cadosporium herbolum and Penicillium verrucosum. Research on the effect of mycotoxins on the other fungi requires being undertaken.

5. Carbon dioxide change and mycotoxin production

Slightly elevated CO2 concentrations and interactions with temperature and water availability may stimulate growth of some mycotoxicogenic species, especially under water stress (Magan et al., 2011), although the information relating to this is slight. The concentration would increase from 0.03% to 0.08% in the atmosphere if the predicted CO2 increase from CC is maintained for the next 100 years (IPCC, 2007). Unfortunately, there is currently a lack of information on the effect of these low concentrations on fungi and mycotoxin production, hence more work is required. Magan et al. (2011) reported the effect of high concentrations on growth which may not be relevant to the low levels from CC. However, the increase in CO2 is predicted to increase the metabolism of crops providing higher yields. Increased stomata closure will be associated inevitably with lower latent heat loss, thereby increasing leaf temperatures and affecting how and which fungi infect the plant (DaMatta, Grandis, Arenque, & Buckeridge, 2010; Paterson & Lima, 2010a). It is now appropriate to consider the effects of CC on a region to region basis.

6. Specific regions

The temperature rise is expected to be highest over land and at high latitudes in the Northern hemisphere during the winter period (IPCC, 2007) and lowest at the coast, whilst increasing going inwards. Warming is lower in moist than arid regions in geographically similar areas and less warming over the Southern oceans and North Atlantic is expected (IPCC, 2007). A decrease in frost days and an increase in...
growing season length are projected to occur almost everywhere in the mid and high latitudes.

6.1. Africa 2020

Crop yields will be reduced by 50% in Africa (IPCC, 2007). With such a reduction in crops the overall total mycotoxins may be expected to decrease (scenario 2), although data to confirm this is required. However, an increase of 5 to 8% of arid and semi-arid land is also predicted which will cause drought stress on crops and perhaps more mycotoxins (Table 1). Furthermore, these conditions in tropical countries are associated with contamination (Paterson & Lima, 2010a; Table 1): changes in climate may lead to acute aflatoxicosis (Lewis et al., 2005; Paterson & Lima, 2010f). An increase in crops may be expected to occur in some currently cooler areas (e.g. regions at higher altitudes) (IPCC, 2007). Worsening food security and exacerbated malnutrition will occur with mycotoxins contributing to more disease in already weakened people and animals. However, storage conditions may improve (Miraglia et al., 2009). For example, chilies in Pakistan are often dried on the ground and this would be facilitated at higher temperatures (Iqbal et al., 2011).

6.2. Europe

A magnification of regional differences in natural resources and assets in Europe is predicted (IPCC, 2007). High temperature and drought will reduce water availability and crop productivity in the south. Warming in the order of 3 °C will result in a poleward dispersal of many plant species, or even entire communities, and changes in species assemblages (Pritchard, 2011). Vines are an example (Paterson & Lima, 2010a), with the possibility of increased ochratoxin A in UK wines (Paterson & Kozakiewicz, 2001) becoming a reality. There may be increased aflatoxin, ochratoxin A from Aspergillus spp., and fumonisins in sub Mediterranean counties (e.g., North Portugal) as the temperature increases. For example, aflatoxins in Northern Italy are now being reported (Giorni et al., 2008), as is the isolation of A. flavus from Hungary (Varga et al., 2007).

In some areas the quality of soils will deteriorate and in others, landslides and erosion phenomena due to run off will occur (Miraglia et al., 2009). Increased temperature and altered precipitation patterns might result in increased losses of soil minerals by leaching and erosion. Southern Europe will probably experience decreases of spring-sown crops (e.g. maize, sunflowers, and soybean) with them becoming more suitable for cultivation in Northern areas. Maize production is expected to increase by 30–50% in Northern European regions but to decrease strongly in the south of Europe. The mycotoxin problem may “follow the crop”.

In Southern and South-Eastern Europe (i.e. Portugal, Spain, Southern France, Italy, Slovenia, Greece, Malta, Cyprus, Bulgaria, and Southern Romania) an increase in the order of 4–5 °C is projected and water availability will be reduced particularly in summer. This effect combined could induce (i) decreased agricultural yields (in the range of 10–30% in many regions), (ii) drought, (iii) heat waves, (iv) soil and ecosystem degradation, and (v) desertification. The increase of violent rainfall will augment erosion and loss of organic matter from soil (European Commission, 2007). The effects on mycotoxins in crops can be assessed from Table 1, where drought and heat may increase aflatoxin levels generally.

Western and Atlantic Europe countries (e.g. Benelux, Western and Northern France, Northern Germany, United Kingdom (UK), Irish Republic, the Netherlands, and Denmark) will experience an increase of ca. 2.5–3.5 °C (2–3 °C for UK and Irish Republic) with dryer and hotter summers. Due to higher volumes and intensities of precipitation, strong storms and floods are projected to be more frequent particularly in winter (European Commission, 2007). Proper drying down of crops may be impaired causing increased mycotoxins in stored products (Table 1). CC will decrease UK spring rainfall and increase summer and winter temperatures over the next 50 years (Hulme et al., 2002; Miraglia et al., 2009). Impacts over the 21st century in the UK can be summarised as milder/wetter winters, hotter/drier summers and more extreme weather incidents. CC may indeed lead to different crops being grown from those normally associated with UK, again bring with them associated mycotoxins, assuming that the “Parasites Lost” phenomenon does not arise (see Section 7).

Areas of central Europe include Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary, Northern Romania, Southern and Eastern Germany, and Eastern Austria. An increase in the order of 3–4 °C (4–4.5 °C for Central Europe and Black Sea Regions) is projected and precipitation may increase in winter and decrease in summer, with an increased risk of floods. Agriculture is expected to be affected by soil erosion, loss of soil organic matter, migration of pests and diseases, summer drought and high temperature. However, in some regions, longer growing seasons will benefit crops (European Commission, 2007). It is relevant to point out that aflatoxin-producing fungi are being discovered from Hungary where before they were not (Varga et al., 2007).

In Norway, Sweden, Finland, and Baltic States (i.e. northern Europe) temperature increase in the order of 3–4.5 °C and an increase of yearly precipitation up to 40% are projected with risks for floods: Winter will be wetter (European Commission, 2007). The overall results of CC would be an increase in crop yield (10–30% for warming in the range 1–3 °C) and novel crops may be cultivated. Crop production could benefit due to a “lengthened growing seasons and longer frost free periods”. Crop yields and the area arable with crops are expected to expand in the direction. From an a priori perspective, this will tend to increase the total amount of mycotoxins (scenario 1), although more work to confirm this association is required.

6.3. Australia/New Zealand 2030

Australia and New Zealand present challenges peculiar to these countries. One issue is that more pasture-based grasses are grown as food for horses, cattle and sheep (Cawdell-Smith, Scrivener, & Bryden, 2010) with “sheep stagers” being an issue of possible increased occurrence. Conventional crops are also produced on a large scale. Australia will face increasing water security problems and production from agriculture will decline due to drought. However, there are initial increased yields projected for New Zealand. Australia may become too hot and dry for many crops per se, although problems may be mitigated by this being a developed region in that Good Agricultural Practice (GAP), mycotoxin analyses, fungal identification, etc. are all feasible at a high standard.

Important crops include vegetables, wheat and other cereals, sugarcane, deciduous fruits and grapes many of which are susceptible to mycotoxin contamination. Australian temperatures will rise dramatically by 2100 (Chakraborty et al., 1998). Changes (a) in host-pathogen interactions and geographical distribution will occur resulting in crop losses and (b) will occur in the importance, type and amount of pathogens and diseases. High CO2 and UV-B radiation may accelerate pathogen evolution and hence overcome host resistance from increased fecundity.

6.4. Asia

Mycotoxin risk is more difficult to ascertain for Asia from the IPCC report as there is a lack of information. The situation may be somewhat similar to Latin America, in that change will occur in already hot regions in some cases, with rain forest implications, and in countries which could be considered as developing. Predictions relating to tropical countries are also relevant. However, fresh water availability is to decrease by 2050 and coastal areas are at greatest risk from more flooding from the sea and rivers. Fewer crops/yields will occur from CC in currently warm regions and the crops produced will
be of lower quality due to the stress effects of CC. Consequently, they may contain more mycotoxins per unit weight of crops. Novel hot and dry conditions may affect storage conditions in positive manners. There will be greater damage from flooding, more ingress of fungi via the damaged crop, and consequently increased mycotoxins. Mycotoxins could impair seriously the availability of food and feed in developing countries in particular (Miraglia et al., 2009). In currently hot climates (IPCC, 2007) the temperatures reached will be extremely high and may lead to the extinction of the fungi. The temperatures in Pakistan have reached a staggering 53.7 °C (Iqbal et al., 2011) as reported in this study of aflatoxin in chilies, which will tend to decrease the levels of fungi generally. These temperatures are not considered in the isopleths of Sanchis and Magan (2004) and are much higher than the optima and higher than the parameters employed in Garcia et al. (2011). Indeed, the temperatures are of the order that could lead to extinction of fungal species (see Pringle et al., 2011).

6.5. Latin America 2050

There will be a significant increase in temperature, and decreased soil water (IPCC, 2007). The tropical forest will become savanna grassland and semi arid land crops will be replaced by arid vegetation. Significantly, tropical species extinction will occur. Crop productivity will decrease and lead to adverse food security situations (IPCC, 2007). It is stated that increased soybean production will occur in temperate zones, therefore, soybean mycotoxins may increase from there being more total crop. Soybeans have associated with them numerous mycotoxin producing fungi (CAST, 2003) and the potential contamination by mycotoxins exists. However, the crop is resistant to A. flavus growth and aflatoxin production especially if the crop is kept under dry conditions. Pildain et al. (2008) mention that Aspergillus arachidicola and Aspergillus minisclerotigenes were described as novel species from Argentinean peanuts and the fungi produced aflatoxin in culture. These isolates grew well at 42 °C and it is possible that these species may be replacing A. flavus.

6.6. North America

Warming in the western mountains of the USA will cause decreased snowpack, more winter flooding and reduced summer river flows (IPCC, 2007): water resources will be stretched. However, pre 2050 there will be an increase in rain-fed agriculture from 5 to 20% although with important variability amongst regions. Crops will be challenged at the warm end of the range and there will be a lack of water resources as mentioned. It is relevant that Bt cottonseed..
produced in Arizona had 5000 ppb of aflatoxin which resulted from unusually warm and humid conditions (Table 1). The predicted floods and drought will lead to more mycotoxins for reasons discussed above. Cool areas will change to hot, leading to more mycotoxins and more “hot temperature mycotoxins”. The floods and higher temperature will result in more difficult storage problems as these will favour fungal growth and mycotoxin production.

As part of the general “movement of crops to the Poles” (Pritchard, 2011) the production of tropical crops such as coconut, maize, soybeans, coffee, and cocoa may become optimal in currently sub tropical regions such as the Southern United States of America. In contrast, the current sub-tropical countries consist of the major developed countries, e.g. parts of the USA (Fig. 2). If we assume that tropical weather becomes the norm in currently sub tropical regions then the developed countries may be able to cope better with increased mycotoxins in tropical crops.

7. Parasites lost

The “Parasites lost” phenomenon may occur as crops are moved to new growing regions. Such crops often thrive, with increases in body mass and spread, from the loss of their associated pests resulting in a potential advantage in terms of fungi and mycotoxins. The introduced plant may experience the “enemy release” phenomenon which has been hypothesised, resulting in reduced natural enemy attack. For example, 473 plant species naturalised to the United States from Europe had on average, 84% fewer fungi infecting each plant species. The results indicated that the impact of invasive plants may be a function of release from and (in a few cases) accumulation of, natural enemies, including pathogens (Mitchell & Power, 2003).

8. Toxigenic fungi, biosynthesis rates and climate models

It can be predicted that CC will alter rates of mycotoxin formation (cf. Singh, Bardgett, Smith, & Reay, 2010). Global changes and the rate of mycotoxin formation can change according to the response of fungal communities (Fig. 3). However, the consequences are not known if CCs beyond the limits. For example, if the structure of the fungal community changes in such a way that the function also alters, a discontinuity in the response may occur and the response could move to a different path (Fig. 3, part c). Understanding these potential threshold effects remain key challenges in CC and mycotoxicology.
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Fig. 3. Effects of climate change on rates of mycotoxin formation (adapted from Singh et al., 2010). Carbon conversion to mycotoxins changes a component from state 1 to 2 at a rate $k$, mediated by the fungal biota present (Part a). Climate change directly influences the existing fungal communities without altering the community structure in the first scenario (Part b) which may cause a shift in the process rate, but its behaviour and controls remains unchanged. A shift in fungal community structure caused by global change could also alter the fundamental control mechanism of the process as in the second scenario (Part c).

9. The climate change mycotoxin cycle

This novel concept considers how CC could activate the “furnace of evolutionary change” (Fig. 4). Paterson, Sariah, Lima, Zainal Abidin, and Santos (2008) and Paterson and Lima (2009) discussed how mutagens produced during the culturing of fungi may exert changes in the structure to the DNA (e.g. mutations) affecting diagnostic methods and phylogenetic schemes. The possibility of increased mutation in fungi from CC is mentioned in Paterson and Lima (2010a) and the emergence of disease include evolution of new microbes (Olival & Daszak, 2005). Many mycotoxins are mutagenic and are well-known as a source of mutation in the environment. CC may result in increased amounts and different types of mutagenic mycotoxins on crops, which could lead to mutated strains of fungi also capable of producing mutagenic mycotoxins and so on in a cyclical manner (Fig. 4). The increase in UV radiation from CC related temperature increase will also increase mutations.

10. Amelioration strategy

Speijers et al. (2010) mention that GAP and Good Manufacturing Practice are useful to implement for the control of mycotoxins. Preventative and corrective measures are GAP, plant breeding and detoxification. Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point schemes for
mycotoxin control need to be further introduced. Crops can be planted during cooler parts of the season to avoid heat stress and Iqbal et al. (2011) demonstrated lower aflatoxin levels in chillies planted in winter in Pakistan. The introduction of GM crops could be considered. Training and capacity building with respect to mycotoxins per se, need to be improved, especially for developing countries. It is recommended that in regions where more crops will be grown from CC, mycotoxin analyses are maintained at a sufficiently high level and where fewer crops are grown adequate levels of analyses remain. Changing of cropping patterns, aflatoxin management technologies, and detoxification can all be considered (Magan et al., 2003) to avoid future exposure. van der Fels-Klerx et al. (2009) developed a European system for EM of fusarium in wheat. The indicators were, inter alia, relative humidity, temperature, water activity in kernels and rainfall. Such a system would be invaluable to monitor CC and EM if the gaps in information are overcome. Finally, the underlying policy frameworks in approaching these problems have to be considered.

11. Conclusions

In general there will be more mycotoxins in the new CC era. There may be an increase of “high temperature fungi and mycotoxins” such as A. flavus and aflatoxin. An “up shift” in regions will be experienced, e.g. sub-tropical regions become tropical, with associated changes in mycotoxin contamination patterns. There is little or no consideration e.g. sub-tropical regions become tropical, with associated changes in meteorological conditions. The 2003 heat wave as an example of summers in a Europe Commission (2007). Adapting to climate change in Europe — Options for EU action. Green paper from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM (2007) 035 final. SEC (2007), Brussels: European Commission.
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