Symptoms in a Population of Contact Lens and Noncontact Lens Wearers Under Different Environmental Conditions
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ABSTRACT

Purpose. To investigate ocular symptoms related to dryness in an adult population of contact lens (CL) and non contact lens wearers (n-CL) using video display terminals (VDT) for different periods of time under different indoor conditions related to air conditioning (AC) and heating units (HU) exposure.

Methods. A questionnaire was distributed to 334 people within a university population of which 258 were part of the n-CL group and 76 of the CL wearers to assess symptoms of ocular discomfort potentially related to dryness. Only soft contact lens (SCL) wearers (n H 71) were included for further statistical analysis because of the reduced number of people wearing other lens types. A 2:1 match by gender group of 142 subjects in the n-CL group was used as a control sample.

Results. There was a marked difference between the prevalence of symptoms and the way they are reported by CL and n-CL wearers. Red eye, itching, and scratchiness are more common among CL wearers, but the difference is statistically significant only for scratchiness (p < 0.01, χ²). The vast majority of subjects who reported symptoms often and at the end of the day are significantly more prevalent among CL wearers (p < 0.01, χ²). Gender differences were also encountered. Female CL wearers reported more scratchiness than males in the n-CL wearing group (p < 0.029, χ²) and in the CL group (p < 0.008, χ²). Females wearing CL reported symptoms of red eye (p = 0.043, χ²) and scratchiness (p < 0.001, χ²) more significantly than those in the n-CL group. Within the CL group, the prevalence of symptoms occurring sometimes or often and at the end of the day was higher among females (p < 0.001, χ²). The use of VDT was associated with a higher level of scratchiness among CL wearers (p < 0.05, χ²). The number of hours working with VDTs seemed to be associated with an increase in the prevalence of burning sensation in the CL group (p < 0.01, χ²), whereas symptoms like red eye and scratchiness also increased significantly among n-CL wearers. Compared to n-CL wearers, all symptoms increase in CL wearers in environments with AC and HU, except excessive tearing. However, these differences are only statistically significant for scratchiness.

Conclusions. Our results show that people who wear soft CL and work with VDTs for longer periods of time are more likely to develop symptoms like eye burning and scratchiness than n-CL wearers. This risk could be higher for women than men. Scratchiness and the appearance of symptoms near the end of the day are typically associated with ocular discomfort during CL wear in this sample, and clinicians should question their patients about these symptoms to anticipate serious discomfort.

(Optom Vis Sci 2007;84:1–●●●)
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Ocular dryness affects 35 to 60% of contact lens (CL) wearers and it is one of the most important causes of CL discontinuation in the medium and long terms. Women are more prone to suffer from dry eye and they are twice as likely to describe dryness symptoms than men. Pathologic dry eye seriously affects the patient’s quality of life, and is a contraindication for cosmetic CL wear. However, even in mild cases, dryness symptoms can be very challenging for patients wearing CL. Many studies have confirmed an increase in dry eye symptoms associated with CL wear. Previous studies have shown that dryness symptoms in CL wearers are seriously affected by environmental parameters, and a recent study has confirmed that such symptoms could be driven by thinning and instability of the prelens tear film in low relative humidity and low temperature environments.

Currently, the aging of the CL wearers in developed countries, along with the increase in the intensive use of video display terminals (VDT) and increased treatment of indoor environments could exacerbate dryness symptoms in CL wearers. The age-related systemic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, which are prone to exacerbate dry eye symptoms, and the use of drying medications such as diuretics and antihistaminic drugs are matters of further concern. In addition, the impact of refractive surgery procedures in tear function is well known. So, considering the demographic evolution, the increase in the prescription of soft contact lens (SCL) in the last 30 years, and the expansion of refractive surgery procedures in the last decade, patients wearing CL will present some of these symptoms more frequently in the future.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of ocular symptoms among CL and n-CL wearers under different environmental conditions and the use of VDT.

**METHODS**

This is a comparative analysis on the global report of ocular symptoms in an observational, cross-sectional study involving CL and n-CL wearers with 334 people in the academic population of the University of Minho (Braga, Portugal). The data were collected during November 2005. As patients completed the questionnaire, they gave their consent for data to be anonymously processed for this study. One hundred seventy of them were males (50.9%) and 164 were females (49.1%). The mean age was 25.4 ± 7.8 ranging from 18 to 61 years old. To homogenize the sample, five CL wearers were excluded from the sample because of they were using, or have been recently using, other types of lenses different from SCL. Thus, for statistical purposes only 71 CL wearers (22 males, 49 females) and 142 n-CL wearers (44 males, 98 females) in a 2:1 match by gender control group were analyzed.

A questionnaire was completed by 334 people (see Appendix) regarding symptoms of dry eye (“red eye”, “itching”, “excessive tearing”, “burning,” and sand sensation or “scratchiness”) and their frequency (“sometimes”, “often”, “all the time”, “early in the morning,” and at the “end of the day”). Despite other studies considering ocular discomfort or dryness symptoms, we chose these five symptoms as those are more specifically associated with CL-related dry eye symptoms; and dryness and discomfort were not questioned directly. Specific questions regarding environmental conditions at work/study place were included in order to obtain information about environmental factors that can potentially affect the prevalence of ocular symptoms. These include the use of VDT, type of VDT (cathode ray tube, CRT or thin film transistor, TFT), their average daily use in hours, and the use of heating units (HU) and air conditioning (AC) units at work/study place.

The statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS v14.0. Descriptive statistics were obtained to characterize the sample, the CL wear profile, and the prevalence of symptoms. To compare symptoms among CL and n-CL wearers or those under the environmental conditions quoted above, the Pearson $$\chi^2$$ test was used. Restrictions applied to this test include <20 elements involved in the comparison, all groups compared had more than one element, and at least 80% of the groups had more than five elements. The level of statistical significance was established for $$\alpha = 0.05$$, although other degrees of significance are also identified in tables and graphics throughout the text.

**RESULTS**

The distribution between CL and n-CL wearers was 33.3% and 66.7%, respectively. Only SCL wearers were considered for subsequent analysis. Demographic data regarding the patients actually included in the statistical analysis are listed in Table 1. All subjects in the CL group declared they used their lenses daily. In the group of CL wearers, 28.2% were using or had used artificial tears because of complaints, whereas only 3.5% described this fact in the n-CL wearing group.

**TABLE 1.**

Demographic data for CL and n-CL wear groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n-CL group</th>
<th>CL group**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size, n (%)</td>
<td>142 (67.7)</td>
<td>71 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio, n (%)</td>
<td>44:98 (31:69)</td>
<td>22:49 (31:69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>23.65 ± 5.12 (18–47)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 5.47 (19–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing time (yr), mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.93 ± 4.76 (0.1–25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Symptoms Among CL and n-CL Wearers**

Fig. 1 presents the prevalence of different symptoms in both groups. CL wearers present a higher prevalence of symptoms of red eye, itching and scratchiness, being statistically significant for red eye ($$p = 0.009, \chi^2$$), and scratchiness ($$p < 0.001, \chi^2$$). The opposite
A trend was found for burning sensation ($p = 0.033, \chi^2$). Fig. 2 presents the frequency of those symptoms (sometimes, often, constantly) and their pattern of appearance (early in the day, end of the day). No subject in either group presented symptoms “constantly” or “early in the day.” However, the proportion of CL wearers reporting the symptoms “often” is higher than that of the n-CL group ($p = 0.052, \chi^2$) and the symptoms are more likely to be noticed at the “end of the day” in the CL group ($p < 0.001, \chi^2$). The presence of occasional symptoms as described “sometimes” by the patients does not per se imply contact lens-related dry eye because its incidence is even higher in the n-CL wearing group ($p = 0.142, \chi^2$).

**Symptoms Among Male and Female CL and n-CL Wearers**

Table 2 shows the prevalence of symptoms for male and female subjects. The prevalence of burning sensation was significantly higher among females in the n-CL group ($p = 0.019, \chi^2$), whereas females wearing CL reported a significantly higher prevalence of scratchiness ($p = 0.008, \chi^2$) than males. Table 3 shows the pattern of appearance of symptoms for males and females in the CL and n-CL groups. Females are more likely to present symptoms “often” than males in the n-CL and CL groups ($p = 0.05, \chi^2$).

**TABLE 2.** Prevalence of symptoms as a function of gender for CL and n-CL wear groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n-CL group (n = 142)</th>
<th>CL group (n = 71)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (sig. p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red eye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 (25)</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31 (32)</td>
<td>23 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itching</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (25)</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
<td>$0.069^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41 (41)</td>
<td>13 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive tearing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 (23)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43 (44)</td>
<td>21 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (43)</td>
<td>10 (46)</td>
<td>$0.019^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63 (64)</td>
<td>20 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratchiness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>20 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Statistically significant at 0.05 level.

$^b$Chi-squared test not applicable because more than 20% have expected count <5 for some group.

$^c$Statistically significant at 0.01 level.

$^d$Statistically significant at 0.1 level.

**TABLE 3.** Frequency of symptoms between males and females for CL and n-CL groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n-CL group (n = 142)</th>
<th>CL group (n = 71)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (sig. p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29 (66)</td>
<td>14 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>61 (62)</td>
<td>23 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>$0.038^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>15 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early in the day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (14)</td>
<td>10 (45)</td>
<td>$0.001^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40 (41)</td>
<td>28 (57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Statistically significant at 0.05 level.

$^b$Chi-squared test not applicable because more than 20% have expected count <5 for some group.

$^c$Statistically significant at 0.01 level.

$^d$Statistically significant at 0.1 level.
However in the CL group, females reported more frequently again that they felt the symptoms “often” (p = 0.049, χ²). 

Symptoms Among CL and n-CL Wearers and VDT

Daily use of VDT was reported by 98.5% of people answering the questionnaire. Of those included in the statistical analysis, 49.5% use CRT displays, 43.8% use TFT displays, and 6.7% use both of them, with different daily exposure profiles as observed in Fig. 3. When compared with CRT, the proportion of people using TFT displays increases as the number of hours of daily use increases. Although the number of CRT displays is higher for those using them for <3 h/day, TFT displays are more frequent for those using them more than 3 h/day, particularly for the more intensive users (>6 h/day).

Figures 4a and 4b depict the pattern of symptoms presentation in CL and n-CL wear groups as a function of the daily exposure to VDTs. Comparative prevalence of symptoms among different VDTs users showed that scratchiness was significantly more frequent in CL wearers using both types of terminals for more than 3 h/day. For those using them for 3 to 6 h/day, scratchiness was significantly more prevalent in CL than n-CL wearers using VDTs 3 to 6 h/day (p = 0.008, χ²). In general, the number of hours using VDTs did not affect the pattern of appearance of the symptoms, except for the response “end of the day” that presented statistically significant differences with increasing hours for n-CL group (p = 0.017, χ²). For those using VDTs for 3 to 6 h a day, the percentage of patients reporting symptoms at the “end of day” was significantly higher in the CL group (p = 0.006, χ²). This behavior was also observed for those CL wearers using VDTs for 6 to 9 h a day (p = 0.002, χ²).

In general, however, the number of hours using VDTs did not affect the pattern of appearance of the symptoms, except for the response “end of the day” that presented statistically significant differences with increasing hours for n-CL group (p = 0.017, χ²). For those using VDTs for 3 to 6 h a day, the percentage of patients reporting symptoms at the “end of day” was significantly higher in the CL group (p = 0.006, χ²). This behavior was also observed for those CL wearers using VDTs for 6 to 9 h a day (p = 0.002, χ²).

Overall, the prevalence of most symptoms is more frequent in CL than n-CL wearers as seen in Figures 4a and 4b. A statistical comparison between n-CL and CL wearers has shown that “red eye” (p = 0.040, χ²) and “burning sensation” (p = 0.005, χ²) are significantly more frequent in CL wearers than n-CL wearers using VDTs 3 h/day; for those using VDTs 3 to 6 h/day scratchiness was significantly more prevalent in CL than n-CL wearers (p = 0.008, χ²).

Symptoms Among CL and n-CL Wearers and Indoor Environment Conditions (AC and HU)

Among those working/studying in AC and HU environments, the prevalence of symptoms increased in CL wearers compared to n-CL wearers except for the burning sensation (Fig. 5). Scratchiness was the only symptom with a significantly higher prevalence among CL than n-CL wearers using AC (p < 0.006, χ²) and HU (p < 0.005, χ²).

DISCUSSION

Ocular dryness and related symptoms continue to be the main complaint among CL wearers and it is believed that this is why CL wearers discontinue their use and opt for other vision correction strategies such as refractive surgery. Discomfort was indicated as the main reason by 51% of patients that discontinued CL wear in the UK. In this study, we have identified a higher prevalence of certain symptoms potentially associated with changes to the ocular surface in the CL wear population. Those who “often” reported symptoms increased significantly in the CL wearing group (24%) compared...
to n-CL wearers (13%). This is consistent with the results presented by Fonn et al., who described an almost linear decrease in patient comfort with different types of hydrogel and silicone hydrogel CL during a 7-h period among a group of symptomatic CL wearers. The level of scratchiness was the most significant difference between CL and n-CL wearers. Also, symptoms are more likely reported at the end of the day; 53.5% of CL wearers reported symptoms later in the day, whereas only 32% of n-CL wearers reported this.

The main reasons for the presence of these symptoms may be found in the tear stability, or lack of it, over the CL material, which can be adversely affected by environmental conditions of air temperature (AT) and relative humidity (RH). It is generally accepted that prelens tear stability is significantly affected by low humidity environments. In a recent study, Maruyama et al. have concluded that no statistically significant differences in tear volume were detected under different AT (10 to 35°C) and RH (10 to 50%) conditions. However, they found that although noninvasive tear break-up time was independent of the environmental conditions without a CL in place, it decreased significantly as the air became dryer and colder for high and low water content SCL. These findings were associated with an increase of dryness complaints, particularly in high water content SCL. Nichols et al. have recently described similarities between the thinning of the prelens and precorneal tear film involving evaporation, dewetting, and pressure-gradient flows. However, the thinning process was more rapid over the CL material and the authors related more rapid thinning to dewetting processes. This could explain the higher prevalence of symptoms among CL wearers, particularly at the end of the day when the CL surface wettabiliy could be more seriously affected.

For the population in this study, the use of heating devices in the work place might enhance ocular symptoms, which was not the case for those using air conditioner units that seemed to present a weaker correlation with the raising of ocular symptoms.

We noticed that working with VDTs can also influence the frequency of symptoms, particularly for those using TFT displays. However, this was probably related to a more intensive use of these displays rather than to the nature of the VDT. Indeed, for this population, the daily use of VDT was significantly higher than that reported by Begley et al. for the general population. Working with computers is a relevant matter of concern when fitting SCL to patients with the VDT exposure pattern reported in the present study. The fact that the most intensive VDT users do not present any severe symptoms suggests that a limited number of hours (perhaps between 3 and 6 h of computer use) might become irritating for CL wearers, and that above that number there is no increased impact on the wearer. However, more specific studies should be carried out to confirm this hypothesis.

The proportion of CL wearers reporting symptoms at the end of the day is almost twice as large for those in the n-CL group. In a recent study, Begley et al. have shown that for all symptoms under study, Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) and non-Sjögren’s syndrome keratoconjunctivitis sicca (non-SS KCS) groups presented an increase in the number of subjects who reported moderate to aggravated symptoms in the evening. For example, 67% of subjects with SS and 32% of subjects with non-SS KCS reported moderate to severe discomfort in the morning vs. 90% in the SS group and 60% in the non-SS KCS group in the evening. In the present study, almost no subject reported symptoms early in the day. This suggests that the pattern of appearance of symptoms (morning vs. evening) could be important to differentiate between pathological and marginal CL-related symptoms.

Furthermore, a recent study has shown that clinicians often underestimate the severity of dry eye conditions, particularly as far as older women are concerned. In the authors’ opinion although it is not possible to evaluate a general population directly, this suggests that CL wearers are at risk of developing symptoms which cannot be correctly managed might face a risk of CL intolerance in the future, if the clinicians rely only on clinical signs of dry eye to change the fitting/wearing strategy. However, to date, no standard tool has been provided for a proper subjective evaluation of CL related symptoms. Meanwhile, direct questions must be asked to patients wearing CL about their eye sensations and the way that these present themselves. Scratchiness at the end of the day appears to be key points to detect subtle problems in an early stage. This fits with the conclusions drawn in a recent study. Our study shows that this is even more important for females, for intensive VDT users, and for subjects who work in indoor heated environments. Strategies such as more frequent lens replacement, more intense cleaning, and a reduced wear schedule should be adopted earlier to maintain comfortable and safe CL wear.

In general terms, our results suggest that those CL wearers, particularly young women that use of VDT for long hours in air conditioned rooms, run a higher risk of presenting certain symptoms (mainly scratchiness) at the end of the day. If not solved, such a condition could lead to the discontinuation of CL wear in the
medium term. The “at risk” group corresponds to the prototype of the most common contact lens wearers in Portugal to be fitted for the first time or refitted. Within this specific populations, 66.5% are female and aged 28 ± 10 (whole sample); 20% of the patients who are refitted describe frequent symptoms vs. 10% of first fits, and 30% describe symptoms in the evening against 16% in the first fitting group. These differences are statistically significant at p = 0.05 and p = 0.01 levels, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

Current demographic and socioeconomic trends along with the current CL wearer profile could lead to an increasing proportion of CL-related symptoms among the world population of CL wearers. Despite significant improvements in CL materials and palliative treatments that could reduce these problems in the future, clinicians should consider new standards of subjective evaluation. This includes the prefitting investigation of risk factors that can potentially affect CL tolerance in the medium and long terms, and a proper follow-up schedule with direct questions that allow early detection of symptoms that can suggest changes in the CL wearing strategy.
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APPENDIX

Please, answer the following questions placing ☐ where appropriate.

Preliminary Data

- Male ☐ Female ☐ Age ☐ Occupation ☐
- Do you wear contact lenses?
  No ☐ I do not wear contact lenses ☐
  Yes ☐ Soft/Hydrogel ☐ Rigid and Rigid Gas Permeable ☐ For how long? ☐ years/months

Questionnaire

1. Have you ever used drops for your eyes?
  No ☐ Yes ☐ Which kind: Drugs ☐ Artificial Tears/Saline ☐

2. Which kind of symptoms/signs did you feel after a normal day working/studying?
  Red eye ☐ Itching ☐ Excessive tearing ☐ Scratchiness ☐ Burning ☐

3. How frequently you feel this/these sign(s)/symptom(s)?
  Never ☐ Sometimes ☐ Frequently ☐ Constantly ☐
  There is a specific part of the day when you feel them more?
  Early in the day ☐ End of the day ☐

4. Do you use to work/study in closed rooms with some of the following environments?
  Air conditioned ☐ Heating units ☐ Dust ☐ Chemicals ☐

5. Do you use frequently computers at your working/studying place?
  Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Do you feel some irritation after having using a computer for a prolonged period?
  Yes ☐ No ☐ I do not use computers ☐

7. Which kind of screen use your computer?
  Conventional (CRT or TV-like) ☐ Flat screen (TFT, LCD or laptop-like) ☐

Note. This questionnaire is anonymous. By answering this questionnaire you agree that this data would be used with scientific and teaching purposes by staff of the Department of Optometry at the University of Minho.

Signature
AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

1—Please indicate where the footnote \(^d\) should be placed in Table 3.

2—Because there were multiple duplicate references (orig. 12 & 25, 17 & 28, 7 & 20, 2 & 27) the duplicates have been deleted and the remaining have been renumbered. Please check throughout.

3—Kindly update this reference (if possible).