Research on the Education and Learning of Adults

Series Editors

(On behalf of the European Society for Research
on the Education of Adults)
Emilio Lucio-Villegas (University of Seville, Spain)
Barbara Merrill (University of Warwick, United Kingdom)
Henning Salling Olesen (Roskilde University, Denmark)
Cristina C. Vieira (University of Coimbra, Portugal)

Editorial Advisory Board

Michal Bron Jr. (Södertörn University College, Sweden)
Darlene Clover (University of Victoria, Canada)
Gökçe Guvercin (Malpete University, Turkey)
Anja Heikkinen (University of Tampere, Finland)
Licinio C. Lima (University of Minho, Portugal)
Adrianna Nizinska (Goteborg University, Sweden)
Joanna Ostrouch-Kamińska (University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland)
Angela Pilch Ortega (Graz University, Austria)
Georgios Zarifis (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece)

VOLUME 11

The titles published in this series are listed at *brill.com/esre*

Remaking Communities and Adult Learning

Social and Community-based Learning, New Forms of Knowledge and Action for Change

Edited by

Rob Evans, Ewa Kurantowicz and Emilio Lucio-Villegas



LEIDEN | BOSTON



This is an open access title distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 license, which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. Further information and the complete license text can be found at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

The terms of the CC license apply only to the original material. The use of material from other sources (indicated by a reference) such as diagrams, illustrations, photos and text samples may require further permission from the respective copyright holder.

Cover illustration: Artwork by Rob Evans

All chapters in this book have undergone peer review.

The Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available online at https://catalog.loc.gov

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 2542-9345 ISBN 978-90-04-51801-8 (paperback) ISBN 978-90-04-51802-5 (hardback) ISBN 978-90-04-51803-2 (e-book)

Copyright 2022 by Rob Evans, Ewa Kurantowicz and Emilio Lucio-Villegas. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Hotei, Brill Schöningh, Brill Fink, Brill mentis, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Böhlau and V&R unipress.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Requests for re-use and/or translations must be addressed to Koninklijke Brill NV via brill.com or copyright.com.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Contents

The European Society for Research on the Education of Adults (ESREA) IX
Acknowledgements XI
List of Figures XII
List of Acronyms XIII
Notes on Contributors XIV

Introduction: Remaking Communities and Adult Learning 1
Rob Evans, Ewa Kurantowicz and Emilio Lucio-Villegas

PART 1 Popular Education Looking Back, Looking Forward

- Adult Learning and Mainstream Education Discourse: Revisiting Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed 19 Licínio C. Lima
- 2 Resisting Mainstream Lifelong Learning: The Contributions of Popular Education and Participatory Research 37

 Emilio Lucio-Villegas
- Lifelong Education in Diverse Communities: Reading Ettore Gelpi and Leonardo Zanier on Complex Environments 'in Transition' 51

 Davide Zoletto
- Is Active Citizenship a Forgotten Idea in Europe? Educational Interventions in Five European Countries 64

 Monika Noworolnik-Mastalska

PART 2 Knowledge Democracy, New Pedagogies, Creative Inclusion

Co-Constructing Knowledge and Communities: Community-University Research Partnerships and Participatory Research Training 79 Walter Lepore, Yashvi Sharma, Budd L. Hall and Rajesh Tandon VI CONTENTS

6 Women's and Gender Museums: Feminist Pedagogies for Illumination, Imagination, Provocation, and Collaboration 94 Darlene E. Clover

Living and Learning with Dementia: Implications for Re-Making
 Community Life 110
 Jocey Quinn

PART 3 Social Learning and Activism for Change

- 8 Regaining Lost Community Knowledge: The Impact of Individual and Collective Biographical Work 127

 Rozalia Ligus
- 9 Social Learning and Building Solidarity: Learning in the Context of a Natural Disaster 142 Angela Pilch Ortega
- The 'Pulsating Activism' of Polish Activists: Oscillation between the Mainstream and the Margins 156

 Anna Bilon-Piórko
- Learning (for) Civil Disobedience in Poland: Extinction Rebellion as a New Form of Social Movement 170 Marta Gontarska, Paweł Rudnicki and Piotr Zańko

PART 4 Re-Making Community

- 12 Changes in Community Life 191 Marjorie Mayo
- Learning to Make (and Remake) Society: Social Mediation and Mediators' Learning Biographies 205Rob Evans

CONTENTS

14 Social Pedagogy and Community Networks: Global Coexistence in Pandemic Times 228 José Antonio Caride, Rita Gradaílle and Laura Varela Crespo

15 Communities and Adult Learning in the Making and Remade 242 Rob Evans, Ewa Kurantowicz and Emilio Lucio-Villegas

Index 251

Adult Learning and Mainstream Education Discourse

Revisiting Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed

Licínio C. Lima

Abstract

At different scales and involving various dimensions, adult learning and education take place in diverse communities. Cultural, linguistic, religious, gender, ethnical, class and economic differences, among many others, may be sources of discrimination or of democratic dialogue and conviviality in political and social terms, also including adult learning and education environments.

Based on a Freirean perspective, and especially on his major work *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, a critical analysis of adult learning and education policies and practices as "cultural invasion" for discrimination or as "dialogical action" for liberation is presented. Observing global and local policies based on rational-instrumental conceptions of adult learning which stress in a hyperbolic manner the promotion of individual skills as the main solution for economic competitiveness – which may be considered as a sort of oppressive pedagogism – possible impacts on communities and societies will be discussed in terms of democracy and active citizenship, solidarity and cooperation, the process of humanization of human beings and their capacity to live together in diverse communities.

Keywords

adult education – adult learning policies – community education – qualificationism – pedagogism – European Union – Paulo Freire – dialogical action – pedagogy of the oppressed – cultural invasion

1 Introduction: Monocultural Policies for Diverse Communities?

As human communities become increasingly diverse and heterogeneous as a result of migratory flows, the recognition of political and social rights and

freedom of religion, active gender equality policies, and a whole range of social policies aiming to combat discrimination in all of its forms, adult learning and education clearly face new challenges. The challenge is not simply to adapt to changes to contemporary society, but rather to participate in the processes of cultural and educational transformation. In diverse and pluralistic communities that seek peaceful coexistence and dialogue between cultures and subcultures, cooperation and solidarity and the ability to live and learn together, adult learning and education policy and practice cannot be driven by monocultural agendas and narrow political and economic interests, or exclusionary processes of modernisation and competition. Education policies that promote dialogical action, active democracy and citizen participation, participatory research methods, reflexive community work and practical experience of organisation, self-governance, and sustainable development, are essential to the democratisation of adult learning and education policy and practice. Moreover, both historically and today, there is a significant connection between popular and community education and the promotion of democracy and citizenship (see Walters & Kotze, 2018).

Although the declarations of principles of the major international and supranational organisations frequently allude to the relationship between adult learning and education and human rights, democracy, citizenship and social inclusion, business has increasingly encroached on the world of education, calling for "entrepreneurial spirit" and managerialist approaches, human resource management and policies that focus on the qualification of human capital. In the specific case of the European Union, the subordination of adult learning and education to employability targets, economic competitiveness and increasing workforce productivity places greater stress on adaptation, competitiveness and rivalry between citizens than on the values of social transformation, solidarity, dialogue and cooperation. The hegemonic approach of learning for economic competitiveness tends to adopt a monocultural perspective in which capitalist business assumes institutional centrality, disseminating a pedagogy of entrepreneurialism and competition, which undervalues cultural diversity, dialogue and action.

Half a century after its publication, against this backdrop of instrumentalist educational policy, Paulo Freire's masterpiece, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, a seminal work in the field of Critical Pedagogy written in Chile between 1967 and 1968 and published in English for the first time in 1970, remains a powerful resource for criticising technicist, instrumentalist approaches to education, training and learning, as opposed to education as a means of constant problem-posing and an active practice of freedom, proposed by the same author in his previous book *Education as the Practice of Freedom* (Freire, 1967).

Political pedagogy and the concepts presented in *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* and revisited and developed in the following decades can serve as a basis for analysing many of today's prevalent education policy documents, particularly European Union texts, but also those produced by other bodies such as the *Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development* (OECD), and debating the shifting definition of education under an agenda driven by skills, the strengthening of human capital and the promotion of employability and competitiveness.

In what I will refer to as an entrepreneurialist pedagogy - one based on promotion of entrepreneurial spirit, with the purported aim of filling gaps, scarcities and shortages of skills and qualifications – by nature tailored to the new capitalist economy, the promotion of employment and social inclusion, this chapter will interrogate the focus on qualifications as a phenomenon of "cultural invasion", "accommodation" and "deproblematisation of the future" (Freire, 1975a). In more general terms, the frequently depoliticised and socially atomised stress placed on the right skills, purportedly tailored to the job market, presents an inherent risk of becoming an oppressive pedagogy. If we declare the other to be uncompetitive and unsuited to the world – even to the "world of oppression" which today presents many facets and forms - it becomes necessary for them to be immersed in programmes, often "extensionalist" or charitable by nature, transforming them into a "pure object of their actions" (1975a, p. 186). This conditioning – though presented as the result of a free choice without rational alternatives – is based on the reification of the subject, transforming him or her into an essentially passive target, the object of economic and managerialist dictates that claim to guarantee employability and inclusion of all individuals capable of managing their individual learning and strengthening their skills as "a core strategic asset for growth" (European Union, 2012, p. 2). As such, it breaks with the problem-posing, participative and discursive approach of liberation pedagogy, which, according to Freire (1975a, p. 78), cannot result from donation or from pseudo-participation, but only from "true organisation", in other words, non-oligarchic organisation "in which individuals are subjects in the act of organising themselves" (p. 207) and where the exercise of leadership is incompatible with acts of managerialism and vanguardism.

Education as a Process of Humanisation

According to Freire, education is, ultimately, an ongoing process of humanisation and liberation of human beings. Therefore, the pedagogy he proposed was a pedagogy *of* the oppressed and not a pedagogy *for* the oppressed. The

central idea of this work is that if the oppressed 'host' the oppressor within themselves, it is through the process of becoming aware that they may free themselves from the oppressor while, simultaneously, freeing the oppressor from their condition.

The key ideas of the work include criticism of "banking education", "cultural invasion" and the "slogan", and present the concepts of "problem-posing education", "dialogical education", "critical consciousness", "generative themes", "freedom" and "authority", "immersion/emersion", "lifting the veil", and "the viable unknown" (or *untested feasibility*), among others. Criticisms of "banking education", oligarchic and bureaucratic structures, vanguardist and managerialist leadership, dogmatism and propaganda, the "objectification" of the masses and "populism" and "elitism", as forms of sectarianism, are among the key principles of Freire's radical democratic pedagogy. The epistemological and pedagogical consequences of this radical nature are a common thread in much of his work, associated with notions of radical, participatory democracy, participation, citizenship, permanent education, etc.

However, Freire does not stop at denouncing oppression and the reproduction of injustice. He proposes alternatives, presenting a world of possibilities for transformation, and, through words and acts, proclaims the power of dream and utopia.

Freire presents an alternative to what he calls "humanitarianist", "paternalist" and "assistentialist" approaches, refusing to adopt a view based on the salvation of the oppressed and, by extension, the unqualified, those with low levels of education, or with few skills. As Freire (1975a) wrote, "Attempting to liberate the oppressed without their reflective participation in the act of liberation is to treat them as objects which must be saved from a burning building" (p. 72).

Therefore, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* has great potential for criticising the technocratic, modernising, and normalising positions that dominate today the theory of skills gaps, and the approach that reduces lifelong education – from birth to death – to a matter of continuous training and human resource management, subject to the fetishisation of "narrowly defined" skills, supposedly capable of attracting investment in an increasingly competitive market (Mayo, 2014, p. 9). Freire is notable for his political and educational clarity and his epistemological and pedagogical approach to permanent education, currently neglected or underappreciated, and his rejection of the vocational and technicist approaches which have, conversely, become dominant. As he later wrote, in *Under the Shade of This Mango Tree*,

The technicist view of education, which reduces it to pure, and moreover neutral, technique, works towards the instrumental *training* of the

learner, in the belief that there is no longer any conflict of interests, that everything is more or less the same. From this view, what is important is purely technical training, the standardisation of content, the transmission of a well-behaved *knowledge of results*. (Freire, 1995, p. 79)

In his final book, *Pedagogy of Freedom* (Freire, 1996, p. 15), he was yet more emphatic: "I insist once again that education (or 'formation' as I sometimes call it) is much more than a question of training a student to be dexterous or competent".

However, the Freirean approach to permanent education finds no place in the political rationale of lifelong acquisition of skills and qualifications, which gave rise to the creation of a European space for the promotion of "entrepreneurial skills and competences", aimed at tackling the problems of "skills shortages" and the "need to upgrade skills for employability", in order to increase economic productivity and growth (European Union, 2012, pp. 2, 6, 16).

In archetypal "human capital theory" and "human resource management" approaches, and according to the logic of clients and consumers of educational products and services, traded in a global "learning market", the subjects of training are viewed as "raw materials" — objects to be shaped, adapted and accommodated. They are often viewed, in the words of Freire, as "patients", undergoing "treatment" or "therapy", through the provision of commodified services capable of offering the required training solutions (Lima, 2018).

Therefore, contrary to a long tradition of thought, particularly in the fields of adult education and popular and community education, it is based on a negative; on the perceived deficiencies or limitations of the "recipients" or "target groups", which it attempts to overcome, rather than building on participants' culture, lived experience and "reading of the world", with a view to revitalisation and critical problem-posing. It fixates on vocational approaches and functional modernisation, exogenous and hierarchical in nature, either through training service provision and the learning experience market, or through assistentialist public programmes. In both cases, it creates a significant risk of a return to "extensionism", and its antidialogical dimensions of "domestication" and "normalisation", analysed by Freire (1975b), for example in his work Extension or Communication? Such approaches are typical of the technocratic view of learning for employment and ignore the fact that not all forms of technical and vocational education can be considered to be decent and fair, with democratic and social qualities, necessarily incorporating participative decision-making processes and discussion of the values, objectives, content, processes, organisation and assessment of the professional training by the learners themselves.

The view of permanent education as a means of humanisation and transformation is founded on drastically different reasoning, which Paulo Freire justifies in the following terms:

Education is permanent not because it is required by a given ideological approach or political position or economic interest. Education is permanent because of, on the one hand, the finitude of human beings, and, on the other, the awareness human beings have of their own finitude. (Freire, 1993, p. 20)

As the author makes clear, human beings are not simply unfinished beings; they are also the only beings to be aware of their own unfinished nature:

This means that humans, as historical beings, are finite, limited, unfinished beings, but conscious of their own unfinishedness. Therefore, they are beings in constant search, naturally in a process, beings that, having humanisation as their vocation, are, however, faced with the incessant threat of dehumanisation, as a historical distortion of this vocation. (Freire, 1993, p. 18)

According to Freire, over and above providing social skills, qualities and abilities that prepare learners for the labour market, permanent education makes an essential contribution to the humanisation of human beings and the fulfilment of their intellectual vocation, through critical interpretation of the world and active participation in the process of transforming it. The unfinished nature of human beings, and not the rationale of shortages and gaps in the skills needed for growth and employment, provides substantive justification for permanent education. Therefore, it is not founded on a negative, but rather on hope, without which "there is no human existence, and therefore no history" (Freire, 2017, p. 1).

As we will see, the focus of education policy in the European Union and other international bodies stands in stark contrast, and often in opposition, to this view, replacing the ongoing quest to "make history" in a world of possibilities with truisms about the "inexorability of the future", almost always "considered to be a given", in the terms used by Freire (1992, pp. 92, 101–102) in *Pedagogy of Hope: Reliving Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. This is why the European Union, adopting an imperative and at times slightly dramatic tone, constantly urges us to adapt or risk perishing. This applies, in particular, to individuals classified as lacking in "key competencies" or belonging to "target groups identified as priorities in the national, regional and/or local contexts, such as individuals

needing to update their skills" (European Union, 2006a, p. 11) and reinforce their employability, defined as "the capacity to secure and keep employment" (European Union, 2000, p. 5).

3 Qualificationism as Cultural Invasion, Accommodation and Deproblematisation of the Future

Since the Memorandum on Lifelong Learning (European Union, 2000) at the latest, there has been strong insistence that "lifelong learning must accompany a successful transition to a knowledge-based economy and society" (2000, p. 3). Political discourse is centred on individuals, who are responsible for their decisions, since lifelong learning is defined as something that "[...] concerns everyone's future, in a uniquely individual way" (p. 3). "Levels of investment in human resources" must increase considerably (p. 4), an essential condition for increasing economic competitiveness and employment within the European Union. All education, and in particular professional and vocational education, is considered to be a motor for change, within which "teachers and trainers become guides, mentors and mediators", helping each learner to manage their own learning (p. 14). Social and community dimensions are erased by the competitive individualisation of learning proposed by the EU.

In addition to its instrumental, corporate and managerialist language, and despite prevailing generic allusions to the exercise of active citizenship occurring hand in hand with employability without notable tension, (European Union, 2000, p. 4), the general tone of this, and subsequent European documents, exhibits a degree of vanguardism and dirigisme, evident in its heavily prescriptive tone. The idea that the world has moved, and will, supposedly, continue to move in a certain direction is presented as irrefutable fact. Adaptation to this reality, to market demands, and new digital technologies is imperative in the technical determinist European Union approach to qualifications. To this end, its documents state that, "lifelong learning needs to build on strong collaboration and synergies between industry, education, training and learning settings. At the same time, education and training systems need to adapt to this reality" (European Union, 2018, p. 2). Adaptation is the keyword, just as private sector business is the institutional archetype and the legitimate source of social and personal attributes in pursuit of business-related qualifications, "essential skills and attitudes including creativity, initiative-taking, teamwork, understanding of risk and a sense of responsibility" (2018, p. 4).

Despite the complex, systematic consultations that the various European Union bodies claim to undertake, there is a clear political and institutional

prevalence of economically-motivated, technocratic approaches, intrinsically aligned with various dimensions that Freire associated with the theory of antidialogical action, dividing, categorising, creating hierarchies and focusing on the accumulation of skills and qualifications that are, for the most part, predetermined and constantly refer to a banking concept of education and training. What is more, the prevailing theory of deficits not only gives rise to a one sided, monocultural approach, but also appears to dispense with pluralist and open discussion with respect to the "unveiling" of reality and the low intensity of democratic debate. The great challenges facing the world have already been identified. They are not an issue under debate, but rather an apparently unanimously agreed starting point revealed to us by the texts, which invite us to "sign up" and act accordingly. They aim to conquer us, paradoxically claiming to mobilise us at the implementation phase, having demobilised us during the construction process. This leads to a form of conditioning – a narrowing of options that promotes accommodation, "de-problematisation of the future" and a rigid, culturally invasive agenda, which standardises and "rolls out" its modernising and normalising efforts.

The qualificationist ideology imposes a worldview and a culture that is presented as rationally superior from the technical and instrumental point of view, supposedly the only view capable of successfully rising to the (also supposedly universally acknowledged) challenges of adaptation "to the increasingly inevitable changes in the labour market", "employment and social inclusion", "the ongoing digital revolution", and "increasing productivity" (European Union, 2012, pp. 2, 4, 11). As one European Union document, entitled "Rethinking Education: Investing in skills for better socio-economic outcomes" concludes:

Europe will only resume growth through higher productivity and the supply of highly skilled workers, and it is the reform of education and training systems which is essential to achieving this. (European Union, 2012, p. 17)

In its efforts to "Create a European Area for Skills and Qualifications" (European Union, 2012, p. 16) capable of harnessing "real world experience" – to be read as the world of business and economic competitiveness – which identifies the study of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM subjects) as a "priority area of education" (pp. 4–5), the qualificationist ideology not only limits the understanding, scope and content of education but, more significantly, tends to abandon the very concept of education itself. It adopts a functional and adaptive approach, driven by the promotion of qualifications, skills, abilities and learning outcomes, all of which focus on tackling "skills shortages", "skills gaps and mismatches" and the resulting risks associated with

"low-skilled people" (European Union, 2016a, p. 2). The same document, entitled "A new skills agenda for Europe: Working together to strengthen human capital, employability and competitiveness", states that in a context defined by "human capital" requirements, and faced with the current "global race for talent" (p. 2), it is essential to invest in skills that "are a pathway to employability and prosperity" (p. 2), as well as "entrepreneurial mindsets and skills needed to set up their own business" among young people (p. 2). Adopting a managerialist "just in time" strategy, the document adds that "The supply of the right skills at the right time is key for enabling competitiveness and innovation" (p. 11), thus serving "to help bridge the gap between education and training and the labour market" (p. 13). Curiously, there are constant references to pedagogy, which is considered to be innovative and flexible in spirit, or, in other words entrepreneurial:

Particular attention will be given to innovation in pedagogy; this will include supporting flexible curricula, promoting interdisciplinary and collaborative approaches within institutions, and supporting professional development to enhance innovative teaching practice, including ways of using and bringing digital tools into the classroom and stimulating entrepreneurial mindsets. (p. 16)

"Education for entrepreneurship", from the primary level, "entrepreneurial education" and the "creation of an entrepreneurial culture" (European Union, 2016b, pp. 12–26), are at the heart of current European Union education policy, which considers it "[...] essential not only to shape the mind-sets of young people but also to provide the skills, knowledge and attitudes that are central to developing an entrepreneurial culture" (2016b, p. 9). The agenda presented is systematic, strongly prescriptive and employs arguments that aim to "persuade", to make people internalise its rhetoric and to dominate through "slogans" and what Freire (1993, p. 63) called the "acritical nature of clichés". It is part of a process of "conquest", and socialisation – sometimes showing traces of indoctrination – based on a qualificationist ideology that often makes promises it is unable to fulfil, thus constructing a world based on widely accepted myths.

The process of "mythologising the world", which Freire (1975a) refers to in *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, encompasses a vast body of myths, in a constant process of production and reproduction. Those previously identified by Freire (1975a, pp. 195–197) include the myth that "we are all free to work where we want", reinforced today by freedom of movement within the European Union, and the myth that "anyone who is industrious can become an entrepreneur", today viewed as more a matter of entrepreneurial skills and the right

combination of intelligence and effort, resulting in a fair, meritocratic reward. In both cases, the permeation of business in education and culture has fostered and strengthened other, more powerful, myths, such as the link between qualifications and employment/unemployment, the *right skills* as a *factor in attracting investment*, the association between competitiveness, prosperity and improved quality, or the idea that the key is to *bridge the gap between education and training and the world of work*, notably through dual education systems that will produce *returns for businesses*, as well as inviting business people into the classroom *in order to improve learning*.

4 Division, Hostility, and the Risks of an Oppressive Pedagogism

Despite the great educational, historical, and cultural diversity that profoundly marks each European Union member state, the last two decades have seen increased efforts at harmonisation and coordination, in particular through so-called 'soft' rules and the 'open method of coordination', integration schemes and the creation of common 'areas' within the Union, sometimes even including third countries. While it is true that official EU discourse focuses on the advantages of the 'European social model', social inclusion and cohesion policies and the fight against structural unemployment, in which lifelong learning plays a central role, these principles, as we have seen, are subordinated to targets for economic competitiveness on the global market. These targets exist against the backdrop of the European Union's repeatedly stated fears of an inability to successfully and rapidly transition to a knowledge-based economy, not only in comparison to the United States and Japan, but also relative to other emerging powers, particularly in Asia.

Indeed, in the major policy documents produced in the last two decades, references to training and learning are rarely absent, thought the extent of these varies according to the body issuing the text and its historical context. While such references are present, they rarely exist outside an economic context, stressing the need to train human capital in order to gain a competitive advantage. Education, referenced less frequently today and, more commonly, learning, are viewed as instruments; as essential tools for creating a "skilled, trained and adaptable workforce" (European Union, 2001, p. 6); a productive investment in terms of employability, productivity, and mobility, and therefore part of what is heralded as a "fundamentally new approach" (2001, p. 7) to lifelong education. This is a recurring theme, justified by a climate of economic instability and turbulence, leading to renewed emphasis of the importance of lifelong learning, since the acquisition of competitive advantages "is

increasingly dependent on investment in human capital", transforming knowledge and skills into a "powerful engine for economic growth" (2001, p. 6).

In practice, however, the purported harmonisation and coordination often lead to increased uniformity and standardisation, notably through the creation of convergence mechanisms, common concepts and categories, shared standards and goals, the dissemination of "best practices", the imposition of assessment and monitoring methods, the identification of "benchmarks", etc. In all of these cases, the broad definition of "permanent education", developed in the 1950s, notably through the actions of the Council of Europe and various developments in France (Hake, 2018), is increasingly absent from political discourse, and its modern-day substitutes have heightened tensions between emancipation and the instrumentalisation of adult learning and education (Alheit & Hernández-Carrera, 2018).

Since the production of policy documents and, in particular communiqués, recommendations and orders, by the various European Union bodies is particularly intense, there is strong intertextuality between these documents, certain concepts, key ideas and expressions tend to become "slogans".

In its discursive output certain data is occasionally favoured as evidence in policy documents. However, in most cases, it is the realms of professional training, business, the economy and human resources management that shape the lifelong learning approaches, concepts and objectives established by the EU. There has also been a resurgence in certain scientific and rationalist pedagogies, which many believed to have been critically discredited, such as Benjamin Bloom et al.'s taxonomy of educational objectives (1977), with its omnipresent "qualifications", "skills" and "competences" becoming today's "learning outcomes". This lineage or evolution is clearly expressed in the study carried out by Cedefop (2009), which considers widespread reliance on "learning outcomes" to be part of an innovative approach to vocational education and training.

Within this approach the social dynamics of community education and local development tend to be ignored. Social and cultural diversity are seemingly absent or are implicitly regarded as a problem to be solved, given their potentially negative impact on global efforts to equip adults with skills, often presented as a monolithic project with no rational alternative. In the policy documents, human beings are considered in an atomized, divided, and fragmented manner, hierarchically ranked according to their possession or lack of skills. For these men and women, it is no longer enough to 'learn to be', in the sense in which this phrase is used in social-democratic approaches of a humanist or comprehensive nature, for example those of certain, vaguely Enlightenment-inspired, advocates of lifelong education in the 1970s (such

as Lengrand, 1981; Faure et al., 1977). Today, however, the phrase "learning to be" may be considered overly generic and inadequate, even after the updates and additions made by Jacques Delors and his colleagues (1996) — learning to know, learning to do, learning to live together — as it is the subject of cumulative, and potentially endless additions: learning to be … relevant, attractive, employable, entrepreneurial, well-adapted, flexible, competent, competitive, efficient, skilled, qualified, innovative, productive … In other words, it focuses solely on what I have, in other papers, referred to as the "right hand" of lifelong education (Lima, 2007, 2012a), which Ettore Gelpi (1998, p. 134) has also associated with "education as training", as opposed to "education as culture" (see also Gomes & Monteiro, 2016).

The moulding of a young human learner is not viewed as part of the humanisation of human beings (see Lucio-Villegas, 2018), but is presented as an essential mechanism for survival and functional adaptation to a new, complex world that is beyond our control. An appropriate slogan would be *Learn to* adapt and you may survive. Should you fail to do so, you will fall victim to your lack, or scarcity, of key competitive skills, unequipped to face a hostile environment that will, ultimately, reject you as a human resource, instead viewing you as a social problem and enrolling you in compulsory second-tier integration projects, schemes for marginalised persons, or public assistance, rehabilitation and training programmes, or, as a last resort, a sort of palliative learning in which you will remain indefinitely, or cyclically "in training". Here, efforts are made to restructure the self of each unemployed, unqualified or marginalised person, managing their hopes and combating the desperation of individuals with a tendency to internalise personal failings and individual blame, without understanding the structural dimensions that condemn them to be defeated by life, "redundant" or "wasted" (Bauman, 2004), and therefore unable to make a mark, to take decisions, to act. Forgetting the potential of critical education and of utopian thinking in the context of long-term unemployment (e.g., Bonna, 2021) as well as of imagination in times of crisis (e.g., Rasmussen, 2021). In the conservative perspective, not only is lifelong learning for the purposes of cultural assimilation and functional and acquiescent adaption considered the civil and moral duty of each individual; it is also an institutional strategy for social control and combatting anomy, through the action of old and new specialist support agencies, and for fostering discipline and political passivity.

The current approach of training human capital, which is central to European Union texts, highlights the importance of seeking the right combination of knowledge, skills, and attitudes, in order to succeed in the labour market. In response to the objective and imperative needs of the labour market, each individual must identify their 'skills gaps', and make efforts to fill or compensate for

them by accessing effective 'training products' to ensure employability, productivity and economic growth, thus simultaneously guaranteeing greater competitiveness and improved social cohesion. The protagonists are now individuals and their families, as well as companies and the training industry. The State plays a limited strategic role in regulation, establishing partnerships, contracts, and promoting competitive funding schemes. The workplace emerges as the site of learning *par excellence*, especially where cohesive corporate cultures of continuing professional development within a company socialise and develop staff in line with corporate objectives, in other words *moulding* employees. Considerations of divergent interests, power relationships, conflict and the social struggle for more and better democracy are residual and viewed as mere temporary difficulties – failings in communication and learning. Regular, active participation in continuing professional development programmes is a priority but, paradoxically, it is understood in depoliticised terms, disconnected from the exercise of democratic citizenship.

5 Final Remarks: Education and Learning as Cultures of Openness and Dialogical Action

Subordinated to market interests and the creation of value, lifelong learning and continuing professional development have been transformed into merchandise and subjected to the principle of maximising profit. Professional training is big business, and today encompasses a powerful and growing learning market, arising, for the most part, from the globalisation of the economy, which "[...] seems to have blinded those responsible for education, who cannot see beyond the professional dimension" (Gelpi, 2009, p. 144). The "EdTech" global market, reinforced during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, comprises "learning solutions" that are not only industrial and market products, but also relevant educational actors, changing the character and the meaning of education, and the learning experiences (Grimaldi & Ball, 2020).

The new professional training market adopts a blinkered logic of business, marketing, publicity and the conquest of new markets and learner-customers. It diligently pursues profit, disseminating the ideology of skills gaps, producing entrepreneurial pedagogies, training kits and franchise-based teaching systems within a market that produces and trains the humans of the future: flexible, competitive, and useful technico-rational resources.

The usefulness of training is measured only in its exchange value – its capacity to provide what is considered a positive response to gaps or deficits in the training of the other, in a global context where the other constantly reveals

his or her own incompetence and, consequently his or her skills gaps and learning needs. This is yet another form of social differentiation that discriminates against the other, sometimes offering conversion or acculturation programmes, while denying them recognition "as subjects with rights, knowledge, culture, identities, dignity" (Arroyo, 2017, p. 49).

In a society of constant competition, of ceaseless, merciless rivalry, there is no option but to acquire stronger skills in order to compete and win (Lima, 2012b). Training therefore becomes central to a new "art of war", with learning as its most effective weapon, in the wider context of a pedagogy that, by producing winners must also, necessarily, produce losers, and normalise their existence. In other words, based on a "naive optimism regarding the practice of education", which Freire (Escobar et al., 1994, p. 30) critically labelled "pedagogism" and which, according to the latter, in conversation with Ivan Illich, once "disconnected from power" is at risk of being considered "a lever that transforms reality" (Freire, 2013, p. 41), we face the risk of an oppressive pedagogism, aimed, in particular, at individuals considered "unskilled", at the masses considered reluctant, mediocre and static - the classic argument of all forms of oppression and elitism. As Freire (1975a, pp. 131, 150, 153) wrote, this would be typical of oppressive education, based on the "absolutisation of ignorance", the "intrinsic inferiority" of culturally invaded people, the "uncultured nature of the people", the "proclamation" of the ignorance of the masses. The dominant terms associated with "high quality education" today are qualifications and marketable skills, competitiveness, and entrepreneurialism, hyperbolically claimed to be capable of providing "the starting point for a successful professional career and the best protection against unemployment and poverty" (European Union, 2017, p. 2).

In any eventuality, the vanguardist utilitarianism afflicting adult education impedes critical distancing required to recognise new emerging "situations of oppression" (Morollón del Rio, 2018, p. 9), the imposition of accommodative models, and the normalisation of oppressive pedagogical solutions and cultural actions. As Gadotti (1998, p. 118) observed in his interpretation of Freire, neoliberal pedagogy "limits the pedagogical to the strictly pedagogical". But oppression runs far deeper than marginalisation or exclusion by the education and training system. Carnoy and Tarlau (2018, p. 87) conclude that Pedagogy of the Oppressed includes efforts to liberate adults belonging to different social classes from various forms of oppression. Even in settings considered democratic, these forces subordinate education to new capitalism and its objectives of domination, adaptation and socialisation, and can, therefore, give rise to a new pedagogy of oppression. In such contexts, Paulo Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed remains an essential critical resource and an ethical and political

call for "dialogical and problem-posing education" (Freire, 1975a, p. 261). It treats adult learning and training as a democratic and liberating force, rejecting processes of cultural invasion and monocultural, technocratic policies, blinkered by the logic of exogenous economic and corporate modernisation and detached from the local sociocultural fabric and its rich diversity. Dialogical action, on the contrary, aims to create and strengthen cultures of openness, democracy, and participation, favouring sustainable development over instrumental, expansionist modernisation. It aims to prevent social structures undergoing transformation from being objects, shaped solely by the hierarchical external actions of those holding power or certain types of knowledge, instead making them the subject of their own transformative process, seeking to create what Freire calls "cultural synthesis" in communities viewed as complete in their own right and, simultaneously part of other larger and more complex wholes. In such communities, cultural, linguistic, religious, gender, ethnic, class and economic differences, among many others, may be sources of discrimination or of democratic dialogue and conviviality in political and social terms, also including adult learning and education environments. The latter perspective, which views education as a process of humanisation and liberation of human beings is particularly indebted to the work of several authors, including John Dewey, Ivan Illich, Ettore Gelpi, among others, namely the authors associated with critical pedagogy today. In this field, Paulo Freire remains an essential author, and, half a century after its publication, his work Pedagogy of the Oppressed still exhibits the relevance and critical force of a magnum opus.

Note

This work is funded by CIEd – Research Centre on Education, project UID/CED/01661/2019, Institute of Education, University of Minho, through national funds of FCT/MCTES-PT.

References

Alheit, P., & Hernández-Carrera, R. M. (2018). La doble visión de la educación permanente: Dos perspectivas analíticas. *Linhas Críticas*, 24, 555–581. https://doi.org/10.26512/lc.v24i0.19170

Arroyo, M. G. (2017). Passageiros da noite: do trabalho para a EJA. Itinerários pelo direito a uma vida justa. Vozes.

Bauman, Z. (2004). Wasted lives: Modernity and its outcasts. Polity Press.

Bloom, B. S. (1977). *Taxonomía de los objetivos de la educación: La classificación de las metas educacionales*. Editorial El Ateneo.

- Bonna, F. (2021). Creating connections for expansive learning in crisis-laden times of long-term unemployment. *European Journal for Research on the Education and Learning of Adults*, 12(3), 251–266. http://doi.org/10.3384/rela.2000-7426.3882
- Carnoy, M., & Tarlau, R. (2018). Paulo Freire continua relevante para a educação nos EUA. In M. Gadotti & M. Carnoy (Eds.), *Reinventando Freire* (pp. 87–100). Instituto Paulo Freire e Lemann Center/Stanford Graduate School of Education.
- Cedefop European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training. (2009). *The shift to learning outcomes: Policies and practices in Europe*. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities. https://www.cedefop.europa.eu/en/publications/3054
- Delors, J. (1996). *Learning: The treasure within*. Report to UNESCO of the International Commission on Education for the twenty-first century. http://hdl.voced.edu.au/10707/114597
- Escobar, M., Fernández, A. L., & Guevara-Niebla, G. (with Freire, P.). (1994). *Paulo Freire on higher education: A dialogue at the National University of Mexico*. Suny Press.
- European Union. (2000). *A memorandum on lifelong learning*. SEC(2000)1832. https://uil.unesco.org/document/european-communities-memorandum-lifelong-learning-issued-2000
- European Union. (2001). *Making a European area of lifelong learning a reality*. COM(2001)678 final. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2001:0678:FIN:EN:PDF
- European Union. (2006a). Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the council of 18 December 2006 on key competences for lifelong learning. 2006/962/EC. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:394:0010:0018: en:PDF
- European Union. (2012). *Rethinking education: Investing in skills for better socio-eco-nomic outcomes*. COM(2012) 669 final. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/HR/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52012DCo669
- European Union. (2016a). Working together to strengthen human capital, employability and competitiveness. COM(2016) 381 final. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52016DCo381
- European Union. (2016b). Entrepreneurship education at school in Europe. Eurydice report. Publications Office of the European Union. https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/national-policies/eurydice/content/entrepreneurship-education-schooleurope_en
- European Union. (2017). School development and excellent teaching for a great start in life. COM(2017) 248 final. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM%3A2017%3A248%3AFIN

- European Union. (2018). *Proposal for a council recommendation on key competences for lifelong learning.* COM(2018) 24 final. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:395443f6-fb6d-11e7-b8f5-01aa75ed71a1.0001.02/DOC 1&format=PDF
- Faure, E., Herrera, F., Kaddoura, A.-R., Lopes, H., Petrovski A. V., Rahnema, M., & Champion Ward, F. (1977). *Aprender a ser*. Livraria Bertrand.
- Freire, P. (1967). Educação como prática da liberdade. Paz e Terra.
- Freire, P. (1975a). Pedagogia do oprimido. Afrontamento.
- Freire, P. (1975b). Extensão ou comunicação? Paz e Terra.
- Freire, P. (1992). *Pedagogia da esperança. Um reencontro com a pedagogia do oprimido.*Paz e Terra.
- Freire, P. (1993). Política e educação. Cortez.
- Freire, P. (1995). À sombra desta mangueira. Olho d'Água.
- Freire, P. (1996). *Pedagogia da autonomia: Saberes necessários à prática educativa*. Paz e Terra.
- Freire, P. (2013). Una invitación a la conscientización y a la desescolarización. In P. Freire & I. Illich, *Diálogo* (pp. 37–89). Instituto Paulo Freire de España e L'Ullal Edicions.
- Freire, P. (2017). Discurso por ocasião do doutoramento honoris causa que lhe foi outorgado pela Universidade Complutense de Madrid em 16 de dezembro de 1991 [manuscrito]. *Rizoma Freireano*, 23, 1–4. http://www.rizoma-freireano.org/revista2323/discurso-paulo-freire-384-23
- Gadotti, M. (1998). Lições de Freire. *Educação*. *Sociedade & Culturas*, 10, 111–122. https://www.fpce.up.pt/ciie/revistaesc/ESC10/10-5-gadotti.pdf
- Gelpi, E. (1998). *Identidades, conflictos y educación de adultos*. Universitat de les Illes Balears y Diálogos.
- Gelpi, E. (2009). Formación de personas adultas: Inclusión y exclusión. Edicions del CREC.
- Gomes, I., & Monteiro, A. A. (2016). Why choose one hand over the other when we can use the best of two? Adult educators' perspectives on adult education. In R. Evans, E. Kurantowicz, & E. Lucio-Villegas (Eds.), *Researching and transforming adult learning and communities* (pp. 105–118). Sense Publishers.
- Grimaldi, E., & Ball, S. J. (2020). Paradoxes of freedom. An archaelogical analysis of educational online platforms interfaces. *Critical Studies in Education*, 62(1), 114–129. https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2020.1861043
- Hake, B. J. (2018). Éducation permanente in France en route to 'permanent education' at the Council of Europe? Revisiting a projet social to create 'a long life of learning'. *History of Education*, *47*(6), 779–805. https://doi.org/10.1080/0046760X.2018.1484182 Lengrand, P. (1981). *Introdução à educação permanente*. Livros Horizonte.

Lima, L. C. (2007). Educação ao longo da vida. Entre a mão direita e a mão esquerda de *Miró*. Cortez.

- Lima, L. C. (2012a). On the right hand of lifelong education. In R. Arnold (Ed.), *Entgrenzungen des Lerners: Internationale Perspektiven für Erwachsenenbildung* (pp. 137–158). Bertelsmann Verlag.
- Lima, L. C. (2012b). Aprender para ganhar, conhecer para competir: Sobre a subordinação da educação na 'Sociedade da Aprendizagem'. Cortez.
- Lima, L. C. (2018). Adult and permanent education in times of crisis: A critical perspective based on Freire and Gelpi. *Studies in the Education of Adults, 50*(2), 219–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/02660830.2018.1523087
- Lucio-Villegas, E. (2018). Revisiting Paulo Freire: Adult education for emancipation. In M. Milana, S. Webb, J. Holford, R. Waller, & P. Jarvis (Eds.), *The Palgrave international handbook on adult and lifelong education and learning* (pp. 151–168). Macmillan Publishers.
- Mayo, P. (2014). Las competencias y el derecho a una educación permanente: Elementos para un discurso crítico alternativo. In *Laboratori d'iniciatives ciutadanes Ettore Gelpi, nº 10. Gelpi, Freire y el Aprendizaje Permanente* (pp. 7–10). Laboratori d'iniciatives ciutadanes Ettore Gelpi; Instituto Paulo Freire.
- Morollón Del Rio, D. (2018). Filosofía latinoamericana en la pedagogía de Paulo Freire: Influencias y relaciones hasta 1970. L' Ullal Ediciones; Instituto Paulo Freire.
- Rasmussen, P. (2021). Public reason, adult education and social imagination. *European Journal of Research on the Education and Learning of Adults*, 12(1), 15–29. http://doi.org/10.3384/rela.2000-7426.ojs3465
- Walters, S., & von Kotze, A. (2018). "If you can't measure it, it doesn't exist"? Popular education in the shadows of global reporting on adult learning and education. *Studies in the Education of Adults*, 51(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/02660830.2018.1522052