Peptide-Modified Dendrimer Nanoparticles for Targeted Therapy of Colorectal Cancer
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Peptides have recently emerged as a promising class of targeting ligands for specific drug delivery in cancer treatment, which avoid undesirable side effects of the systemic administration of chemotherapeutics. Their conjugation with nanoparticles has been demonstrated to improve the functionality of peptides resulting in a versatile platform for biomedical applications. In this work, the development of carboxymethylchitosan/poly(amidoamine) (CMCh/PAMAM) dendrimer nanoparticles functionalized with YIGSR laminin receptor binding peptide for the active targeting and specific delivery of therapeutic agents into colorectal cancer cells is described. The successful functionalization is confirmed by several physico-chemical characterization techniques. The selectivity of the YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles is first validated in vitro using a micropatterned array of 67 kDa laminin receptor. Next, the specificity of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimers nanoparticles toward laminin receptor is further confirmed both in 2D and 3D settings using HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts in co-culture. Finally, gemcitabine-loaded YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles induce a targeted mortality on HCT-116 cancer cells in a co-culture scenario. Overall, the study shows solid evidence that YIGSR laminin receptor binding peptide coupled to CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles may be employed as an anticancerous target for the specific and intracellular delivery of chemotherapeutic agents.

1. Introduction

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide, with more than 10 million new cases each year, and an estimation of ≈13.1 million cancer-related deaths by 2030.[1] Typically, cytotoxic chemotherapies have a narrow therapeutic window, with high peaks of plasma concentration. This leads to high-dose requirements with adverse side effects, reduced therapeutic indices, nonspecific targeting, and development of multiple drug resistance.[2]

Nanotechnology has evolved as an exciting platform in the field of cancer research with promises to improve the pharmacology of current cancer therapeutics.[3] Drug-loaded nanoparticles (NPs) offer several advantages over free drugs. This includes their capabilities to encapsulate large amounts of chemotherapeutic agents, a prolonged half-life, and an increased targeting efficiency leading to reduced toxicity.[4] Nanoparticle size, core properties, and surface modifications are considered as key factors affecting the clearance rate and organ distribution.[5] A significant number of nanomaterials, including inorganic nanoparticles, liposomes, micelles, and polymers have been developed for targeted drug delivery in cancer.[6] Dendrimers are considered a promising alternative because of their advanced capabilities, which make them ideal tools for targeted drug delivery. As an example, they can increase the solubility and bioavailability of hydrophobic drugs.[7] Further, their architecture and availability of active functional groups allow the easy addition of moieties and the encapsulation of drugs.[7]
Different types of active tumor-targeted ligands, such as RGD peptide, folic acid, and transferrin, have been employed in conjunction with NPs to specifically direct and enhance drug accumulation in the tumor.[8] In particular, doxorubicin-loaded HPMA-copolymer conjugated with GE11 oligopeptide have been employed to specifically target colorectal cancer (CRC) cells with EGFR overexpression. A high cellular uptake of the doxorubicin-loaded NP was observed, achieving a selective release of the drug.[9] Similarly, a multifunctional nanosystem based on methotrexate-loaded guar gum NPs functionalized with folic acid (MTXFA-GGNP) has been employed to release methotrexate at colonic pH values (6.8) in CRC. Interestingly, the engineered NPs displayed preferential in vivo uptake in colon tissue.[10] However, most of these approaches were mainly studied in 2D environments. In addition, these studies also lacked a visual representation and analysis of NPs internalization in a co-culture scenario, and importantly, their validation using native-like 3D settings.

Despite all the efforts invested in the development of targeted drug delivery systems for improved cancer therapeutics, their performance is still limited for medical applications. New approaches are needed to target efficiently and precisely the cancer cells. In this regard, the YIGSR laminin receptor binding peptide has demonstrated to be a promising class of targeting ligand for specific drug delivery in cancer treatment. Cancer cells from various sources express high levels of the 67 kDa laminin receptor (67LR),[11] including bile duct carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, cervical cancer, and breast carcinoma,[12] which are shown to be correlated with tumor invasiveness.[13] Earlier work has demonstrated that the laminin binding capacity of plasma membranes isolated from human invasive breast carcinomas is 50-fold greater than that of membranes from benign lesions or normal tissue.[14]

In this study, we hypothesize that the peptide sequence YIGSR grafted onto carboxymethylchitosan/poly(amidoamine) (CMCht/PAMAM) dendrimer NPs promotes specific and targeted internalization into CRC cells.[15] To this end, we first characterize the morphology and successful functionalization of CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-modified CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs by AFM, TEM, DLS, and H^1 NMR. The selectivity of the YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles was first validated in vitro using a micropatterned array of 67 kDa laminin receptor. Next, we investigate the effect of both types of dendrimer NPs on the viability of HCT-116 CRC cells or L929 fibroblasts. Next, the targeted internalization of the NPs is assessed in a co-culture of HCT-116 CRC cells and L929 fibroblasts both in traditional well plates (2D) or encapsulated within a 3D Matrigel matrix.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Physico-Chemical Characterization of Dendrimer Nanoparticles

We first investigated the attachment of the YIGSR peptide on the dendrimer NPs surface via carbodiimide chemistry (Figure 1A), and the morphology and size of both type of NPs. STEM images revealed a monodisperse round-shaped morphology (Figure 1B), which was confirmed by AFM measurements (see Figure S1A, Supporting Information). These results suggested the successful grafting of the peptide sequence, which conferred the YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs a larger size. DLS was used to quantify the size of the NPs. Figure 1C shows the peaks associated with both the CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. In the former, two main peaks were obtained, which corresponded to individual and aggregated NPs. The first peak provided the average size of the individual NPs as 54 ± 7 nm, whereas the second one corresponded to NP aggregates with a much bigger size. In contrast, YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs displayed three peaks. The first peak located around 6 nm corresponded to unreacted PAMAM G1.5. The reason is that the first step in the synthesis of the dendrimer NPs is increasing the generation of the PAMAM-CT (G 1.5), which has a theoretical size equal to the one provided by the peak, and therefore, this peak was assumed to correspond to unreacted G1.5. The second peak located around 130 ± 20 nm corresponds to the YIGSR-modified dendrimer NPs. Finally, the last peak is associated with aggregated NPs. Altogether, these results show that attachment of the peptide significantly increased the size of the NPs by ≈2.5-fold without affecting the NPs polydispersity index (PDI) with values of 0.29 and 0.37 for CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM PDI, respectively.

Figure 1D shows the FTIR spectrum of the CMCht/PAMAM and the newly modified CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. The spectrum of the modified NPs shows an increase in peak intensity at 1700 cm⁻¹ attributed to the amide II and C=N stretching vibrations.[16] This is an evidence of the presence of amine groups as well as of the chemical bonding between the CMCht/PAMAM and the peptide. Similarly, the 520 cm⁻¹ free amino acid peak and the 1120 cm⁻¹ H-N-H vibration appear after NP modification.[17] Moreover, both NPs showed characteristic peaks, such as the strong and broad peak of absorption at ≈3400 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to the stretching vibration of the structural N-H overlapping the O-H stretching. Similarly, the attachment of the YIGSR peptide was further confirmed by the analysis of the chemical signature obtained by H^1 NMR (Figure 1E).[18] Bearing in mind the analysis of H^1 NMR spectra (see Figure S2, Supporting Information), we can state that the signature protons of CH3 and CH2 of the YIGSR peptide are present in the YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM around 2 and 3 ppm, indicating the successful grafting of the peptide. Moreover, the broad singlet at 3.02 ppm of the CMCht/PAMAM is also present in the modified nanoparticles. Taken together, the results obtained indicate that the peptide was successfully conjugated to the NPs.

We next measured the Zeta potential values of both unmodified CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-modified CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. Figure 1F shows that the formers have a value of −14.7 ± 3.0 mV in PBS and −34.3 ± 3.0 mV in ddH2O. In contrast, YIGSR-modified CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs have a value of −9.3 ± 0.8 mV in PBS and −19.3 ± 0.8 mV in ddH2O. The difference between YIGSR-modified dendrimer NPs (less negative) and unmodified NPs (more negative), indicates a reduction in the number of carboxylic acid groups on the NPs surface following peptide binding. Moreover, the addition of the positively charged arginine present in the peptide sequence suggests a successful grafting. It is expected that CMCht has a good pH and ion sensitivity in aqueous solution due to abundant −COOH and −NH2.
groups. On the other hand, since the Zeta potential of NPs is determined by their surface properties, this data shows the negatively charged carboxymethyl groups in the CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. The different Zeta potential values obtained in ddH2O and PBS can be explained by the fact that the CMCh behaves as a weak polyanionic polyelectrolyte at physiological pH, that is, the amino groups are not protonated and most of carboxyl groups are not dissociated.

Further characterization was achieved by quantifying the amount of coupled peptide using the BCA protein quantification assay and compared to a standard curve using BSA (Figure 1G). Results show a significant difference between the levels of protein on non-modified and YIGSR-grafted CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. The former shows an amount of 3.60 ± 1.62 µg mL⁻¹, whereas the later has a concentration of 71.00 ± 3.75 µg mL⁻¹. This indicates that the peptide sequence YIGSR is successfully attached to the dendrimer NPs. Finally, the presence of the peptide on the CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs was investigated by UV spectroscopy (Figure S1B, Supporting Information). The peptide sequence YIGSR incorporates the amino acid tyrosine, which exhibits two characteristic absorbance peaks in the UV spectral region at ≈230 nm and 280 nm. [19] The UV spectra were obtained using CMCh/PAMAM and modified YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs for comparison. Two peaks located around 275 nm were observed. This indicated that the peptide is effectively grafted to the polymer backbone.

2.2. Targeted Detection of FITC-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM on a Micropatterned Laminin Receptor Array

The 67 kDa high affinity LR is a non-integrin cell surface receptor of the extracellular matrix. The expression of this receptor is thought to be increased in neoplastic cells, which directly correlates with an enhanced invasiveness and metastatic potential. [20] To confirm this higher expression, we first performed an immunocytochemistry analysis to assess qualitatively the differences in LR expression in HCT-116 and L929 cells at 24 h and 72 h. HCT-116 cells displayed round morphologies and proliferated forming dense aggregates (Figure 2A). In contrary, L929 fibroblasts showed highly spread phenotypes. Importantly, HCT-116 displayed a large LR signal both at 24 h and 72 h. This is in contrast to L929 fibroblasts, which showed a low LR expression. Taken together, these results suggest that the 67 kDa LR may be employed as a cancer-specific target to specifically deliver drug-loaded YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs in a precise and efficient manner.

Next, we evaluated in vitro the specificity of the YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs toward the LR. For this, an array of 67 kDa LR was micropatterned on a functionalized glass coverslip by micro-contact printing to recreate in vitro the physiological target (Figure 2B). Next, FITC-labeled YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were incubated on top of the LR array. We observed that the FITC-labeled NPs attached mainly...
Figure 2. Specificity of FITC-YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs on a micropatterned LR array. A) Immunocytochemistry of anti-67 kDa LR (green) performed on a co-culture of HCT-116 CRC cells and L929 fibroblasts (stained in red; see Figure S3, Supporting Information) cells at 24 and 72 h. An overexpression of LR on HCT-116 is visible at both time points. B) (Left) Schematic representation of the steps involved in the microcontact printing of the LR: i) incubation of the PDMS stamp with the LR solution; ii) microcontact printing of the LR on top of a functionalized (APTES 5% and glutaraldehyde) glass coverslip; iii) PDMS stamp removal and rinsing with ddH₂O; iv) incubation with FITC-YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM; and v) rinse with ddH₂O to remove the excess of FITC-YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM. (Right) Representative brightfield and fluorescence microscopy images of the PDMS stamp and FITC-YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs array displaying the triangular micro-sized LR features. Scale bar: 100 µm.

on top of the triangular micropatterned regions, which contained the 67 kDa LR protein. Overall, these results show a strong affinity of between the NPs grafted with a portion of YIGSR peptide and the LR protein. However, more complex experiments involving protein receptors other than LR may need to be also tested to univocally prove a really specific affinity for this receptor.

2.3. Cytotoxicity Tests: Metabolic Activity and Cell Proliferation

The cytotoxicity of the dendrimer NPs was assessed by measuring cell metabolic activities and proliferations by means of Alamar blue and DNA quantification assays. HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts were exposed to CMCht/PAMAM, YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM, and GEM-YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM at a final concentration of 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ over a period of 72 h. This value was selected taking into consideration the maximum concentration of pure dendrimer NPs (i.e., non-modified) that may be employed without observing any cytotoxic effect. It is important to notice that both the metabolic activity and cell viability were assessed in monoculture to discard the possibility of inherent toxicities of non-modified and modified dendrimer NPs. Both HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts significantly proliferated from day 1 to day 3 in the absence (control) and presence of CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs (Figure 3). The obtained results showed no deleterious effects of the non-modified CMCht/PAMAM and the YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs on cell viability and proliferation in both cell types. This indicates that the grafting of the YIGSR sequence did not significantly affect cellular behavior. Conversely, GEM-loaded YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs had a clear cytotoxicity in both cell types due to the release of the drug, as demonstrated by the decrease in the metabolic activity and DNA amount (Figure 3A,B). Interestingly, this effect was mostly observed at early time points in HCT-116 cancer cells in contrast to L929 fibroblasts, where the cytotoxicity was only observed at 72 h. This suggests that the drug was internalized faster in HCT-116 cancer cells due to LR overexpression. For L929 fibroblasts, viability was most likely affected by the release of GEM from the NPs. Regarding the release of GEM from the NPs, and its potential in vivo side effects, it is plausible that the NPs release some amount of drug in the medium before it is internalized by the cells. However, its effect should not be significant because the same conditions and time points were tested in co-culture (similar to in vivo scenario) with an increased cell death for HCT-116 cancer cells. Overall, we do not anticipate any significant adverse effect of the released drug, within the range of the used concentrations, on noncancerous cells when eventually used in...
vivo. Moreover, it is worth noticing that these effects were observed when HCT-116 and L929 were cultured in separate, and not in co-culture. Only after assessing the noncytotoxic effects of the peptide modification in monoculture, its effect in co-culture was investigated. Overall, these results indicate that GEM-loaded YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs affected the viability and proliferation of HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts in contrast to non-modified and peptide-grafted dendrimer NPs, but in different time scales.

2.4. Targeted Internalization of Dendrimer Nanoparticles in 2D and 3D

We next investigated whether the attachment of YIGSR on the surface of the dendrimer NPs influenced their preferential uptake by HCT-116 cancer cells, which overexpress 67 kDa LR at their surface, in a co-culture with L929 fibroblasts (see Figure 4A). Nanoparticle internalization was first investigated in 2D. As expected, FITC-CMCht/PAMAM NPs widely internalized in both cell types at 24 h and 72 h, and localized in the cytoplasm and around the nucleus\(^{[15,18]}\) (Figure 4B) mainly. In contrast, the peptide-modified YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs internalized preferentially into HCT-116 cancer cells (red) at 24 h when seeded in a co-culture with L929 fibroblasts (blue). Importantly, a qualitative increase in the number of internalized NPs could be observed from 24 h to 72 h. This suggested that peptide-grafted NPs were specifically targeted toward HCT-116 cells overexpressing 67 kDa LR.

We next used an in-vivo-like 3D scenario to determine the efficiency of the cellular uptake mediated by the targeted interaction of the YIGSR peptide with the LR. For this, we encapsulated HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts into a 3D matrix of Matrigel. Figure 4B (bottom) shows the cells cultured in the absence (control) and presence of CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. We first investigated whether the NPs were capable to diffuse across the meshes of the hydrogel and target the HCT-116 cells. After 24 h, no significant internalization of both CMCht/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs was observed (data not shown). Instead, the NPs aggregated in the outer membrane of the cells (see Figure S1E, Supporting Information). This phenomenon may be a consequence of NPs diffusing through the dense mesh of the crosslinked hydrogel, and therefore, taking longer than in 2D to interact with cells and internalize. In contrast, after 72 h, FITC-labeled NPs spread all across the 3D hydrogel accumulating both inside and around the HCT-116 cells. Notably, it was found that YIGSR-CMCht/PAMAM NPs internalized preferentially into the HCT-116 cancer cells (Figure 4B(1), white arrowheads). In contrast, L929 fibroblasts did not show any significant evidence of NPs internalization (see Figure 4B(2)). These results highlight the differences between 2D and 3D scenarios in drug delivery applications, even in terms of simple biological processes such as the internalization of NPs.

We next quantified the amount of NPs that were internalized in both conditions (2D vs 3D). To this end, flow cytometry analysis was performed using the same experimental conditions. HCT-116 cancer cells were stained with an anti-CD44
Figure 4. Internalization of dendrimer nanoparticles. A) Schematics of the different conditions studied. B) Confocal microscopy images of HCT-116 cancer cells (red) and L929 fibroblasts (blue) co-culture in the presence of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM and CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs in (top) 2D for 24 and 72 h, and (bottom) in 3D for 72 h. In the latter, (1) and (2) show a higher magnification of HCT-116 and L929 cells, respectively, showing the internalization of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs exclusively for HCT-116 (observed only with the 488 nm filter). Inset images show a more detailed view of the NPs (indicated by white arrowheads). C) Flow cytometry results of NPs internalization in co-culture in both 2D and 3D for 24 and 72 h. Scale bars: 20 µm.

Antibody-PE (see Figure S1C, Supporting Information). In this case, only the HCT-116 cells were stained, serving as a differential marker. The obtained results were in agreement with the fluorescence microscopy results. In 2D, a maximum internalization of NPs (96.27%) for the HCT-116 cells was observed at 24 h, compared to the low internalization (2.97%) in L929 fibroblasts (Figure 4C, left). At 72 h, the internalization of NPs increased slightly (98.45% for HCT-116 cancer cells and 6.56% for L929 fibroblasts). This clearly showed the lower levels of internalization in L929 fibroblasts when compared to those of HCT-116 cancer cells. In contrast, in 3D scenario, we found that the overall levels of internalization were not as high as in 2D (Figure 4C, right). After 24 h, the level of internalization of targeted NPs in HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts was 65.52% and 3.54%, respectively.
respectively. At 72 h, these values increased up to 85.44% and 9.95%, respectively. As mentioned previously, these differences between 2D and 3D may be related to the fact that in 3D dendrimer NPs need to diffuse through the dense hydrogel mesh to target the encapsulated cells.

Together, these results indicate a targeted internalization of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs toward HCT-116 cells overexpressing 67 kDa LR both in 2D and 3D. However, different levels of internalization levels were obtained when comparing both conditions, reinforcing that traditional 2D models may poorly correlate with human disease states.

### 2.5. Targeted Gemcitabine Delivery Using Dendrimer Nanoparticles in 2D and 3D

After verifying the targeted and preferential internalization of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM NPs toward HCT-116 cancer cells, we next investigated the gemcitabine (GEM) release from YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM in a co-culture of HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts in 2D and 3D. In a previous work, we demonstrated an encapsulation efficiency of GEM into CMCh/PAMAM NPs of 52.99% ± 3.50%, with a drug-loading efficiency of 9.42% ± 3.50%. First, the viability of cells was analyzed by live/dead assay in a 2D co-culture at 24 h and 72 h in the presence of GEM-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM (Figure 5A). The results show that L929 fibroblasts (in blue) were not substantially affected by the GEM-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM NPs; they were co-stained in blue and in green (live cells). In contrast, HCT-116 cancer cells (unstained) displayed a large mortality at 24 h (red—dead cells) (Figure 5A) indicating a specific GEM release inside these cells. It is worth noticing that most of the cancer cells were alive at 24 h. However, they displayed an elongated—perturbed—phenotype with the appearance of blebs, which suggested the beginning of the apoptotic process. In contrast, this was not observed in L929 cells. This was more stringent at 72 h, where mainly HCT-116 cancer cells were dead. In contrast, L929 were mostly alive, displaying a morphology consistent with its normal phenotype and not significantly perturbed. We confirmed these
It is our goal to further test these newly developed NPs using primary colorectal cancer cells and noncancerous colorectal cells in a dynamic microfluidic system, in order to better mimic the native scenario.

Overall, the future perspective of targeted NPs for cancer research is promising. Several formulations currently under preclinical and clinical studies for CRC may soon reach the market. A future challenge common to recent technologies, with no exception for targeted NPs, is the need for implementation of systems or protocols to determine the molecular expression profile of tumors from patients with CRC. Its classification according to the genetic profile, stage of tumor development, and putative targeting molecules is imperative. By achieving this, the rational administration of precise-targeted nanomedicines containing the most effective drug combination may revolutionize the way cancer is treated.

3. Conclusion

The conjugation of ligands, such as peptides, aptamers, and other small molecules (e.g., antibodies) on the surface of NPs for cell targeting has resulted in a new generation of NPs for cancer therapy with enhanced in vitro and in vivo specificity. This work demonstrates the development of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs. Its specificity toward the 67 kDa receptor protein was first demonstrated in vitro by means of a micro-pattern assay. Next, we showed that YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs preferentially targeted HCT-116 cancer in a co-culture with L929 fibroblasts, specifically releasing the anticancer drug intracellularly. These novel NPs demonstrated that they may be considered as a promising tool for targeted drug delivery in CRC and other cancer types with high levels of the 67LR. In the future, such technology will need to be evaluated in other CRC cell lines and primary cells to fully disclose the potential of YIGSR-targeted NPs in cancer therapeutics. Finally, the potential of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM NPs for further applications is immense. This tool may bring closer to a clinical and pharmaceutical translatable setting for continuing research.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. Synthesis of the CMCht/PAMAM Dendrimer Nanoparticles

Dendrimer NPs were fabricated as described elsewhere. Briefly, using a chemical modification route of chitin (Sigma, Germany), we synthesized CMCh with a degree of deacetylation of 80% and a degree of substitution of 47%. CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were prepared in a step-wise manner as follows: first, the increase of the dendrimers’ generation was carried out. An appropriate volume of PAMAM-CT (C 1.5) in methanol was transferred to a volumetric flask. The starting compound was re-dissolved in ultrapure water to give a final concentration of 10 mg.mL$^{-1}$. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 with dilute hydrochloric acid solution (Riedel de-Haen, Germany). 1-Ethyl-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, Fluka, Portugal) was then added to the solution at a molar ratio sufficient to modify the carbamate residue of the dendrimers. The solution was kept under agitation for 30 min at room temperature. Ethylenediamine (EDA, Sigma, Germany) was added to the solution at a molar ratio equal to that of EDC and left to react for 4 h. After this period, the excess of EDC was removed by dialysis (cellulose tubing, benzoylated for separating compounds with a MW ≤1200, Sigma, Germany). After preparing the PAMAM-amine terminated compound, an exhaustive alkylation of the primary amines (Michael addition) was performed. An appropriate volume of PAMAM-amine terminated was mixed with methanol (Sigma, Germany) and methyl methacrylate. CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were then precipitated after addition of an appropriate volume of a saturated Na$_2$CO$_3$ (Sigma, Germany) solution and cold acetone (Pronalab, Portugal). Precipitates were collected by filtration and dispersed in ultrapure water for dialysis over a period of 48 h. Finally, CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were obtained by freezing the solution at −80°C and freeze-dried (Telstar-Cryodos-80) for 7 days.
4.2. Peptide Attachment onto CMCh/PAMAM Dendrimer Nanoparticles

The attachment of YIGSR peptide (Genscript Corp, Piscataway, NJ, USA) to the NPs was performed via carbodiimide chemistry to form an amide bond between the carboxyl groups on the NPs and the amine groups at the YIGSR N-terminal end group.\(^{[20]}\) CMCh/PAMAM 1% was prepared in buffer 2 -(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES, Sigma Aldrich, Germany), and mixed with the appropriate amount of NHS-Sulfo, EDC, and a 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) YIGSR peptide solution (dissolved in MES buffer). The solution was stirred for 24 h and the NPs were recovered by dialysis against ultrapure water for 48 h followed by freeze-drying process for 7 days.

4.3. Labeling of CMCh/PAMAM Dendrimer Nanoparticles with FITC

The conjugates of CMCh/PAMAM-FITC were obtained by covalently bonding the CMCh amine group and the isothiocyanate group of FITC (10 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) (Sigma, Germany) in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Norconcessus, Portugal) creating a thiourea bond. First, a 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs solution was prepared in a carbonate–bicarbonate buffered buffer at pH 9.2. Then, a solution of FITC/DMSO was added under agitation and kept in the dark at 4 °C for 8 h. Next, the FITC-labeled CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs solution was dialyzed against ultrapure water for 24 h to remove unlinked FITC and filtered (pore size <220 nm) in sterile and dark conditions. The final product was obtained after freeze-drying.

4.4. Gemcitabine Incorporation into CMCh/PAMAM Dendrimer Nanoparticles

Gemcitabine (GEM) was encapsulated in the NPs by mixing an aqueous solution of YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs with an aqueous GEM solution with a final concentration of 5 x 10\(^{-4}\) M. Saturated sodium carbonate solution (Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) and acetone was then added to the mixture. The resulting precipitates were dialyzed against ultrapure water. GEM-loaded YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were obtained by freezing the solution at ~80 °C and freeze-drying the samples.

4.5. Characterization of the CMCh/PAMAM Dendrimer Nanoparticles

4.5.1. Protein Quantification

The concentration of YIGSR peptide grafted onto the dendrimer NPs was estimated by UV spectroscopy (between 200 and 275 nm) and the Micro-BCA (562 nm) protein quantification assay using a microplate reader (BIO-TEK, EUA). Samples of CMCh/PAMAM and modified YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were dissolved in ultrapure water at a final concentration of 1% and compared to standards for protein quantification in triplicates.

4.5.2. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

Zeta potential and the size of the CMCh/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were measured in a particle size analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments, UK). Particle size analyses were performed by DLS in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 with low concentration of NPs and using disposable sizing cuvettes.

Electrophoretic determinations of Zeta potential were investigated using the universal “dip” cell at pH 7.4 in PBS solution. Zeta potential was also investigated in water.

4.5.3. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR)

The structure of CMCh/PAMAM and YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs was determined by H\(^{1}\)NMR. Both types of NPs were dissolved in deuterated water (D\(_2\)O). Then, the NMR spectra were obtained with a Mercury-400BB operating at a frequency of 399.9 MHz at 50 °C. The 1D 1H spectra were acquired using a 45° pulse, a spectral width of 6.3 kHz, and an acquisition time of 2.001 s.

4.5.4. Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (STEM)

Dendrimer NPs morphology was investigated by STEM (Philips CM-12, FEI, The Netherlands), equipped with a MEGA VIEW-II DOCU camera and Image Software Analyzer SIS NT DOCU. For this, the NPs were stained with 2% of phosphotungstic acid and placed on the copper grids for observation.

4.5.5. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)

The dried dendrimer NPs, prior to and after YIGSR attachment, were mixed with KBr (sigma) and placed between two disks. Pressure was applied (4.5 metric tons, Carver Inc.) on the disk to form a pellet. The spectrum of the formed pellet was measured by FTIR spectroscopy (Exulinx 55, Bruker Optics Inc., USA) in the range of 400-3500 cm\(^{-1}\).

4.5.6. Microcontact Printing

Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) (1:10 w/w, Syngard 184; Dow Corning) stamps were replicated from an SU-8 mold (MicroChem) fabricated by UV-photolithography (MIDAS MDA-400MA). The PDMS stamp was rendered hydrophilic by plasma treatment to maximize 67 kDa LR patterning. Glass coverslips were plasma-treated for 1 min and incubated for 1 h under anoxic conditions in anhydrous methanol solution of 5% (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES, Sigma Aldrich, Germany). Next, the samples were washed with methanol followed by ultrapure water. Then, APTES-functionalized coverslips were incubated for 30 min in a solution of 1% gluteraldehyde (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) in ultrapure water. The micropatterned PDMS stamp was incubated with 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\) LR protein (Abcam, Portugal) solution for 2 h and the excess was removed by nitrogen drying. Immediately, the PDMS stamp containing the LR was placed in contact with the functionalized glass coverslip for 5 min. After releasing the stamp, FITC-labeled YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs were incubated overnight.

4.6. In Vitro Cell Culture in 2D and 3D

4.6.1. Cell Culture

Human HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts were obtained from the American Collection of Cell Cultures (ATCC, USA). Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, USA) at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\). Subcultures of cells were performed when confluence reached values of ≥90%. Then, cells were plated at an initial density of 1 x 10\(^{4}\) cells per well in a 24-well plate
for metabolic activity and DNA quantification. Co-cultures were used for internalization studies (1 × 10^4 of each cell type in 24-well plates).

### 4.6.2. Immunocytochemistry

L929 were pre-stained in red with CellTracker CM Dil (1 μm) and seeded at an initial density of 1 × 10^4 cells per well in a 24-well plate. At days 1 and 3, HCT-116 cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts in co-culture were fixed with 4% formalin (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) for 10 min and washed with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) in PBS for 5 min and blocked with 3% BSA (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) in PBS for 30 min. Immunolabeling was performed using a rabbit anti-human monoclonal antibody against 67 kDa LR (Abcam, 1:200) as primary antibody, prepared in PBS 1% BSA and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The samples were incubated for 1 h at RT with the respective secondary fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies, anti-rabbit/mouse IgG (Invitrogen, dilution 1:1000). Immunofluorescence was observed under transmitted fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss, Germany) (LR in green: ex/em 488/517; CellTracker CM Dil in red: ex/em 594/618).

### 4.6.3. Metabolic Activity

Alamar blue (AB) assay was performed according to supplier’s instructions to assess the metabolic activity of cells. The effect of CM-Cht/PAMAM, YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM, and GEM-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs was assessed in terms of metabolic activity in each cell type separately. After 24 and 72 h in culture, specific cell culture medium containing 20% v/v AB was added to the different culture wells. The system was incubated for 4 h after which fluorescence was monitored at 590 nm emission wavelength (excitation wavelength 530 nm), using a microplate reader (FL 600, Bio-Tek Instruments). After each AB determination, cells were rinsed with PBS and fresh culture medium was added to continue the culture. Medium with 20% v/v AB was used as a blank.

### 4.6.4. DNA Quantification

To assess the effect of CMCh/PAMAM, YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM, and GEM-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs on cancer cells and fibroblasts separately, the total amount of DNA was measured using the fluorimetric double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) quantification kit (Quant-iT Picogreen dsDNA Assay Kit, Molecular Probes). The cells were washed with sterile PBS and lysed with 1 mL of ultrapure water in each well. The cellular suspensions were transferred into microtubes, incubated in a water bath at 37 °C for 1 h, and stored at −80 °C for further analysis. DNA standards were prepared with concentrations varying between 0 and 2 ng mL^-1_. Next, 28.7 μL of sample (or DNA standard), 7.13 μL of Picogreen, and 100 μL of TE buffer were mixed in each well of an opaque 96-well plate (Alfagene, Portugal), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Next, after 10 min incubation at RT, fluorescence was measured using an excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 and 528 nm, respectively. Sample DNA values were read off from DNA standard curve.

### 4.6.5. Live/Dead Assay

The cell viability was assessed by calcein-AM and propidium iodide (PI; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) staining. L929 fibroblasts were first stained with CellTracker Blue CMAC (Alfagene, Portugal), whereas HCT-116 cells were left unstained. After 72 h, three samples were incubated in 1 μg mL^-1_ calcein-AM and 5 μg mL^-1_ PI prepared in DMEM low glucose supplemented with sodium bicarbonate and 1% v/v antibacterial/antimycotic solution for 30 min in the dark at 37 °C in 5% CO2. After PBS washing, samples were immediately analyzed in the confocal microscopy (Calcein-AM in green: ex/em 495/515 nm; PI in red: ex/em 495/635 nm; CellTracker Blue CMAC in blue: ex/em 353/466 nm) (Leica, SP8, Germany).

### 4.6.6. Cellular Uptake

HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells and L929 fibroblasts were pre-stained in Far Red and in Blue CMAC CellTracker (Alfagene, Portugal), respectively. After 30 min incubation at 37 °C, cells were seeded in co-culture at a density of 1 × 10^4 cells (each type) in 24-well plates, and allowed to adhere overnight. Then, the culture medium was replaced by fresh one supplemented with FITC-CMCh/PAMAM and FITC-YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs at a final concentration of 0.5 mg mL^-1_. At 24 and 72 h, cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% formalin for 20 min at RT, washed three times with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. Next, cells were washed with PBS. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI and F-actin with Phalloidin, as described above. The cells were imaged by confocal microscopy to assess the internalization of NPs (FITC – 488 nm). For 3D studies, the same procedure was used. In this case, the cells were encapsulated into a 50 μL Matrigel matrix (Enzimafarma, Portugal).

### 4.6.7. Flow Cytometry Analysis

For internalization analysis, the cells were co-cultured in medium supplemented with 0.5 mg mL^-1_ of FITC-labeled YIGSR-CMCh/PAMAM dendrimer NPs in 24-well plates (1 × 10^4 cells; each type/well). Cells cultured in complete culture medium were used as controls. After 24 and 72 h, the cells were trypsinized (TrypleX, Life technologies, USA) and a cell strainer was used to avoid cell clusters. Next, 0.5 mL of DEMEM complete medium was added to each well and the samples were transferred to flow cytometry tubes. To distinguish cells in co-culture, 10 μL of PE-CD44 antibody (Abcam, Portugal) was incubated with HCT-116 cells for 20 min at RT. After washing with 2 mL of 2% FBS in PBS, the tubes were centrifuged at 300 rpm for 5 min and re-suspended in 1% formalin in PBS. The cell suspensions were analyzed in FACS-calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). A similar approach was followed for cell viability studies. In this case, the tubes were centrifuged at 300 rpm for 5 min and HCT-116 were stained with FITC-CD44 (Abcam, Portugal) for 30 min and re-suspended in 2% FBS in PBS. Then, 1 μL of PI was added to the cell suspensions and incubated for 10 min at RT, and analyzed by FACS-calibur flow cytometer. For internalization and cell viability assays in 3D, cells encapsulated in Matrigel were retrieved using 500 μL of Corning Cell Recovery Solution (Corning, USA) for 30 min at 4 °C and the subsequent protocol was performed as previously described.

For all assays, calibrate beads three-color kit (BD CalibRITE beads, USA) was used to adjust the instrument settings before samples are run on the flow cytometer. Finally, data were analyzed using the Flowing Software 2.

### 4.6.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software version 5.0a. The nonparametric Mann–Whitney test was used to compare two groups, whereas comparison between more than two groups was performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s comparison test. A value of *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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