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ABSTRACT

Biomaterials play an important role in human life to restore and support function in order to generate a better quality of life, as well as a long life time of patients. Bacterial biofilms can increase the pathogenicity of infection and constitute a major problem in modern medicine, especially on biomedical devices and implants. Biofilms are difficult to treat with antibiotics, even to eradicate by the host immune system, being the major cause of implant and device failure. Therefore, new strategies to prevent and cure bacterial infection need to be found. Nanoparticles have many special properties as small size and large surface area, surface reactivity, crystallinity, electronic properties, charge, shape, hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity, and solubility. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) represent a special class of biocompatible nanoparticles that have one specific component called magnetic particle core that can be targeted to on specific location through external magnets. These specific nanoparticles present good biocompatibility with human cells, such as macrophages, and also strong antimicrobial properties. The main goal of this thesis was to evaluate the influence of SPIONs in macrophages and consequently its impact in bacterial biofilms. Staphylococcus aureus biofilms were exposed to macrophages in the presence and absence of SPIONs and microscopically analysed. SPIONs were internalized by macrophages, yielding 10% less staphylococcal survival as compared to the macrophages alone. In conclusion, the presence of SPIONs on macrophages increases the efficacy to remove staphylococci from infectious biofilms, which can have a major impact on the prevention and cure of bacterial infections.

Keywords: Biomaterial- associated infections (BAI), bacterial biofilms, macrophages, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs), fluorescent microscopy.
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RESUMO

Os biomateriais apresentam uma enorme importância nos dias de hoje, devido às suas funções de suporte e restruturação, garantindo uma melhor qualidade de vida e melhor esperança média de vida dos pacientes. Os biofilmes bacterianos podem aumentar a fagoticidade de uma infecção, constituindo um grande problema na medicina moderna, especialmente em implantes e dispositivos biomédicos. Os biofilmes são de difícil tratamento com o uso de antibióticos, ou mesmo pela erradicação através do sistema imune do hospedeiro, sendo a maior causa de falha de implantes e dispositivos médicos. As nanopartículas apresentam diversas propriedades especiais, como o seu tamanho pequeno e larga área de superfície, bem como a superfície reativa, cristalinidade, propriedades elétricas, carga, forma, hidrofobicidade / hidrofílicidade e solubilidade. As nanopartículas superparamagnéticas de óxido de ferro (SPIONs) representam uma classe especial de nanopartículas biocompatíveis, apresentando um componente específico chamado núcleo de partículas magnéticas, que pode ser direcionado para um local específico usando magnetos externos. Estas nanopartículas apresentam uma boa biocombatibilidade com células humanas, como os macrófagos, e elevadas propriedades antimicrobianas. O principal objetivo desta tese foi observar a influência das SPIONs nos macrófagos e consequentemente o seu impacto nos biofilmes bacterianos. Os biofilmes de *Staphylococcus aureus* foram expostos aos macrófagos na presença e ausência das SPIONs e posteriormente analisados ao microscópio. As SPIONs foram internalizadas pelos macrófagos, tendo-se observado um decréscimo de cerca de 10% de sobrevivência de bactérias comparativamente com os ensaios em que se usou apenas macrófagos. Concluindo, a presença das SPIONs nos macrófagos aumenta a eficácia de remoção de biofilmes infeciosos de estafilococos, o que pode ter um grande impacto na prevenção e cura de infeções bacterianas.

**Palavras-chave:** Infeções associadas a biomateriais (BAI), biofilmes bacterianos, macrófagos, nanopartículas superparamagnéticas de óxido de ferro (SPIONs), microscopia de fluorescência.
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1. CHAPTER I

General Introduction
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1.1 Motivation and Goals

Biomaterial-associated infections (BAI) constitute a major clinical problem given the difficulty to treat them. *Staphylococcus aureus* are one of the most frequently isolated pathogens that affect biomaterial implants and devices. The immune cells, such as macrophages, are normally very efficient in removing pathogens. However, bacteria in their biofilm mode of growth are insensitive to the host immune system or antibiotic treatment, caused by the growing of antibiotic-resistance in many strains. Therefore, alternative treatments to prevent the infections associated with biomedical implants and devices need to be explored.

In the host, different immune cells are recruited to the infection site to promote the elimination of pathogens. Macrophages are the first cells to arrive on the infection site, remaining at that site for several weeks. During that period, macrophages play a crucial role on orchestrating the inflammatory response.

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) represent a special class of nanoparticles. SPIONs showed promising biocompatibility with human cells, while exhibiting strong antimicrobial properties. Based on the increasing antibiotic-resistance of current pathogens, a new approach based on the use of SPIONs can represent an alternative to prevent biofilm infections.

The main goal of this thesis was to study the influence of SPIONs on macrophages and consequent effect on the phagocytosis the attached bacteria.

The specific aims of this thesis were:

i) Formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 12600\(^{\text{GFP}}\) biofilm;

ii) Interaction between *S. aureus* ATCC 12600\(^{\text{GFP}}\) biofilm and murine macrophages;

iii) Influence of SPIONs on the phagocytosis of bacterial biofilms.

1.2 State of Art

Biofilms are especially troublesome when involved in BAI. Due to the presence of the biomaterial, the efficacy of the host immune system decreases. SPIONs together with macrophages can be combined with any biomaterial surface to assist the elimination of bacteria and replace the use of antibiotic therapy to prevent BAI.
1.2.1 Biomaterials

Nowadays, people have a long lifetime expectations, as well as a good quality of life. However, the human body reaches a state that exceeds its capacity for an effective natural repair. In this way, the discovery of new biomaterials is extremely important in the modern medicine, not only for the elderly but also for trauma patients (Gristina et al., 1987). Generally, the functional restoration is achieved by surgery, using permanent implanted biomaterials like a heart implant, or using temporary devices for transient intervention in order to promote healing, tissue regeneration and functional restoration (Busscher et al., 2012; Campoccia et al., 2006).

Many efforts have been conducted towards the creation of synthetic materials with diverse properties that allow the replacement of a tissue without an adverse response from the host (Anderson, 2004). A biomaterial can be described as a combination of substances originating from organic, inorganic or natural materials. These materials should be biocompatible in contact with the body during the healing time. Also, these materials can comprises whole or part of a living structure or biomedical device which performs, augments or replaces a natural function (Yoruç et al., 2012; Tathe et al., 2010).

Materials used in medicine are divided in three groups. Group I correspond to materials that do not enter in contact with tissues, e.g. bandages. Group II materials are those which contact occasionally with tissues, e.g. dialysis machine. Group III correspond to materials that have direct contact with tissues, e.g. joint prostheses, being commonly called biomaterials. According to the tissue-biomaterial interaction, a material can be classified as bioinert, bioactive and bioresorbable. A bioinert material refers to any material that has minimal interaction with the host tissue. On the contrary, a bioactive material corresponds to that materials able to interact with the host tissue. Bioresorbable materials correspond to those materials that are able to provide a framework for new tissue to grow while being resorbed (Ramakrishna et al., 2010).

Additionally, these biomaterials can be grouped into polymers, metals, ceramics and composites. Polymers can be used in soft and hard tissue applications, comprising the largest category of biomaterials. Ceramics can be used in hard tissue repair, regeneration and augmentation, mainly used as coating on metal implants or non-load-bearing applications. Metals are normally used in orthopaedic and dental applications. Polymer-ceramic composites correspond to the major part of composite biomaterials (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2013).
In summary, a biomaterial is a non-viable substance that is used in medical devices, to interact with biological systems. Their application within a physiologic context requires specific features that include reliability and efficiency. These features aspects provide a suitable combination of different chemical, biological, mechanical and physical properties (Yoruç et al., 2012). Currently, biomaterials can be used in different medical systems like drug delivery systems, tissue cultures joint replacements and contact lenses (Yoruç et al., 2012; Tathe et al., 2010).

1.2.2 Biomaterials-associated Infections (BAI)

Life expectancies grown a lot during the past few years, related with the increasing use of biomaterial implants and devices. Totally internal biomaterial implants and devices face two challenges related to their use in vivo, namely biomaterials-associated infections (BAI) and the lack of native tissue integration (Busscher et al., 2012).

Implant and devices application and composition are different, depending on which biomaterial or applications are envisaged, however it is well known that regardless of their composition and application, all biomaterials attract microorganisms, thus representing niches for in vivo infection. As mentioned, BAI are a major issue associated with the use of implants. BAI occurs in 0.5-6% of all cases, depending on the implant site, and in cases of trauma or revision (Campoccia et al., 2006). The majority of BAI are caused by the relatively non-pathogenic coagulase-negative staphylococci in 40%-75% of the cases (Boelens et al, 2000). Continued microbial presence meddles with the intended function of an implant or device, adding risks to the human body. Moreover, BAI is the number one cause of failure of biomaterial implants or devices (Gristina et al., 1987). These infections have an enormous unchanged clinical incidence, associated with morbidity and mortality, and represent significant costs (Busscher et al., 2012).

The pathogenesis of BAI constitutes a sophisticated process with different contributing factors, such as bacterial virulence, physicochemical properties of the biomaterial and modifications in the host defence (Boelens et al, 2000). BAI is difficult to treat, as the biofilm mode of growth protects the pathogenic microorganisms against the host defence system and to antibiotics (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012). Biomaterial implants or devices can become contaminated by microorganisms in different ways. In the absence of skin-penetrating trauma, the organisms have entered in the wound site by attaching to the implant during the
surgery (perioperative contamination) or during the hospitalization (postoperative contamination) (Busscher et al., 2012; Subbiahdoss et al., 2012).

The materials used in the surgery are not truly sterile, thus these represent routes of microbial contamination, which are normal in all surgeries and postoperative hospitalizations. Indeed, these comprise a huge risk of biomaterial infections, as the organisms adhere to the surface of the implant and revert to their protective biofilm phenotype, entering in senescent states to be able to survive in this environment (Busscher et al., 2012).

Perioperative contamination implies that the device or implant is contaminated before or during the surgical procedure. It is well known that during a surgery of 1 hour, the total number of bacteria that falls on a wound is around 270 bacteria/cm$^2$. Bacterial counts during an operation are higher when personnel movement and surgical activity is bigger (RH Fitzgerald Jr, 1979). In the case of better ventilated operation room and impermeable clothing, perioperative bacterial contamination may be less than 270 bacteria/cm$^2$ (Verkkal et al., 1998).

Another route of infection of a biomaterial may be due to postoperative contamination. The infection occurring during hospitalization may be caused by direct contamination of open wounds or by the use of invasive devices as e.g. catheters. Clinical signs of infection may not appear until many years after implantation, because a lot of bacterial strains are able to stay on the implant surface in a low metabolic state for years post-surgery, which permits the slow development of BAI (Gristina et al., 1987).

BAI can also result from haematogenous spreading of bacteria from infections elsewhere in the body or associated to an implanted biomaterial. This haematogenous spreading of bacteria can be due to surgical or dental interventions, skin infections, abscesses, pneumonia or bacteraemia, which can lead to chronic or temporal infections (Ahlberg et al., 1978). In these circumstances, effective protection is only possible by integration of the biomaterial into host tissues and establishment of a normal host immune response at the implant site. Immune cells play an important role in transporting bacteria to the implant site, as some strains can survive inside the macrophages (Wells et al., 1987; Guo et al., 1993).

The implant microbial colonization is the prelude step to BAI, causing critical consequences to the patient (Ahlberg et al., 1978). After the adhesion, bacteria start to synthesize a hydrated matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) to form a biofilm (Costerton, 1999). Organisms in a biofilm mode of growth can be less active, which facilitates their resistance to antimicrobial agents, allowing a long-lasting dormant state (Busscher et al,
This biofilm phenotype allows the colonization of microorganisms in the biomaterials surface, avoiding antibiotics and host immune responses (Costerton, 1999). The immune responses are compromised by the trauma associated with the surgical intervention or by the presence of a foreign body in the tissue, compromising the phagocytic activity and the host immune response (Busscher et al., 2012).

The result of the host response to a foreign body consists in a broad spectrum of outcomes, ranging from complete integration with the surrounding tissues, developing minimal inflammation. This response to the material will also influence the ability of the host to phagocytose the pathogens from the surface of the implant, including macrophages (Rochford et al., 2012). Infection around the biomaterial start after sending a low concentration of bacteria to the device. Although macrophages are in the implant site, these pathogens cannot be eliminated. These kind of infections are characterized by their prolonged evolution. Therefore, bacteria will stay in the implant until surgical removal or spontaneous extrusion (Zimmerli et al., 2011).

The inflammatory response usually starts with the accumulation of wear particles at the implant/device surface. These induce a cellular response through phagocytosis or by interactions at the cell surface. After the recognition, host cells start to produce cytokines and growth factors, such as TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-11, IL-15, TGF-α, GM-CSF, M-CSF, PDGF. These factors induce osteoclast formation, which stimulates osteolysis and recruit macrophages and lymphocytes. Then, these cells produce pro-inflammatory and pro-osteoclastogenic factors, which promote the reaction (Kzhyshkowska et al., 2015).

The destiny of a biomaterial implant has been reported as a race between bacterial adhesion and biofilm growth on the implant surface and tissue integration. If the race is won by bacteria, the implant surface will be colonized by bacteria and tissue cell functions are hampered by bacterial virulence factors and excreted toxins. If the race is won by tissue cells, the surface will be covered by cellular layer, being less vulnerable to bacteria colonization (Campoccia et al., 2006).
1.2.3 Staphylococcus aureus

*Staphylococcus* are pathogens for humans and other mammals. Normally, they are divided in two groups, depending on their ability to clot blood plasma, known as coagulase reaction. *Staphylococcus aureus*, together with *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, belongs to the coagulase-positive staphylococci (Foster, 1996).

*S. aureus* is a major human pathogen causing significant morbidity and mortality due to hospital acquired infections, being present in approximately 30% of the population. This pathogen causes a variety of diseases, such as necrotizing pneumonia, food poisoning, endocarditis and infections of surgical wound or prosthetic materials (Koziel et al., 2009; Thammavongsa et al., 2015). Localized *S. aureus* infections are frequently followed by bacterial invasion of the vascular system, which may cause bacteraemia and sepsis (Koziel et al., 2009). Biofilms infections are one of the problems associated with this staphylococci pathogen. *S. aureus* is capable of causing biofilm infection both in indwelling devices, such as prostheses and catheters as well as natural body surfaces (Thurlow et al., 2011).

*S. aureus* produces several virulence factors, facilitating its ability to invade, colonize and disseminate to distant sites. These factors may be amplified during the formation of biofilms, which are composed by a complex multicellular community of organisms encased in an extracellular matrix (ECM) that contains primary polysaccharides, extracellular DNA and
proteins (Scherr et al., 2013). The ability of this pathogen to form biofilms presents an enormous concern for the diagnosis and therapeutic treatment of these infections.

*S. aureus*, known as an extracellular host pathogen, stimulates the inflammatory response and undergoes an intracellular phase within phagocytes, which contains cells from macrophage/monocyte lineage. The only effective treatment for the infections caused by intracellular forms is the use of an antibiotic that can concentrate sufficiently at the site of microbial residence and maintain in the intracellular environment. Nevertheless, it has been observed that some microbial pathogens are protected from antibiotics, inside the immune cells. Some studies found that *S. aureus* express a wide array of secreted and cell-surface-associated virulence factors to help evade immune responses. The treatment of these types of infections has become problematic due to the high prevalence of multi-antibiotic-resistant-strains, such as methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) (Dey et al., 2015; Thurlow et al., 2011).

Investigations made in the past showed that *S. aureus* is able to invade and survive inside mammalians cells, including immune cells that are responsible for bacterial clearance (Lehar et al., 2015). *S. aureus* are vigorously phagocytosed by macrophages using different mechanisms but are not efficiently killed. *S. aureus*, as well as the macrophage cell type may promotes the bacterial evasion from the macrophages and persist in these cells for a prolonged time (Münzenmayer et al., 2016). It is unclear if the mechanisms are employed by *S. aureus* to escape from different cell types or if different toxins/factors act in concert to help the bacteria escaping from phagocytosis. Also, it is not clear how the intracellular expression of the relevant genes is regulated. The newest identified *S. aureus* leukotoxin is LukAB, leukotoxin that kills primary human macrophages (Melehani et al., 2015). LukAB is the most recently identified member of the bicomponent leukocidin family. This toxin contributes to the cytotoxicity of clinical isolates toward innate immune cells and has been shown to play an important role in the success of *S. aureus* to adhere and form biofilms. (DuMont et al., 2013). LukAB binds to CD11b, to target and kill human neutrophils.

1.2.4 Biofilm formation and resistance to antibiotics

Antibiotics have revolutionized the treatment of common bacterial infections, being widely used since their appearance. The frequent use of antibiotics in small doses constitutes a constant selective pressure on pathogens and results in antibiotic-resistant strains (Larrson et
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Al. 2000). Antibiotics are ineffective when biofilms form due to their non-permeability, subpopulations of persistent strains, the variable physiological status of microorganisms and the different phenotypes present (Taylor et al., 2009).

A biofilm can be defined as an agglomerations of microbial cells adherent to a living or nonliving surface embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of microbial origin, representing a considerable therapeutic challenge because organisms within these matrices are recalcitrant to antibiotics treatment (Hall-Stoodley et al., 2012; Thurlow et al., 2011). Bacterial biofilms present a high resistance to mechanical interference, mechanisms of innate and acquired host defences and antibiotic treatment (Periasamy et al., 2012).

The formation of bacterial biofilms is mediated by three different steps. Initial adhesion can occur in any biotic or abiotic surface. S. aureus has an enormous capacity to attach to indwelling medical devices, interacting with the device’s polymer surface or establishing connections with the human matrix proteins when the proteins have covered the device.

Secondly, proliferation proceeds through the production of an ECM that contributes to intracellular aggregation. The matrix produced in the presence of staphylococci consists in several secreted polymers and specific proteins as well as DNA originating by lysed cells.

The last step is the detachment. A viable biofilm requires channels that allow the penetration of nutrients into deeper biofilm layers, promoting the disruption of cell-cell interactions. These factors lead to detachment of cells and cell clusters from the biofilm, which controls the thickness and expansion of the biofilm. Biofilm detachment plays an important role during BAI due to the capacity of enabling cells to spread through the blood and other body fluids to new infection sites (Periasamy et al., 2012).

1.2.5 Macrophages

Professional phagocytes, such as macrophages, play an important role in host defence by recognizing, engulfing and eliminating a large variety of invading pathogens. As a result, high influxes of such phagocytes are expected at the infection site upon pathogen invasion (Hamza et al., 2014).

Macrophages are the primary professional scavenger cells (Aribi et al., 2015). These cells derive from blood monocytes and reside in all tissue, where they can act as sentinels
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responding to damage by activating. Once activated, macrophages are excellent phagocytes, as they can remove unwanted materials including apoptosis cells (Dunster, 2016).

These immune cells are one of the most predominant immune cells that arrive in a short period of time at an implant site and can remain for several days in the biomaterial surface, providing the inflammatory process and foreign body reactions (Anderson JM, 2004). After recognition and phagocytosis, macrophages activate cellular functions such as cell proliferation and secretion of enzymes, reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, cytokines, chemokines and growth factors, in order to destroy the phagocytised bacteria (Thurlow et al., 2011; Mantovani et al., 2009) However, in the presence of a biomaterial, the normal host response is damaged, contributing to the virulence of BAI (Boelens et al., 2000).

Macrophages play an important role in the cascade of immunologic responses towards medical devices and implants. Macrophages are evolutionarily designed to initiate, orchestrate, and resolve inflammation by modulating their own phenotype, as well as that of surrounding cells. They are versatile biochemical factories with an arsenal of molecules to contain invading microorganisms or foreign bodies at the risk of collateral damage to surrounding tissue (Kzhyshkowska et al., 2015).

As the resistance to antibiotics increased in the last years, new strategies are needed to prevent bacterial infections. Activated macrophages can rapidly recognize and clear pathogens. This fact encouraged the approach to macrophage-specific targeting by modified nanoparticles. Lysosomal enzymes promote the degradation of nanocarriers, realising the drugs into a phagolysosomal vesicle by diffusion or transport. Infected macrophages together with nanoparticles can direct the drug agent carrier to lysosomes where the pathogens reside, promoting an approach to effective microbial killing (Chuang et al., 2016). Several studies found that macrophages together with SPIONs are an interesting tool for plaque imaging and also for experimental settings like kidney allograft chronic rejection, lymph-node metastases and brain ischemia. However, the mechanisms that promote the interaction between macrophages and SPIONs are not understood and are also greatly dependent on the size of the nanoparticles (Von zur Muhlen et al., 2007).
1.2.6 Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs)

Nanoscale science and engineering are providing us the knowledge of the atomic and molecular scales. These particles have taken a lot of attention given their magnetic, electronic and optical properties. Nanoparticles are simple particles in the nanosize range \((10^{-9} \text{ m})\), normally with less than 100 nm. Their dimensions make them an ideal candidate for nanoengineering of surfaces, the production of functional nanostructures and biomedical applications, such as drug delivery systems (Mahmoudi et al., 2010; Wahajuddin et al., 2012).

Metal nanoparticles have been used to solve bacterial infections. The antibacterial efficacy of metal nanoparticles has been suggested to be due to their high surface area to volume ratio rather than the sole effect of metal ion release. A high surface area to volume ratio promotes the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). These properties allow the interaction between nanoparticles and microbial membranes, damaging their structures and inactivating bacteria (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012).

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) represent a special class of biocompatibility nanoparticles, consisting of cores made of iron oxide that can be targeted to a specific area through external magnets (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012; Mahmoudi et al., 2010). Consequently, SPIONs have a lot of potential in a vast variety of biomedical applications such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), targeted delivery of drugs or genes, targeted destruction of tumour tissue through hyperthermia, magnetic transfections, iron detection, chelation therapy and tissue engineering (Singh et al., 2010).

SPIONs can be divided in three categories, namely oral SPION, 300-nm-3.5 µm, standard SPION (SSPION), 50-150 nm, and ultrasmall SPION (USPIO), <50 nm. SPIONs with 10-100 nm size are considered optimal for intravenous administration whereas particles with more than 200 nm and less than 10 nm are sequestered by the spleen or removed through renal clearance (Singh et al., 2010). These category of nanoparticles are small synthetic \(\gamma\)-\(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) (maghemite), \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) (magnetite) or \(\alpha\)-\(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) (hermatite) particles with a core range between 10 and 100 nm in diameter. Mixed oxides of iron with transition metal ions such as nickel, copper, cobalt and manganese, are known to have superparamagnetic properties, being in the SPIONs category (Wahajuddin et al., 2012).

Toxicity is an important issue that needs to be dealt with. The most developed hypothesis for nanoparticles toxicity is the generation of ROS, which can result in DNA, protein and tissue damage. ROS can be generated from free radicals on the surface of the nanoparticles or transition metal nanoparticles, such as iron may generate ROS by acting as
catalysts in Fenton-type reactions. ROS may also be generated from altered mitochondrial function due to nanoparticles uptake into mitochondria, which can probably damage the mitochondrial membrane and contribute to oxidative stress (Buyukhatipoglu et al., 2010).

The scientific community has devoted a lot of attention to SPIONs not only because of their superparamagnetic properties, but also to of their low toxicity against human cells. A study comparing different metal oxide nanoparticles in vitro showed that iron oxide nanoparticles are safe and non-cytotoxic below a concentration of 100 µg/ml (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012). There are several reports in the literature showing that a range of SPIONs with varying physico-chemical characteristics exhibit low toxicity or cytotoxicity at doses of 100 µg/ml [28].

The application of metals in their nanoparticulate form is being considered to solve bacterial infections. Penetration of a colloid to any depth in a biofilm is related with an inverse relationship to their size due to steric and mobility factors while plasma has an important role in decreasing the nanoparticles local concentration. Nanoparticles are small enough to penetrate the biofilm, large enough to have a long plasma half-life and offer a surface to volume ratio optimized for mass loading of targeting drugs and antibiotics (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2009).

The biofilm mode of growth on a biomaterial surface prevents the penetration of antibiotics into the biofilm, however SPIONs are able to penetrate into the biofilm. An external magnetic field can facilitate the deep penetration of SPIONs into the biofilm, and magnetic concentration in a region can enhance antibacterial efficacy (Figure 2) (Subbiahdoss et al., 2012).

Figure 2 – Penetration of antibiotics and SPIONs into the biofilm (Taken from Subbiahdoss et al., 2012).
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Materials and Methods
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2.1 Strain and cell line

The *S. aureus* strain used in this work was the *S. aureus* ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP}.

The murine macrophages cell line used in this work was the J774A.1 (ATCC TIB-67; obtained from LCG, Wesel, Germany).

2.2 *S. aureus* culturing and harvesting

*S. aureus* ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} was grown in tryptone soya broth (TSB; OXOID, Basingstoke, England) agar plate with 1\% of tetracycline from a frozen stock, overnight, aerobically at 37°C. The strain was cultured by inoculating one colony in 10 ml TSB, incubated for 24h at 37°C. This culture was used to inoculate second culture in 200 ml TSB, and was grown for 24 h at 37°C. Bacteria were then harvested by centrifugation (5 min at 6500 rpm at 10 °C) (Avanti J-E Centrifuge, Beckman Coulter) and washed three times with sterile phosphate-buffer saline (PBS, 10mM potassium phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.0). Then, the harvested bacteria were sonicated on ice (3 × 10 s) in PBS in order to break bacterial aggregates. Bacteria were then resuspended in sterile PBS to a concentration of $1 \times 10^8$ bacteria/ml, determined with a Bürker-Türk counting chamber.

2.3 Macrophage culturing and harvesting

Macrophages were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplement with 4.5 g/l D-glucose, pyruvate and 10\% fetal bovine Serum (DMEM-HG + 10\% FBS) in tissue culture polystyrene flasks (TCPS). TCPS flasks were maintained at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5\% CO$_2$ and cells were separated between 70 and 80\% of confluence by scraping. Macrophages were counted using a Bürker-Türk counting chamber and were diluted to a concentration of $1 \times 10^7$ macrophages/ml in DMEM-HG + 10\% FBS.

2.4 Macrophages Staining

CellTracker\textsuperscript{TM} CM-Dil (C7001) was used to stain the murine macrophage cell line used in this work. To prepare the staining solution, 1 ml of DMSO sterile was added to 1 mg of CM-Dil (C7001). The macrophages were grown TCPS. Afterwards, the old medium was removed and 5 ml of new medium was added. Immediately after, 5 µL of the staining solution
was added to the TCPS flask. After 1 h, 10 ml of fresh medium was added and the macrophages were stained and ready to use.

2.5 Nanoparticles characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; CM100; FEI Company, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) was used to obtained detailed morphological information on the samples and was carried out using a Fei Tecnai 10 microscope (Oregon, USA) operating at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. The samples were produced by putting a drop of diluted suspension of iron oxide nanoparticles on a copper-grid (300 mesh), allowing the liquid to dry at room temperature. The statistical analysis of TEM images were executed by iTEM (Germany) on multiple images for each samples. The mean diameter, standard deviation, and polydispersity index (PDI) were calculated by measuring the diameter of the particles. The number of nanoparticles counted ranged between 500 and 700. Measurements of the size distribution and zeta potential of the suspended nanoparticles in aqueous medium were conducted on a Zetasizer nano zs (Malvern Instruments, United Kingdom) using laser He-Ne (633 nm). The zeta potential was determined in the solution containing NaCl (0.01 nm). In order to adjust the pH of the aqueous suspensions containing the particles, 0.1-0.001 nm HNO₃ or NaOH solution was added.

2.6 Influence of the bacterial challenge concentration on phagocytosis in the presence and absence of SPIONs

In order to determine the influence of SPIONs on the phagocytosis of bacteria, experiments were done in 12 well plates with S. aureus ATCC 12600 GFP at a concentration of 1 × 10⁸ bacteria/ml. Afterwards, 1 ml of the bacteria and 1 ml of TSB with 1 % of tetracycline was added to each well and the bacteria was attaching the surface for 1h. Subsequently, the wells were washed with DMEM-HG + 10% of FBS and 1 ml of murine macrophages suspension (1 × 10⁵ macrophages/ml) was add to each well supplemented or not with 25 µL of SPION suspension. Well plates were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ for 2h, allowing the phagocytosis to occur. Experiments were made in DMEM-HG + 10 % of FBS without addition of SPIONs or macrophages as a control. After the 2h of
incubation, images were taken at 3 different locations with a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM4000B, Heidelberg, Germany) using the 40X water immersion objective.

2.7 Evaluation of the bacterial survival inside macrophages

Experiments were made in tryptone soya broth (TSB; OXOID, Basingstoke, England) agar plate with 1 % of tetracycline to determine the number of bacteria that were alive inside the macrophages. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation (5 min at 2000 rpm at room temperature). Then, 100 µL of suspension was plated in the agar plate. Then, 3 different dilutions were made (no dilution; 1:10; 1:100). In the end, 100 µL of suspension was plated in the agar plate and split through the plate with a Drigalski spatula. The lyses of the macrophages was done by introducing 1 ml of ultrapure water and left in contact for 5 minutes. Afterwards, the suspension was vigorously resuspended to promote the disruption of the macrophage wall. Then, three different dilutions were made (no dilution; 1:10; 1:100). In the end, 100 µL of suspension was plated in the agar plate and split through the plate with a Drigalski spatula.
3. Chapter III

Results and Discussion
3.1 Influence of the bacterial challenge concentration on phagocytosis in the presence and absence of SPIONs

The influence of the bacterial concentration on the intracellular inactivation of bacteria by SPIONs was quantified by fluorescent microscope (Figure 3). The number of bacteria without macrophages and SPIONs, after 2 h was $9.83 \times 10^8 \pm 1.31 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^2$. SPIONs alone were found to cause no significant reduction in the bacterial survival after 2h of interaction with the staphylococci biofilm. The presence of macrophages generates a strong reduction in the bacterial survival to $(2.78 \times 10^7 \pm 7.60 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2$ of their initial number), depending on the initial number of staphylococci present in the surface. The staphylococci survival decrease significantly in the presence of both macrophages and SPIONs $(1.97 \times 10^7 \pm 1.15 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^2)$, also depending on the initial bacterial numbers.

**Figure 3** – Number of live staphylococci after phagocytosis in the presence or absence of SPIONs. The number of bacteria was determined by fluorescence microscopy after 2 hours of phagocytosis (J744A.1 macrophages). Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) over different experiments, each involving images randomly localized.
From the results obtained, it is possible to conclude that the number of bacteria decreases with the use of macrophages alone or with the use of macrophages together with SPIONs (Figure 3). The use of SPIONs can promote a more efficient elimination of bacteria by macrophages. Although, the results obtained in this study showed not to be significant.

Analyzing the graphic from Figure 3, it was found that the difference of staphylococci survival in the presence and absence of SPIONs is around $8.10 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$. ANOVA was applied to demonstrate statistically differences and $p<0.05$ were considered significant. The $p$ number was higher than 0.05 ($p=0.803$), showing that these results are not statistically significant. Further studies need to be executed to obtain better results.

However, it is possible to notice a small difference between bacterial survival in the presence and absence of SPIONs. SPIONs can be taken up from different cell types, such as macrophages, neutrophilic granulocytes and monocytes. Shanhua et al., (2013) reported that LPS-activated neutrophilic granulocytes increase the uptake of mannan-coated SPIONs. Grosse et al., (2016) expressed that SPIONs were taken up by primary human monocytes. SPIONs can reach sites with increased macrophage activity. Bierry et al., (2010) showed that SPIONs can detect the presence of bacterially induced arthritis by showing activated infiltration macrophages inside infected synovium.

In terms of percentage of bacterial survival (Figure 4), SPIONs alone in contact with bacteria caused no significant reduction, being the bacterial survival around 84%. On the other hand, the presence of macrophages themselves yields a reduction of the staphylococcal survival in about 30% of their initial number. The combination of macrophages and SPIONs promotes the reduction of the *S. aureus* to 20% of their initial bacterial number.
Figure 4 - Percentage of staphylococci survival after phagocytosis in the presence or absence of SPIONs. The number of bacteria was determined by fluorescence microscopy after 2 hours of phagocytosis (J744A.1 macrophages). Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) over different experiments, each involving images randomly localized.

Through analysis of Figure 4, it is possible to see that bacteria in contact with macrophages in the absence and presence of SPIONs showed a huge decrease when compared to the control experiment (S. aureus attached to the surface). Comparing the values of S. aureus elimination in the presence or absence of SPIONs, it was possible to conclude that this difference is not significant (10%). ANOVA was applied to verify if the results were statistically significant. The p number obtained was higher than 0.05, which confirms the non-significant difference. However, it is important to bear in mind that nanoparticles are capable of reaching sites with increased macrophages activity (Von sur Muhlen et al. 2007), which in turn might represent a great advantage. Macrophages play an important role in mediating a wide range of inflammatory diseases, making them a good target for nanoparticle mediated therapies (Chellat et al., 2005).

In summary, it has been found that the percentage of staphylococci survival decreases in contact with macrophages either in the presence or absence of SPIONs. In order to observe
the phagocytosis of bacteria by macrophages in the presence or absence of the nanoparticles, fluorescence microscopy images were taken.

3.2 Evaluation of the staphylococcal phagocytosis in the presence and absence of SPIONs through fluorescent microscopy

Fluorescence images of staphylococcal attached to the surface showed a high number of green-fluorescent organisms in the absence of SPIONs and macrophages (Figure 5). Also, it was found that the fluorescence was not affected by the presence of SPIONs (Figure 5). The green- fluorescence corresponds to *S. aureus* ATCC 12600<sup>GFP</sup>, while macrophages appear with a red color (red-fluorescence).
Figure 5– Fluorescence images of green-fluorescent \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} and macrophages J774.1A after 2 hours of phagocytosis in the presence and absence of SPIONs. (A) \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP}; (B) \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} and macrophages in the first contact; (C) \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} and SPIONs (after 2h); (D) \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} and macrophages (after 2h); (E) \textit{S.aureus} ATCC 12600\textsuperscript{GFP} and macrophages in the presence of SPIONs (after 2h).
Analyzing the images from Figure 5, it was found that the percentage of \textit{S. aureus} ATCC 12600$^{\text{GFP}}$ (Figure 5A) and the bacteria with SPIONs (Figure 5C) is similar. In the presence of macrophages (Figure 5D), \textit{S. aureus} was phagocytosed and it is visible the fluorescence of \textit{S. aureus} inside and outside macrophages. On the other hand, macrophages and SPIONs (Figure 5E) were found to promote more elimination of bacteria, although this difference is not significant.

According to Figure 5D and Figure 5E, the shape of macrophages did not remain the same as the ones in Figure 5B. This fact may be related with some disintegration of the macrophage. It is not known what caused this alteration. One fact that can be associated with disintegration is the staining solution used to stain macrophages. The other possibility is the possible formation of ROS. Buyukhatipoglu et al. (2010) showed that SPIONs induce the formation of ROS that can be toxic to cells, which cannot be balanced by the host cells and can induce the apoptosis of the cells containing SPIONs. Further studies need to be conducted to explore this topic.

3.3 Evaluation of the bacterial survival inside macrophages

Macrophages engulfed bacteria during 2 h. In order to know the bacterial survival inside macrophages, two techniques were used, namely centrifugation and lyses of macrophages. Firstly, we plated 100 $\mu$L of bacteria, without any dilution, in petri dishes with TSB + 1% of tetracycline. The number of bacteria counted in the agar plates was 377.50 colonies/ml with centrifugation and 650 colonies/ml with lysis (Figure 6).
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**Figure 6** – Number of colonies corresponding to bacterial survival inside the macrophages. The number of colonies was evaluated by the platting method. Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) over four experiences.

The number of bacteria counted with the Bürker-Türk chamber was $2.06 \times 10^7$ bacteria/ml with centrifugation and $3.45 \times 10^7$ bacteria/ml with lysis (Figure 7).

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 7** – Number of colonies corresponding to bacterial survival inside the macrophages. The number of colonies was evaluated using the Bürker-Türk. Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) over four experiences.

The number of bacteria alive inside the macrophages using the two techniques has been gathered in Table 1 for comparison purposes.

**Table 1** – Number of colonies representing the bacterial survival inside the macrophages as determined by two methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Centrifugation</th>
<th>Lysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plating (colonies/ml)</td>
<td>337.50</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bürker-Türk chamber</td>
<td>$2.06 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$3.45 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thesis results were not conclusive. ANOVA was applied to demonstrate statistically significant differences. The ANOVA analysis showed a p > 0.05 in both situation, plating (p=0.0964) and Bürker-Türk chamber (p=0.141). As p > 0.05, these results were not statistically significant. Future studies need to be done in order to understand these differences. The calculation of bacterial concentration in the Bürker-Türk chamber is not adequate. The formula to calculate the number of bacteria prevailing in 1 ml of solution has a standard factor. As the counting of bacteria was really low, this measurement may be ambiguous.

Although it is not possible to accurately know the number of bacteria alive inside the macrophages, one can conclude that there are bacteria still alive inside the macrophages. *S. aureus* subverts the host immune response by numerous mechanisms, including increased resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides, impairment of phagocyte recruitment, interference with Ab-mediated opsonization and complement activation, and resistance to intracellular killing (Scherr et al., 2015). Hamza et al. (2014) reposted that *S. aureus* could survive up to 5 and 7 days within macrophages, after their internalization. Intracellular *S. aureus* can escape the intracellular confinement, proliferated in the conditioned medium and killed cells (Kubica et al., 2008). In summary, new strategies need to be explored to allow the counting of bacterial survival inside macrophages.
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Conclusion and Future Work
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Nowadays, BAI are the number one cause of implant failure, being one of the major problems emerging from the use of implants and medical devices. Pathogens can be introduced on an implant in a perioperative or postoperative contamination, competing with the host cells to integrate the implant. Scientific communities have long recognized that biomaterial devices and implants provide foreign surfaces, alien to the human body, where bacteria can adhere and start the biofilm formation.

*S. aureus* are the most frequent isolated pathogens from infected biomaterial implants or devices. *S. aureus* stimulates the inflammatory response, promoting the high influx of macrophages to the infected site. Macrophages derive from blood monocytes, playing an important role on recognizing engulfing and killing invading pathogens, such as *S. aureus*. However, this pathogen has the capacity of surviving and infecting these immune cells, which promotes the chronic and recurrent infections.

The only effective treatment of *S. aureus* infection is the use of antibiotics. However, one big problem associated with this pathogen is the growing antibiotic-resistance. Bacteria in their biofilm mode of growth are relatively to antibiotic treatment across many pathogens. So, new strategies needed to emerge in order to prevent or cure bacterial infections.

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles are one type of biocompatibility nanoparticles, that can achieved a specific area. SPIONS can be combined with any biomaterial surface to promote the elimination of bacteria, replacing the antibiotic therapy used in these days. These type of nanoparticles can change cell function by formation of ROS, which can be produced by degradation of nanoparticles or by the cells.

In this thesis, it was possible to visualize the influence of SPIONs with macrophages in the removal of bacterial biofilms. A low concentration of SPIONs was found to be sufficient to assist macrophages in the phagocytosis of *S. aureus*. However, comparing the results obtained in the presence and absence of SPIONs no significant differences could be observed, as the ANOVA analyses demonstrate not statistically significant differences. To promote a bigger phagocytosed concentration, the number of SPIONs used should be higher, which would lead to a more efficient elimination of *S. aureus*.

The experiments conducted to evaluate the number of bacteria alive inside the macrophages were not conclusive. The standard factor on the Bürker - Türk chamber is very high when compared with the number of bacteria counted. Therefore, the counts may be affected by some experimental error.
In the future, it would be important to find the minimal concentration of SPIONs that can help macrophages in the phagocytosis of bacteria without causing any toxic effects to the host immune cells. Also, it would be important to study the effect of ROS formation, as they provide means through which macrophages remove bacteria from host cells.

To further validate the results of this thesis, *in vivo* models could be used to link the clinical outcome to the *in vitro* studies.

Herein, despite the high number of phagocytosed bacteria, macrophages were found to disintegrate. This fact should be further studied to understand if the staining solution affects the macrophages shape, which may affect the whole outcome of the study.

Additionally, new experiments must be performed to understand if the bacteria are still alive when they are phagocytosed. In the future, new techniques lyse of macrophages should be used.

The cell line used in this thesis was a murine line of macrophages (J774.1). By taking human line of macrophages, maybe experiments could be closer to the clinical reality. Also, the bacterial biofilm could be removed from a truly BAI site. In this way, the whole study could be more efficient and realistic.

In summary, bacteria can adhere and grow on any biomaterial implant surface. The antibiotic treatment used to prevent BAI is insufficient, and therefore, new strategies ought to be developed to decrease the number of bacterial infections associated with the use of implants and medical devices. The use of SPIONs together with macrophages has showed to be promising as a future therapy to prevent or eliminate BAI.
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