



ECER 2018

INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION, RESOURCES FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH?

4-7 SETEMBER 2018

Preventing School Underachievement Through Ability Grouping Practices In Schools:

Perspectives From Programme Local Coordinators, Teachers And Social Workers

Marta Rodrigues¹, Armando Loureiro^{1,2}, Isabel Costa^{1,3}, Virgínio Sá^{4,5}

¹Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Portugal

²CIIE, Centro de Investigação e Intervenção Educativas Universidade do Porto, Portugal

³CETRAD, Centro de Estudos Transdisciplinares para o Desenvolvimento, UTAD, Portugal

⁴ Universidade do Minho, Portugal

⁵CIEd, Centro de Investigação em Educação, Portugal



Universidade do Minho
Instituto de Educação
Centro de Investigação em Educação



Educating Places: Practices, Voices and Pathways of Inclusive Education



- EDUPLACES project aims to identify and characterize socio-educational practices that:
 - contribute to an inclusive education
 - are successful in overcoming school failure and drop out
- One of EDUPLACES' research questions:
 - From the implicated actors' point of view, which processes and factors, rationales and (institutional, community, local) partnerships contribute to building inclusive socio-educational practices?

Methodology



- Qualitative approach (Seale et al., 2004)
 - Multi-case study
 - Ten units of observation
 - Four Portuguese municipalities
 - Three phases/years
 - Team of four Centers/Universities (UMinho, UPorto, UTAD and UAlgarve)
- Focused in the context of two different national Programs designed to tackle school underachievement and early school leaving:
 - A program developed in schools
 - A program based on local community projects

Outcomes: First and Second Year of EDUPLACES Project



- Major milestones:

1. Panel of Inclusive Practices – Phase/year 1 (June 2016-May/2017)
2. Portfolio of Inclusive Practices - Phase/year 1 (June 2016-May/2017)
3. Monographs of Inclusive Practices – Phase/year 2 (June 2017/May 2018)

Typology of Inclusive Practices: An Ongoing Draft



- The construction of a typology of inclusive practices was led by a cross-sectional analysis of the ten practices:
 - Ability Grouping (2 Practices)
 - Study Support (4 Practices)
 - Mediation (3 Practices)
 - Pedagogical Differentiation (1 Practice)

Ability Grouping



- This presentation is based on two practices of ability grouping type from a total of five school-based practices
 - Different definitions and empirical approaches of ability grouping (Loveless, 2013)
 - “Differential instruction” use to define grouping students (Loveless, 2013)

Ability Grouping



- Ability grouping, or homogeneous grouping, is the separation of same-grade school children into groups or classes based on school aptitude. Grouping may occur based on test scores or school records of grades (Kulik, 1992)
- Ability grouping, in schools context, consists in the organization of groups of students, with relative homogeneity of academic performance, in the expectation the pedagogical action is more effective (Antunes et al, 2017)

Ability Grouping



- A barrage of studies criticized ability grouping emerge in the 1970s and 1980s
- Issues with ability grouping include its impact on self-esteem, effectiveness of instruction and equity among minority groups (Braddock & Slavin, 1992)
- Nonetheless, this type is found in several national programs and is pointed out by institutional leaders as successful in improvement students achievement

How It Is Organized

Practice 1

- Children/young people with various difficulties
- Three groups coming from three heterogeneous “Mother” classes (from 5th to 6th grade)
- Three homogeneous groups are formed in specific subjects (Mathematics and Portuguese Language)
- Supported by increased resources



How It Is Organized

Practice 2

- Children/young people with various difficulties
- One class (from 5th to 9th grade)
- Supported by increased resources

Target group: Elementary school students (5th to 9th grade). Mainly students from low socioeconomic levels

Indicated Outcomes



From the perspective of institutional representatives the advantages of ability grouping are:

- Improvements in student outcomes
- Improvements in collaborative work, teacher-student relationships, inter-institutional articulation and teacher training



Practice 1: One Analysis

Factors that contribute to overcoming school failure and/or dropout

- Support and individualized learning
- Reorganization of available human resources to support learning
- Opening and intensification of channels of communication and cooperation and/or critical factors to overcome failure and dropout

Equal opportunities in access to knowledge

- Mostly compensatory
- Some doubts about the execution of the syllabus (Teachers/Professionals)



Practice 1: One Analysis

Needs, expectations and problems

- Students with learning difficulties
 - Provides students with prerequisites for *student's task* (Perrenoud, 1995; De Witte et al, 2013)
 - Discontinuity of the work carried out in the 3rd cycle, may constitute a strong risk for further school failure and/or dropout (Teachers/Professionals)



Practice 2: One Analysis

Factors that contribute to overcoming school failure and/or dropout

- Curriculum flexibility
- Adjustment of teaching pace
- Continuous dialogue with students
- Reinforcement of students' self-esteem
- Selection of teachers
- Leadership of the Project Coordinator



Practice 2: One Analysis

Equal opportunities in access to knowledge

- Mostly compensatory
- The knowledge of these students can't be compared to other students (Teachers/Professionals)

Needs, expectations and problems

- Students with learning difficulties
 - Through an individualized attendance (Teachers/Professionals)
 - Flexibility in syllabus and differentiated pedagogical practices (Teachers/Professionals)



To Further Exploration In These Practices

- The perspective of coordinators, teachers and other professionals and the analysis of data seems to embrace the opinions of Kulik (1992), Braddock & Slavin (1992), as well as the opinions of proponents of ability grouping, indicating that these practices allow teachers to work closely with the students and tailor the pace and content of instruction to students' needs, improving student achievements
- In the two practices, it's not clear the degree of normative success, and if that success reflects the acquisition of knowledge, skills and attitudes corresponding to the level of education that these students attend
- In practice 1 some evidences supported by documental data analysis relativize the improvement of student achievements and enlightens on the risk of connotation-labeling issues, which according to Braddock & Slavin (1992), can affect self-esteem of those students in the lowest achieving groups



To Further Exploration In These Practices

- Practice 2 includes a disproportionately large number of children from socio-economically and culturally disadvantaged groups (including ethnic minorities); it is necessary to explore this status quo, in order to check whether this practice actually promotes equality in school results or if it's a practice that produces exclusion
- The two ability grouping practices may have results in the studied groups that should be read carefully, either from the effectiveness in achieve the intended result, or in particular in the equal opportunities in access to knowledge

References

- Antunes, F., et al (2017). EDUPLACES/Locais Educadores: práticas, vozes e percursos de educação inclusiva. Relatório Científico de constituição do Portefólio de Práticas (Ano1).
- Braddock, J., Slavin, R. (1992). Why ability grouping must end: achieving excellence and equity in American education. Baltimore, MD: Center for Research on Effective Schooling for Disadvantaged Students.
- De Witte, K.; Cabus, S.; Thyssen, G.; Groot, W. & van den Brink, H. (2013). A critical review of the literatures on school dropout. *Educational Research Review*, 10, pp.13-28 p. 24.
- Kulik J. (1992). An analysis of the research on ability grouping: Historical and contemporary perspectives. Storrs, CT: National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.
- Loveless, T. (2013). *How well are American students learning? With sections on the latest international tests, tracking and ability grouping, and advanced math in 8th grade* (Vol. 2). Washington. DC: Brown Center on Educational Policy . Brookings Institution.
- Perrenoud, P. (1995). *Ofício do Aluno e Sentido do Trabalho Escolar*. Porto: Porto Editora.
- Seale, C., Gobo, G., Jaber, G., Silverman, D. (2004). *Qualitative research practice*. London: Sage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PROJECTS/GRANTS

PTDC/MHC – CED/3775/2014 (Project granted by FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal)

BIM/UTAD/44/2017 (Research fellowship granted by FCT- Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal)

The data presented in this paper is the outcome of the joint work of the EDUPLCES research team: Fátima Antunes (coord.); Almerindo Afonso; Armando Loureiro; Carlos Gomes; Esmeraldina Veloso; Fátima Carvalho; Isabel Costa; Isabel Menezes; Joana Lúcio; José A. Palhares; José P. Amorim; Júlia Rodrigues; Manuel Silva; Marta Rodrigues; M. Emília Vilarinho; Raquel R. Monteiro; Rosanna Barros; Tiago Neves and Virgínio Sá

Thanks for your attention!