Epidemiology of dermatophytoses in 31 municipalities of the province of Buenos Aires, Argentina: A 6-year study

Mariana Mazza, Nicolás Refojo, Graciela Davel, Nelson Lima, Nicolina Dias, Cosme Marcelo Furtado Passos da Silva, Cristina Elena Canteros

Mycology Network of the Province of Buenos Aires (MNPBA)

Original article

A B S T R A C T

Background: No reliable data are available in the province of Buenos Aires regarding the frequency of dermatophytoses and other fungal diseases. The distribution of the clinical forms and the species involved are also unknown.

Aims: To present the data collected by the laboratories participating in the Mycology Network of the province of Buenos Aires (MNPBA) from a retrospective epidemiological survey on dermatophytoses.

Methods: A descriptive and exploratory analysis was performed on the cases of dermatophytoses gathered between 2002 and 2007 by the Mycology Network of the province of Buenos Aires.

Results: Of the 3966 dermatophytosis cases reported by 41 laboratories in 31 municipalities, more than a half occurred in three highly populated urban municipalities. The male:female ratio was 1:1.5. The most frequent clinical form was tinea unguium, diagnosed in 904 cases (51.83%), followed by tinea capitis (19.32%), tinea corporis (15.19%), tinea pedis (6.77%), tinea cruris (3.73%), and tinea manuum (2.18%). The species involved was identified in 1368 (33.49%) cases. Trichophyton rubrum was the most common species, with a frequency of 42.03%. An association was found between urban municipalities and T. rubrum or the Trichophyton mentagrophytes complex.

Conclusions: Results from the MNPBA survey provide valuable information that should enable further interventions to be designed in order to prevent and control the disease.

© 2017 Asociación Española de Micología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Epidemiología de las dermatofitosis en 31 municipios de la provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina: estudio de 6 años

R E S U M E N

Antecedentes: No existen datos fiables acerca de la frecuencia de las dermatofitosis y otras enfermedades fúngicas en la provincia de Buenos Aires. Por otra parte, la distribución en la provincia de las formas clínicas y las especies involucradas no es conocida.

Objetivos: El objetivo de este estudio fue reportar los datos recogidos por los laboratorios que participan en la Red de Micología de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (MNPBA) a través del análisis de encuestas epidemiológicas retrospectivas sobre casos notificados de dermatofitosis.
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1 Mycology Network of the Province of Buenos Aires (MNPBA), See Appendix A for a complete list of members.
Métodos: Se realizó un análisis descriptivo y exploratorio de los casos de dermatofitosis recogidos por los laboratorios de la Red de Micología de la provincia de Buenos Aires durante un período de 6 años (2002-2007).

Resultados: Se registraron 3.966 casos procedentes de 41 laboratorios distribuidos en 31 municipios. Más de la mitad de los casos tuvieron lugar en tres municipios urbanos muy poblados. La proporción varón:mujer fue de 1:1.5. La forma clínica más frecuente fue tinea unguium, diagnosticada en 904 casos (51,83%), seguida de tinea capitis (19,32%), tinea corporis (15,19%), tinea pedis (6,77%), tinea cruris (3,73%) y tinea manuum (2,18%). La identificación de las especies de dermatofitosis se realizó en 1.368 casos (33,49%). La especie predominante fue Trichophyton rubrum (42,03%). Se observó asociación entre los municipios de alta densidad poblacional y la presencia de T. rubrum y el complejo de especies Trichophyton mentagrophytes.

Conclusiones: Los resultados de las encuestas de MNPBA generan información de calidad que permite el diseño de nuevas intervenciones para la prevención y control de estas micosis.

© 2017 Asociación Española de Micología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

In 1997 the Mycology Department of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases (INEL, ANLIS “Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán”, City of Buenos Aires, Argentina) established the National Mycology Laboratories Network* whose main objectives are (1) to provide clinical diagnoses to the whole population, (2) to contribute to the control of the mycoses, (3) to participate in the surveillance of fungal infections and emerging fungal pathogens, (4) to enable staff to perform standardized laboratory procedures, and (5) to provide tools for improving the quality of the diagnoses. The National network comprises 130 laboratories grouped according to their complexity level and the step required to reach a diagnosis (Fig. 1). The laboratories are distributed in 23 provinces. If a laboratory fails to provide a conclusive diagnosis, the mycological sample must be sent to a laboratory of a higher level of complexity in the same province. In Argentina, the Clinical Mycology National Reference Laboratory (CMNRL) is the one with the highest complexity level in relation to tasks and diagnostic tools (level 4), where inconclusive mycological diagnoses from other laboratories are collected.

Dermatophytes affect millions of people and are the most common fungal infections worldwide. Its incidence is rising and represents a public health concern with a significant impact on health-care costs.12 Although in most cases the infection remains in the stratum corneum of the epidermis and the outcome is mild, it can shift to a more severe condition depending on the patients’ immune status.13,14 Therefore, dermatophytes and their causative agents vary according to a wide range of factors (ethnic group, life-style, socio-economic conditions, geo-climatic factors, and geographical location).10 In Argentina reliable epidemiological data on dermatophytes are lacking for the Province of Buenos Aires (PBA), which comprises 134 municipalities located on a 307,571 sq. km area. At present 55 laboratories from 31 municipalities participate in the Mycology Network of the PBA (MNPBA). Crowded suburbs around the capital city (Metropolitan Buenos Aires; 9,270,661 inhabitants), with industrial, and commercial activities and services, are included. The rest of the province is less densely populated, with 4,556,542 inhabitants involved in rural activities.11,12

The aim of the study was to report the data collected in a retrospective epidemiological survey on dermatophytes. Data were collected by the laboratories of the MNPBA under the coordination of the Mycology Department of INEL, ANLIS “Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán”. Between 2002 and 2007 a descriptive and exploratory analysis of dermatophytes was performed.

Methods

From January 2002 to December 2007 a six-year retrospective epidemiological review of 5650 cases of superficial mycoses was carried out. In order to collect the data, a form with closed questions was created and distributed to the laboratories. Records of dermatophytes were collected monthly from 55 public clinical laboratories of the MNPBA, Argentina. The criteria for a clinical diagnosis of dermatophytois were positive direct examination (hyaline septate hyphae, with or without arthroconidia) and/or dermatophyte-positive culture according to the criteria established by the CMNRL, the Mycology Department of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases ANLIS “Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán”. Demographic and clinical data were recorded, including patients’ age, gender, etiologic agent and clinical form of the lesion, the laboratory identification, and sampling date. During 2005 and 2006 the etiologic agent was not recorded and for 2007 this information was only partially recorded. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of National Institute of Epidemiology “Dr. Juan H. Jara”.

Statistical analysis

The population was stratified into seven groups according to patients’ age (<9 years; 10–19; 20–29; 30–39; 40–49; 50–59; >60 years). Pearson’s Chi Square (χ²) test was performed to study the relationship between the frequency of dermatophytosis and the selected variables, and to find significant differences in the distribution of each species. P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant using R Software, version 2.9.2 (24/08/2009).23

In order to study the relationship between the etiologic agents and the population density the municipalities were categorized in two groups: (a) high population density districts (>500 inhabitants/sq. km) which were mainly urbanized and industrialized, and (b) low population density districts (≤500 inhabitants/sq. km), mainly rural (agriculture and livestock). The relationship between dermatophytosis and population distribution over the geographical areas of 31 municipalities of the PBA was determined by the Kernel Method. The kernel estimator provided a continuous surface of densities calculated for all areas.4 The kernel parameters used in this study were the quadratic estimation function (k) and bandwidth fixed at 1000 m. TerraView software, version 3.2.0 (03/07/2008) was used for spatial analysis. Each municipality of the PBA was one unit.
Clinical Mycology National Reference Laboratory (level 4)

- High complexity laboratory (level 3)
  - Serological diagnosis of endemic mycoses (coccidioidomycosis, paracoccidioidomycosis, histoplasmosis) and chronic aspergillosis
  - Diagnosis of subcutaneous and endemic mycoses
  - Dimorphic fungal pathogens identification
  - Molds identification

Intermediate complexity laboratory (level 2)

- Diagnosis of opportunistic mycoses
- Yeast identification
- Aspergillus section Nigri and Aspergillus section Fumigati identification

Low complexity laboratory (level 1)

- Diagnosis of superficial mycoses
- Dermatophyte identification
- Candida albicans identification
- Diagnosis of oropharyngeal and vulvovaginal candidiasis

National Network

Local Network
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**Results**

A total of 5650 cases of superficial mycoses were reported by 41 (74.5%) of the 55 participating laboratories of the MNPB. Of those, 3966 were dermatophytoses cases, and accounted 70.2% of all reported cases. However, it was not possible to confirm the definitive diagnosis through the culture in 333 cases, then only a presumptive diagnosis of dermatophytosis should be considered. Yeast infections accounted for 1678 (29.7%) cases, and the remaining six cases were infections produced by opportunistic filamentous fungi. Of 3966 cases of dermatophytoses, clinical forms were recorded in 1744 (44%) cases. Out of those, 1313 cases in which the etiologic agent was identified, were distributed in different clinical forms (Table 1), the most frequent one being *Tinea unguium*, diagnosed in 671 cases (51.1%), followed by *Tinea capitis* and *Tinea corporis*, reported in 269 (20.5%) and 202 patients (15.4%), respectively. *Tinea pedis*, *Tinea cruris* and *Tinea manuum* accounted for the remaining 11.9%. In 15 cases (1.1%), two clinical forms were simultaneously found.

The most frequently isolated species were *Trichophyton rubrum* (42.6%), the *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* complex (23.9%), and *Microsporum canis* (21.5%). All the other genera and/or species were found at a rate below 10%. A mixed infection of *T. rubrum* and the *T. mentagrophytes* complex was found in one case. A Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test revealed significant relationship ($P$-value < 0.0001; data not shown) between the etiologic agent and the clinical form for the 1313 confirmed dermatophytoses cases found in the six-year study. The dermatophytes *T. rubrum* and the *T. mentagrophytes* complex were linked to *tinea unguium*, and *M. canis* with *tinea capitis*. Fig. 2 illustrates the distribution of dermatophytes according to the patients' gender and age. Out of the 3966 cases of dermatophytoses, a total of 2271 cases (57.2%) occurred in females, and 1601 (40.3%) in males. The male to female ratio was 1:1.5. With regard to the clinical forms and gender, *tinea unguium*, *tinea pedis*, and *tinea corporis* were predominantly found in females, while *tinea manuum*, *tinea capitis*, and *tinea cruris* affected males more commonly. The distribution of dermatophytes through the seven age-stratified groups revealed a high prevalence of *tinea capitis* and *tinea corporis* (88%) in childhood (0–9 years of age). Concerning other clinical forms, *tinea unguium* was prevalent (63%) in adult patients (20–59 years).

The clinical laboratories reporting dermatophytes were distributed over 31 of 134 (23.1%) municipalities in the PBA. Fourteen municipalities were characterized by a population density > 500 inhabitants/sq. km, mainly urbanized and industrialized, and 17 had a population density ≤ 500 inhabitants/sq. km, being

---

**Table 1**

Number and percentage (in parenthesis) of identified species of dermatophytes according to the clinical form in a total of 1313 cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tinea unguium</th>
<th>Tinea capitis</th>
<th>Tinea corporis</th>
<th>Tinea pedis</th>
<th>Tinea cruris</th>
<th>Tinea manuum</th>
<th>Two different clinical forms</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichophyton rubrum</em></td>
<td>388</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>560 (42.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichophyton mentagrophytes complex</em></td>
<td>196</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>314 (23.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microsporum canis</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>282 (21.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichophyton spp.</em></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichophyton tonsurans</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microsporum gypseum</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Epidermophyton floccosum</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichophyton verrucosum</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. rubrum</em> and <em>T. mentagrophytes</em></td>
<td>671 (51.1%)</td>
<td>269 (20.5%)</td>
<td>202 (15.4%)</td>
<td>77 (5.9%)</td>
<td>53 (4.0%)</td>
<td>26 (2.0%)</td>
<td>15 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson's Chi-square test $\chi^2 = 106.7003$, GL = 10, $P$-value < 2.2e-16.
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**Fig. 2.** Distribution of dermatophytosis according to the patient gender and age group.

**Table 2**

Dermatophytes reported in the province of Buenos Aires, according to population density and year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population density</th>
<th>Number of municipalities</th>
<th>Number of cases per year</th>
<th>Total cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

predominantly rural municipalities (Table 2). The overall distribution of reported dermatophytoses cases varied from a minimum of 380 (10%) cases/year in 2004 to 965 (24%) cases/year in 2005. The high density municipalities accounted for 77% of the cases, and 2335 cases were reported in three of the 14 urbanized municipalities. Additionally, a high number of cases were reported in two rural municipalities (Junín and Olavarría) in 2002 (Fig. 3). The statistical analysis of the relationship between etiologic agents and population density showed significant differences ($P<0.0001$). The dermatophytes *T. rubrum* and the *T. mentagrophytes* complex were
linked to urban municipalities, and *M. canis* to rural areas (Table 3). The data were calculated on the basis of 1368 cases confirmed by fungal culture.

### Discussion

The results show that from 2002 to 2007 dermatophytosis was the most common superficial mycosis in the PBA. There were more female cases, which agrees with previous studies performed in different regions of Argentina,

In contrast, other studies conducted in Portugal and Mexico showed no significant gender differences, and

reported a higher frequency of dermatophytoses in males in Brazil. It is likely that in this study women attended the dermatology service more often than men for some reasons, including the esthetic one, which possibly resulted in a bias. A cohort study should be undertaken to confirm this potential association.

Dermatophytoses are mostly concentrated in geographic areas with higher population density in PBA. Kernel maps clearly showed a concentration of cases in densely populated areas, which correlated with the two most frequent species (*T. rubrum* and the *T. mentagrophytes* complex) predominant in those areas. *T. rubrum* was the most frequently isolated species, probably due to its regular presence in dermatophytosis in large urban centers. The reason might be the number of people attending public swimming pools, gyms, and other sports facilities, known sources of infection by anthropophilic species as *T. rubrum*.

Different fungal species were correlated to different types of *tineas*. In the current study, *Tinea unguium* was the most frequent clinical form reported, the prevalent etiologic agent being the anthropophilic dermatophyte *T. rubrum*. Other partial studies in Argentina and several retrospective surveys from different parts of the world confirmed the progressive increase of *Tinea unguium*, which has reached its highest overall frequency, and the predominance of *T. rubrum* as an etiologic agent; occlusive footwear has been pointed out as a key factor.

The zoophilic species *M. canis* has been reported as the agent more frequently involved in cases of *Tinea corporis* and *Tinea capitis*. A heterogeneous distribution of the frequencies of the species, probably due to factors related to the geographical area and/or environmental conditions, might explain the differences found in the study by Abastabar et al.

In this study the scant notification in most municipalities in the PBA (31 of 134 municipalities) allowed to only draw an estimate of the real situation. To overcome this, more clinical laboratories should be enrolled in the MNPBA and encouraged to report epidemiological data through the National Health Surveillance System. Notification forms proved to be a useful tool even if minor modifications are still needed. Other study designs allow to determine the incidence of diseases and risk factors but involve high costs, which is not ideal when studying a non life-threatening disease. This retrospective, economic and cross-sectional survey conducted...
implementing
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**Appendix A.**

**Hermida AM**, Hospital Interzonal General “Dr. Lucio Meléndez”

**Mariánsky AL**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Dr. Arturo Oñativia”

**Lanzetta D**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “Presidente Perón”

**Sarandón RE**, Hospital Materno Infantil “A. Diego”

**Schiaffino MA**, Hospital Municipal Base de Azul “Dr. Angel Pintos”

**Moretti M**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “Evita Pueblo”

**Roschich N**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Dr. Mario Larrain”

**Jofré ME**, Hospital Miguel Capredoni

**Alfonso LI**, Hospital Municipal “San Luis”

**Urquijo L**, Hospital Municipal “Manuel B. Cabrera”

**D’Angelo C – Pasquetti E**, Unidad Sanitaria “San Roque”

**Pestana L**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Horacio Cestino”

**Pereyra R**, Hospital Zonal “Madre Teresa de Calcuta”

**Delaplace L**, Hospital Municipal “Mi Pueblo”

**Fanjul V**, Hospital Interzonal Especializado Materno Infantil “Don Victorio Tetamanti”

**Gullo H**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Vicente López y Planes”

**Casanova NB**, CRAI-Norte CUCAIBA

**Tuduri A**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “Evá Perón”

**Albera AR**, Hospital Municipal “Dr. Diego Thompson”

**Machain M**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos de Junín “A. Piñeyro”

**De Magistris M**, Hospital Municipal “León Dios”

**Mónico LS**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Dr. Diego Paroissien”

**Featherston PI**, Hospital Interzonal de Agudos y Crónicos “San Juan de Dios”

**Turchato G**, Hospital Interzonal Especializado de Agudos “Sor María Ludovica”

**Sallaber S**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “Prof. Dr. Rodolfo Rossi”

**Russo G**, Hospital Zonal “Nuestra Señora de Luján”

**López Carmelo W**, Hospital Zonal Especializado “Dr. Cabred”

**Cuevas F**, Hospital Materno Infantil de Pontevedra

**Posse GB**, Hospital Provincial “Héroes de Malvinas”

**Traverso S**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “Dr. Luis Güemes”

**Capece P**, Hospital Nacional “Dr. Alejandro Posadas”

**Giampaoli LF**, Hospital Municipal “Dr. Emilio Ferreyra”

**Psenda L**, Hospital Municipal “Dr. Héctor Cura”

**Gagliardi S**, Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos “San José”

**Vallespi G**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Dra. Cecilia Grierson”

**Herrera JO**, Hospital Zonal General de Agudos “Dr. Isidoro Iriarte”

**Ginzo MI**, Hospital Zonal General “Dr. A. Posadas”

**Gallo D**, Hospital Municipal de Giles

**Sparo M**, Hospital Municipal “Ramón Santamarina”

**Fernández CN**, Hospital Zonal Especializado de Agudos y Crónicos “Dr. A. Cetrángolo”
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