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Abstract. The paper details two monitoring systems implemented in the main nave of Santa Maria of Belém Church of the Monastery of Jerónimos, in Lisbon. The monitoring systems are being installed to better understand the static and dynamic behavior of the structure, with the purpose of damage detection and the improvement of seismic resistance of the monument. In the paper, preliminary investigations, numerical non-linear analyses and the first dynamic modal identification analyses are described. Also the preliminary works for the monitoring systems are presented, including the points selected for observation, the discussion of the sensors technical characteristics, as well as preliminary inspection and diagnosis. The first results of the dynamic monitoring system are shown and the future developments on the systems are presented, aiming at a full integration of all adopted techniques.

1 INTRODUCTION

Architectural heritage is a key aspect to modern societies due to cultural and economical aspects. Besides a part of the history, tourism and leisure will be a major industry in the 3rd millennium and the existence of a monument or a monumental compound is often a key attraction of cities and countries.

In the process of preservation of ancient masonry structures, damage evaluation and monitoring procedures are particularly attractive, due to the modern context of minimum repair and observational methods, with iterative and step-by-step approaches. High-priority issues related to damage assessment and monitoring are global non-contact inspection techniques, improved sensor technology, data management, diagnostics
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The present paper aims at several of the referred issues and is focused in the structural observation of a Portuguese monument: the Santa Maria of Belém Church of the Monastery of Jerónimos, in Lisbon. In the main nave of the church two monitoring structural systems are being installed to understand the static and dynamic behavior of the masonry structure with the purpose of damage detection and the improvement of seismic resistance of the monument. In the paper, the preliminary investigations, the numerical non-linear analysis and the first dynamic modal identification analysis are described. Also the preliminary works for the monitoring systems are presented, including the selected points for observation, the discussion of the sensors technical characteristics, as well as preliminary inspection and diagnosis. The first results of the dynamic monitoring system are shown and the future developments on the two systems are presented.

2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE TEMPLE

Monastery of Jerónimos is, probably, the crown asset of Portuguese architectural heritage dating from the 16th century. The monumental compound has considerable dimensions in plan, more than $300 \times 50 \text{ m}^2$, and an average height of $20 \text{ m}$ (50 m in the towers). The monastery evolves around two courts. The construction resisted well to the earthquake of November 1, 1755. Later, in December 1756, a new earthquake collapsed one column of the church that supported the vaults of the nave and resulted in partial ruin of the nave. In this occasion also the vault of the high choir of the church partially collapsed, see also 1.

The Gothic style was lately introduced in Portugal, incorporating a specific national influence. The so-called “Manueline” style (after King D. Manuel I), exhibits a large variety of architectural influences and erudite motives. An interesting aspect appears in the 16th century, when the traditional three naves churches start to be replaced by a configuration with small difference in height for the naves. Here, the vault springs from one external wall to the other, supported in slender columns that divide almost imperceptibly the naves. From the traditional art, only the proportions and roof remain, being the concepts of space and structure novel. The fusion of the naves in the present Church, see Figure 1, is more obvious than in other manifestations of spatial Gothic. For this purpose, arches are no longer visible, the slightly curved vault comprises a set of ribs and the fan columns reduce effectively the free span. Additional information about the church and the vault can be found in 2 and 3.
Figure 1. Church of Monastery of Jerónimos: (a) half of transversal cross-section; (b) vault on top of the choir; and (c) aspect of the three naves.

3 PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS

The problem of safety assessment in historical constructions is quite complex. In particular, little is known about materials, variability of mechanical properties, existing damage, and constitution of the inner core of the walls, columns and vaults, among other difficulties. But one key aspect of masonry is its reduced tensile strength, which renders linear elastic analyses debatable. For the purpose of assessing the safety of the Church of Monastery of Jerónimos under vertical loading, two finite element models have been developed for the nave and the transept. A preliminary in situ investigation has also been carried out including geometrical survey, visual inspection, ultrasonic testing and radar testing.

The church has considerable dimensions, namely a length of 70 m, a width of 40 m and a height of 24 m. The plan includes a single bell tower (south side), a single nave, a transept, the chancel and two lateral chapels, see Figure 2. In order to assess the safety of the church, the following preliminary tasks have been carried out: (a) three-dimensional survey of the church; (b) ultrasonic tests in the columns to assess the integrity ²; (c) radar investigation to detect the thickness of the masonry infill in the vault and pier, see ⁴ and ⁵; (d) removal of the roof, visual inspection, bore drilling, metal detection and chemical analysis of materials ⁵.
Figure 2. Survey: (a) Plan, with 1 – Axial doorway, 2 – Lateral doorway, 3 – Nave, 4 – Transept, 5 – Side chapels, 6 – Chancel; (b) removal of the roof and existing system to support the roofing tiles; (c) visual inspection of the infill and rib.

The south wall has a thickness of around 1.9 m and possesses very large openings. Three large trapezoidal buttresses ensure the stability of the wall. The north wall is extremely robust (with an average thickness of around 3.5 m). This wall includes an internal staircase that provides access to the cloister. The chancel walls are also rather thick (around 2.5-2.65 m).

The nave is divided by two rows of columns, with a free height of around 16.0 m. Each column possesses large bases and fan capitals. The transverse sections of the octagonal columns have a radius of 1.04 m (nave) and 1.88 m (nave-transept). The columns seem to be made of a single block or two blocks, for the nave, and four blocks, for the transept. The topographic survey of the columns presented by Genin² demonstrates the vertical unaligned for all the columns and the external walls, with a maximum of 0.18 m as can be observed in Figure 3a.

The vaults are ribbed and are connected to the columns by the large fan capitals, see Figure 3b,c. Cross section of the nave vault is, mostly, a slightly curved barrel vault, even if supported at the columns. Thin stone slabs are placed on top of the stone ribs. On top of the slabs, a variable thickness mortar layer exists. The part of the slab inside the capital is filled with a concrete-like material with stones and clay mortar. On top of the vaults, brick masonry wallets were built during the 30’s to provide support for the roofing tiles, see ² for details.
Figure 3. Aspects of the geometry: (a) topographic survey of the columns and external walls; (b) and (c) plan and transversal cross-section of the nave, respectively.

4 NUMERICAL NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS

In historical constructions, the borderline between architectural details and structural elements is not always clear. The complexity of the structure addressed in the previous section increases the difficulty in defining a finite element model appropriate for structural analysis. The lack of historical information, and the scarcity of mechanical data, limits the quality of analysis and the interpretation of data. Therefore, the adopted model should not be excessively complex.

4.1 Analysis of the Main Nave

The adopted model for the main nave includes the structural detail representative of the vault and more unfavorable, see Figure 4a. Appropriate symmetry boundary conditions have been incorporated. Therefore, the model represents adequately the collapse of the central-south part of the nave. The model includes three-dimensional volume elements, for the ribs and columns, and curved shell elements, for the infill and stones slabs, see Figure 4b,c.

The external (south) wall was represented by beam elements, properly tied to the volume elements. The supports are fully restrained, being rotations possible given the non-linear material behavior assumed. All elements have quadratic interpolation, resulting in a mesh with 33335 degrees of freedom.
The actions considered in the analysis include only the self-weight of the structure. Two different types of materials have been considered, one type for the stone masonry plane (Young modulus $E = 3000$ N/mm$^2$ and compressive strength $f_c = 3.0 / 6.0 /$ infinite N/mm$^2$) and another type for the rubble infill ($E = 1000$ N/mm$^2$ and $f_c = 0.5 / 1.0 / 2.0$ N/mm$^2$). Given the uncertainty about the mechanical properties, a sensitive analysis was carried out, assuming the bold values as the reference values. The tensile strength has been assumed equal to zero for both materials. The material model adopted in the analysis was a total strain crack model with an ideal plastic compression limiter, please consult 6 for details.

The results for the reference analysis ($f_{c,stone} = 6.0$ N/mm$^2$ and $f_{c,rubble} = 1.0$ N/mm$^2$) are shown in Figure 5, in terms of load-displacement diagrams and maximum principal strain (equivalent to tensile damage).
Further discussion on the results can be found in 7. Figure 5a illustrates the load-displacement diagrams for the vault key and top of the column. Here, the load factor represents the ratio between the self-weight of the structure and the applied load, meaning that the ultimate load factor is equivalent to the safety factor of the structure. It is possible to observe that the response of the structure is severely nonlinear from the beginning of loading, for the nave, and from a load factor of 1.5, for the column. The behavior of the nave is justified by the rather high tensile stresses found in the ribs, using a linear elastic model. The collapse of the columns is due to the normal and flexural action. The safety factor is 2.0, which is rather low for this type of structures.

The stresses are bounded in tension and compression, meaning that cracking and crushing occurs. Figure 5b illustrates the maximum principal strains, which are related to cracking of the structure. The pairs of transverse ribs that connect the columns (in the central part of the structure) exhibit significant cracking, as well as the infill in the same area. Additional cracking, less exuberant and more diffused, appears in the central octagon defined by the capitals of the four columns. Such cracking occurs at the key of the octagon and in the longitudinal ribs, which confirms the larger displacements of the vault and the bidirectional behavior of the vault. Finally, the columns exhibit also very high compressive stresses, which lead to the collapse mechanism described before.

The deformed mesh at failure, see Figure 6 indicates that the structural behavior is similar to a two-dimensional frame, with a collapse mechanism of five hinges (four hinges at the top and base of the columns and one at the key of the vault. Nevertheless, there is some vault effect with slightly larger displacements at the central octagon, formed between the four capitals.

![Figure 6. Deformed mesh failure: (a) cross section; and (b) perspective view.](image)

Further sensibility analyses were developed for different compressive strengths of the stone masonry and infill. From the analyses it was possible to conclude the influence of the compressive strength of the stone masonry is very significant. The safety factor of the structure is reduced to 1.0, for a compressive strength of 3.0 N/mm², and increased to a value
larger than 5.0, for an infinite compressive strength. One the contrary, the influence of the compressive strength of the infill is marginal. The safety factor of the structure is kept constant and only minor changes of stiffness can be observed. The collapse mechanism remains unchanged in all the analysis.

4.2 Analysis of Transept

The second part of the structural assessment of the church was focus on the transept vault. This vault has a geometry and structural scheme different from the nave. In plan, the vault forms a rectangle with $18.8 \times 28.0$ m$^2$, using a basic square with a side of 4.7 m repeated 24 times. The vault exhibits, in plan, straight and circular ribs, together with keys at the intersection. Given the complexity of the vault and the time consumed in the model of the nave, a simplified two-dimensional model of these arches was adopted for the structural analysis. Figure 7 illustrates the conservative adopted model, which includes the arch, the infill, the nave column and the external wall, with appropriate stiffness values and boundary conditions, see 7 for a complete description. All elements have quadratic interpolation, resulting in a mesh with 3530 degrees of freedom. Again, the actions considered in the analysis include only the self-weight of the structure. For the materials, the reference values described in the previous section are adopted.

![Figure 7. Results of transept analysis at collapse: (a) maximum principal strains (equivalent to cracks); and (b) minimum principal stress (compression), depicted on deformed meshes.](image)

The results for the transept analysis are shown in Figure 9, in terms of maximum principal strain (equivalent to tensile damage) and minimum principal stresses (compression), depicted on the deformed mesh. The safety factor is 1.7, which is again rather low for this type of structures, even if the model is simplified and conservative. Further discussion on the results can be found in 7.

Collapse occurs with a typical four hinges mechanism; being three hinges located in the vault and one hinge located in the right support, see Figure 9. The collapse involving the right wall occurs due to the consideration that the nave prevents inwards movement of the (left) column. Figure 9a indicates that the arch is cracked at the key (intrados) and both quarter
spans (extrados). Significant cracking is also present in the right support. Figure 9b demonstrates that high compressive stresses are found in the arch and in the base of the right wall. The compressive stresses in the left column are moderate and do not govern collapse.

5 DAMAGE DETECTION AND SEISMIC RESISTANCE IMPROVEMENT

In the preceding Section the analysis carried out allowed to conclude that: (a) collapse of the nave occurs with a failure mechanism involving the columns and the vault; (b) collapse of the transept occurs with a failure mechanism involving the external walls and the vault; (c) the compressive strength of masonry is a key factor for the response; (d) the safety of the structure seems low, when compared with similar constructions; and (e) the columns of the nave are too slender.

It is stressed that the Church has been in use for some hundred years with moderate damaged ribs, and moderate tilting of the columns and sidewalls. Given the cultural importance of the construction, the safety of the users, the seismic risk and the accumulation of physical, chemical and mechanical damage, complementary NDT was proposed. Following this idea, an integrated plan of tests and the installation of monitoring systems were proposed, which involves the following tasks:

- The characterization of the seismic loads in accordance with the location and local foundations of the structure;
- The definition of experimental tests in situ and in the laboratory to better estimate the material properties (modulus of elasticity and compressive strength) of the columns, vaults and rubber material;
- The execution of sonic and radar tests to detect possible damage in the interior of the columns;
- Detailed inspection for the columns and vaults with the aim to detect aspects potentially dangerous from the structural safety point;
- Execution of other numerical models for the analysis of the entire structure of the nave, to be calibrated by the experimental tests;
- The execution of a dynamic modal identification analysis to calibrate the numerical models and to estimate the modulus of elasticity;
- The installation of two continuous monitoring systems for static and dynamic structural observation, which will help to understand the complex behavior of the structure and will alert for any unstable deformations or the presence of damage.

All the presented tasks are being implemented in the church and they are fundamental for the definition of further action and the implementation of a monitoring program. In the following Sections only the last two tasks will be discussed.
6 DYNAMIC MODAL IDENTIFICATION OF THE MAIN NAVE

The first part of the church to be dynamically tested was the main nave where output-only modal identification techniques were used to estimate the modal parameters: resonant frequencies, mode shapes and damping coefficients. These techniques are based on the dynamic response measurements of a virtual system under the natural (ambient or operational) conditions, and they are based on the assumption that the excitations are reasonable random in time and in the physical space of the structure.

Two techniques were applied to compare the estimated dynamic parameters in order to have more accurate results: the Enhanced Frequency Domain Decomposition (EFDD) and the Stochastic Subspace Identification (SSI) method.

The EFDD method derives from the Frequency Domain Decomposition (FDD) method which can be visualized as an extension of the well-known Peak Picking method, see 8. In FDD method it is assumed that the resonant frequencies are well spaced in frequency domain and the contribution of other modes in the vicinity of that resonant frequencies is null. The method was presented by Brincker et al. 9 and the main fundamentals of the method are the Singular Value Decomposition in the frequency domain. The FDD method suffered an improvement, leading to the Enhanced FDD (EFDD) method, see 10. Basically the first phase of the EFDD method is equal to FDD but the final estimation of the frequencies values and damping coefficients are calculated in the time domain by the application of inverse Fast Fourier Transform of each spectral density function for each mode shape.

The SSI method deals directly with time series processing (SSI-DATA, driven stochastic subspace identification), see 11. This parametric method is robust and allows modal parameter estimation with high precision in frequency resolution. The counterpart is the fact that the implementation is not as friendly as the FDD or EFDD methods and more processing time is needed during the parameter estimation. The method fits a model directly to the raw time series data, based on the state space formulation, directly from the analysis of the response time series. The mathematical model has parameters that can be adjusted to minimize the deviation between the predicted system response and the measured system response.

The EFDD and the SSI method are implemented in the software ARTeMIS Extractor that was used to process the acquired signals. For the data acquisition two clock synchronized strong motion recorders with two triaxial force balance accelerometers were used. The measurement equipment is the same used for the dynamic monitoring system and is presented with more detail in Section 7.2.

According to the results of a preliminary numeric modal analysis with a simplified three-dimensional beam element model, see Figure 8, thirty points on the top of the main nave were selected to measure the acceleration response, see Figure 9a. Ten points are localized on the top of the external walls with the purpose to measure the nave boundaries constrains and also the global dynamic response of the church. The other points are located either on the top of the columns or on the top of the vaults keys. To assure a good selection of the reference point, preliminary signal measurements were done and it could be concluded that point P1 was the
one with more significant signal vibration amplitudes. For every measured point, the roof tiles were removed and the signal acquisition was done directly on the top of the nave (extrados) to avoid any possible noise signals from the roof structure, as can be observed in Figure 9b.

The numerical results show that the first expected mode shape was governed by the local effect of the slender columns, see Figure 8b,c. The first expected frequency was 1.37 Hz and the first ten modes were under the 2 Hz, but during the preliminary measurements tests the energy peaks in the spectrums could only be seen between the frequencies values form 3 to 20 Hz. Concerning this information, to estimate that range of frequencies, each data setup was record at 200 Hz (sampling frequency) with a duration of 10 minutes. The tests were carried out in two days (20 and 21 of April, 2005) at an ambient temperature equal to 18ºC, on average.

Table 1 summarizes the eight estimated mode shapes through the two experimental output-only techniques, in terms of resonant frequencies, damping coefficients and Modal Assurance Criteria (MAC). In what concerns the resonant frequencies, the values starts from 3.7 to 15.1 Hz and no significant differences could be found between the two methods. The same
cannot be concluded for the damping coefficients, where differences up to 140% can be observed. The MAC values are discussed later in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode Shape</th>
<th>Frequency [Hz]</th>
<th>Damping Coefficients [%]</th>
<th>MAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EFDD</td>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>EFDD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 1</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 3</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 4</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 5</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 6</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 7</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 8</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Experimental results.

Figure 10a presents the average of the first three normalized singular values of the spectral density matrix of the EFDD method, where the eight resonant frequencies can be identified. Figure 10b presents the data driven diagram of all data setups for the SSI method. In this case, only the first resonant frequencies are easy to find, but due to the need of a higher state dimension for the models estimation, a huge number of stabilized poles appears, resulting in a more confuse diagram. This fact confirms the difficulty to estimate the higher modes using this method.

Figure 11 shows the mode shape configuration for the first two modes. As it was observed in the preliminary numeric analysis, the dynamic response of the main nave is influenced by the dynamic response of the slender columns. The first mode shape is not a global mode of the church, because the nave boundaries do not suffer significant deformations as can be observed in his central part. The mode configurations are essentially compose by components in y (north-south) and z (vertical) directions.
As it was referred, Table 1 presents MAC values calculated for the eight mode shape vectors obtained from two experimental techniques. The MAC criteria are the most well known procedure to study the correlation between to sets of mode shape vectors, see 8. The results vary from 0 to 1, i.e. from bad to good correlation. Observing the table values, the two first mode shapes are highly correlated (values closed to the unit), but for the rest the values decreases, to a minimum of 0.36. This fact is a result of the difficulties in the estimation of the mode shapes in the SSI method, as it was previously discussed.

Nevertheless, this modal identification seems to be acceptable if the structural complexity of the main nave is taking in to account. Even if the mode shape and damping coefficients estimation is not very accurate for the higher modes, the resonant frequencies were accurately calculated by the two experimental techniques for all estimated modes. The next step of this analysis should be the model updating to validate the numerical model and to calibrate the material modulus of elasticity.

7 MONITORING SYSTEMS

It is known for a long time that service loads, environmental and accidental actions may cause damage to the structural systems. In this process, long life maintenance plans play an important roll. Regular inspections and condition assessments of engineering structures can allow programmed repair works and avoid undesired economic, cultural and life losses. In case of historical constructions these aspects are highlighted due to the high level of the structures importance.

Regarding the results from the preliminary investigations two monitoring systems are being installed in the main nave of the church with the following purposes: (a) to better
understand the structural behavior of the complex construction; (b) to identify any possible progressive phenomenon; (c) to detect damage at an earlier stage; (d) to calibrate the boundary constrain and the modulus of elasticity of numerical models; and (e) to be useful for future structural strengthening improvements.

The monitoring systems are long term installations with continuum data records and seasonal reports. In a first phase, narrow time space measurements will be made in order to obtain a first image of the structural behavior. These time space measurements will increase with the understanding of the structure response. The measurement equipment allows changing the measurements periodicity during service conditions.

The type and location of the sensors and cables was studied in order to minimize the visual impact in the interior of the church, with a close cooperation with the architects from IPPAR, Portuguese Authority for the Architecture Heritage. It is stressed that because of a limited budget, the number of sensors installed in this first phase is reduced, but the monitoring systems are prepared for further sensors upgrading.

### 7.1 Static Monitoring System

The static monitoring system aims at measuring deformations and temperature variations of two columns in the main nave. The measurement system is focused on the columns structural observation, because, as concluded in Section 4, these elements are the keys of the nave structural behavior.

The static monitoring system is composed by:

- Six temperature sensors (TS1 to TS6), model SKTS 200/U from SKYE INSTRUMENTS, with a measurement range from -20 to 100ºC and measurement resolution of 0.2ºC. Four sensors were installed in the side north and south walls and two sensors were installed on the top of the columns and in the nave extrados, see Figure 12,13;

- Two uniaxial tilt meters (C1 and C2), model TLT2 from SOIL INSTRUMENTS, with a measurement range of ±1.5º and a resolution of 0.03º. The two tilt meters were installed on the top of the columns with larger vertical out-of-plumbness (see Figure 3a) and in the extrados of the nave. The measurement orientation is the transverse direction of the nave (y direction), see Figure 11,12;

- One data logger (D) for the data acquisition and data record, model CR10X from CAMPBELL, with a GSM modem from WAVECOM, which allows the data remote downloading by phone line. The data logger is located inside an ambient protection box in the bell tower, see Figure 12,13.

In the future, a wind sensor to measure the wind speed and direction and a hygrometer to measure the air moisture will be added to the system, with the purpose to complete the study of environmental influences in the structural behavior of the church.
It was decided not to include any crackmeter because significant cracks could not be observed in the vaults. However, it is important to proceed with and exhaustive survey to evaluate the state of conservation/deterioration of the vaults joints due to the fall of mortar and stone pieces from the vault. A brief visual inspection with an elevation platform is being planned to evaluate the state of conservation of the main nave. In the end of the visual inspection, crack meters could be added to the monitoring system, if necessary.
The sampling rate will be 1 sample per hour in order to observe the temperature variation during the one day cycle. The temperature sensors are distributed in the structure to evaluate the effect of the temperature gradient in the response of the structure. After one month, the first observation conclusions should be reported and necessary equipment adjustments will be performed. After the first report, semester reports should precede the monitoring analysis. The static monitoring system will be completely installed in the end of May, 2005.

### 7.2 Dynamic Monitoring System

Due to the different technical characteristics and sampling rates data acquisitions, the dynamic monitoring system is physically separated from the static one. The dynamic system is in operation since 21 of April, 2005. The system is composed by two strong motions recorders; model GSR-18 from GEOSIG; an 18 bits AD converter analyzer. One triaxial force balance accelerometer is connected to each analyzer. The accelerometers, model AC-63 from GEOSIG, have a bandwidth form DC to 100 Hz, a dynamic range ±1 g and a sensitivity of 10 V/g. The two devices connected give final a resolution of 8 µg.

Two points were selected to install the sensors (see Figure 14): one (A1) was installed on the base of the structure near the chancel and the other (A2) on the top of the main nave (extrados), between two consecutive columns and in the locations with higher signal levels in the dynamic modal identification analysis presented in Section 6.

![Figure 14. Dynamic monitoring system: (a) location of the sensors; (b) strong motion recorder; (c) accelerometer on the main nave, and (d) battery of recorders and the base accelerometer.](image-url)
The two recorders are connected by an enhanced interconnection network, which allows a common trigger and time programmed records. Each recorder works independently and the data are stored locally in every recorder. The recorder connected to sensor A1 is the master recorder and enables the synchronization and updates the internal clock of the slave recorder, which in this case is the recorder connected to sensor A2. If, in the future more recorders are added to the system, the same network can be extended to the new apparatus. There is also the possibility to connect the network recorders to a GPS for clock synchronization and to a phone modem for data transfer. This solution was not adopted, because the amount of data information in the data files is too large for the dynamic tests and the GPS increase significantly the costs of the system.

It is known, see 12, that changes in element dimensions, in the boundary conditions, in the mass and the degradation of the mechanical properties of the materials, including the damage process, or the simultaneously occurrence of all these phenomenon affect the dynamic behavior of the structures, i.e. changes the resonant frequencies, mode shapes and damping coefficients. If the environmental influence (temperature, moisture, etc) is evaluated and separated from the dynamic response of the structure, see 13, the damage occurrence can be globally detected and additional plans can be implemented in order to detect and estimated the damage with more detail and then evaluate their consequences to the construction.

Due to the fact that damage is, in most cases, a localized phenomenon, the experience of several researchers allowed to conclude that damage detection requires not only to observe the resonant frequencies changes, but also the mode shapes changes and the quantities that can be calculated from their values, specially for the higher modes, see 14. The actual number of sensors installed in the church is not enough to monitor the dynamic behavior of the church by mode shape changes. So, during the first phase and until the first sensor upgrade, the dynamic monitoring system will be processed by the observation of the resonant frequencies with the following schedule:

- The master and slave recorders will be activated for low signal levels, which means that when a micro tremor occurs in the site the dynamic response of the two points are measured;
- Every month, a record of 10 minutes is performed in the two recorders in order to detect frequency shifts in the eigenfrequencies. This will allow to separate the influence of environmental conditions and to compare through time the consecutive dynamic responses before and after the occurrence of significant events;
- Seasonally, 10 minutes records in every hour and during one complete day will be performed to observe, again, the influence of environmental conditions in the dynamic response of the church.

All the events are acquired at 100 samples per second. Between April 21 and May 12, 2005, only two 10 minutes programmed data records were acquired. The maximum acceleration value was record in the sensor A2 with a value equal to 8.5 mg and in the y
direction. Table 2 presents the maximum acceleration values that occurred for each direction during the two events. The table also presents the relation between the maximum acceleration in the same direction for the same event. The maximum value is equal to 29.2 and occurs in the \( y \) direction. It is also possible to conclude that the values of the first record are higher than the second one. This could be justified by a higher wind speed acting in the structure during the first event. This indicates the need to install a sensor to measure the wind velocity and direction to compare with the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date and time</th>
<th>Sensor A1 (base)</th>
<th>Sensor A2 (nave)</th>
<th>A2/A1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( y )</td>
<td>( z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-04-2005 14H00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-05-2005 14H00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Maximum acceleration values [mg].

For the same dates and direction \( y \), Figure 15a shows the auto spectrum of the sensor A2, where the shifts between the two time records are very small and can be only perceptible for the frequencies peaks below the 5 Hz. Detail analysis of this shifts are premature in this phase, because it is necessary to have more data records and the values of temperature from the static system at the same time to evaluate the environmental changes in the dynamic response of the structure.

![Auto Spectrum in the \( y \) Direction for the Nave Sensor](a)

![Coherence between the Base and the Nave sensors in \( y \) Direction](b)

Figure 15. Events comparison in \( y \) direction: (a) auto spectrum; and (b) coherence values.

Figure 15b presents the coherence between the base and the nave sensor in the same \( y \) direction. It is possible to observe small coherence values between the signals, with a maximum of 0.5 for frequencies below the 5 Hz. These values can be justified by the distance between the two measured points, which can reduce the signals correlation, or by the fact that these events were programmed and they do not correspond to a site tremor.
After the first results, the trigger levels were reconfigured in order to be able to record micro tremors. The acceleration levels for the sensor A1 (on the base) were adjusted to 0.5 mg in all directions and for the sensor A2 (on the main nave) to 10 mg in $x$ direction and 50 mg for the $y$ and $z$ directions. It is stressed that the relation between the triggers of sensor A2 and A1 is about 50 in the $y$ and $z$ directions in order to avoid records when the wind speed is significant. Semester reports should be performed for the dynamic monitoring plan and in two years another dynamic modal analysis will be made to compare the results with the identification shown here.

8 CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

Monastery of Jerónimos is, probably, the crown asset of Portuguese architectural heritage dating from the 16th century. With the aim to study the structural safety and construction seismic resistance, preliminary studies included a numerical non-linear analysis of the main and transept naves. Given the cultural importance of the construction, the safety of the users, the seismic risk and the accumulation of physical, chemical and mechanical damage, complementary NDT were proposed. In the present paper only the two tasks of the proposed testing program were presented: the first dynamic modal identification analysis and the implementation of two monitoring systems; a static and a dynamic one.

The dynamic modal identification tests were carried out with output-only experimental techniques and eight mode shapes were estimated. Two methods for the dynamic parameter estimation were compared and the results with higher accuracy are the resonate frequencies. The mode shape vectors correspond to local modes governed by the behavior of the slender columns.

The static monitoring system is under installation and is composed by two tilt meters and six temperature sensors connected to a data logger. On the contrary, a dynamic monitoring system composed of two strong motions recorders is already installed and in operation since April 21, 2005. Three weeks of observation were enough to see small frequency shifts in the response spectrums, but a detailed analysis of these shifts is premature, because it is necessary to have more data records and the values of temperature from the static system, in order to evaluate the environmental changes in the dynamic response of the structure. The health monitoring of the church will be based on the observations of the changes in the static and dynamic response, apart from the contribution of the environmental structural influences.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the European-Indian Economic Cross Cultural Program, under contract ALA/95/23/2003/077-122, “Improving the Seismic Resistance of Cultural Heritage Buildings”.

REFERENCES


