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Abstract

A frame representation is used to derive a first order quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic system for a scalar field minimally coupled to gravity. This procedure is inspired by similar evolution equations introduced by Friedrich to study the Einstein-Euler system. The resulting evolution system is used to show that small nonlinear perturbations of expanding Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker backgrounds, with scalar field potentials satisfying certain future asymptotic conditions, decay exponentially to zero, in synchronous time.

1 Introduction

An important problem of classical mathematical cosmology concerns the asymptotic stability of spatially homogeneous and isotropic spacetimes. Within this class of spacetimes, those having nonlinear scalar field sources have been extensively used to model early and late times cosmological scenarios. In particular, scalar field cosmologies can produce accelerated expansion and thus constitute possible alternatives to models with a cosmological constant [31].

Some general results about the stability and asymptotics of scalar field cosmologies have recently been proved. Ringström \cite{33, 34} has proved that small perturbations of the initial data of scalar field cosmological solutions to the \textit{Einstein Field Equations} (EFE) with accelerated expansion have maximal globally hyperbolic developments that are future causally geodesically complete. In particular, in \cite{33}, stability was shown for potentials $V(\phi)$, satisfying $V(0) > 0, V'(0) = 0$ and $V''(0) > 0$. In turn, these are potentials with a positive lower bound studied in \cite{29}, for non-perturbed spatially homogeneous cosmological solutions. In fact, Rendall has shown, under mild conditions, that as $t \to +\infty$, the scalar field converges to a critical point of the potential $V(\phi_{\infty}) \equiv V_{\infty} > 0$, $V'(\phi_{\infty}) = 0$ (with $\phi_{\infty}$ finite or infinite), and the Hubble function $H$ converges exponentially to $\sqrt{V_{\infty}/3}$, where $V_{\infty}$ is interpreted as an effective positive cosmological constant \cite{29}. In subsequent works \cite{30}, Rendall considered positive potentials for which $V(\phi) \to 0$, when $\phi \to \pm \infty$, and thus $H \to 0$ as $t \to +\infty$. These are, for instance, solutions with exponential potentials and accelerated expansion of power-law type \cite{16, 8}, as well as other potentials which produce quasi-exponential accelerated expansion \cite{4}. Stability for power law inflation was proved by Ringström in \cite{34} and has also been discussed by Heinzle and Rendall \cite{17} using Kaluza-Klein reductions and the methods of Anderson \cite{3}. The latter, in turn, is inspired by Friedrich’s analysis of the stability of the de Sitter spacetime \cite{12}.

\textbf{Main result.} A natural way to analyse the stability of spacetimes is to ask whether small perturbations, of a given solution to the EFE, asymptotically decay to the background solution. Most approaches to this question have been limited to the use of linear or higher-order truncated perturbation theory, and thus, they never take fully into account the nonlinearity of the EFE, see e.g. \cite{35, 6, 7} and \cite{2}. This type of analysis has been hampered by the lack of a suitable formulation of the EFE for which the theory of systems of first order hyperbolic partial differential equations can be applied. In this article we show how
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to deal with this difficulty. Our main result shows that for an ever-expanding FLRW-nonlinear scalar field background with spatial topology $T^3$, i.e. $(T^3 \times [0, \infty), g_{\text{FLRW}}, \phi)$, and scalar field potentials $V$ satisfying the future asymptotic conditions

$$V_\infty > 0, \quad -\left(\frac{V'}{d\phi/dt}\right)_\infty > \sqrt{\frac{V_\infty}{3}}, \quad V'_{\infty} > 0,$$

nonlinear perturbations exist and exponentially decay to zero, asymptotically, in synchronous time $t$. Our result is proved in an equivalent norm to the Sobolev norm $H^k(T^3)$ for $k \geq 5$.

**Strategy of the analysis.** In [13], Friedrich has introduced a frame representation of the vacuum EFE, see also [11] for a similar construction. The evolution equations implied by this alternative representation of the equations of General Relativity constitute a *first-order quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic system (FOSH)*. In general, these systems are of the form

$$A^0(u)\partial_t u - A^j(u)\partial_j u = B(u)u \quad (1)$$

where $u = u(x, t)$ is a smooth vector-valued function of dimension $s$ with domain in $\Sigma \times [0, T]$ where $\Sigma$ is a spacelike 3-dimensional manifold. Moreover, $A^0, A^j, j = 1, 2, 3$, and $B$ denote smooth $s \times s$ matrix valued-functions, such that $A^0$ and $A^j$ are symmetric and $A^0$ positive definite. The operators $\partial_t$ and $\partial_j$ stand, respectively, for the partial derivatives with respect to the coordinates $t \in [0, T]$ and $(x^j) \in \Sigma$.

The construction for vacuum spacetimes given in [13], has been extended in [14, 15] to the case of a *perfect fluid* using a Lagrangian description of the fluid flow (see also [9, 10]). In both the vacuum and the perfect fluid cases, the introduction of a frame formalism gives rise to extra gauge freedom. This freedom is associated to the evolution of the spatial frame coefficients along the flow of the time-like frame. If one fixes conveniently this gauge (using, for example, the Fermi gauge), one obtains a hyperbolic reduction for the evolution equations. As a consequence, given smooth initial data satisfying the constraints, local existence in time and uniqueness of a solution to the EFE can be established (see e.g. [15, 27] and also [9, 10] for details).

A natural way of performing a stability analysis is to consider a sequence of smooth initial data sets $u_0^\epsilon$ for the EFE satisfying the constraints equations on a Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma$. The sequence is assumed to depend continuously on the parameter $\epsilon$ in such a way that the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ renders the data of the reference solution $\hat{u}_0$. In particular, one can write the full solution to the EFE as the ansatz

$$u^\epsilon = \hat{u} + \epsilon \tilde{u}, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{u}$ is a (nonlinear) perturbation whose size is controlled by the parameter $\epsilon$. Using the ansatz in equation (2), and writing

$$B(\hat{u} + \epsilon \tilde{u}) \equiv B(\hat{u}) + \epsilon B(\hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \epsilon),$$

$$A^\mu(\hat{u} + \epsilon \tilde{u}) \equiv A^\mu(\hat{u}) + \epsilon A^\mu(\hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \epsilon), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (3)$$

we are led to consider an initial value problem for the nonlinear perturbations of the form:

$$\left(\hat{A}^0 + \epsilon \hat{A}^0\right) \partial_t \tilde{u} - \left(\hat{A}^j + \epsilon \hat{A}^j\right) \partial_j \tilde{u} = \left(\hat{B} + \epsilon \hat{B}\right) \tilde{u},$$

$$\tilde{u}(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(x). \quad (4)$$

Here, the coefficients $\hat{B} \equiv B(\hat{u})$ and $\hat{A}^\mu = A^\mu(\hat{u})$ in the splitting (3) are defined uniquely by the condition $\epsilon = 0$. Also

$$\hat{A}^\mu \equiv A^\mu(\hat{u}, \hat{u}, \epsilon)$$

and

$$\hat{B} \equiv B(\hat{u}, \hat{u}, \epsilon) \hat{u} + B(\hat{u}, \hat{u}, \epsilon) \hat{u} + \hat{A}^j \partial_j \hat{u} = \hat{A}^0 \partial_t \hat{u},$$

where it has been assumed that

$$\hat{A}^0 \partial_t \hat{u} = \hat{A}^0 \partial_t \hat{u} = \hat{B} \hat{u}.$$

A particular approach to the existence and stability of solutions to the Cauchy problem (1), for the case where the coefficients of the linearized system ($\epsilon = 0$) are constant matrices, has been discussed in [18, 19, 20, 21, 25]. In this approach, the asymptotic future stability of solutions follows from the
existence of eigenvalues for the non-principal part of the linearised system having a negative real part (\textit{strictly dissipative systems}). In the case where the system is only strongly hyperbolic, the inner product in $L^2$ has to be replaced by the so-called $H$-inner product —see [21]. A procedure to analyse stability in the case of systems where $B$ has vanishing eigenvalues (\textit{dissipative systems}) has been given in [21] —see also [22, 23].

In this paper, we will show how these methods can be generalized to systems of the type considered here, where the matrices $B$, $A\mu$ are not constant but depend smoothly on time. A similar analysis has been adopted by Reula in [28], using the Einstein-perfect fluid system of [14] with a positive cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$, to prove the exponential decay of nonlinear perturbations for a wide class of homentropic fluids in a flat Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background. An advantage of this approach is that it avoids the problem of gauge-dependence in perturbation theory and, therefore, gauge-invariant conclusions, such as geodesic completeness, can be inferred.

We analyse the nonlinear stability of FLRW spacetimes with a nonlinear scalar field. To this end, we first construct a first order symmetric hyperbolic system for the EFE with a scalar field as the matter source. This construction is performed by splitting the wave equation for the scalar field into two first order equations. In our analysis, the scalar field is used to construct an adapted orthogonal frame, for which the energy-momentum tensor is diagonal, independently of further gauge choices. Similar splittings are often used in the analysis of linear perturbations [24, 6, 1, 36].

\textbf{Structure of the article.} The article is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall Friedrich’s frame formulation of the EFE. In Section 3, we discuss some relevant properties of scalar fields satisfying a nonlinear wave equation. In Section 4, we discuss the conditions under which the Einstein-Friedrich-nonlinear scalar field system is well-posed —in the sense that it forms a symmetric hyperbolic system, see Theorem 1. Finally, in Section 5, we give the conditions for which there is an asymptotic exponential decay of small nonlinear perturbations on a FLRW-nonlinear scalar field background. This is the main result of the paper and we summarise it in Theorem 4. We use units such that $8\pi G = c = 1$.

\section{Friedrich’s frame formulation of the Einstein Field Equations}

In this section, we provide a brief introduction to Friedrich’s frame formulation of the Einstein field equations. The basic equation of Friedrich’s construction is the contracted Bianchi identity. From the latter, it is possible to deduce hyperbolic propagation equations for the conformal Weyl tensor for a wide class of gauge choices.

\subsection{Basic definitions and notation}

In order to implement the frame formulation of the Einstein field equations, one defines locally an orthonormal moving frame or \textit{tetrad} with respect to the metric $g$ in an open neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{M}$. The frame is a set $\{e_a\}$ of linearly independent vector fields in the tangent space $T_p(\mathcal{M})$ at each point $p \in U$ such that

$$g(e_a, e_b) = \eta_{ab}, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and latin letters (except for the $i, j$) are used for frame indices. The norm of a vector field, $v \in T_p(\mathcal{M})$, in an orthonormal frame is defined as

$$|v|^2 \equiv g(v, v) = v^a v^b \eta_{ab},$$

and, in terms of a coordinate basis set $\{\partial_a\}$, we have $e_a = e^\mu_a \partial_\mu$. Condition (5) gives

$$\eta_{ab} = e^\mu_a e^\nu_b g_{\mu\nu},$$

wherein $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The \textit{frame commutator} is written as

$$[e_a, e_b] = c_{abc} e_c,$$

$^1$The presence of a cosmological constant is crucial for global existence and exponential decay, since the minimum of the Hubble function must be strictly positive, namely $H_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{\Lambda/3}$. 
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where \( e^a_{\cdot b} \) are the structure coefficients. The dual basis or coframe is the set of linear forms \( \{ \theta^b \} \) belonging to the dual space \( T^*_p \mathcal{M} \) at each point \( p \in \mathcal{U} \) defined by the pairing \( \langle \theta^b, e_a \rangle = \delta_a^b \). In terms of the dual basis, we can write condition (5) as

\[
g = - (\theta^0)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{3} (\theta^a)^2.
\]

The spacetime (Levi-Civita) connection, in an orthonormal basis, is defined by

\[
\nabla_a e_b \equiv \gamma^e_{\cdot ba} e_c,
\]

where \( \gamma^e_{\cdot ba} \) are the connections coefficients and the covariant derivative of a tensor, in \( \mathcal{M} \), can be written as

\[
\nabla_a v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r = e_a (v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r) + \gamma^{p_1}_{\cdot f q_1} v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r + \ldots + \gamma^{p_r}_{\cdot f q_1} v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r - \gamma^{p_f}_{\cdot v} v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r - \ldots - \gamma^{p_1}_{\cdot v} v_{q_1 \ldots q_s} p_1 \ldots p_r.
\]

The torsion free and metric compatibility conditions imply, respectively, that

\[
e^c_{\cdot ab} = \gamma^c_{\cdot ba} - \gamma^c_{\cdot ab} - \gamma^e_{\cdot ba} \eta_{ec} + \gamma^e_{\cdot ca} \eta_{eb} = 0,
\]

while the equations for the frame coefficients \( \{ e_a^\mu \} \) are given by equation (6) in terms of the connection coefficients. In turn, equations for the connection coefficients are obtained from the Ricci identity

\[
R^a_{\cdot bcd} = e_c (\gamma^a_{\cdot bd}) - e_d (\gamma^a_{\cdot bc}) + \gamma^a_{\cdot bc} \gamma^b_{\cdot de} c - \gamma^a_{\cdot bd} \gamma^b_{\cdot ce} + \gamma^a_{\cdot bc} \gamma^b_{\cdot de} c = \gamma^a_{\cdot cde} c - \gamma^a_{\cdot cde} c.
\]

The Riemann tensor can be decomposed in terms of the conformal Weyl tensor \( C \) and the Schouten tensor \( S \) as

\[
R^a_{\cdot bcd} = C^a_{\cdot bcd} + \delta^a_{\cdot [e} S_{d]\cdot b] - \eta_{b[c} S_{d]\cdot a]}.
\]

For future use, we introduce the Friedrich tensor \( F \) via

\[
F_{\cdot abcd} \equiv C_{\cdot abcd} - \eta_{a[c} S_{d]\cdot b]},
\]

and its dual with respect to the last pair of indices

\[
* F_{\cdot abcd} = * C_{\cdot abcd} + \frac{1}{2} S_{\cdot p d e} a c d,
\]

where \( e_{abcd} \) is the usual Levi-Civita totally antisymmetric symbol with \( \epsilon_{0123} = 1 \). In terms of the Friedrich tensor, one finds that the contracted Bianchi identities read

\[
\nabla_a F^a_{\cdot bcd} = 0, \quad \nabla_a F^a_{\cdot cbd} = 0.
\]

### 2.2 Orthonormal decomposition of the field equations

The equations of Friedrich’s frame formulation of the Einstein field equations are given by (6), (7) and (11), together with the decomposition (8). The independent variables of the system are therefore

\[
(\epsilon_a^\mu, \gamma^a_{\cdot bc}, C^{a}_{\cdot bcd}, S_{bc}).
\]

In what follows, we shall decompose the equations and relevant tensors in terms of their parallel and orthogonal components with respect to the time-like frame. We write \( N \equiv e_0 \) and set

\[
N = N^a e_a, \quad N^a = \delta^a_0,
\]

where \( N_a = -\delta^0_a \), in our signature. In terms of these objects, tensor fields which are orthogonal to the timelike frame-vector are defined by

\[
T_{a_1 \ldots a_p} N^{a_p} = 0, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots, q.
\]
Defining the projector onto the orthogonal 3-subspaces

\[ h_{ab} \equiv \eta_{ab} + N_a N_b, \]

where \( h_{a}^c = \eta^{bc} h_{ab} \), the spatial covariant derivative is then given by

\[ D_a T_{q_1 \ldots q_r} = h_a^b h_{q_1}^p \cdots h_{q_r}^p \nabla_b T_{p_1 \ldots p_r}. \]

In particular, one has

\[ D_a h_{bd} = 0, \quad D_a \epsilon_{bcd} = 0, \]

where \( \epsilon_{bcd} \) is the spatial Levi-Civita symbol and the indices run from 1 to 3. In order to further proceed with the geometric decomposition one defines the acceleration vector by

\[ a \equiv \nabla_a e_0 = \gamma^p_{\ 00} e_p, \quad p = 1, 2, 3. \]

It follows then that \( a^p = \gamma^p_{\ 00} \) or equivalently, \( a_p = \gamma^0_{\ p0} \). We will also consider the so-called Weingarten map given by

\[ \chi(e_a) \equiv \nabla_a e_0 = \gamma^p_{\ 0a} e_p, \quad a, p = 1, 2, 3, \]

with \( \chi_a^p = \gamma^p_{\ 0a} \). The tensor \( \chi_{ab} \) can be written in terms of its irreducible parts as

\[ \chi_{ab} = \gamma^0_{\ ba} = \left( \chi^{ST} \right)_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \chi_{ab} + \left( \chi^A \right)_{ab}, \]

where \( \left( \chi^{ST} \right)_{ab}, \chi, \left( \chi^A \right)_{ab} \) denote, respectively, its symmetric trace-free, trace and antisymmetric parts. If the flow of \( e_0 \) is hypersurface orthogonal, then one has that \( \left( \chi^A \right)_{ab} = 0 \) and that

\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_N h_{ab} = \chi_{ab} = \left( \chi^{ST} \right)_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \chi_{ab}, \quad (12) \]

where \( \mathcal{L}_N \) denotes the Lie derivative along \( N \) and \( \nabla_a N^p = - N_a \epsilon^p + \chi_a^p \). Finally, the 4-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol is also decomposed using

\[ \epsilon_{abcd} = 2 \epsilon_{abij} N_{ij} - 2 N_{[a} \epsilon_{b]cd}. \]

Now, defining \( \tilde{F}_{bcd} \equiv \nabla_a F^a_{bcd} \), it follows that the first contracted Bianchi identity can be written as

\[ \tilde{F}_{bcd} = N_b \left[ \tilde{F}_{0d} N_d - \tilde{F}_{0d} N_i \right] + 2 \tilde{F}_{0d} \epsilon_{cd} N_i - N_b \tilde{F}_{0cd} + \tilde{F}_{bcd} = 0, \quad (13) \]

where contractions with \( N \) are denoted by the index 0, and the bar \( \bar{\cdot} \) indicates that the remaining indices are spatial. For example, \( \tilde{F}_{0d} \equiv h_b^q N^r h_d^s \tilde{F}_{qr} \). Given the vector \( N \), the Weyl tensor is uniquely determined through its electric and magnetic parts defined, respectively, by

\[ E_{ab} = h_a^b h_d^q N^p N^c \epsilon_{pqcd}, \quad B_{bd} = h_b^p h_d^q N^a N^c \epsilon_{apcq}. \]

In terms of the latter, the Weyl tensor and its dual can be written as

\[ C_{abcd} = 2 \left( l_a \epsilon_{E_d}^b - l_b \epsilon_{E_d}^a \right) - 2 \left[ N_{[c} B_{d]} e^p_{ab} + N_{[a} B_{b]} e^p_{0d} \right] \quad (14) \]

and

\[ C_{abcd} = 2 N_{[a} \epsilon_{c[b]} e^p_{d]} - 4 E_{p[a} \epsilon_{b]} e^p_{d] - 4 N_{[a} B_{b]} e^p_{d]} - B_{pq} e^p_{ab} e^p_{cd} \quad (15) \]

where \( l_{ab} \equiv h_{ab} + N_a N_b \).

### 3 Nonlinear scalar fields in the frame formalism

In this section, we introduce a description of nonlinear scalar fields which is particularly well adapted to the present analysis.
3.1 Basic equations

In general, the energy-momentum tensor for a smooth nonlinear scalar field has the form

\[ T = \psi \otimes \psi - \left( \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^2 + V(\phi) \right) g, \]

where we have defined the 1-form

\[ \psi \equiv d\phi. \]

Accordingly, we define

\[ \psi_a \equiv \psi(e_a) = (\psi, \bar{\psi}_a), \tag{16} \]

where we have written

\[ \psi \equiv \psi_0 = \mathcal{E}_N\phi \tag{17} \]

and

\[ \bar{\psi}_a \equiv h^b_a \psi_b = D_a \phi. \tag{18} \]

The components of the energy-momentum tensor \( T \), with respect to the tetrad \( \{e_a\} \), are then given by

\[ T_{ab} = \psi_a \psi_b - \left( \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^2 + V(\phi) \right) \eta_{ab}, \tag{19} \]

while its trace is

\[ T = -|\psi|^2 - 4V(\phi). \]

The Einstein field equations, imply for the components of the Ricci tensor, that

\[ R_{ab} = \psi_a \psi_b + V(\phi) \eta_{ab}, \]

while the Ricci scalar is given by

\[ R = -T = |\psi|^2 + 4V(\phi). \]

From these expressions, it follows that the components of the Schouten tensor with respect to the frame \( \{e_a\} \) are given by

\[ S_{ab} = \psi_a \psi_b - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^2 - V(\phi) \right) \eta_{ab}. \tag{24} \]

3.2 Gauge considerations

In order to construct an adapted frame to our particular problem, we let \( \psi \equiv \alpha e_0 \). It follows that

\[ \psi^a = \alpha \delta^a_0, \tag{20} \]

so that

\[ \alpha = -\psi \quad \text{and} \quad D^\mu \phi = 0. \]

Accordingly,

\[ |\psi|^2 = g(\psi, \psi) = \alpha^2 \eta_{00} = -\alpha^2, \quad \alpha = \pm \sqrt{-|\psi|^2}. \tag{21} \]

If the vector \( \psi \) is taken to be future oriented, then one must choose \( \alpha \) to be positive. In terms of a coordinate basis, the latter implies

\[ \psi^\mu = \alpha e_0^\mu = -\psi e_0^\mu, \quad e_0^\mu = \frac{\nabla^\mu \phi}{\sqrt{-|\psi|^2}} \tag{22} \]

and

\[ D_a \phi = 0, \quad \bar{e}_a^\nu \nabla_{\nu} \phi = 0. \tag{23} \]

With this choice, we have

\[ \bar{\psi}_a = -\psi N_a, \]

and therefore

\[ T_{ab} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + V(\phi) \right) N_a N_b + \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 - V(\phi) \right) h_{ab}, \tag{24} \]

\[ S_{ab} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{5}{2} \psi^2 - V(\phi) \right) N_a N_b + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + V(\phi) \right) h_{ab}. \tag{25} \]
Remark 1. By fixing $\psi = \alpha e_0$, we assume that $\psi$ is timelike. If this is not the case, then our gauge breaks and the evolution stops. We are thus considering a subset of solutions to the EFEs for which this choice is valid. We note that this is a common choice in cosmology, see e.g. [6].

Using equations (16) and (21), the expression for the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor takes the form

$$\nabla^a T_{ab} = \nabla^a \left( \psi^2 N_a N_b + \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right) n_{ab} \right)$$

$$= 2\psi N_b N^a (\nabla_a \psi) + \psi^2 (N_b (\nabla_a N^a) + N^a (\nabla_a N_b)) + \nabla_b \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right)$$

$$= \left( 2\psi \mathcal{L}_N \psi + \psi^2 \chi + \psi \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{d\phi} \right) N_b + \psi^2 a_b + \psi \nabla_b \psi = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

From the latter, projecting with respect to the timelike frame, one obtains

$$N^b (\nabla^a T_{ab}) = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_N \psi + \chi \psi + \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{d\phi} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$h^b_c (\nabla^a T_{ab}) = 0, \quad D_c \psi + \psi a_c = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Moreover, using the fact that $D_a \phi = 0$ in the orthogonal subspaces to $e_0$, one obtains from equation (6)

$$[\bar{e}_a, \bar{e}_b] \phi = 2 (\chi A)_{ab} \psi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

which implies

$$(\chi A)_{ab} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Remark 2. Following Friedrich in [15], one could as well have defined

$$\nabla^a T_{ab} = q_b + q N_b, \quad J_{ab} = \nabla_{[a} q_{b]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Then, instead of using the condition on the vanishing of the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor, one could include the equations $q = 0$ and $q_b = 0$ as a part of the equations determining the Einstein-nonlinear scalar field system in the frame representation. Once the gauge is fixed, the first equation in (30) appears in the reduced system of evolution equations while the second part is regarded as a zero quantity, see equation (4.44) in [15]. It can be shown that the zero quantities satisfy a system of subsidiary evolution equations. For this, it can be shown that the zero quantities vanish if they are zero on the initial hypersurface. For the quantity $q_b$, the relevant subsidiary equation is given in equation (4.70) of [15]. We also notice that the evolution for the acceleration can be computed from the tensor $J_{ab}$.

4 The Einstein-Friedrich-nonlinear scalar field system

In this section, we derive a first order symmetric hyperbolic system for the EFE coupled to a nonlinear scalar field. Making use of the Bianchi identity and the energy-momentum tensor given by equation (19), we derive the propagation equations for the electric and magnetic parts of the conformal Weyl tensor. After fixing the gauge, we complete the reduced system of evolution equations by deriving equations for the frame and the connection coefficients. In the last part of this section, we make some remarks concerning the hyperbolicity of the system.
4.1 Basic expressions

We start by computing the various components for the Friedrich tensor $F$. Using equations (14) and (25), one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_{00;0} &= 0 = -\tilde{F}_{000c}, \quad \tilde{F}_{00;cd} = 0 = -\tilde{F}_{00dc}, \\
\tilde{F}_{abcd} &= -6 + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{5}{2} \phi^2 - \nabla(\phi) \right) h_{cd} = -\tilde{F}_{ab00}, \\
\tilde{F}_{ab0d} &= B_{d}c_{d}E_{ab} = -\tilde{F}_{ab0d} = -\tilde{F}_{ab0d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{000d} &= B_{d}c_{d}E_{00} = -\tilde{F}_{000d} = -\tilde{F}_{000d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{000d} &= E_{bd} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) h_{bd} = -\tilde{F}_{000d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{abcd} &= -2 \left( h_{e[cd}E_{d]a} - h_{[cd}E_{d]a} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) \left( h_{ac}h_{db} - h_{ad}h_{bc} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

with the non-vanishing traces

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}^{ac}\tilde{F}_{a00} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{5}{2} \phi^2 - \nabla(\phi) \right), \\
\tilde{h}^{bd}\tilde{F}_{000d} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{5}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) = -\tilde{h}^{bd}\tilde{F}_{000d}, \\
\tilde{h}^{bd}\tilde{F}_{abcd} &= E_{ac} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) h_{ac} = \tilde{F}^{bd}_{abc}, \\
\tilde{h}^{ac}\tilde{h}^{bd}\tilde{F}_{abcd} &= -\frac{1}{2} \phi^2 - \nabla(\phi).
\end{align*}
$$

Using expression (10), with equations (15) and (25), we get the following components of the dual $\ast F$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\ast \tilde{F}_{00;0} &= -\ast \tilde{F}_{000c} = 0, \\
\ast \tilde{F}_{00;0c} &= -\ast \tilde{F}_{000c} = 0, \\
\ast \tilde{F}_{abcd} &= -2 \tilde{E}_{[bc]a}^p c - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) \epsilon_{abcd} = -\ast \tilde{F}_{ab0d}, \\
\ast \tilde{F}_{abcd} &= E_{ap}c_{p}E_{cd} - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{5}{2} \phi^2 - \nabla(\phi) \right) \epsilon_{abcd}, \\
\ast \tilde{F}_{abcd} &= -E_{ap}c_{p}E_{cd} - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \nabla(\phi) \right) \epsilon_{abcd}, \\
\ast \tilde{F}_{abcd} &= B_{bd}, \quad \ast \tilde{F}_{abcd} = -B_{pq}c_{p}E_{abcd}.
\end{align*}
$$

4.2 The Bianchi equations

If one substitutes the expressions for the Friedrich tensor derived in the previous section into the first Bianchi identities (13), one obtains the following relations for the components of the zero quantity $\tilde{F}_{abc}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_{00} &= - \nabla F_{000} + D^q F_{q00} + \chi_c F_{00c} - \chi^q (F_{q00} + F_{q00}) + a^q (F_{q00} + F_{q00} + F_{q00}), \\
\tilde{F}_{00d} &= - \nabla F_{000d} + D^q F_{q00d} + a^q F_{00d} + a^q F_{q00d} - \chi^q F_{q00d} - \chi^q F_{q00d} - \chi^q F_{q00d} + a^q F_{q00d} + a^q F_{q00d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{0d} &= - \nabla F_{00d} + D^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{00d} + a^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{0d} &= - \nabla F_{000d} + D^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{000d} + a^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d}, \\
\tilde{F}_{0d} &= - \nabla F_{000d} + D^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{000d} + a^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} - \chi^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d} + a^q F_{q0d},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $F$ is anti-symmetric in the last two indices, see e.g. (14). Similar relations hold for the dual $\ast \tilde{F}$.

Remark 3. In (13) — cfr. equation (4.47) — suitable zero quantities are defined by using the decomposition in terms of irreducible components of $\tilde{F}$. 

4.2.1 The evolution equation for the electric part of the Weyl tensor

An evolution equation for the electric part of the Weyl tensor can be obtained using the third equation of \((31)\), together with the expressions \((31)\)–\((33)\), and then symmetrising with respect to the indices \((bd)\), giving

\[
\tilde{F}_{(b)(d)} = - \mathcal{L}_N E_{bd} - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right) \mathcal{L}_N h_{bd} - \frac{1}{6} h_{bd} \mathcal{L}_N \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right) + D_a B_{pd(\phi)e b} \epsilon^{pa} + 2 a_a B_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} - 2 \chi E_{bd} + 2 \chi^a (b E_d) q + 3 \chi (b E_d) q - h_{db} \chi_{ac} E_{ac} - \frac{1}{3} (\psi^2 - \mathcal{V}(\phi)) \chi_{(bd)}.
\]

Similarly, using equation \((12)\), we get

\[
\tilde{F}_{(b)(d)} = - \mathcal{L}_N E_{bd} + D_a B_{p(\phi)e b} \epsilon^{pa} + 2 a_a B_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} - 2 \chi E_{bd} + 2 \chi^a (b E_d) q + 3 \chi (b E_d) q - h_{db} \chi_{ac} E_{ac} - \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \chi_{(bd)} - \frac{1}{6} h_{bd} \mathcal{L}_N \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right).
\]

The trace of the previous expression is given by

\[
h^r s \tilde{F}_{(r)(s)} = - \frac{1}{2} y^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_N \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right),
\]

which is the evolution equation for the scalar field, i.e. the equation expressing the conservation of energy. From this, it follows that \(E_{ab}\) remains trace free during the evolution if the data is given accordingly. Thus, taking the difference of the last two equations, and taking into account \((29)\), the evolution equation for the components of the tensor \(E_{ab}\) can be written as

\[
2 \mathcal{L}_N E_{bd} - 2 D_a B_{p(\phi)e b} \epsilon^{pa} = 4 a_a B_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} - 4 \chi E_{bd} + 10 \chi^a (b E_d) q - 2 h_{db} \chi_{ac} E_{ac} - \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \left( \chi_{(bd)} - \frac{1}{3} \chi_{bd} \right) \tag{35}
\]

4.2.2 The evolution equation for the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor

An evolution equation for the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor can also be derived from the analogue of the third equation of \((31)\) for the Hodge dual, using the expressions \((31)\)–\((33)\) to give

\[
\star \tilde{F}_{bd} = - \mathcal{L}_N B_{bd} + D^a \left( 2 E_{p(b} \epsilon_{d) a} \right)^{p a} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 + \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right) \epsilon_{bd} - \chi B_{bd} + \chi^a (b E_d) a + 2 \chi (b E_d) a + 2 a_q B_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} + 2 \chi (b E_d) q - E_{bd} \chi_{ac} E_{ac} \epsilon^{pa} + 2 a_q B_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} + 2 \chi (b E_d) q - \psi^2 (\chi_{(bd)} - \frac{1}{3} \chi_{bd}) \epsilon^{pa}.
\]

Now, since \(B_{bd}\) is a symmetric tensor, all the information about its evolution is contained in the symmetrised expression of \(\star \tilde{F}_{(b)(d)}\). Consequently, by symmetrising the previous equation with respect to the spatial indices \((bd)\), and using \((29)\), we get

\[
2 \mathcal{L}_N B_{bd} - 2 D_a E_{p(b} \epsilon_{d)a} = - 4 a_a E_{p(b} \epsilon_{d)a} + 6 \chi^a (b E_d) a - 2 \chi B_{bd} + 2 \chi_{ac} E_{p(\phi)d} \epsilon^{pa} \epsilon^{qc} \tag{36}
\]

Ignoring the information about the trace, the principal part of the equations \((35)\) and \((36)\) is a symmetric matrix for the variables \(E_{cd}, B_{cd}, c \leq d, \) reading

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_1 & -D_2 & D_3 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_1 & 0 & -D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D_2 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & D_1 & -D_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D_3 & D_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_1 & 0 & D_3 & D_2 & 0 & -D_2 & 0 & 2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & 0 & D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D_2 & -D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_{12} \\
E_{13} \\
E_{23} \\
E_{11} \\
E_{22} \\
E_{33} \\
B_{12} \\
B_{13} \\
B_{23} \\
B_{11} \\
B_{22} \\
B_{33} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Remark 4. The trace-freeness of the tensors $E_{ab}$ and $B_{ab}$ can be recovered by assuming it initially. Then, using the evolution equations, it can be shown that $E_{ab}$ and $B_{ab}$ are trace-free at later times (see e.g. the discussion in [14] for the perfect fluid case).

### 4.3 The Lagrangian description and Fermi transport

In order to deduce the remaining evolution equations, we will adopt a Lagrangian description. This point of view amounts to requiring the timelike vector of the orthonormal frame to follow the matter flow lines. Accordingly, we introduce coordinates $(x, t)$ such that

$$e^0 = \partial_t, \quad e^{0\mu} = \delta^0_\mu.$$  \hfill (37)

This particular choice is equivalent to setting $\theta^b = \theta^b_j dx^j$ while, at the same time, fixing the lapse function to one $\alpha$. With this choice (since $\mathcal{L}_X = \partial_t$), we have from equations (17), (27), and (23) that

$$\partial_t \phi = \psi \equiv N_a \psi^a = -\alpha < 0,$$  \hfill (38)

$$\partial_t \psi = -\psi \chi - \frac{dV}{d\phi},$$  \hfill (39)

$$\bar{\theta}^0 \psi = -\bar{\theta}^0_a \nabla_j \phi.$$  \hfill (40)

Now, the timelike coframe is given in terms of the natural cobasis through the relation

$$\theta^0 = dt + \beta_j dx^j,$$

while the spatial frame vectors are found to be

$$e_a = (\theta_a^j)^{-1} (\partial_j - \beta_j \partial_t), \quad \bar{e}^a_0 = (\theta_a^j)^{-1} \beta_j, \quad \bar{e}^a_j = (\theta_a^j)^{-1}.$$  \hfill (41)

It then follows, from equations (40) and (41), that

$$\beta_j = -\frac{1}{\psi} \partial_j \phi.$$  \hfill (42)

Thus, since $\beta_j$ is nonzero, the surfaces of constant time are not necessarily spacelike for the characteristic cone and this could be a problem for the hyperbolicity of the system, see [9, 10]. Finally, the remaining frame components are chosen to be Fermi propagated along $e_0$. That is, we require

$$\nabla_0 e_a - (g(e_a, \nabla_0 e_0) e_0 - g(e_a, e_0) \nabla_0 e_0) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\bar{\gamma}^a_{b0} = 0.$$  \hfill (43)

### 4.4 Evolution equation for the frame coefficients

As already mentioned, the evolution equations for the components of the frame are obtained from the relation (35) which yields

$$[\bar{e}_a, \bar{e}_b] = a_{ab} \bar{e}_0 - \bar{\gamma}^c_{ab} \bar{e}_c,$$

where $\bar{\gamma}^a_{b0} = 0$ (Fermi gauge) has been used. Therefore, the evolution equations for the remaining frame coefficients read

$$\partial_t \bar{e}_b^i = \chi_b \bar{e}^i_c \bar{e}_c^i,$$  

$$\partial_t \bar{e}^0_b = a_b - \chi_b \bar{e}^0_c \bar{e}_c^0,$$  \hfill (43)

which, together with equation (41), imply propagation equations for the components of the metric in the local coordinate system. In particular, one has

$$\partial_t \beta_j = \bar{\theta}^0_j a_b,$$

with $\beta_j$ given by equation (42), see also equation (6.2) in [9] for an arbitrary lapse $U$.

---

See also [6], where a symmetric hyperbolic system was obtained for the Einstein-Euler system. This construction holds for an arbitrary Eulerian frame.
4.5 Evolution equations for the connection coefficients

The equations for the connection coefficients are obtained from the splitting of the Riemann tensor with respect to the frame \( \{ e_a \} \). In general, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
R^{ab}_{\ \ bcd} &= e_0 (\gamma^a_{bd}) - D_d \gamma^a_{b0} - a_d \chi^a_{d0} - (\gamma^p_{d0} - \chi^p_{d0}) \bar{\gamma}^a_{bp} - a_b \chi^a_{d0} + a^a \chi_{db}, \\
R^{a}_{\ bcd} &= e_0 (\chi^a_{db}) - D_d \chi^a_{b0} - a_d \chi^a_{d0} + \chi^a_{pb} \chi^d_{db} - \gamma^p_{d0} \gamma^a_{d0} - \chi^p_{db} \gamma^a_{d0}, \\
R^{a}_{\ b0c} &= D_c \chi^a_{d0} - D_d \chi^a_{c0} - a^a (\chi_{cd} - \chi_{dc}), \\
R^a_{\ bcd} &= 3 R^a_{\ bcd} + \chi^a_{db} - \chi^a_{d0} \chi_{ob} - \gamma^a_{b0} (\chi_{cd} - \chi_{dc}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R^a_{\ bcd} \) denotes the Riemann tensor constructed only with the spatial connection coefficients \( \bar{\gamma}^c_{ab} \).

The first two identities give evolution equations once the Lagrangian gauge is introduced. The remaining two equations are the quasi-constraints for the connection coefficients (see [9, 10]). No equations for the connection coefficient associated to the acceleration can be deduced from these identities. In the sequel, it will be shown how evolution equations for the acceleration can be obtained for our particular problem.

From equations (44), we can also deduce two important equations relating the Ricci tensor to the connection:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{00} &= -e_0 (\chi) + D_p a^p - \chi^b_p \chi^c_p + a_p a^p \\
\dot{\gamma}_{0d} &= D_c \chi^a_{d0} - D_d \chi^a_{c0} - 2 a^a (\chi^a)_{cd}.
\end{align*}
\]

The first identity in (44), together with the conditions for the Lagrangian and Fermi gauge provide the equation

\[
\partial_t \gamma^a_{bd} = -\gamma^a_{bp} \chi^p_{db} + 2 h_{np} \chi_{dp} a^p + B_{dp} e_{pa}^b,
\]

(46)

-describing the evolution of the spatial connection coefficients \( \gamma^c_{ab} \). To obtain the last equation we have used \( \dot{R}^a_{\ bcd} = \dot{C}^a_{\ bcd} = B_{dp} e_{pa}^b \).

The evolution equation for the part of the connection described by \( \chi_{bd} \) is obtained from the second identity in (44). In order to do so, first, we will derive the evolution and the quasi-constraint equations for the acceleration. The evolution equation for the acceleration can be obtained from

\[
[e_0, \bar{e}_c] \psi = e^0_{\ c0} e_0 (\psi) + e^0_{\ c0} \bar{e}_p (\psi)
\]

\[
= \gamma^0_{\ c0} (\partial_t \psi) + (\gamma^p_{\ c0} - \gamma^p_{\ 0c}) (D_p \psi) + a^c (\partial_t \psi) - \chi^p_p (D_p \psi),
\]

where the properties of the Lagrangian and Fermi gauge have been employed. Now, expanding the left hand side and making use of the evolution and the quasi-constraint equation for the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field, one has

\[
\partial_t a_c - D_c \chi = -\chi^p a_p + \left( \chi + \frac{2}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} \right) \frac{dV}{\psi} a_c
\]

so that, using the second equation in (45), we arrive at

\[
\partial_t a_c - D_c \chi = -2 \left( \chi^A \right)_{pc} a^p + \left( \chi + \frac{2}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} \right) \frac{dV}{\psi} a_c.
\]

(47)

In the case of the quasi-constraint, a computation yields

\[
D_c a_b - D_b a_c = 2 \left( \chi + \frac{1}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} \right) \left( \chi^A \right)_{cb}.
\]

Thus, making use of this equation in the second identity of (44) and recalling the properties of the Fermi gauge, one finds

\[
\partial_t \chi_{db} - D_b a_d = -E_{db} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} \right) h_{db} - \chi^p_b \chi_{db} + 2 \left( \chi + \frac{1}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} \right) \left( \chi^A \right)_{db} + a_d a_b.
\]

(48)

The principal part of the combined system of equations (44) and (45) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e_0 & -D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 \\
-D_1 & e_0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_2 & 0 & e_0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & 0 & 0 & e_0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_0 \\
\chi^1_c \\
\chi^2_c \\
\chi^3_c
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
which is symmetric. Finally, since for our particular problem one has \(\chi^4_{ab} = 0\), equation (47) takes the form
\[
\partial_t a_c - D_p \chi c^p = \left( \frac{2}{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} + \chi \right) a_c - \chi c^p a_p,
\]
and, after symmetrising (48), we obtain
\[
2\partial_t \chi_{(bd)} - 2D_{(b}a_{d)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \psi(\phi) - \psi^2 \right) h_{bd} - 2\chi_{(d}^{p} \chi_{b)p} + 2a_{b}a_{d} - 2E_{bd}.
\]
Also, from the first equation in (45), it follows that
\[
\partial_t \chi - D_p a^p = \psi(\phi) - \psi^2 - \chi^{cd} \chi_{cd} + a^2,
\]
where \(a^2 = a_c a^c\). Then, the principal part of the system reads
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e_0 & 0 & 0 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & -D_3 & 0 & -D_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 & -D_3 \\
-D_2 & -D_1 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \chi_{12} \\ \chi_{13} \\ \chi_{23} \\ \chi_{11} \\ \chi_{22} \\ \chi_{33} \end{pmatrix},
\]
which is clearly symmetric.

### 4.6 Hyperbolicity considerations

The system consisting of equations (45), (46), (48), (49), (50), (51), (52) and (53) can be written matricially as
\[
A^0 \partial_t u - A^p e^p_p(u) = B(u)u.
\]

As discussed in [9], these systems are not hyperbolic in the usual sense as, in general, the time lines are not hypersurface orthogonal and the “spatial” frame vectors \(e_a\) have components in the time direction - cfr. equation (53). Since the surfaces of constant time \(t\) are not necessarily spacelike, this type of system is referred to as a quasi-FOSH system [9]. In terms of the partial derivatives, equation (52) reads
\[
\tilde{A}^0(u) \partial_t u - A^j(u) \partial_j u = B(u)u
\]
with
\[
\tilde{A}^0(u) \equiv A^0 - A^p \tilde{e}^p_p, \quad A^j(u) \equiv A^p \tilde{e}^p_j.
\]

In order to have a well posed initial value problem, the matrix \(\tilde{A}^0(u)\) must be positive definite. This is the case, as long the quadratic form
\[
\sum_{b=1,2,3} \beta_i \beta_j
\]
is positive definite, see Proposition 9 in [9]. In the next section, we will consider a reference solution admitting a foliation by homogeneous spacelike hypersurfaces. As a consequence, the linearisation of the system (4) is well posed without the need to control the smallness of \(\beta_i\). The smallness of these terms will be taken care by the perturbation fields, see also [28].
Written in terms of partial derivatives, our system of evolution equations reads

$$\partial_t \phi = \psi,$$

$$\partial_t \psi = -\psi \chi - \frac{dV}{d\phi},$$

$$2\partial_t \chi_{(bd)} - 2 \tilde{e}_p^0 \partial_t a_{(d)} - 2 \tilde{e}_p^0 \partial_t a_{(d)} = \frac{2}{3} (V(\phi) - \psi^2) h_{bd} - 2 \chi_p^{(d)}(\chi_{(b)}) + 2 \alpha \psi - 2E_{bd}$$

$$- (\gamma_p^{(b)} + \gamma_p^{(d)}) a_{p},$$

$$\partial_t a_c - \tilde{e}_p^0 \partial_t \chi p - \tilde{e}_p \partial_t \chi p = \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{dV}{d\phi} + \chi\right) a_c - \chi c_p a_p - \gamma p \chi p + \gamma p a p \chi,$$

$$2\partial_t E_{bd} - 2e^{pa}_{(b)} \tilde{e}_a^0 \partial_t B_{p(d)} - 2e^{pa}_{(b)} \tilde{e}_a \partial_t B_{p(d)} = -\psi^2 \left(\chi_{(bd)} - \frac{1}{3} \chi h_{bd}\right) - 4\chi E_{bd} + 10\chi_{(d)} E_{d} +$$

$$- 2h_{bd} \chi_{ap} E_{ap} + 4a \psi B_{p(d)} a_{pa} - 2\gamma_p E_{p(d)} a_{pa}$$

$$- 2e^{pa}_{(d)} \gamma p \chi p a_{p},$$

$$2\partial_t B_{bd} - 2a_{pa} \tilde{e}_a^0 \partial_t E_{(b)p} - 2a_{pa} \tilde{e}_a \partial_t E_{(b)p} = -2\chi B_{bd} + 6\chi E_{bd} a_{p} + 2\chi E_{p(a)} a_{p}$$

$$- 4\alpha B_{p(d)} a_{pa} - 2\gamma_p E_{p(d)} a_{pa}$$

$$- 2e^{pa}_{(d)} \gamma p \chi p a_{p},$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{e}_b^0 = \chi b e^0 c,$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{e}_c^i = -\chi c e^0 c,$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{e}_p^0 = -\chi p e^0 c + a_p.$$

This system has the form given by equation (56). If one writes

$$u^T = (\phi, \psi, z^T, w^T, x^T, y^T),$$

where

$$z^T = (\chi_{11}, \chi_{12}, \chi_{13}, \chi_{14}, \chi_{23}, a_1, a_2, a_3),$$

$$w^T = (E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{23}, E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}, B_{12}, B_{13}, B_{23}, B_{11}, B_{22}, B_{33}),$$

$$x^T = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9),$$

$$y^T = (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{34}),$$

then the matrices given in (55) and (57) have the explicit form

$$\tilde{A}^0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2\times2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{9\times9}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{12\times12}^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{21\times21}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^T(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{3\times3}^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{12\times12}^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{21\times21} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$A_{9\times9}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e_1^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e_2^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e_3^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -e_4^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -e_5^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -e_6^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -e_7^0 \\ -e_1^0 & -e_1^0 & 0 & -e_2^0 & -e_3^0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -e_2^0 & -e_2^0 & 0 & -e_3^0 & -e_4^0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -e_3^0 & -e_3^0 & 0 & -e_4^0 & -e_5^0 & -e_6^0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Remark 5. As part of the procedure to express the system \( \mathbf{A}^0(u) \), \( \mathbf{A}^j(u) \) in an explicit symmetric hyperbolic form, one has to divide by \( \psi^2 \) the evolution equation \( 49 \) for the acceleration. This could imply that the system is not well behaved when \( \psi \to 0 \). An inspection shows that the potentially troublesome term is the one containing the first derivative of the potential, which, by virtue of equations \( 58 \)–\( 69 \) must be zero in this limit (possibly at \( t \to +\infty \)). Thus, we must require the coefficient \( V'/\psi \) to be finite.

We summarise the results of this section as follows:

Theorem 1. The Einstein-Friedrich-nonlinear scalar field (EFSF) system consisting of the equations in \( 56 \) forms a quasi-linear first-order symmetric hyperbolic (FOSH) system for the scalar field, its
momentum-density, the frame coefficients, the connection coefficients and the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor, relative to the slices of constant time $t$, as long as the quadratic form

$$
\sum_{a=1,2,3} \theta^a, \theta^a_j = \frac{\partial_j \phi}{\psi} \frac{\partial_i \phi}{\psi},
$$

is positive definite. Then, the local existence in time and uniqueness of smooth solutions is guaranteed.

5 Stability Analysis

In this section, we use the symmetric hyperbolic system derived in last section to show that, for some classes of potentials, the evolution of sufficiently small nonlinear perturbations of a FLRW-nonlinear scalar field background, prescribed on a Cauchy hypersurface with the topology of a 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3$, have an asymptotic exponential decay.

5.1 New variables

In order to simplify the analysis, we shall introduce new variables which will allow us to decouple the tracefree part of the second fundamental form as an independent variable. First, we introduce

$$
H \equiv \frac{\chi}{3},
$$

(59)

where $H$ is usually called the Hubble function. Then, since for our particular problem $(\chi^A)_{bd} = 0$, we write

$$
\chi_{(bd)} = (\chi^{ST})_{bd} + H h_{bd},
$$

(60)

where the evolution equation for $H$ is given by (51) and reads now

$$
3\partial_t H - D_p a^p = -3H^2 + V(\phi) - \psi^2 - (\chi^{ST})_{bd} (\chi^{ST})_{bd} + a_p a^p,
$$

while the evolution equation for $(\chi^{ST})_{bd}$ is obtained using (60) in (50) and then subtracting its trace (51), giving

$$
2\partial_t (\chi^{ST})_{db} - 2 \left( D_{(\phi a_4)} - \frac{h_{bd}}{3} D_p a^p \right) = -4H (\chi^{ST})_{db} - 2 (\chi^{ST})_{pd} (\chi^{ST})_{d} a + 2a_{(\phi a_4)}
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{3} \left[ 2 (\chi^{ST})^2 - a^2 \right] h_{bd} - 2E_{bd}.
$$

In turn, equation (17) reads

$$
\partial_t a_c - D_p (\chi^{ST})_{c} a + D_c H = -H a_c - (\chi^{ST})_{c} a + \left( 3H + \frac{2}{\psi} \frac{d\chi}{d\phi} \right) a_c.
$$

The block of the principal part of the system, where the above decomposition is applied reads now

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  e_0 & 0 & 0 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & D_1 & D_1 & -D_1 \\
  0 & e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & -D_2 & D_2 & 0 & D_2 & -D_2 \\
  0 & 0 & e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & -D_2 & D_3 & D_3 & 0 & -D_3 \\
  -D_2 & -D_1 & 0 & 2 e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -D_3 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & 2 e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & -D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 2 e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -2 D_1 & D_2 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 3 e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  D_1 & -2 D_2 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -D_1 & D_2 & -2 D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 e_0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 e_0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 \\
  a_2 \\
  a_3 \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{12} \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{13} \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{23} \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{11} \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{22} \\
  (\chi^{ST})_{33} \\
  H
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
which is non-symmetric. In order to recover the symmetry of the block, we define six new variables \( \chi_\pm \), \( E_\pm \) and \( B_\pm \) via (see e.g. [15, 37] for a similar context)

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_+ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{22}^{ST} + \chi_{33}^{ST} \right), & \chi_- &\equiv \frac{1}{6} \left( \chi_{22}^{ST} - \chi_{33}^{ST} \right), \\
E_+ &\equiv \frac{3}{2} (E_{22} + E_{33}), & E_- &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (E_{22} - E_{33}), \\
B_+ &\equiv \frac{3}{2} (B_{22} + B_{33}), & B_- &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (B_{22} - B_{33})
\end{align*}
\]

(61a) (61b) (61c)

and use the tracefree condition. It follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{11}^{ST} &= -2\chi_+, & \chi_{22}^{ST} &= \chi_+ + 3\chi_-, & \chi_{33}^{ST} &= \chi_+ - 3\chi_-, \\
E_{11} &= -\frac{2}{3} E_+, & E_{22} &= \frac{1}{3} E_+ + E_-, & E_{33} &= \frac{1}{3} E_+ - E_-, \\
B_{11} &= -\frac{2}{3} B_+, & B_{22} &= \frac{1}{3} B_+ + B_-, & B_{33} &= \frac{1}{3} B_+ - B_-
\end{align*}
\]

(62) (63) (64)

In terms of the above new variables, the matrices of the principal part of the system take the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D_1 & D_2 & 0 & 0 & -D_3 & D_3 \\
0 & 2e_0 & 0 & D_1 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & D_2 & -D_2 \\
0 & 0 & 2e_0 & -D_2 & D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2D_1 \\
0 & D_1 & -D_2 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & D_3 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_1 & 0 & D_1 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & -D_2 & D_2 & 0 & 0 \\
D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & -2D_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & D_3 & D_2 & -2D_1 & 0 & e_0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & D_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & -D_2 & 2D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e_0 & 0 & 0 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 2D_1 & 0 & -D_1 \\
e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & -D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 3D_2 & -D_2 & 0 \\
0 & e_0 & 0 & -D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 3D_3 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_2 & -D_1 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_3 & 0 & -D_1 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -D_3 & -D_2 & 0 & 0 & 2e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6e_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3D_2 & 3D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 18e_0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D_1 & -D_2 & -D_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3e_0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
\chi_{ST}^{12} \\
\chi_{ST}^{13} \\
\chi_{ST}^{23} \\
\chi_+ \\
\chi_- \\
H
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which are symmetric.

5.2 The background solution

As is well known, the metric of a FLRW spacetime can be written as

\[
g_{\text{FLRW}} = -dt^2 + \left( \frac{a(t)}{\omega} \right)^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j,
\]

where \( a(t) \) is the scale factor and

\[
\omega = 1 + \frac{k}{4} \delta_{ij} x^i x^j, \quad \partial_i \omega = \frac{k}{2} x_i,
\]

with the constant \( k = -1, 0, 1 \) being the curvature of the spatial hypersurfaces. Since the metric is conformally flat, it follows that

\[
\tilde{E}_{bd} = \tilde{B}_{bd} = 0.
\]
Now, the gauge conditions for the frame are satisfied if one sets
\[ \dot{e}_\alpha = \delta_0^\alpha, \quad \dot{e}_b^\mu = \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \delta_b^\mu, \quad b = 1, 2, 3 \]
so that the spatial connection coefficients are given by
\[ \dot{\gamma}^c_{bd} = \frac{k}{2a^2} \left( h_{ab} x_c - h_{cd} x_b \right), \quad b, c, d = 1, 2, 3 \]
with \( x^\mu = (\omega/a) \delta_\mu^c x^c \). The remaining non-vanishing connection coefficients are
\[ \dot{\gamma}^0_{bd} = \dot{x}_{db} = \dot{H} h_{bd}, \quad \dot{\gamma}^b_{0d} = \dot{x}_d^b = \dot{H} h^b_d, \quad b, d = 1, 2, 3 \]
and, using (59) and (60), we write
\[ \ddot{x} = 3\dot{H}, \quad \dot{x}_{[bd]} = a_b = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{x}_{(bd)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad b \neq d, \]
where, in this case, \( H(t) = \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} \). The Einstein-scalar field system thus reduces to the evolution equations
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\dot{\phi}}{dt} &= \psi, \\
\frac{d\dot{\psi}}{dt} &= -3H \dot{\psi} - \frac{d\psi}{d\phi}, \tag{65} \\
\frac{d\dot{H}}{dt} &= - \psi^2 + \frac{1}{3} \dot{\psi}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \dot{\psi} \ddot{\phi}, \end{align*}
subject to the Friedman-scalar field constraint equation
\[ \dot{H}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 + \dot{\psi} \dot{\phi} \right] - \frac{k}{a^2}. \tag{66} \]

5.3 Linearised evolution equations

In this subsection we derive the linearised system associated to the nonlinear equations of Theorem 11 for the case of a FLRW background with a self-interacting scalar field. In order to perform the linearisation procedure we compute
\[ \frac{d\mu_i}{d\epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \]
and drop all (nonlinear) terms of coupled perturbations. In this way, we obtain the following linearised system for \( b \leq d \)
\[ \partial_t \ddot{\phi} = \ddot{\psi}, \]
\[ \partial_t \ddot{\psi} = -\left( \frac{d^2 \ddot{\psi}}{d\phi^2} \right) \ddot{\phi} - 3H \dot{\psi} - 3\dddot{\psi} \dddot{H}, \]
\[ 3\partial_t \dot{H} - \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \partial_p \dot{\delta}_j \dot{a}^p = \frac{dV}{d\phi} - 2\dot{\psi} \dddot{\psi} - 6H \dddot{H} - \frac{k}{a^2} x_\mu \dddot{a}^p, \]
\[ 2\partial_t \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{bd} - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \partial_j \partial_j \dddot{a}_{bd} + 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) h_{bd} \frac{1}{3} \partial_p \dot{a}^p = -4\dot{H} \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{bd} - 2\dot{E}_{bd} + \frac{k}{a^2} x_{(bd)} \dddot{a}^p, \]
\[ \partial_t \dddot{c}^\mu - \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \delta_p \partial_j \dddot{a}^j \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{cc} - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \dddot{c}^\mu \dddot{H} - \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \delta_\mu^\alpha \dddot{\chi}^{ST} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{k}{a^2} \dot{\chi}^{ST} \dddot{f}, \]
\[ \partial_t \dddot{c}^\mu = -\dddot{H} \dddot{c}^\mu - \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \delta_\mu^\alpha \dddot{H} - \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \delta_\mu^\alpha \dddot{\chi}^{ST} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{k}{a^2} \dot{\chi}^{ST} \dddot{f}, \]
\[ 2\partial_t \dot{E}_{bd} - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \epsilon_{(bd)} \partial_j \dot{\delta}_j \dot{B}_{pd} = -2\dot{H} \dot{E}_{bd} - \dddot{\psi}^2 \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{bd} + \frac{k}{a^2} \left( x_p \dot{B}_{(bd)} x^a + \epsilon_{(bd)} x_p \dot{B}_{pd} \right), \]
\[ 2\partial_t \dot{B}_{bd} - 2 \left( \frac{\omega}{a} \right) \epsilon_{(bd)} \partial_j \dot{\delta}_j \dot{E}_{pd} = -2\dot{H} \dot{B}_{bd} + \frac{k}{a^2} \left( x_p \dot{E}_{(bd)} x^a + \epsilon_{(bd)} x_p \dot{E}_{pd} \right), \]
\[ \partial_t \ddot{c}^\mu_{bd} = -\dddot{H} \dddot{c}^\mu_{bd} - \frac{k}{2a^2} \left( h_{ab} x^c - \delta_a^b x_b \right) \dddot{H} - \frac{k}{2a^2} \left[ x^c \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{bd} - x_b \left( \dot{\chi}^{ST} \right)_{cd} \right], \]
\[ -\dot{H} \left( h_{ab} \dddot{c}^\mu - \delta_a^b \dddot{a}_{bd} \right) + \epsilon_{(bd)} \dot{B}_{dp}. \]
For a flat \((k = 0)\) background, using the variables \([\ref{eq:A0eq}]\), the linearised system has the form

\[
\dot{\mathbf{A}}^0 \partial_t \mathbf{u} - a^{-1}(t) \dot{\mathbf{A}}^j \partial_j \mathbf{u} = \dot{\mathbf{B}}(t) \mathbf{u}
\]

(67)

where \(\dot{\mathbf{A}}^0 \partial_t \mathbf{u} - a^{-1}(t) \dot{\mathbf{A}}^j \partial_j \mathbf{u}\) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 \partial_t & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_t & a^{-1} \partial_2 & 0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_1 & -a^{-1} \partial_3 & 2a^{-1} \partial_t \\
0 & 2 \partial_t & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_j & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_3 & 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \partial_2 & -a^{-1} \partial_2 & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1} \partial_1 & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_2 & 2 \partial_t & 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \partial_3 & a^{-1} \partial_3 & 0 & 0 \\
-a^{-1} \partial_1 & 0 & a^{-1} \partial_3 & 0 & 2 \partial_t & 0 & -a^{-1} \partial_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a^{-1} \partial_2 & -a^{-1} \partial_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a^{-1} \partial_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1} \partial_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \partial_t \\
0 & a^{-1} \partial_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -a^{-1} \partial_j & a^{-1} \partial_2 & -a^{-1} \partial_2 & 2 \partial_t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-a^{-1} \partial_3 & -a^{-1} \partial_2 & 2a^{-1} \partial_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]


\[
\frac{\dot{\mathbf{H}}}{(\chi^{ST})^T_{12}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\chi}^{ST}_{12} \\
\dot{\chi}^{ST}_{13} \\
\dot{\chi}^{ST}_{23} \\
\dot{\chi}_{1} \\
\dot{\chi}_{2} \\
\dot{\chi}_{3} \\
\dot{\chi}_{+} \\
\dot{\chi}_{-}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
\mathbf{B}(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{(1)}_{3 \times 3} \\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{(2)}_{3 \times 3} \\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{(3)}_{3 \times 3} \\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{(4)}_{3 \times 1} \\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{(5)}_{3 \times 1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

then the matrix \(\mathbf{B}(t)\) is explicitly given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{B}^{(1)}_{3 \times 3} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\dot{\gamma} & -3 \dot{H} & -3 \dot{\psi} \\
\dot{\psi} & -2 \dot{\psi} & -6 \dot{H}
\end{pmatrix}, & \quad \mathbf{B}^{(2)}_{3 \times 3} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \delta_1^j \\
0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \delta_2^j \\
0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \delta_3^j
\end{pmatrix}, & \quad \mathbf{B}^{(3)}_{3 \times 3} &= \begin{pmatrix}
-a^{-1} \delta_1^j & -a^{-1} \delta_1^j & 0 \\
-a^{-1} \delta_2^j & 0 & -a^{-1} \delta_3^j \\
0 & a^{-1} \delta_1^j & -a^{-1} \delta_2^j \\
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{B}^{(4)}_{3 \times 1} &= \begin{pmatrix}
2a^{-1} \delta_1^j \\
-a^{-1} \delta_2^j \\
-a^{-1} \delta_3^j 
\end{pmatrix}, & \quad \mathbf{B}^{(5)}_{3 \times 1} &= \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
-3a^{-1} \delta_1^j \\
3a^{-1} \delta_3^j
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

5.4 Asymptotic exponential decay of nonlinear perturbations

In this section, we show how well-known results from the theory of nonlinear symmetric hyperbolic systems can be generalised and applied to the analysis of the asymptotic exponential decay of nonlinear perturbations of the flat FLRW reference solution to the Einstein-nonlinear scalar field system. From the analysis carried out in the previous sections, we consider the initial-value problem for the nonlinear perturbations of the form

\[
\left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^0 - \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{A}}^j(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\dot{u}}, \epsilon)\right) \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^j(\mathbf{u}) + \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{A}}^j(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\dot{u}}, \epsilon)\right) \partial_j \mathbf{u} = \left(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u}) + \epsilon \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\dot{u}}, \epsilon)\right) \mathbf{\dot{u}},
\]

where \(\mathbf{u}(t)\). The matrix \(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^0\) is symmetric with positive entries, and \(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^0, \hat{\mathbf{A}}^j\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^j, j = 1, 2, 3\), are symmetric.

The nonlinear stability of the solutions to the Cauchy problem \([85]\), when the coefficients of the linearized system \((\epsilon = 0)\) are constant matrices, has been studied extensively in \([13, 14, 20, 21, 25]\). The key ingredient in the analysis is the requirement that the eigenvalues of the matrix \(\mathbf{B}\) have a negative real part. It is the purpose of the next subsection to show how this \textit{stability eigenvalue condition} can be generalised under certain assumptions for problems where the matrices of the linearised system have entries which are smooth functions of time \(t\). This will be the key result of next section and is given in Lemma \([1]\). This lemma allows us to write a stability theorem, given by Theorem \([2]\) whose proof follows closely the methods of \([20, 21]\) which, in turn, are based on \([18, 19]\). We shall then omit details in some parts of the proof but shall give references where the missing steps can be found. The last subsection contains our main theorem, where the stability Theorem \([2]\) is applied to the present case. The results are summarised in Theorem \([4]\).

5.4.1 A stability theorem

In what follows, we denote by \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) the inner product in \(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)\)

\[
\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{g} \, dx,
\]

where \(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\), and the corresponding norm

\[
\| \mathbf{f} \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \equiv \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \rangle.
\]

We use the notation

\[
\partial_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{f} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{f}}{\partial (x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial (x_n)^{\alpha_n}},
\]

where \(\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) is a multi-index with respect to \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)\), for non-negative integers \(\alpha_i\). Let \(H^k(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)\) be the space of all summable functions \(\mathbf{f}\) such that, for each multi-index \(|\alpha| \leq k\), \(\partial_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{f}\) exists in the weak sense and belongs to \(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)\). The norm in \(H^k(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)\) is

\[
\| \mathbf{f} \|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| = 0}^k \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (\partial_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{f})^2 \, dx,
\]

We note that even when \(\mathbf{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n \times I)\) for some time interval \(I\), it should be understood that \(\partial_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{f}\) means differentiation with respect to the spatial variables only.

We shall now recall usual assumptions for short time existence theorems in this context (see e.g. \([25]\):

\[
\ldots
\]
Assumption 1. If

\[ |\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)| \leq c \]
\[ |\ddot{\mathbf{u}}(x, t)| \leq c \]

for some \( c > 0 \), then for every \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), there are constants \( p_{A^0,k}, p_{A,k}, p_{B,k} \) and \( K(c,k) \) such that\(^3\)

\[ |\dddot{\mathbf{A}}^0(\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{e})| \leq p_{A^0,k} |\dddot{\mathbf{u}}(x, t)|, \]
\[ |\dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j(\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{e})| \leq p_{A,k} |\dddot{\mathbf{u}}(x, t)|, \]
\[ |\dddot{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{u}, \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{e})| \leq p_{B,k} |\dddot{\mathbf{u}}(x, t)|, \]

\[ |\partial^2_x \partial_y^2 \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^0| \leq K(c,k), \]
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\partial^2_x \partial_y^2 \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j| \leq K(c,k), \]
\[ |\partial^2_x \partial_y^2 \dddot{\mathbf{B}}| \leq K(c,k), \]

for all multi-indices \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) with \( |\alpha| + |\beta| = k \). For the initial data \( \mathbf{u}_0 \), the corresponding estimates hold.

Now, let \( \mathbf{u} : T^0 \times I \to \mathbb{R}^s \) be the unknown of (68). The subsequent argument makes frequent use of the usual Sobolev inequalities (see e.g. [18])

\[ \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(T^n)} \leq C_{k,n} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^r(T^n)} \quad \text{if} \quad k > n/2 \] (69)

and

\[ \|\partial_x^\alpha \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(T^n)} \leq C_{k,n} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^r(T^n)} \quad \text{if} \quad k \geq |\alpha| + [n/2] + 1 \] (70)

where \([n/2]\) denotes the largest integer not greater than \( n/2 \) and \( C_{k,n} \) are some positive constants. Under Assumption 1 and using the above Sobolev inequalities, one has the following estimates based on the chain rule

\[ \|\partial_x^\alpha \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j\|_{L^\infty(T^n)} \leq C K(c,k)(1 + m^{k-1}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^r(T^n)} \] (71)

where \( m = \max_{\alpha} \left\{ \|\partial_x^\alpha \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(T^n)} : k > |\alpha| + [n/2] + 1 \right\} \) and the constant \( C \) is independent of \( \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j \) and \( \dddot{\mathbf{u}} \), see [18].

In what follows we introduce an extra assumption, followed by a generalisation of the stability eigenvalue condition [20], for the system (68), in which the linearised matrices are not constant but depend on time. These will then be used to derive Lemma 2 which, in turn, is crucial for the construction of our energy estimates. The lemma, which generalises techniques of the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 of [20], states that, under certain conditions, the eigenvalues can be estimated by their values at infinity.

Assumption 2. The coefficients \( \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j (\mathbf{u}(t)) \) and \( \dddot{\mathbf{B}} (\mathbf{u}(t)) \) are bounded and converge to a finite limit \( \dddot{\mathbf{A}}^j_\infty \) and \( \dddot{\mathbf{B}}_\infty \), as \( t \to +\infty \).

Assumption 3. There exists a constant \( \delta_\infty > 0 \) such that all the eigenvalues \( \lambda_\infty \) of \( \dddot{\mathbf{B}}_\infty \) satisfy

\[ \Re(\lambda_\infty) \leq -\delta_\infty. \] (72)

Lemma 1. If Assumption 2 and Assumption 3 hold, then there exists a time \( T > 0 \) and a constant \( \delta_1 > 0 \) such that, for all \( t \in [T, \infty) \),

\[ S_\infty \dddot{\mathbf{B}}(t) + \dddot{\mathbf{B}}^T(t) S_\infty \leq -2\delta_1 S_\infty, \] (73)

with \( S_\infty \) being a positive definite Hermitian matrix with constant entries.

Proof. If Assumption 2 holds, then there is a function \( \delta(t) \) such that \( \delta = \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta(t) \). Furthermore, if Assumption 3 holds, then there exists a time \( T > 0 \) and a constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that for all \( t \in [T, \infty) \)

\[ \Re(\lambda(t)) = \Re(\lambda_\infty + \Delta(t)) \leq -\delta_\infty + \Re(\Delta(t)) \leq -\delta, \] (74)

where \( \Delta(t) = \lambda(t) - \lambda_\infty \). Thus, if the data is given at \( t_0 = T \), the eigenvalues can be estimated by their values at infinity. If \( \dddot{\mathbf{B}}(t) \) is diagonal, then this condition can be directly translated into the following crucial inequality for the energy estimates (see also Lemma 2.1 of [20])

\[ \dddot{\mathbf{B}}(t) + \dddot{\mathbf{B}}^T(t) \leq 2\Re(\lambda(t)) I_4 \leq -2\delta I_4. \]
Otherwise, there exists a positive definite Hermitian matrix

\[ S_\infty = Q_\infty^T Q_\infty = \left( (U_\infty D)^{-1} \right)^T (U_\infty D)^{-1} \]

where \( U_\infty \) is an unitary matrix which puts \( B_\infty \) in its Schur’s form:

\[ U_\infty B_\infty U_\infty^{-1} = A_\infty + R_\infty \]

with \( A_\infty \) being a diagonal matrix whose entries are the eigenvalues \( \lambda_\infty \) and \( R_\infty \) is an upper triangular matrix. In turn, the matrix \( D \) is a diagonal matrix with arbitrary positive constant entries such that

\[ Q_\infty \tilde{B}_\infty Q_\infty^{-1} = D^{-1} U_\infty \tilde{B}_\infty U_\infty^{-1} D = A_\infty + D^{-1} R_\infty D. \]

Then, we have

\[
S_\infty \hat{B}(t) + \hat{B}^T(t) S_\infty = Q_\infty^T \hat{B}(t) Q_\infty^T Q_\infty + (Q_\infty^T Q_\infty)^T \hat{B}^T(t) Q_\infty^T Q_\infty \\
= Q_\infty^T \left[ Q_\infty \tilde{B}(t) Q_\infty^{-1} + (Q_\infty \tilde{B}(t) Q_\infty^{-1})^T \right] Q_\infty \\
= Q_\infty^T \left[ A_\infty + A_\infty^T + D^{-1} R_\infty D + (D^{-1} R_\infty D)^T + \Delta(t) + \Delta^T(t) \right] Q_\infty,
\]

where \( \Delta(t) = Q_\infty (\hat{B}(t) - \tilde{B}_\infty) Q_\infty^{-1} \) and the star denotes the complex conjugate. Now, given that the eigenvalues \( \lambda_\infty \) have negative real part, then the constants on the diagonal of \( D \) and the matrix \( \Delta(t) \) can be chosen to be small enough so that there exists a \( \delta_1 > \delta > 0 \), such that (see also Theorem 2.2 of [20])

\[ S_\infty \hat{B}(t) + \hat{B}^T(t) S_\infty \leq -2\delta_1 S_\infty, \]

for all \( t \geq T \), which proves the lemma.

Since \( S_\infty \) is positive definite, we can use this matrix to define a new norm

\[ \langle \bar{u}, S_\infty \bar{u} \rangle \]

which is equivalent to the usual \( L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \) norm, in the sense that

\[ \frac{1}{C} \| \bar{u} \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq \langle \bar{u}, S_\infty \bar{u} \rangle \leq C \| \bar{u} \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \quad C \geq 1. \quad (75) \]

**Theorem 2.** Consider the initial-value problem \([55] \), where \( \bar{A}^0, \bar{\bar{A}}^0, \bar{A}^j, \) and \( \bar{\bar{A}}^j \) are symmetric matrices and \( \bar{\bar{A}}^0 \) is positive definite. If Assumptions 1-3 hold, then there exists \( T > 0 \), and \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that for \( 0 \leq \epsilon < \epsilon_0 \), and all \( t \geq T \), a unique solution of the nonlinear perturbations exists and decay exponentially to zero, in a norm equivalent to the \( H^k(\mathbb{T}^n) \) norm, if \( k \geq n+2 \).

**Proof.** The proof is based on Lemma 1 and standard estimates for nonlinear symmetric hyperbolic systems following the general strategy of Section 6.4.1 in [18]. Accordingly, one begins by applying the matrix \( S_\infty \) to \([55] \) and differentiating the resulting equation, with respect to the spatial variables, to obtain

\[
\langle \partial^2_x \bar{u}, \left( \bar{A}^0 + \epsilon \bar{\bar{A}}^0 \right) \partial_t \partial^2_x \bar{u} \rangle + \langle \partial^2_x \bar{u}, \left( \alpha^{-1}(t) \bar{A}^j + \epsilon \bar{\bar{A}}^j \right) \partial_j \partial^2_x \bar{u} \rangle + \langle \partial^2_x \bar{u}, \bar{B}(t) \partial^2_x \bar{u} \rangle + \epsilon \langle \partial^2_x \bar{u}, R^n \rangle, \quad (76)
\]

where \( R^n = -\left[ \partial^2_x \left( \bar{A}^0 \partial_t \bar{u} \right) - \bar{\bar{A}}^0 \partial_t \partial^2_x \bar{u} \right] + \left[ \partial^2_x \left( \bar{\bar{A}}^j \partial_j \bar{u} \right) - \bar{A}^j \partial_j \partial^2_x \bar{u} \right] + \partial^2_x \left( \bar{B} \bar{u} \right) \]

denote lower order terms, i.e., terms involving derivatives of \( \bar{A}^j, \bar{B} \) and \( \bar{u} \), up to order \( |\alpha| \), and where a bar denotes the matrix transformation

\[ M \equiv (S_\infty M + M^T S_\infty), \]

for any real matrix \( M \).

Now, note that, for sufficiently small \( \epsilon \) and, in view of Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, \([55] \) is positive and bounded, so that \([55] \) can be used to replace \( \partial_t \bar{u} \) in the previous equation for \( R^n \). In
addition, one can, as done in [25], define a new norm from $\left[\hat{A}^0 + \epsilon \hat{A}^0\right]$ which, up to a constant $\hat{C}_\epsilon \geq 1$, is equivalent to the $H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)$ Sobolev norm.

Making use of the observations of the previous paragraph one can mimic the arguments of Lemma 6.4.1 and Corollary 6.4.2 in [18] and find that for fixed $k \geq n + 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a time $T_* > 0$ depending on $\left\|\hat{u}_0\right\|_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)}$, but not on higher derivatives of $\hat{u}_0$, such that

$$\sup_{T \leq t \leq T_*} \left\|\hat{u}\right\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq 2\left\|\hat{u}_0\right\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)}. \tag{77}$$

This basic estimate ensures the local existence of the Cauchy problem (68) with data prescribed at $t_0 = T$ with $T$ sufficiently large.

To show global existence one chooses $T_*$ as large as possible so that there are two possibilities: either $T_* = \infty$ or $T_* < \infty$. We shall now give a small sketch of the proof that, for sufficiently small $\epsilon$, one has $T_* = \infty$. The argument relies on the estimates for local existence of solutions to quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic systems (for full details we refer to [18] [19] [20] [21]).

First, note that if $\hat{A}^j$ is symmetric then so is $\hat{A}^j$. Integration by parts in (76) then yields

$$\langle \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u}, \hat{A}^j \partial_j \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u}, \left[ \partial_j \hat{A}^j + (\partial_\alpha \hat{A}^j)(\partial_j \hat{u}) \right] \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u} \rangle,$$

which, in view of Assumption 1 and using (69)-(71), can be estimated as (see also [21])

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left| \langle \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u}, \hat{A}^j \partial_j \partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u} \rangle \right| \leq \hat{C}^2 K(c,1) \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( 1 + \|\partial_\alpha \hat{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \right) \|\partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq \hat{C}^2 M_1 \|\hat{u}\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \quad \text{if} \quad k \geq 1 + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + |j|,$$

with $M_1 = K(c,1)(1 + \hat{C}_{k,n}\|\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)})$. More generally, let $M_\alpha$ denote polynomials in $\|\hat{u}\|_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)}$ of degree $|\alpha|$ depending only on the constants $K(c,k)$ of Assumption 1. Using the Sobolev inequalities and estimates based on the chain rule, it can be shown that the lower order terms satisfy [21]

$$\|\partial_\alpha^2 \hat{u}, R^\alpha\| \leq M_\alpha \|\hat{u}\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

Making use of these estimates in equation (76), one then obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\hat{u}\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq -(2\hat{\delta}_1 - \epsilon M) \hat{C}^2 \|\hat{u}\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq n + 2,$$

where $M = \max_{\alpha} M_\alpha$. Exploiting the fact that the estimate (72) holds for $t \in [T,T_*)$, and using it to estimate $M$, it follows that we can choose $\epsilon$ sufficiently small so that [21]

$$\sup_{T \leq t \leq T_*} \|\hat{u}\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)} \leq \|\hat{u}_0\|^2_{H^k(\mathbb{T}^n)},$$

which improves (77). Whence, a simple continuation and contradiction argument gives the desired global existence with exponential decay rate.

In the next section, we will see how this result can be used to show the asymptotic exponential decay in time of nonlinear perturbations of FLRW spacetimes containing a nonlinear scalar field.

5.4.2 Main theorem

In this section, we show how Assumptions 1-3 are satisfied for our particular problem, so that Theorem 2 applies.

Assumption 4 follows by direct inspection of the perturbation matrices $\hat{A}^\mu$ and $\hat{B}$. Another way to see this is to notice that since $\hat{A}^\mu$ and $\hat{B}$ arise from the evolution system (56) through the linearisation procedure, and since the matrix-valued functions $A^\mu$ and the vector-valued function $B$ of (56) have smooth dependence on the solution, it follows that the matrices $\hat{A}^\mu$ and $\hat{B}$ also have smooth dependence of the background and perturbation variables, and thus, Assumption 4 is satisfied.
Since $\dot{A}$ and $\dot{B}$ have a smooth dependence on $\mathbf{u}$, it follows that Assumption 2 is satisfied if the background solution $\mathbf{u}(t)$ is bounded and converges to a finite limit $\mathbf{u}_\infty$, as $t \to +\infty$. It is then crucial to first understand the global behavior of the background solutions. The system (65)–(66) has been studied by Rendall in a series of works [29, 30, 32], see also [3]. For completeness, we state an important theorem which will be used in the sequel and refer to [29] for details.

**Assumption 4.** The scalar field potential $V(\phi)$ satisfies the conditions:

1. $V(\phi) \geq \tilde{V}_0 > 0$, with $\tilde{V}_0$ a constant.
2. $V(\phi)$ is bounded on any interval on which $V(\phi)$ is bounded;
3. $V'(\phi)$ tends to a limit, finite or infinite as $\phi$ tends to $-\infty$ or $+\infty$.

Making use of this assumption one has the following:

**Theorem 3 (Rendall).** Consider a smooth spatially flat homogeneous and isotropic solution of the Einstein equations with a nonlinear scalar field with a positive potential $V(\phi)$ satisfying Assumption 4. If the solution is initially expanding and exists globally to the future, then as $t \to +\infty$, it follows that $\psi \to 0$, and $V(\phi)$ converges to some positive constant $V_\infty$. Moreover $V'(\phi) \to 0$, and

$$\dot{H} \to \sqrt{\frac{V_\infty}{3}}.$$ 

As remarked in [29], conditions 2 and 3 of Assumption 4 are satisfied by a general class of potentials, while condition 1 is more restrictive, as it imposes that the potential has a strictly positive lower bound. If a background solution is as in Theorem 3 then as $t \to +\infty$, $\phi$ converges to a (isolated) critical point of the potential (possibly at infinity), with $V_\infty > 0$, which is interpreted as an effective positive cosmological constant. In that case, the deceleration parameter $q = -1 - \frac{dH}{dt}/H^2$ tends to $-1$ and the scale factor grows at an exponential (accelerated) rate. In turn, the metric locally asymptotically approaches the de Sitter metric. Thus, Theorem 3 constitutes a generalisation of the well-known theorem by Wald [38, 23].

In subsequent work [30], Rendall considered positive potentials for which $V(\phi) \to 0$ as $\phi \to \infty$. In that case, $\dot{H} \to 0$ and the rate of decay and convergence of the above quantities is no longer exponential (in synchronous time $t$). These results, show that there is a fairly general class of potentials for which Assumption 4 is satisfied. There are, however, classes of potentials for which this is not the case, as shown in [32].

Let us now consider the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $\dot{B}(t)$ in the system (67),

$$\left[\lambda^3 + 9\dot{H}\lambda^2 + \left(3\dot{\psi} + 18\ddot{H}^2 - 6\dot{\psi}^2\right)\lambda + \left(6\dot{H}\ddot{\psi} + 3\dot{\psi}^2\left(\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\dot{\psi}}\right)\right)\right] \times \left[\lambda^2 + 6\dot{H}\lambda + \left(8\ddot{H}^2 - 2\dot{\psi}^2\right)\right] \times$$

$$\times \left[\lambda^2 - \left(\ddot{H} + 2\left(\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\dot{\psi}}\right)\lambda - \left(2\dot{H}^2 + 2\ddot{H}\left(\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\dot{\psi}}\right) - \frac{\ddot{H}}{dt}\right)\right)^3 \times \left[\lambda + \dot{H}\right]^{18} \times \left[\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\dot{H}\right]^{10} \times \left[\lambda + \frac{3}{4}\dot{H}\right]^{10} \times \left[\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\dot{H}\right]^{6} \times \left[\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\dot{H}\right].$$

In order to obtain conditions from the characteristic polynomial, we will make use of the Liénard-Chipart theorem. The latter gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a polynomial with real coefficients to have roots with negative real part, see e.g. [26]. The conditions for the negativity of the real part of the
eigenvalues are found to be

\[ \frac{\dot{H}}{H} > 0, \]

\[ \frac{\dot{V}}{V} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H} = 2 \frac{\dot{H}^2}{H} + \frac{dH}{dt} > 0, \]

\[ -\left( 2 \frac{\dot{V}}{\psi} + \dot{H} \right) > 0, \]

\[ -\left( 2\frac{\dot{H} \dot{V}}{\psi} + 2\dot{H}^2 + \frac{d\dot{H}}{dt} \right) = -\left( 2\frac{\dot{H} \dot{V}}{\psi} + \dot{V} - \dot{H}^2 \right) > 0, \]

\[ \dot{V}'' + 12 \left( \dot{V} - \frac{3}{2} \dot{H}^2 \right) > 0, \]

\[ 6\dot{H} \dot{V}'' + 3\dot{\psi}^2 \frac{\dot{V}}{\psi} > 0. \]

The first condition implies that the background solution is ever expanding and, in particular, since \( \dot{H} \) is monotonically decreasing it must converge to a strictly positive value \( \dot{H}_\infty > 0 \), as \( t \to +\infty \). Let us, therefore, assume that the scalar field potential \( V(\phi) \) satisfies Assumption 4, so that Theorem 3 applies.

Further assuming that \( \dot{V}'/\dot{\psi} \) converges to a constant (see Remark 5), it follows that \( A_j(t) \to 0 \) and \( B(t) \to B_\infty \), as \( t \to +\infty \). Moreover, conditions (78) at infinity reduce to

\[ \dot{V}_\infty > 0, \quad -\left( \frac{\dot{V}'}{\psi} \right)_\infty > \sqrt{\frac{V_\infty}{3}}, \quad \dot{V}'''_\infty > 0. \]  

If these conditions are satisfied, then there exists a \( \delta_\infty > 0 \) such that the eigenvalues \( \lambda_\infty \) of \( B_\infty \), satisfy \( \text{Re}(\lambda_\infty) \leq -\delta_\infty \). Thus, Assumptions 2 and 3 are satisfied and Lemma 1 follows. We summarise our results in the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.** Consider an initially expanding spatially flat homogeneous and isotropic solution of the Einstein-nonlinear scalar field system, existing globally to the future and satisfying (79). Then, this solution is future asymptotically stable in the sense that there is a time \( T > 0 \) and an \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that, for \( \epsilon_0 > \epsilon > 0 \), and all \( t \geq T \), the solutions to the evolution system (68) satisfy

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \| \hat{u} \|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} = 0 \]

with an exponentially decay rate.

Our formulation of the Einstein evolution equations as a first order system and our gauge choice led to the additional condition

\[ -\frac{\dot{V}'}{\dot{\psi}} > \sqrt{\frac{V_\infty}{3}} \]

which is not present in the well known work of Ringström [33], where the harmonic gauge has been used. In principle, it is possible to use our approach to analyse the FLRW open \( (k = -1) \) case and the ever-expanding Bianchi models. This can be done at the expense of further lower order terms in (76). Finally, through a suitable change of time variable, it is also possible to prove the nonlinear stability of power-law inflation as in [34].
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