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Abstract During host–pathogen interactions, a complex web of events is crucial for the outcome of infection. Pathogen recognition triggers powerful cellular signaling events that is translated into the induction and maintenance of innate and adaptive host immunity against infection. In opposition, pathogens employ active mechanisms to manipulate host cell regulatory pathways toward their proliferation and survival. Among these, subversion of host cell energy metabolism by pathogens is currently recognized to play an important role in microbial growth and persistence. Extensive studies have documented the role of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling, a central cellular hub involved in the regulation of energy homeostasis, in host–pathogen interactions. Here, we highlight the most recent advances detailing how pathogens hijack cellular metabolism by suppressing or increasing the activity of the host energy sensor AMPK. We also address the role of lower eukaryote AMPK orthologues in the adaptive process to the host micro-environment and their contribution for pathogen survival, differentiation, and growth. Finally, we review the effects of pharmacological or genetic AMPK modulation on pathogen growth and persistence.

Keywords SNF1/AMPK • Host-pathogen interactions • Infection • Metabolism • Microbial auxotrophy • Bioenergetics

12.1 Introduction

Adenosine 5′ monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a heterotrimeric serine/threonine kinase consisting of a catalytic subunit (α) and two regulatory subunits (β and γ) (Xiao et al. 2011). AMPK is considered a pivotal regulator of cellular metabolism known as a metabolic “master regulator,” switching on catabolic pathways that generate ATP, while switching off anabolic pathways that consume ATP. Therefore, upon activation, AMPK downregulates several anabolic enzymes through phosphorylation leading to the inhibition of both translation initiation by restraining mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) activity, as well as translation elongation through the inactivation of Eukaryotic Elongation Factor 2 (eEF2), which will ultimately decrease cellular ATP consumption. In opposition, AMPK activates a catabolic state by inducing oxidative
pathways generating energy through the activation of glucose uptake (via activation of both glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and GLUT4) (Barnes et al. 2002; Holmes et al. 1999), glycolysis (via phosphorylation and activation of two of four isoforms of 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase) (Marsin et al. 2002), fatty acid uptake (via translocation of the fatty acid transporter FAT/CD36) (Bonen et al. 2007), and fatty acid oxidation (via phosphorylation of the isoform 2 of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC2)) (Winder and Hardie 1996). Classically, the canonical upstream activator that phosphorylates AMPK on Thr 172 (Stein et al. 2000) is the constitutively active tumor suppressor liver kinase B1 (LKB1) accompanied by two accessory subunits, sterile 20 protein-related adaptor (STRAD) and mouse protein 25 (MO25) (Hawley et al. 2003; Woods et al. 2003), but additional activators such as the Ca$^{2+}$-calmodulin-dependent kinase kinase (CaMKK) has been also identified (Woods et al. 2005; Hawley et al. 2005).

AMPK is capable of sensing changes in the energy status of the cell, and therefore it is not surprising that it plays a pivotal role during infections (Moreira et al. 2015b). Nutritional immunity has been described as a defence mechanism employed by host cells to prevent the acquisition of essential nutrients by intracellular pathogens, thus preventing pathogen scavenging and further utilization for replication and survival (Hood and Skaar 2012). Furthermore, recent advances have underlined the role of AMPK in macrophage, T cell, and DC functions in distinct settings, providing a molecular link between bioenergetics homeostasis, viability, and effector functions of adaptive and innate immune cells (Blagih et al. 2015; Kelly and O’Neill 2015; Yang and Chi 2015). These concepts are the core of host–pathogen dynamics. Herein, we review the contribution of AMPK sensor in regulating viral, bacterial, parasitic, and fungal infections. Furthermore, given the high degree of conservation among eukaryotes, we intend to discuss the crucial role of AMPK in parasites and fungi. The most recent findings concerning the AMPK role in the parasite and fungal biology during host–pathogen interaction will be also addressed.

12.2 AMPK: A Regulator in Viral Infection

12.2.1 Modulation of AMPK Activity by Viruses

Simian virus 40 (SV40) is a polyoma virus that encodes for small and large T antigens implicated in tumor formation. SV40 small T antigen has been shown to protect human cells in glucose deprivation. This survival advantage for cancer cells was demonstrated to be correlated with an increased phosphorylation of AMPK and consequent downregulation of mTORC1, which ultimately triggered autophagy (Kumar and Rangarajan 2009). In vitro infection with avian reovirus (ARV) resulted in an increase in AMPK phosphorylation on Thr172 (Ji et al. 2009) and a concomitant increase in mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) p38
phosphorylation. AMPK phosphorylation has also been described to be increased early after infection with Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), an important reemerging arthropod-borne human pathogen (Moser et al. 2012).

On the contrary, infections with Hepatitis C virus (HCV), Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), Herpes simplex virus (HSV), Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), and human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) have been reported to inhibit AMPK activity. In this context, cells infected with HCV or harboring an HCV subgenomic replicon inhibited the phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172. This was consistent with the concomitant reduction on AMPK activity and increased hepatic lipid accumulation required for virus replication (Mankouri et al. 2010). Inhibition of Thr172 phosphorylation was associated with an increased phosphorylation of AMPK at an alternative site, Ser485, which is phosphorylated by protein kinase B (PKB/Akt) (Horman et al. 2006). Interestingly, both HCV NS4B and NS5A proteins have been shown to activate the protein kinase Akt (Park et al. 2009; Street et al. 2004). In addition to HCV, HCMV, a beta herpes virus that establishes chronic infections, activates mTOR signaling by inhibiting AMPK Thr172 phosphorylation at early time points postinfection (Kudchodkar et al. 2007). Interestingly, at later time points of infection, a kinome RNAi screen performed in HCMV-infected MRC5 fibroblasts identified 106 cellular kinases that influenced the growth of the virus, including multiple elements of the AMPK pathway (Terry et al. 2012). The effector proteins responsible for the AMPK inhibition still remain elusive, although the inhibition of CaMKK was reported to block HCMV-mediated AMPK activation (McArule et al. 2011, 2012). Furthermore, it has been shown that HCMV blocked the function of the mitochondrial trifunctional protein (TFP), a key enzyme during fatty acid-β-oxidation (Seo and Cresswell 2013), through the redistribution of viperin to the mitochondria. The resulting decrease in cellular ATP levels and consequent increase in AMP-activated AMPK. The latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) encoded by EBV, which is associated with the development of nasopharyngeal carcinoma, inhibited the phosphorylation of LKB1 at serine 428 leading to the inhibition of AMPK phosphorylation (Plummer et al. 2013).

Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent class III protein deacetylase, and AMPK are activated during the cellular response to nutrient deprivation and exhibit a reciprocal regulation (Fulco et al. 2008). In the context of HCV core protein expression in HepG2 cells, it has been shown that the activity of SIRT1 along with AMPK is decreased (Yu et al. 2013). In the context of HIV infection, it has been proposed that the transactivator Tat protein mediates its effect on AMPK via the inhibition of SIRT1 (Zhang and Wu 2009). However, a certain complexity is associated with the fact that SIRT1 deacetylates Tat (Pagans et al. 2005) and Tat inhibits SIRT1 (Kwon et al. 2008). More recently, it has been proposed that curcumin reversed Tat-mediated reduction in AMPK activation and downstream ACC activation (Zhang et al. 2011). Therefore, the relationship between HIV infection and AMPK modulation merits to be further explored in the context of viral infection.
12.2.2 Role of AMPK in Virus Entry and Replication

Since some viruses manipulate host AMPK toward immune evasion, a valuable therapeutic antiviral strategy may pass through the pharmacological manipulation of AMPK activity. In this context, Vaccinia virus infects a wide range of host cells, and all three subunits of AMPK facilitated virus entry (Moser et al. 2010). AMPK is involved in poxvirus entry in a manner that is independent of its role as a metabolic regulator. The authors point to a novel role of AMPK in promoting macropinocytosis and cellular motility by regulating actin dynamics, independently of LKB1 or CaMKK (Moser et al. 2010). AMPK deficiency attenuated vaccinia infection by interfering with viral entry. This supports the development of selective AMPK inhibitors or other inhibitors of macropinocytosis against poxviruses, as well as for other viruses that hijack this endocytic route for their entry mechanism.

In vitro treatment of hepatoma cells with the AMPK activator 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide 1-β-D-ribofuranoside (AICAR) was shown to suppress HCV replication (Nakashima et al. 2011; Mankouri et al. 2010). The importance of AMPK in HCMV replication is supported by the observation that the inhibition of AMPK kinase with compound C attenuates early and late HCMV replication (Hutterer et al. 2013; McArdle et al. 2012; Terry et al. 2012). Moreover, the addition of 2-octynoic acid (2-OA) to HCV-infected cells inhibited viral replication in a process involving the activation of AMPK and the inhibition of ACC, the first rate-limiting enzyme in fatty acid synthesis (Yang et al. 2013). Importantly, it has been shown that AMPK and its upstream activator LKB1 are essential for the control of RVFV infection, which is associated with the phosphorylation and inhibition of ACC. Treatment with the fatty acid palmitate bypasses this restriction, demonstrating that AMPK restricts RVFV infection through its inhibition of fatty acid biosynthesis (Moser et al. 2012). Furthermore, the authors showed that AMPK restricts the growth of multiple arboviruses from disparate families, including the Flavivirus Kunjin virus (KUNV), the Togavirus Sindbis virus (SINV), and the Rhabdovirus Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (Moser et al. 2012). Therefore, this process represents a novel mechanism of interest regarding viruses’ control mechanisms. Because many viruses require complex and unique interactions with cellular lipid metabolism through both synthesis and degradation pathways, it cannot be excluded that viruses inhibit AMPK activation in order to stimulate lipid synthesis within the infected cells. Thus, AMPK activation is broadly antiviral and may provide a novel antiviral therapeutic target.

Finally, it has been proposed that AMPK is involved in the reactivation of latent viruses, such as HIV-1, through bryostatin-induced activation, a protein kinase C activator (Mehla et al. 2010). This was further confirmed by the use of compound C that partially reduced HIV-1 reactivation. In the same study, the authors showed that metformin, an oral antidiabetic drug that activates AMPK indirectly through mitochondrial complex I inhibition (Zhou et al. 2001), inhibits HIV-1 replication. These results underline differential effects either on the reactivation of HIV-1 or the productive viral replication (Mehla et al. 2010). Furthermore, metformin also
inhibits in vitro HCV replication (Huang et al. 2013). The addition of metformin to current HCV treatment regimens had promising, albeit modest, effects on reducing patient viral loads (Romero-Gomez et al. 2009). Moreover, several AMPK activating drugs have been shown to reduce morbidity and mortality during lethal influenza infection in mice (Moseley et al. 2010).

12.2.3 AMPK and Autophagy During Viral Infections

Autophagy becomes particularly important during cellular starvation as a means to recycle amino acids and cellular components for use as catabolic fuels. mTOR forms two functionally distinct complexes in mammals, mTORC1 and mTORC2. In particular, mTORC1 senses nutrient deprival. This is an essential information to take into account when addressing host–pathogen interactions, given that AMPK is an established negative regulator of the mTOR signaling cascade (Inoki et al. 2003; Shaw et al. 2004). AMPK can directly phosphorylate Raptor, a positive regulatory subunit of the mTORC1 complex, and, in addition, it has been shown that AMPK phosphorylates the protein kinase UNC-51-like kinase 1 (ULK1), which is a key signaling complex required for autophagosome formation (Egan et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2011). During the last two decades, autophagy has been analyzed more in detail and described to regulate host–pathogen interactions. Growing evidences indicate that autophagy acts both in the antiviral and proviral pathway (Rey-Jurado et al. 2015; Santarelli et al. 2015) Viral infections may result in the inhibition of host cell metabolism, thus leading to a concomitant reduction in energy demands. This could happen either by blocking host cell protein synthesis, while in the opposite way, by placing increased energetic demands upon infection, which might result in an activation of AMPK. Further studies are necessary to assess the contributing role of the AMPK pathway in regulating autophagy in the context of viral infections. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that AMPK is a critical regulator of cell autophagy in the context of host–pathogen interactions that need to be further addressed.

12.2.4 AMPK and Immune Effector Functions Cells During Viral Infection

Immune response against pathogens and in particular viruses leads to a rapid modification of cellular metabolism. It has been initially identified that signal transduction pathways, which modulate T-cell metabolism, involve LKB1 and AMPK as key regulators (Blagih et al. 2015; Blagih et al. 2012). Thus, LKB1-deficient T cells exhibited defects in cell proliferation and viability, accompanied by altered glycolytic and lipid metabolism. Interestingly, loss of LKB1 promoted an
increase in T cell activation and inflammatory cytokine production by both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (MacIver et al. 2011). However, although AMPKα1 activity is dispensable for proliferation and differentiation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), AMPK knockout (KO) mice show a striking defect in their ability to generate memory CD8+ T-cell responses in vivo, along with a lower survival of CTLs following withdrawal of immune stimulation (Rolf et al. 2013). The role of metformin has also been addressed in arthritis. It was recently shown that the attenuation of the disease in AMPK KO mice could result from decreased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, the impact of AMPK on the differentiation of T cells into T helper 17 (Th17) cells upon stimulation was also demonstrated (Kang et al. 2013). Recently, it has been demonstrated that AMPKα1 is essential for Th1 and Th17 cell development and primary T cell responses to viral and bacterial infections. A reduction of both Th1 and Th17 responses (Estaquier et al. 1996; Estaquier et al. 1995; Raffatellu et al. 2008; Brenchley et al. 2008; Cecchinato et al. 2008; Campillo-Gimenez et al. 2010) were associated with a higher propensity of the cells to die by apoptosis observed during the course of HIV-1 infection (Estaquier et al. 1994; Monceaux et al. 2003; Hurtrel et al. 2005; Cumont et al. 2007). This originates the question as whether or not metformin could restore functional immune effector T cells through AMPK activation and if this approach could have potential interest in the development of therapeutic strategy against acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Thus, given the critical role played by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in controlling viruses, regulation of metabolic homeostasis represents a quite remarkable field to explore in the context T cell immunity.

In addition to adaptive immunity, a role for AMPK in regulating innate immunity has been proposed. AMPK activation promotes macrophage polarization toward an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype (Sag et al. 2008) and modulates inflammatory gene expression through activation of SIRT1 (Yang et al. 2010b). However, one important effect of AMPK is the suppression of signal transducers and activators of transcription 1 (STAT1) signaling induced by interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), which inhibits inflammation and chemokines in primary astrocytes and microglia (Meares et al. 2013). This could be particularly important in the context of neuronal infections because inflammation in the central nervous system contributes to neurologic disorders. Furthermore, type I IFN-derived immune responses, which are essential for controlling virus spread, decreases the phosphorylation of AMPK. Consistently, metformin enhances the antiviral effect of IFN-β in Coxsackievirus B3 virus infection (Burke et al. 2014). It has been also proposed that 2-OA antiviral activity against HCV is associated with AMPK activation, through induction of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) and the inhibition of miR-122 expression (Yang et al. 2013). In the context of RVFV, however, the authors have excluded a role of type I IFN in regulating virus infection downstream AMPK (Moser et al. 2012). Therefore, AMPK appears to be a central player in regulating innate and adaptive immune responses, which are essential in controlling viral infections. Figure 12.1 illustrates the differential regulation of AMPK activity by viruses.
AMPK in the Control of Bacterial Infection

Pathogenic intracellular bacteria infect their hosts by exploiting its cytoplasmic milieu toward survival, growth, and dissemination. During infection, intracellular bacteria must compete with the host in order to obtain the necessary energy and carbon sources. The specific scavenge of nutritional sources by the bacteria may increase their survival odds and consequently can be detrimental to the survival of the permissive host. In parallel, the host cell is forced to respond vigorously to the internal threat by using specific microbicidal mechanisms at the same time that need to maintain bioenergetic homeostatic levels to prevent failure and death. Yet, AMPK beneficial or prejudicial role during bacterial infections appears to be pathogen-specific (Fig. 12.2).
AMPK signaling pathways during host response to bacterial infection. The release of bacterial products such as TLR agonists and PFT or the infectious process itself may lead to AMPK activation. This occurs through alteration of the intracellular calcium concentration and consequent CAMKKβ activation, decrease of ATP/AMP ratios, or ROS production. Additionally, AMPK may also be activated by chemical compounds such as AICAR, metformin, and compound. The phosphorylation of AMPK in the threonine residue 172 (Thr172) during bacterial infections has multiple effects in energy metabolism and autophagy that ultimately contribute to the resolution or progression of the infection. AMPK is a negative regulator of mTOR, so, upon Thr172 phosphorylation, mTOR is inhibited, as well as protein synthesis. Furthermore, mitochondrial metabolism is impacted during infection: mitochondrial respiration and biogenesis may be indirectly increased during bacterial-induced AMPK activation. Furthermore, the transcriptional co-activator PGC-1α is directly modulated by AMPK and originates the increase in transcription of autophagy-related genes, thus leading to increased xenophagy. However, the role of xenophagy in the disclosure of a bacterial infection is still controversial. On one hand, this antibacterial process may cause bacterial death and elimination, through acidification of the intracellular niches and consequent degradation of pathogen. On the other hand, it may increase nutrient availability, thus contributing to pathogen survival. Similarly, the increase in mitochondrial mass may cause an increase in lipid oxidation, which contributes to increased intracellular nutrient availability and, consequently, to proliferation and survival of the pathogen. AICAR 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-D-ribofuranoside, AMP adenosine monophosphate, AMPK AMP-activated protein kinase, ATP adenosine triphosphate, CaMKKβ calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase β, mTOR mechanistic target of rapamycin, PFT pore-forming toxins, PGC1α peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ co-activator-1α, ROS reactive species of oxygen, TLR Toll-like receptor.
12.3.1 Autophagy: Is Self-Eating Saving or Killing the Bacteria?

Such as in the context of viral infections, it has been shown that selective recognition of intracellular bacteria and their targeting to the autophagic machinery for degradation in lysosomes, also referred as xenophagy, is of crucial importance for bacterial control (Cemma and Brumell 2012). Xenophagy has been put forward as a key component of cell-autonomous innate immunity defence mechanisms against infections (Sorbara and Girardin 2015). If in one hand, xenophagy may contribute to pathogen elimination, in the other, it may also provide the necessary intracellular metabolites that sustain pathogen growth. Amino acid (AA) starvation induced by bacterial pathogens is sensed by the host to trigger protective innate immune and stress responses. The bacteria *Shigella* and *Salmonella* are able to trigger an acute AA starvation in HeLa cells, as a consequence of host membrane remodeling and damage. Thus, the AA depletion led to the inhibition of the mTOR pathway emphasizing a possible activation of AMPK (Tattoli et al. 2012). *Francisella tularensis* is an example of a cytosolic bacterium that activates host autophagic system to increase cytosolic pools of nutrients for its own advantage (Steele et al. 2013). On the other hand, bacterial pathogens have suffered selective pressure leading to the acquisition of skills to manipulate the host enzymatic machinery in their own advantage. In this sense, bacteria can not only prevent the initiation of autophagic mechanisms and maturation of phagosomes, but they are also able to use some components of xenophagy toward its replication and survival (Levine et al. 2011; Deretic et al. 2013). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* represent well this last group. *Y. pseudotuberculosis* can replicate inside autophagosomes, which are blocked in their maturation and thus unable to fuse with lysosomes (Moreau et al. 2010). *M. tuberculosis* is capable of evading autophagy in macrophages through the inhibition of xenophagic pathways (Kumar et al. 2010). In this case, treatment with Isoniazid, a first-line drug against tuberculosis, during the first 24 h of infection is capable to restore autophagy in *M. tuberculosis*-infected bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) in an AMPK-dependent manner leading to pathogen elimination (Kim et al. 2012). Nonetheless, the replenishment of essential substrates for the pathogen may be assured through modulation of AMPK activity (Brunton et al. 2013). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is capable of utilizing fatty acids as the major energy source (Rhee et al. 2011). While fatty acids and cholesterol are viewed as the predominant carbon source throughout infection (Lee et al. 2013; McKinney et al. 2000; Munoz-Elias and McKinney 2005; Pandey and Sassetti 2008), triglycerides could represent an energetic reservoir during bacteria dormancy (Daniel et al. 2004). *M. tuberculosis* is capable of inhibiting AMPK and activating mTOR by inducing lipid synthesis. It was recently shown that *Mtb* accumulated intracellular triacylglycerides (TAG) whose composition is nearly identical to host TAG. Accordingly, it was demonstrated that *Mtb* TAG were synthesized using free fatty acids released from host macrophages. This lipid scavenging created an
energetic reservoir that ultimately allows the bacteria to enter in a latent stage (Daniel et al. 2011). Recently, these kinases arose as a potential trigger of autophagy during infection. *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) exploits host’s autophagic machinery through the inhibition of mTOR pathway and ERK1/2 and AMPK phosphorylation (Tang et al. 2014). Similarly, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator 1α (PPARGC1A)-dependent activation of AMPK in *M. tuberculosis* infection results on increased transcription of autophagy-related genes via CCAAT/enhancer binding protein β (CEBPB). This activation was also associated with increased mitochondrial respiration and biogenesis (Yang et al. 2014). TLR 1/2 has been associated with the initiation of autophagic mechanisms during mycobacterial infections (Yuk et al. 2009). This was shown to be mediated by the mycobacterial lipoprotein LqpH, which activates TLR1/2 inducing a rapid and transient increase in intracellular calcium concentration leading to AMPK activation, possibly through upstream activation of CaMKK. Furthermore, AMPK activation was shown to be essential for autophagy, resulting in the phosphorylation of p38-MAPK (Shin et al. 2010). Similarly to LqpH, pore-forming toxins (PFT) are bacterial virulence factors that have been implicated in the prompting of autophagy, although the underlining mechanisms remain elusive (Gonzalez et al. 2008). Kloft and colleagues observed that several PFT (streptolysin O, *Vibrio cholerae* cytolsin, *Staphylococcus aureus* α-toxin, and *Escherichia coli* haemolysin A) cause a drop in intracellular ATP levels leading to AMPK activation (Kloft et al. 2010). It has been hypothesized that the formation of membrane pores leads to the activation of downstream targets, as the AMPK signalling pathway, which may contribute for the maintenance of cellular homeostasis.

Further studies suggest that AMPK signaling may regulate and facilitate the intracellular replication of this pathogen. Although xenophagy may be presented as an antibacterial mechanism, it is important to reckon that other evasion mechanisms driven by a chronic activation of AMPK in the infected cells can be used by invading bacteria, such as *Salmonella*, to subvert the immune response (Tattoli et al. 2012). Likewise, *Legionella pneumophila*, the causative agent of Legionnaires’ disease, is capable of inhibiting the phagosome-lysosome fusion, in order to subvert host cell immune response (Horwitz 1983). Macrophage mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum-derived vesicles are recruited to the vicinity of the phagosome within the first minutes of *L. pneumophila* infection (Tilney et al. 2001). The consequent encapsulation of *Legionella*-containing phagosomes increases the survival odds of this bacteria, since it prevents its fusion with lysosomes or acidifying vesicles. This subservient mechanism clearly suggests the utilization of mitochondria and other organelles for support in early stages of infection. Francione et al. (2009) using a *Dictyostelium discoideum* model for mitochondrial disease observed a faster growth and higher bacterial burden in mitochondrial disease strains. AMPK activation may also be beneficial for *Helicobacter pylori* and *Neisseria meningitidis* infections. These gram-negative bacteria colonize the gastric mucosa causing several local distresses as gastritis, gastric ulcers, or gastric cancer. AMPK activation during gastric epithelial cells
(GEC) cell infection is induced by transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)-activated kinase 1 (TAK1), resulting in decreased apoptosis of GEC cells and consequent bacterial survival (Lv et al. 2014). The decreased level of apoptosis originates persistence of infection and GEC proliferation, contributing to the development of carcinogenesis. Accordingly with these results, administration of compound 13, a novel α1-selective activator of AMPK, was shown to decrease *H. pylori*-induced GEC apoptosis through reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging and activation of the AMPK-heme oxygenase (HO-1) pathway (Zhao et al. 2015). Similarly, activation and overexpression of AMPK improve human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) permeability after a challenge with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) through suppression of the induction of NAD(P)H oxidase-derived ROS (Zhao et al. 2014), AMPK was activated at 24 h postinfection, while PKB-Akt protein analysis showed dephosphorylation and thus inactivation (Schubert-Unkmeir et al. 2007). In spite of being demonstrated that *N. meningitidis* regulates gene transcription, translation, and cell metabolism, it is necessary to understand the virulence factors involved.

### 12.3.2 Bacterial Host immune Response: A Double-Edge Sword Controlled by AMPK

Nutrient availability is an important factor when evaluating effector T cell function, since their dysfunction can impair the appropriate responses that culminate with pathogen elimination. Mice with T cell-specific deletion of AMPKα1 infected with an overexpressing OVA *Listeria monocytogenes* showed a decreased number of total CD4+ and CD8+ T cells at 7 days postinfection. Not only their numbers were reduced as their effector function, since *ex vivo* stimulation of infected splenocytes in the conditional KO mice displayed lower levels of IFNγ+(Blagih et al. 2015). This work highlights the importance of AMPK in allowing the proper function of T cells in response to pathogens, which is ultimately connected to the metabolic pathways established in these cells. ROS production is one of the hallmarks of innate host response against intracellular pathogens. Concomitant administration of the AMPK inhibitor compound C with LPS originated an impaired immune response to endotoxemia via the decrease of nuclear-factor kB (NF-kB) activation pathway. This was correlated with a decreased chemotaxis of macrophages and neutrophils to the liver, with decreased ROS production and TNFα levels in the serum (Guo et al. 2014). Furthermore, upon AMPK activation, neutrophils showed an enhancement in *E. coli* and *S. aureus* uptake and consequent killing. These observations were supported in vivo using murine models for peritonitis-induced sepsis where metformin-treated mice were found to have fewer viable bacteria (Park et al. 2013). In opposition, in tuberculosis (TB) patients, AMPK-expressing neutrophils were shown to be able to secrete higher quantities of metalloproteinase-8 (MMP-8), in a NF-kB-dependent fashion, leading to matrix destruction and
collagen. This study demonstrated that AMPK activation has a role in the secretion of MMP-8 contributing to lung immunopathology during \textit{M. tuberculosis} infection (Ong et al. 2015). In a very elegant work, Chakrabarti et al. showed that the disruption of \textit{Drosophila} flies gut homeostasis upon \textit{Pseudomonas entomophila} infection was caused by the impairment of barrier repair pathways. The mechanism of subversion of gut immune response was mediated by an activation of AMPK-Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) stress pathways, which are responsible for TOR inhibition and consequent repression of host translation mechanisms. Ultimately, this contributes to the entrance of infected cells in a quiescent state, more prone for tissue repair but that also allows \textit{P. entomophila} survival (Chakrabarti et al. 2012).

\section*{12.3.3 Modulation of Host AMPK as a Pharmacological Approach to Target Bacterial Infection}

Metformin treatment restricts the intracellular growth of multidrug-resistant \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} strains in THP-1 and human monocyte-derived macrophages through mitochondrial ROS induction. Moreover, metformin administration ameliorates lung pathology and reduces chronic inflammation while enhancing the efficacy of conventional anti-tuberculosis drugs (isoniazid and ethionamide) in mice models of acute and chronic tuberculosis. In this retrospective study, metformin treatment was associated with improved control of infection and decreased disease severity (Singhal et al. 2014). Moreover, administration of metformin suppresses the inflammatory effects of LPS via induction of activating transcription factor-3 (ATF-3) and AMPK activation. Thus, mice with LPS-induced endotoxemia treated with metformin displayed lower levels of IL-6 and TNF-\(\alpha\), thus decreasing inflammation in vivo (Kim et al. 2014). Furthermore, metformin-induced activation of AMPK inhibited the release of high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), a protein involved in severe sepsis. This inhibition originated the observed anti-inflammatory effect in LPS-treated RAW264.7 macrophages and in endotoxemic mice, characterized by decreased levels of IL-6, TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\), prostaglandin E\(\text{2}\) (PGE\(_2\)), and nitric oxide (NO) (Tsoyi et al. 2011). The activation of AMPK can also be obtained by treatment with AICAR. Similarly, in vivo treatment with this drug resulted in decreased lipoteichoic acid (LTA)-driven lung inflammation (Hoogendijk et al. 2013). Using cecal ligation and puncture (CPL) as a model of sepsis, it was shown that AICAR prevented CLP-induced liver and kidney damage. Additionally, AMPK inhibition in hepatic and renal cells with compound c exacerbated cytokine production and prevented autophagy, thus culminating in increased tissue injury (Escobar et al. 2015). The role of a new bioactive lignan, sauchinone, in AMPK modulation has been studied. The activation of LKB1-AMPK pathway by this anti-inflammatory molecule has been shown to decrease liver toxicity through prevention of iron accumulation (Kim et al. 2009). Recently, Jeong and colleagues
explored the role of sauchinone-induced AMPK activation in macrophage phagocytosis (Jeong et al. 2014). The authors demonstrated that sauchinone increased phosphorylation of AMPK and p38 MAPK, which correlated with increased *E. coli* phagocytic uptake by macrophages in mice lungs.

### 12.4 Interaction Between AMPK and Parasites

#### 12.4.1 Host–Parasite Nutrient Sensing

All the organisms have the ability to sense their surroundings in search for nutrients through a variety of strategies that have evolved to suit the particular needs of each living form. In particular, protozoan parasites need to adapt to more than one host to survive and multiply. Therefore, a dynamic interplay between the host and the parasite is necessary to efficiently coordinate the various parasite developmental stages. The best example would be the maintenance of limited parasite density inside the host or in a distinct host niche that will be responsible for initiating a specific response by ensuring space and nutrients to the entire parasite community (Mony and Matthews 2015; van Zandbergen et al. 2010). This is quite remarkable in African trypanosomes, *Trypanosoma brucei* spp., where a morphological and molecular alteration into a “slender” form without proliferative capacity is critical to restrain parasitemia and also to have an efficient transmission to the insect host (Gjini et al. 2010; Vassella et al. 1997; Vickerman 1985). Moreover, the confirmed presence of programmed cell death (PCD) in parasites such as *Tetrahymena thermophila*, *Leishmania* spp., *Trypanosoma cruzi*, *Plasmodium* spp., *Trypanosome brucei*, *Giardia lamblia*, *Dictyostelium discoideum*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Peridinium gatunense*, and *Blastocystis hominis* (Al-Olayan et al. 2002; Ameisen et al. 1995; Pollitt et al. 2011; Rousset and Roze 2007; Zangger et al. 2002) is another mechanism to control parasite density. As a result, the premature death of host or vectors is prevented and the right number of parasites are then able to dampen the host immune system and avoid the limitation of nutrients and resources (Ameisen et al. 1995; Reece et al. 2011). Thus, nutrient availability is a key factor for parasite fitness during infection.

These mechanisms will ultimately ensure a physical space and a nutrient-rich niche that support parasite survival and high growth rate, while maintaining the viability of its host reservoir. During the evolutionary process, unicellular organisms developed strategies to sense extracellular nutrients and intracellular metabolite concentrations since they are often exposed to relevant variations. Some nutrient sensing pathways, as chemoreceptors and PII proteins in bacteria, MEP2 sensor, SPS pathway, and Snf3/Rgt2 sensors in fungi and PII proteins in plants, are unique for these organisms. Such pathways respond to nitrogen, amino acids, ribose, galactose, glucose, and ammonium fluctuations (Chantranupong et al. 2015). Three important pathways, highly conserved from yeast to man, are
12.4.2 AMPK in the Context of Parasite Infection: Host–Parasite Nutrient Dynamics

The exquisite host–parasite interaction is the result of a coevolution network that has been established continuously since the very first ancient association. The parasite and host coevolution has been developed in parallel throughout time although parasites possess an advantage due to their shorter generation time and to the higher growth rate. To preserve its higher proliferative capacity, parasites have to acquire nutrients from the host, its nutritional requirement being one of the key features in the host–parasite interaction. Within host parasite this dynamic, a nutrient competition is established between the manipulative parasite trying to obtain usable energy and metabolites and the host attempting to sequester the same precursors from the pathogen. The heavy metabolic pressure established dictates the parasite auxotrophy to several nutrients, the host being the only viable source for acquisition. Interestingly, parasites are auxotrophic for several amino acids that are essential for the host. The nutritional pressure on extracellular and intracellular parasites is differently exerted. Extracellular parasites can salvage the nutrients directly from the extracellular fluids, while intracellular parasites need to import nutrients across two or three host membrane systems. Indeed, intracellular parasites need to gain access to nutrients present in the cytosol or inside the intra-vacuolar structures where the threshold levels of amino acids do not match with the high demands of these organisms for carbon, nitrogen, and energy to support its high proliferative rate (Abu Kwaik and Bumann 2013). However, despite their localization, parasites developed several strategies to acquire sufficient nutrients in response to their biological needs. *Plasmodium* spp. (intracellular), *T. brucei* (extracellular), and *Leishmania* spp. (intracellular) acquire nutrients from their hosts by employing different transport proteins, named permeases, located inside their plasma membrane. The nutrients imported from the host include hexoses, purines, iron, polyamines, carboxylates, and amino acids. The different niches occupied by these parasites in their hosts dictate ultimately the mode of nutrient acquisition (Landfear 2011). As an example, during *L. major* amastigotes persistence in the macrophage phagolysosomes, the parasite exploits the macrophage function on extracellular matrix turnover and remodeling in terms of internalization and degradation of glycosaminoglycans (hyaluronan). This mechanism constitutes another process to exploit additional nutrients such as amino sugars as a carbon source. The
dependency of *Leishmania* on a higher nutrient variety suggests a nutritional diversity inside phagolysosomes that could ultimately represent an advantage to colonize such harsh environment (Naderer et al. 2015). The response of the host against the diversion of nutrients by the parasite is through the restriction of parasite access to nutrient sources. Thus, the process of nutritional immunity limits the availability of iron, zinc, and manganese from the invading pathogens (Crawford and Wilson 2015). An iron-depleted niche is established during *L. major* infection through the transporter NRAMP1 located at the phagolysosomal compartment. Indeed, NRAMP1 mutation renders the host macrophages more susceptible to *Leishmania* infections (Appelberg 2006; Forbes and Gros 2001). The strategies developed by the host and parasite in terms of nutrients dynamic will impact the infection outcome.

12.4.3 AMPK on the Core of Host–Parasite Metabolic Coupling

The nutritional flow established within host–parasite communities will impact the host metabolic background. Several reports have become to identify the pathways involved in the metabolic manipulation of host metabolism required for intracellular pathogen growth. Recent studies with *Toxoplasma gondii* (MacRae et al. 2012), *Trypanosoma brucei* (Wang et al. 2008), *Leishmania* spp. (Moreira et al. 2015a; Rabhi et al. 2012), *Schistosoma mansoni* (Wang et al. 2008), and *Plasmodium berghei* (Li et al. 2008) have paved the way to the understanding on the molecular mechanisms used by parasites to take advantage of the nutritive host resources. At the core of these metabolic dynamic interactions, AMPK was identified as having a crucial role to balance the energetic status of the host with a positive or negative impact on parasite survival. Nevertheless, the role of AMPK during the metabolic host–parasite crosstalk still remains largely unexplored.

A microarray analysis performed on mice livers of experimental *P. berghei* infection revealed significant variations within genes related to carbohydrate and energetic metabolisms. This approach demonstrated an upregulation of gluconeogenesis pathways while glycolysis appears downregulated on liver extracts from mice infected with *P. berghei*. Interestingly, these modifications were accompanied by an increase in the energetic sensors, *Prkaa2* (*AMPKα*) and *Prkag2* (*AMPKγ*), transcripts (Sales-Dias 2011). Analyzing AMPK translational levels will be important to clarify the actual role during *P. berghei* liver stage infection. A potential role of AMPK during an experimental model of cerebral malaria (ECM) was recently suggested (Gordon et al. 2015). The mTOR inhibitor rapamycin protects against ECM when administered within the first 4 days of infection. Rapamycin increased survival, blocked the breakdown of the blood–brain barrier and brain hemorrhaging, and decreased the influx of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells into the brain and the
accumulation of parasitized red blood cells in the brain. The impact of mTOR-controlled metabolic pathways and the recent knowledge regarding the activation of metabolic pathways in T cells upon antigen recognition led the authors to suggest a possible impact of some metabolic pathways, such as AMPK, in the control of this disease.

An intense inflammatory reaction accompanies infection with Trypanosoma cruzi, the etiologic agent of Chagas disease. T. cruzi targets the adipose tissue leading to a release of inflammatory cytokines as well as a decrease in adiponectin and PPAR-γ contributing to the establishment of an inflammatory niche. Interestingly, given that adiponectin activates AMPK, it was suggested that a downregulation of the former could be a evasion mechanism to keep AMPK in check and favor parasite growth (Nagajyothi et al. 2008). A recent work confirmed a protective role for AMPK during T. cruzi infection (Caradonna et al. 2013). A genome-wide RNA interference screen identified that a sustained AKT-mTORC1 pathway regulate intracellular T. cruzi growth. The maintenance of cellular ATP/ADP ratios at higher levels provide a distinct advantage for the parasite-limiting AMPK activity. Further, the acute silencing of AMPK catalytic (Prkaa1) or the regulatory subunit (Prkab1) in vitro provides a more favorable growth environment for intracellular T. cruzi. Although it has not been confirmed in vivo, AMPK inhibition is suggested to contribute for T. cruzi survival.

Recently, we demonstrated that AMPK is, in contrast, crucial for the establishment of a microenvironment more prone for L. infantum survival in macrophages (Moreira et al. 2015a). Previous analysis on the transcriptomic signature of L. major-infected macrophages revealed that carbohydrate and lipid metabolism were among the most altered pathways during infection. Increased mRNA levels of glucose transporters as well as key glycolytic enzymes encoding genes, such as hexokinases (Hk), pyruvate kinase M2 (Pkm2), and lactate dehydrogenase a (Ldha), were induced in the presence of live but not heat-killed L. major promastigotes. L. major also induced a downregulation of a number of genes implicated in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and oxidative phosphorylation suggesting that infected macrophages mainly rely on increased glycolytic flow for energy production. On the other hand, L. major led to cholesterol and triglycerides accumulation on infected macrophages by enhancing the expression of scavenger receptors involved in the uptake of low-density lipoprotein (LDL), inhibiting cholesterol efflux and increasing the synthesis of triacylglycerides (Rabhi et al. 2012). The accumulation of lipid droplets in close proximity to parasitophorous vacuoles advocates the former structure as a potential high-energy substrate source for the intracellular parasite. We further observed that following L. infantum infection, macrophages switch from an early glycolytic to an oxidative metabolism, in a process requiring SIRT1 and LKB1/AMPK. In the absence of SIRT1 or LKB1, infected macrophages are not able to induce AMPK activation leading to an impairment of the metabolic switch. In that sense, AICAR-induced AMPK activation contributes to parasite survival while inhibition of AMPK using compound C resulted in lower parasite numbers in vitro. This phenotype was further
corroborated in vivo as shown by a significantly reduced parasite burden in mice with a myeloid-specific AMPK deficiency (Moreira et al. 2015a).

Gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes also affect profoundly host metabolism. The infection of CD11c-specific AMPKα−/− (DC-AMPK−/−) mice with the gastrointestinal roundworm *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* led to a dysregulation of Th2 immune response concomitantly with a failure to regenerate tissue damage mediated by the pathogen. Imbalanced responses generated in DC AMPK−/− mice were associated with increased Type-1 responses, greater numbers of Th17 cells, and defects in the generation of alternatively activated macrophages. Therefore, AMPK activity in myeloid cells was shown to regulate host protection against this GI parasite (Nieves et al. 2014). The manipulation of AMPK activities by distinct parasites are summarized in Fig. 12.3. Although still insufficient, these examples highlight the strategies used by the parasites to explore host resources shedding some light on cellular metabolic subversion mechanisms induced by microbe infections within the host. In this context, the modulation of AMPK activity has been put forward as a possible therapeutic target against parasitic diseases.

12.5 Conservation of the AMPK Machinery in Eukaryote Pathogens

12.5.1 SNF1/AMPK Pathways in Parasites

The AMPK family of protein kinases is highly conserved among eukaryotes. This protein has been extensively studied in mammals and yeast, where it was demonstrated to have a huge similarity from a structural and functional point of view. The conserved kinase family present in yeast and plants is denominated as sucrose non-fermenting 1 (SNF1) and SNF1-related protein kinase 1 (SnRK1), respectively (Hardie 2007; Polge and Thomas 2007). Genes encoding orthologues of the three domains (α, β, and γ subunits) are described in all eukaryotic parasite species and even in the primitive *Giardia lamblia*, which lacks mitochondria (Adam 2000; Hardie et al. 2003). The exception is the obligate intracellular parasite *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* that does not possess an identifiable AMPK orthologue (Miranda-Saavedra et al. 2007).

SNF1 and SnRK1 homologs develop similar functions in what concerns the surveillance of the metabolic status in response to nutrient and environmental stress through the induction of catabolic processes and a general repression of anabolism. Similarly to these organisms, some reports have defined the presence of AMPK/SNF1 protein kinases in eukaryote parasites. The first evidence of the presence of an SNF1 homologue in parasites was described in apicomplexa phylum for *Plasmodium falciparum* (Bracchi et al. 1996). In this work, an SNF1 homologous gene defined as *PfKIN* was found to be increased, at transcriptional level, in the gametocyte stage which is involved in the transmission and adaptation of the malaria
Host AMPK dictates parasite infection outcome. (a) In a context of malaria liver stage infection, *Plasmodium berghei* modulates the transcriptional program of the host hepatocytes. Within the host cells, *P. berghei* increases gluconeogenesis enzymes and *Prkaa2* (AMPKα), *Prkag2* (AMPKγ) transcripts, decreasing in parallel the transcription of *Pfk* glycolytic enzyme. At this stage, an increase of glucose levels is established generating a permissive niche for sporozoites survival, which are highly dependent on glucose. (b) During *Leishmania infantum* infection, the promastigote form induces a metabolic alteration toward a glycolytic environment with a decrease of energetic (ATP/AMP) and redox status of the host. The increased AMP levels triggers the activation of AMPK that will be phosphorylated by SIRT1-LKB1 pathway which, in this context, is considered an AMPK upstream activator. Afterwards, a metabolic rearrangement occurs through the increase of respiration and PGC-1α activation. At this point, the energetic and redox pools are recovered leading ultimately to the parasite survival. (c) A detrimental effect of AMPK was defined for *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* persistence within the host. The specific ablation of AMPK in dendritic cells deregulated the type 2 response establishing a type 1 through the augmentation of Th17 cells. Simultaneously, the ability to alternatively activate macrophages is dampened, concomitantly with the decrease capacity to regenerate tissue damage induced by the pathogen. (d) AMPK in a context of *T. cruzi* infection has also a deleterious effect for the pathogen survival and growth. Inside the host, trypomastigotes supports AKT-mTORC1 pathway activation maintaining in parallel, a high energetic level that consequently ablates AMPK activity. Ultimately, the established niche becomes permissive for the amastigotes survival and growth inside the host. *Pfk* phosphofructokinase 1, *ECAR* extracellular acidification rate, *OCR* oxygen consumption, *SIRT1* silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1, *LKB1* liver kinase B1, *AMPK-p* AMP-activated protein kinase α-phosphorylated, *PGC-1alpha* peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha and mTORC1, mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1.
parasite from the human bloodstream to the mosquito midgut. The deduced protein sequence of PfKIN contains all the characteristic sequence motifs of the eukaryotic protein kinases including the ones involved in ATP-binding, substrate recognition, and catalysis. The PfKIN catalytic domain shows 40% of homology with the SNF1 sequence from *S. cerevisiae*. It is important to underline that no significant amino acid sequence similarities to any other proteins were found outside the catalytic domain. This suggests that PfKIN can be modulated by other signals that differ from the ones found in other eukaryotes (Bracchi et al. 1996). A systematic functional analysis of protein kinases in *P. berghei* identified a SNF1/KIN protein. This orthologue was shown to be relevant for sporozoite development, particularly in the egression to the salivary gland of the mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*, acting as a regulator of energy metabolism (Tewari et al. 2010). The existence of a SNF1/AMPK orthologue was observed in *Cryptosporidium parvum* (Artz et al. 2011) that lacks Krebs cycle and oxidative phosphorylation. In *Eimeria acervulina*, a putative protein belonging to the SNF1 family was identified and correlated with invasion and evasion mechanisms in chicken duodenal epithelial cells (Zhang et al. 2015). Finally, still in the apicomplexa phylum, a potential AMPK homolog (*ToxPK1*) was observed in *Toxoplasma gondii* genome with 58% identity to human AMPK alpha. *ToxPKI* gene was shown to be transiently expressed to upregulate glycogen biosynthesis during the development of tachyzoites into bradyzoites (Ghosh et al. 2012; Ng et al. 1995, 1997).

A comparative analysis of the kinomes of representative members of pathogenic trypanosomatids, namely *Trypanosoma brucei*, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, and *Leishmania major*, have highlighted that AMPK homologues are relatively poorly represented within trypanosomatid genomes as compared to humans. Yet, these are predicted to be active (Parsons et al. 2005). In *T. brucei*, the fine-tuned control of transient alteration from the “slender” (proliferative) to “stumpy” (quiescent) forms is performed by intracellular signaling pathways triggered by the “stumpy induction factor” (SIF). The slender state is retained until SIF accumulation reaches threshold levels triggering the nutritional stress-like response, which leads to concomitant repression of the “slender retainers” and activation of “stumpy inducers.” This dynamic transition leads to cell cycle arrest and prepares the cell for its next life cycle stage, in the insect, by reactivating mitochondrial functions required for oxidative phosphorylation (Mony and Matthews 2015). A potential role for AMPK in this developmental transition can be speculated. The signaling components that drives stumpy formation evaluated in a genome-wide RNA interference library screen identified a AMPK/SNF1/KIN11 homologue. Interestingly, the authors suggest that AMPK homologue, which acts as a SIF, could be a potential inhibitor of trypanosomes TORC4 (Mony et al. 2014). TORC4 activity is proposed to prevent stumpy formation, since the knockdown of TOR4 drove the cells to develop features characteristic of stumpy forms (Barquilla et al. 2012). TOR4 is one of the *T. brucei* TOR paralogues that retain the classical structure of mTOR kinase domains, yet displaying new features not described in other TORs (Barquilla et al. 2008). *T. brucei* procyclic forms are able to monitor glucose levels, through the surface molecule expression of procyclins, which are crucial for the
parasite survive in the tsetse fly vector (Clemmens et al. 2009). Procyclins are glycoproteins that cover the surface of procyclic forms that are suspected to have a protective role against the action of insect proteases (Acosta-Serrano et al. 2001). Two major procyclin proteins EP and GPEET were found to be regulated by the beta and gamma subunits (\( \text{TbAMPK beta} \) and \( \text{TbAMPK gamma} \), respectively), since the silencing of these genes leads to their upregulation. Interestingly, the latter procyclin is highly expressed in glucose-deficient environment within tsetse fly. Moreover, the localization of the scaffold beta subunit (glycosomes and flagellum) suggests a central positioning between surface molecule expression and glycolysis providing thus a molecular connection between these two mechanisms (Clemmens et al. 2009).

It is important to stress out that the role of AMPK/SNF1 protein kinase family are quite similar for all the organisms (extracellular or intracellular), playing a central positioning in its most relevant pathways. The described AMPK/SNF1 homologues have been implicated in the parasite developmental stages that occur within the same host or in different hosts in order to recover from a nutrition/energetic depletion status. Parasites have to deal with different microenvironments during proliferation and differentiation recurring to sensing mechanisms as the AMPK/SNF1 protein kinases that will have definitely a huge impact on parasite fitness.

### 12.5.2 SNF1/AMPK Pathways in Fungi

Over the past years, the incidence of fungal infections has increased in several countries. Compared to bacteria, infections caused by fungi are less frequent; nevertheless, their treatment is still complicated for systemic infections particularly due to the close functional similarity between fungal cells and the host mammalian cells (Navarro-Garcia et al. 2001). Human pathogenic fungi encounter a broad range of stress conditions in their natural environment as well as in the host during infection that challenge their ability to grow. The fungi ability to adapt to a variety of conditions has contributed to the ubiquitous nature in the environment and to the success of them as pathogens. In fact, adaptation to nutrient fluctuations is crucial for survival. Human pathogenic fungi must adapt to oxidant, pH, or nutritional stress, otherwise they are eliminated by the host defense system. Fungi survival, both in the environment or within the human host, requires the activation of signal transduction pathways that sense environmental or host stress cues (Hohmann 2002). During host nutritional deprivation, fungi pathogens are able to use alternative carbon sources, such mechanism being considered a virulence trait crucial to adapt to stress conditions (Lorenz and Fink 2001). Therefore, it is not surprising that genes that control several metabolic pathways may have a central role in fungal virulence (Navarro-Garcia et al. 2001).
12.5.3 Regulation and Function of Fungi SNF1 Protein Kinase

Snf1 protein kinase was initially identified by a screening performed with the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Celenza and Carlson 1986). Snf1 was identified as the yeast homolog of the mammalian AMPK acting as an energy sensor. This kinase, in fungi, is also able to reprogram the cellular metabolism through the energetic balance which is essential to sustain cell metabolism and to support the development of a stress response (Sampaio-Marques et al. 2014). *S. cerevisiae* Snf1 is a serine/threonine protein kinase (α subunit) complexed with other proteins, namely γ activating subunit Snf4 and β subunits Sip1, Sip2, or Gal83 depending on Snf1 localization (Celenza and Carlson 1989; Celenza et al. 1989; Estruch et al. 1992; Vincent and Carlson 1999). AMPK/Snf1 activation in fungi could be dependent or not on AMP/ATP cellular fluctuations. In the former, the allosteric regulation by AMP is not crucial for Snf1 activation while in the latter Snf1 function is regulated by cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) (Ferretti et al. 2012).

A crucial activity on glucose balance has been also attributed to Snf1 kinase. This is of particular importance since glucose is one of the major carbon and energy source for the eukaryotic cells. Under carbon stress conditions, fungal cells required the activity of the Snf1 kinase in order to adapt to this harsh environment. Furthermore, Snf1 activity is requested for transcription of glucose repressed genes and increases with aging, even when glucose is abundant (Ashrafi et al. 2000; Hedbacker and Carlson 2008). Taken together, Snf1 allows cells to adapt to limitations on glucose availability using instead alternative carbon sources, such as sucrose, galactose and ethanol (Carlson 1999; Gancedo 1998). Additionally, Snf1 is highly important in many other cellular stress conditions helping to establish a response against sodium ion stress, heat shock, alkaline pH, and oxidative and genotoxic stress (Hedbacker and Carlson 2008). Other crucial biological impact of Snf1 has been described for glycogen, sterol, fatty acid biosynthesis, fatty acid-β oxidation, peroxisome biogenesis, and ultimately in the fungi sporulation developmental stage (Sanz 2003). The main functions of Snf1 kinase in pathogenic fungi are resumed in Fig. 12.4.

12.5.4 SNF1 Protein Kinase in Pathogenic Fungi

In *Candida* spp., namely *Candida glabrata*, the importance of Snf1 kinase for the regulation of nutrient sources availability was already demonstrated. Snf1 ablation resulted in the loss of its capacity to utilize trehalose, which together with glucose are the carbon sources preferentially used by this organism (Petter and Kwon-Chung 1996). In the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*, a pathogenic fungus that infects via respiratory tract, particularly immunocompromised individual
The SNF1 abrogation leads to the incapacity to use alternative carbon sources such as acetate, ethanol, and sucrose. Furthermore, an increase on sensitivity to anti-fungal drugs such as amphotericin B and to nitrosative stress was also detected, manifested particularly at the host temperature of 37 °C (Hu et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2010a). In other fungi species such as *Aspergillus* spp., the absence of SnfA1 (Snf1 homologue) causes a defect on glucose-depression mechanisms and impairs...
the pathogen growth under various carbon sources. Together, data from the
different pathogenic fungi suggest that Snf1 presents a conserved function in the
regulation of catabolic repression. In alignment with this conserved function that
allows the cells to adapt to adverse metabolic conditions, a role in fungus virulence
is also attributed to Snf1, which evidences a regulatory connection between carbon
source utilization and intracellular growth. In *Candida albicans*, an opportunistic
pathogen that is able to invade different tissues of immunocompromised individ-
uals causing disease, it was demonstrated that Snf1 is an essential protein.
*C. albicans* cells survival depends on the functionality of Snf1 kinase even in the
presence of glucose (Petter et al. 1997), which goes against what is observed in
*S. cerevisiae*, suggesting a central role for Snf1 in *C. albicans*. However, since
*SNF1* is an essential gene, its functions in *C. albicans* are still few disclosed. In
*S. cerevisiae*, it was unveiled that Snf1 is able to transcriptionally regulate flocullin
(*FLO11*), which encodes to a protein required for invasive growth and biofilm
formation. In addition, Snf1 inhibits the function of Nrg1 and Nrg2, two negative
regulators of *FLO11*, in response to glucose depletion. This data suggests that
pseudohyphal growth and invasive growth depend on Snf1 activity (Kuchin
et al. 2002). Since *SNF1* and *NRG* genes are sustained in *C. albicans*, it is
hypothesized that this regulatory mechanism is functionally conserved. Thus, in
this pathogenic fungus, Snf1 plays a role in the morphological transition from
yeast form to filamentous growth, a process that is vital for the pathogenicity of
*C. albicans* (Kuchin et al. 2002).

A role in *C. neoformans* virulence is also ascribed to Snf1. In this organism, the
link of Snf1 with virulence might be also in part associated with the production of
the pigment melanin, a cell wall-associated virulence factor, since *snf1* mutant
strains presented reduced melanin production at 37 °C (Hu et al. 2008). Melanin
production is regulated by the activity of the copper-containing polyphenolic
oxidase laccase, majority promoted by the *LAC1* gene. *LAC1* transcription is
enhanced by glucose starvation, yet by undisclosed mechanisms; nevertheless, it
is suggested that Snf1 participates in the *LAC1* derepression by glucose depletion
(Yang et al. 2010a).

Adhesion capacity is another important feature for fungus pathogenicity because
it is able to mediate the interaction between colonies as well as host–pathogen
interactions (Ramsook et al. 2010). Concerning this pathogenicity feature, it was
demonstrated, in vitro, that *SNF1* abrogation in *C. neoformans* impairs the cells
ability to adhere to agar in comparison with control strains (Yang et al. 2011).
Furthermore, the same study also demonstrated that *snf1* mutant cells presented a
phenotype compared with cell wall defects, since they are sensitive to SDS and
Congo red (Yang et al. 2011). Thus, Snf1 apparently has also a contribution
concerning the modulation of the cell wall integrity that functions as essential
barrier to protect cells from harmful effects caused by the extreme conditions
frequently face by fungal cells (Bermejo et al. 2008; Levin 2005; Waterman
et al. 2007). Together, the data suggests that the observed cell wall impairment
observed in the absence of Snf1 might cause changes of the cellular surface
components such as glycoproteins which could lead to the loss of adhesion
capacity; nevertheless, the adhesion regulation pathways in *C. neoformans* are yet undisclosed.

The kinase Snf1 functions are well characterized in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, a model organism in which it was demonstrated that this kinase has a central metabolic function. At the same regulation level, several studies in important human pathogenic fungi showed that Snf1 homologs presented a conserved function, evidencing that this protein can be a potential antifungal target. More interestingly, several observations point out Snf1 as a regulator of virulence factors as well as a virulence factor. Concerning this aspect, Snf1 is able to modulate crucial fungal pathogenic mechanisms, as above discussed; nevertheless and due to its crucial role in the cells viability, new approaches must be developed in an attempt to disclose the precise pathogenic mechanism regulated by Snf1. Together, all the pieces of information highlighted that Snf1 might represent a promising alternative target of interest to drug development.

### 12.6 Final Remarks

Host–pathogen interactions are highly dynamic processes where both compete to acquire a myriad of essential nutrients, crucial to survive inside an infection niche. Although it seems well established that pathogens manipulate host metabolism in its own advantage, the preferred nutrient sources and the triggered metabolic pathways are still to be completely understood. In this context, the manipulation of host AMPK activity seems to be a valuable approach for controlling pathogen burden and infection progression.

The positive or negative impact on host AMPK protein during an infection could reflect the existence of distinct biological and nutritional needs for the distinct pathogens. Overall, two main factors contribute for the different responses observed concerning host AMPK modulation during infection. First, in vivo, microbial pathogens can find distinct niche/cells with different nutritional/immunological status. Secondly, an heterogeneity in host–pathogen interactions (various pathogens subsets) could occur simultaneously within the same host tissue reflecting disparate outcomes (Bumann 2015). We can then speculate that pathogen survival will depend on the ability to sense and find the most permissive cells without discarding the overall contribution of the different pathogen subsets within the same tissue. This vast heterogeneity during in vivo infections set the nutrient sensors, as AMPK, in a more privileged core to impact on the disease outcome. Moreover, an additional diversity in terms of AMPK/SNF1 orthologues function was described within parasites and fungal organisms. The particularities of each parasite or fungus life cycle and the pressure exerted by the different hosts could guide the evolutionary process of these orthologues in distinct branches of the phylogenetic tree that could account ultimately for their variability inside these organisms. In that sense, the parasite and fungal AMPK/SNF1 members could participate in the intracellular sensing of the nutritional status of the host. Thus, a
rearrangement of their metabolic pathways may occur in order to acquire the sufficient amount of nutrients and energy from the host to survive. Nevertheless, the role for AMPK/SNF1 orthologues during the infectious process still remains uncomprehend.

The quite unexplored field of nutrient sensors open a new avenue to exploit AMPK as a possible drug target against microbial pathogens. The design of new drugs to activate or inhibit this kinase in the host during infection could be an interesting domain to explore. In fact, there are drugs currently used to treat metabolic diseases that could be considered an alternative approach to treat infectious diseases. A classic example is metformin, which is able to activate AMPK through mitochondria complex I inhibition that is currently the most common treatment for diabetes type 2. Although due to the large variation described in terms of niche/cells and the presence of various pathogen subsets during in vivo infections, several concerns are being raised. The described disparities may account for the unsuccessful treatment or resistance development found in conventional therapeutics. The possible addition/synergy of AMPK activators or inhibitors to the conventional treatment could represent a viable and important therapeutic alternative to overcome this infection issue. Despite the highly conservation among eukaryotes, AMPK orthologues described in parasites and fungi could be also explored as potential drug targets. To develop selective activators or inhibitors to these orthologues, the homology to mammals should be low, although relevant divergences in the catalytic domain or differences in the protein weight/conformation should be considered. As an example, we can speculate that PfKIN from *P. falciparum* could be considered a promising drug target due to the fact that its catalytic domain presents 40% of homology with the SNF1 sequence of *S. cerevisiae*, and no significant amino acid sequence similarities to any other proteins were found outside the catalytic domain. AMPK orthologues have been more studied in *Plasmodium*; however, further work will be needed to characterize and define this protein as a possible drug target as well as in other pathogens.

Overall, the nutritional status of the host and the infection outcome can influence each other occupying the microbial pathogens a central position in this dynamic balance. The hallmark of the host metabolic status and infection outcome is the gut microbial communities, defined as microbiota, which is currently considered a hot research topic. In a healthy state, they contribute nutrients and energy to the host, and a balance is maintained with the host’s metabolism and immune system. On the other side, intestinal dysbiosis can act as a source of inflammation and infection and possible contributions to diabetes mellitus and obesity (Flint et al. 2012). This striking regulation could be essential to improve or trace new antimicrobial strategies through the modulation of host metabolism.
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