Automated image analysis to improve bead ingestion toxicity test counts in the protozoan *Tetrahymena pyriformis*

N. Dias¹, A.L. Amaral¹,², E.C. Ferreira¹ and N. Lima¹

¹Centro de Engenharia Biológica, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, Braga, Portugal, and ²Departamento de Tecnologia Química, Escola Superior de Tecnologia e de Gestão, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, Bragança, Portugal

2003/30: received 14 January 2003, revised 24 March 2003 and accepted 1 June 2003

ABSTRACT


Aims: To improve bead ingestion counts in *Tetrahymena pyriformis* by automated image analysis as an alternative to direct-counts.

Methods and Results: Fluorescent latex beads were added to *T. pyriformis* cultures for ingestion tests. The number of beads ingested by 25 cells was counted directly by epifluorescence microscopy and compared with similar data from image analysis. ANOVA indicated that counts were not significantly different (*P* < 0.05). The image analysis particularly provided advantages in terms of speed.

Conclusions: The image analysis is superior to direct beads counting in *T. pyriformis* particularly in terms of speed of analysis.

Significance and Impact of the Study: The image analysis method is very rapid and will allow many more toxicological analyses to be undertaken with less operator error.
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INTRODUCTION

The protozoan *Tetrahymena* is used to assess the bioactivity of various chemicals because of its ability to ingest model substances and can be used as an alternative to laboratory animals for this purpose (Nilsson 1981; Wakatsuki *et al.* 1986; Stefanidou *et al.* 1990; Alevisopoulos *et al.* 1997; Stefanidou *et al.* 1999; Schiess *et al.* 2001). Nicolau *et al.* (1999) studied the influence of several toxicants on *Tetrahymena pyriformis* grazing activity using fluorescent labelled latex beads (FLLB), which are considered a valid material for these purposes. Recently, green fluorescent protein-expressing *Escherichia coli* coupled with fluorometry has been used to determine protozoan ingestion rates (Parry *et al.* 2001). Image analysis techniques provide qualitative and quantitative analysis of micro-organisms and provide significant advantages over microscopic visualization using the naked eye (e.g. speed, accuracy and objectivity) (Buño *et al.* 1998). The methodology has been used in the (a) determination of bacterial abundance, biovolume, morphology and growth (Blackburn *et al.* 1998); (b) detection of confluent microbial colonies for automated counting (Corkidi *et al.* 1998); (c) analysis of biofilms (Kuehn *et al.* 1998) and (d) microbial community characterization (Amaral *et al.* 1999). In the present work, image analysis was compared with direct FLLB counting to assess ingestion by *T. pyriformis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Micro-organism and culture conditions

*Tetrahymena pyriformis* (strain GL, ref. CCAP/1630/1F; Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa, UK) was grown axenically to a density of approximately 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹ in the exponential phase, at room temperature (ca 22°C), pH
7.0-7.5. Proteose peptone yeast extract medium (PPY), Difco proteose peptone 2% and Oxoid yeast extract 0-25%, was used for growth. The medium was filtered twice through a 0.45 μm membrane; Gelman, before autoclave sterilization, to avoid particle background upon microscopic observation.

Ingestion assay

The assay was performed in 2-ml Eppendorf test tubes, by adding the yellow-green FluoSpheres® fluorescent microspheres (0.5 μm diameter, Molecular Probes Europe BV) to the cells at a rate of 1.05 × 10⁶ bead per ml (Nicolau et al. 1999). The solution was vortexed for a minimum of 5 min to avoid bead clustering. After incubation in the dark for 20 min, samples were fixed for 1 h with neutral buffered formalin [10% (v/v) formalin in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.0, at a final concentration of 2-5% (v/v)]. Cells were washed and resuspended in fresh 0.1% (w/v) sodium azide in PBS and stored in the dark for subsequent analysis. Bead counting was performed with an epifluorescence Laborlux S (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) and a Matrox Meteor II frame grabber (Matrox, Dorval, Canada) using a 3CCD DXC-9100P colour camera (Sony, Tokyo, Japan) and a Matrox Meteor II frame grabber (Matrox, Dorval), with a 768 × 576 pixel size and 24 bits per image resolution (8 bits per each colour channel, red, green and blue) by the Matrox Intelllicam (Matrox) software package. Image processing and analysis was then performed in MATLAB 5.1 software package (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Graphical analysis of data from MATLAB was performed with EXCEL™ (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, DC, USA).

Image processing and analysis

With the purpose of determining the total area of beads present in each image, a program was created in MATLAB comprising the following steps:

i. **Image loading**: the images were loaded from a folder and acquired as colour TIFF format.

ii. **Channel selection**: the image was then decomposed in its three colour channels and the green channel image was subsequently used in the program.

iii. **Image smoothing**: the resulting image was smoothed by a 2 × 2 window Wiener filter which performs a two-dimensional adaptive noise-removal filtering using the Wiener method based on statistics estimated from the local neighbourhood of each pixel. This filter first estimates the local mean \( \mu \) and the local variance \( \sigma^2 \) (1),

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{n_1 n_2 \in \Omega} a(n_1, n_2) \tag{1}
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{n_1 n_2 \in \Omega} a^2(n_1, n_2) - \mu^2 \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the N × M local neighbourhood of each pixel in the image \( a \). The filter then creates a pixelwise Wiener filter \( b \) using these estimates (3):

\[
b(n_1, n_2) = \mu + \frac{\sigma^2 - \nu^2}{\sigma^2} \times [a(n_1, n_2) - \mu] \tag{3}
\]

where \( \nu^2 \) is the noise variance, i.e. the average of all the local estimated variances.

iv. **Segmentation**: the resulting image of the previous step was then segmented into a binary image with pixels value of 1 for the objects and 0 for the background. Hence, the pixels above a user chosen threshold (default value of 0.33) were segmented to 1 (beads) and the values below that threshold to 0 (background).

v. **Debris removal**: in this step, all the objects in the image with an area smaller than 10 pixels were considered as debris and, therefore, removed.

vi. **Parameters determination**: the morphological parameter beads area was then determined from the final binary image, as the projected surface of the beads, i.e. the total number of pixels belonging to all beads present in the image.

vii. **Result saving**: the final binary image was saved in a TIFF format and the beads area in an ASCII file.

Bead number determination

A previous calibration of 50 beads was performed in order to convert the pixel area of one bead given by image analysis, in the numerical determination of fluorescent beads. The mean area \( A \) of a single bead was calculated by:

\[
A = \pi r^2 \tag{4}
\]

where \( r \) is the radius of the bead.

For the 1000× magnification, the area of each pixel was 0.01918 μm² and the average bead area was found to be 0.19625 μm² corresponding to 10-232 pixels.
Statistical data analysis

ANOVA was used to test the statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) of differences between automatic and direct count data.

RESULTS

Prior to the bead number determination, a microsphere size calibration must be performed. The area of 50 isolated beads was determined and a mean area value of $9.958 \pm 2.030 \mu m^2$ was obtained. After image acquisition, the segmentation was achieved using a chosen threshold or the default value of 0.35.

At the sampling times 0-1, 1 and 24 h good correlations of 0.974, 0.971 and 0.983, respectively, were obtained for the two methods. The linear regressions between the automatic and direct-count are shown in Fig. 1. Some variation was observed in samples where the number of beads ingested by *T. pyriformis* was greater than 20. No significant differences ($P < 0.05$) were found between the numbers of beads counted by both methods at any time of incubation (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

Image analysis is used routinely in cellular biology studies. The present work demonstrates that the automated count method was as effective as the conventional method using a microscope with the naked eye. The computerized method allowed the acquisition of hundreds of images and subsequent analysis in 1 h compared with approximately three to four samples by conventional method. The choice of the threshold value was of crucial importance in order to resolve the beads from background and therefore great care is required with this step.

Some underestimation in the number of beads counted by the direct-count method occurred if more than 20 beads were ingested because of the intense fluorescence of the beads. This is not the case with the automated method. Plasier et al. (1999) refer to the intrinsic subjectiveness of the direct-count method. Accordingly, the counting of a large number of beads in this work may differ from person to person or even within different counts by the same operator. In conclusion, the high correlation values and the absence of significant differences between each method at all sampling times suggest the superiority of image analysis to quantify beads inside protozoa in subsequent toxicological studies.
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