Influence of casting condition on the anisotropy of the fracture properties of Steel Fibre Reinforced Self-Compacting Concrete (SFRSCC)
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ABSTRACT

Identification of the tensile constitutive behaviour of Fibre Reinforced Concrete (FRC) represents an important aspect of the design of structural elements using this material. Although an important step has been made with the introduction of guidance for the design with regular FRC in the recently published fib Model Code 2010, a better understanding of the behaviour of this material is still necessary, mainly for that with self-compacting properties. This work presents an experimental investigation employing Steel Fibre Reinforced Self-Compacting Concrete (SFRSCC) to cast thin structural elements. A new test method is proposed for assessing the post-cracking behaviour and the results obtained with the proposed test method are compared with the ones resulted from the standard three-point bending tests (3PBTs). Specimens extracted from a sandwich panel consisting of SFRSCC layers are also tested. The mechanical properties of SFRSCC are correlated to the fibre distribution by analysing the results obtained with the different tests. Finally, the stress-crack width constitutive law proposed by the fib Model Code 2010 is analysed in light of the experimental results.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The use of fibre reinforcement for concrete structures is a steadily increasing technology that is competitive in several applications. It is particularly attractive for statically indeterminate structures, since cracking control through fibre reinforcement mechanisms can provide high levels of stress redistribution, leading to load carrying capacity and deformability levels that are much higher than the cracking load and its corresponding deformation. In fact the energy dissipated on the concrete fracture propagation is the property that most benefits from including fibre reinforcement. This dissipated energy is, however, quite dependent on the fibre orientation and distribution [1–4].

Therefore, accurate design approaches require the knowledge of fibre dispersion and orientation that is expected to be found into the structural element. These two parameters are, however, governed by several factors like: mixing and placing technology of Fibre Reinforced Concrete (FRC), rheology of FRC, geometric and mechanical properties of the FRC constituents, mainly of fibres, and geometry of the structure [5–12]. These aspects are even more relevant in Steel Fibre Reinforced Self-Compacting Concrete (SFRSCC), due to the high flowability of this composite and the higher density of these relatively stiff fibres, leading to the tendency of fibres to align orthogonally to the concrete flux lines, as well as to sink into the SCC medium [13–18].

Several test methods have been proposed to assess the fracture mode I of the post-cracking behaviour of FRCS [19–23]. These methods are, in general, based on direct assessment (e.g. uniaxial tensile tests) or indirect approaches through bending, indirect tensile (Brazilian) and plate tests. These indirect approaches require further procedures to derive the constitutive law that, eventually, can simulate the fracture mode I propagation in FRC. Fracture mode I is characterized by the stress at crack initiation, \( \sigma_{cr} \), the fracture energy, \( G_f \), and the shape of the stress \( (\sigma) \) versus crack width \( (w) \) diagram. \( G_f \) corresponds to the energy dissipated on the formation of a unit area of crack surface, i.e., the area between the \( \sigma-w \) diagram and the abscissa axis representing the crack width, up to the ultimate crack width, \( w_{um} \), that corresponds to null tensile stress:

\[
G_f = \int_0^{w_{um}} \sigma(w) \cdot dw
\]

A direct tensile test under closed-loop displacement control is the most suitable method to determine \( G_f \) of a material [24]. This test generally uses notched specimens in order to create a weaker...
section, inducing the appearance of a single crack across the
notched section of the specimen and favoring a more stable test.
This increased stability is related to the fact that the control system
generally follows the signal recorded in displacement transducers
that are almost exclusively measuring the crack opening at the
notched section [24,25]. However, due to the fibre pullout
reinforcement mechanisms, mainly when using relatively long
fibres, \( w_o \) is generally not attained in FRCs, resulting in estimated
values of \( G_f \) that can be lower than the ones that actually character-
ize the material [25,26]. In an opposite way, a unique fracture sur-
face is almost impossible to be guaranteed in FRCs, mainly when
strain hardening cement composites are tested [27], therefore the
evaluated \( G_f \) can result in overestimations.

Apart these concerns on the evaluation of \( G_f \), the direct tensile
test is expensive and time consuming. Moreover, there is a
multiplicity of technical problems that make the uniaxial tensile
test difficult to be performed, namely the perfect alignment of the
specimen with the actuator in order to avoid undesired bend-
ing, and the difficulties of properly fixing the specimen to the
equipment [3,24,25,28–30].

Owing to the difficulties in performing direct tensile tests, stan-
dard test methods for assessing the post-cracking behaviour are
generally based on notched beam bending tests [20–22,31]. Three and four point bending tests (3PBT and 4PBT, respectively)
are commonly adopted. Among the different standards and pro-
posals, differences can also be found in the size of specimens and
in the presence or absence of a notched section. In spite of its sim-
plicity, this type of test is known to have limitations, such as the
necessity of performing inverse analysis procedures to obtain the
stress versus crack opening relationship (\( \sigma - w \)). Furthermore, in the
case of SFRSCC testing, the standardized geometries and cast-
ing procedures can conduct to a material that is not representative
of the SFRSCC employed in the actual structure. This is aggravated
in the cases where SFRSCC is used in thin structural elements (e.g.
shell structures) [13,32].

In an attempt to avoid the limitations of the aforementioned
tests, Ozyurt et al. [33] and Carmona and Aguado [34] proposed
the adoption of Splitting Tensile Test (STT), also known as
Brazilian test, to evaluate the post cracking behaviour of FRC.
This is a relatively simple test method in which the specimen
can be obtained through drilling (either from a prototype, or even
from the actual structure). The main limitation of this test is the
fact that it is an indirect test, with the overlapping of compressive
and tensile stresses at the fractured section of specimen.

Seeking the advantages of the splitting test, and trying to mini-
mize its limitations, some authors proposed similar alternatives,
like the Double Edge Wedge Splitting (DEWS) test and the
Modified Splitting Tensile Test (MSTT).

The DEWS test was originally conceived by Brühwiler and
Wittmann [35] for plain concrete, and was recently suggested by
di Prisco et al. [36] for the characterization of FRC. It consists of a
rectangular specimen with angular notches at the proximity of
the load application. This test aims to assure absence of
accompanying compression fields perpendicularly to the load
direction in the central region of the specimen by adopting angular
notches in its loaded regions. These notches also induce the forma-
tion of only one fracture plane in the central part of specimen.

In turn, the MSTT was introduced by a group of researchers
from the University of Minho [17]. It consists on the use of a cylin-
der specimen with vertical notches along the loading plane, obtain-
ing a weakened section in the middle of specimen.

The main differences between the DEWS and MSTT are the
geometry of the specimens (cylindrical for MSTT and prismatic
with square section for DEWS), and the process used to form the
fracture plane at the middle of specimen. An advantage of the
DEWS is the fact that in this test the crosswise compressive
stresses are deviated from the ligament by means of the angular
notches and a pure mode I fracture is likely to be induced along
the fractured section. Nevertheless, despite the actions taken to
induce only one fracture surface, the formation of multiple parallel
cracks has been reported by di Prisco et al. [36] for some tests
made adopting the DEWS.

The DEWS and MSTT have been used to evaluate the influence
of the FRC flowability on the fibre distribution and orientation,
and corresponding consequences on the fracture properties of this
composite [9,10,13,14,33,37–41]. Analytical and numerical
approaches have been also presented in this respect, respectively,
by Laranjeira et al. [42] and Cunha et al. [43]. Nonetheless, it is still
not clear for structural designers how these aspects should be
be taken into consideration while designing a structural element
made with SFRSCC.

In the present work experimental tests were carried out with
standard 3PBT specimens, with specimens extracted from proto-
types and from real scale sandwich panels formed by outer
SFRSCC layers and a polystyrene foam core (thermal insulation).
A new test method, which arose from adaptation of DEWS and
MSTT test methods was proposed. The tests were planned for
assessment of the influence of casting conditions on fibre dis-
tribution and orientation. Finally, the results obtained from the dif-
ferent tests are compared in order to discuss the capacity of the
different characterization tests in regard to modelling SFRSCC
behaviour of actual structures where such material is applied.

2. Research significance

This paper aims to contribute for a reliable assessment of the
stress-crack width relationship of SFRSCC that can be used for
the design of structures made by thin layers of this composite,
namely in the case of sandwich panels. The main contributions of
the paper are:

- propose a new test method that arose from combination of
MSTT and DEWS. The proposed method tries to overcome the
limitations of each test method, deviating the crosswise com-
pressive stresses from the fractured section while a unique frac-
ture plane is likely to be obtained.
- Evaluate the validity of a widely used 3PBT method for the
characterization of fracture properties of FRC of the SFRSCC
applied in the aforementioned structure types;
- perform a critical analysis on the stress-crack width con-
stitutive relationship proposed by the fib Model Code 2010
[44,45], by using the experimental results obtained with the dif-
ferent test setups.

3. Post-cracking characterization of steel fibre reinforced
cement (SFRC)

3.1. RILEM TC 162-TDF recommendations

For the characterization of the post-cracking behaviour of SFRC,
RILEM TC 162-TDF initially recommended the evaluation of the
equivalent flexural tensile strength parameters, one to be used
for the design at serviceability limit states, \( f_{0,2} \), and the other for
the design at ultimate limit states, \( f_{0,3} \), in regard to reference verti-
cal deflections (\( \delta \)) during the experiment [46,47]. Later, RILEM TC
162-TDF has proposed the replacement of \( f_{0,3} \) for the concept of
residual flexural tensile strength, \( f_0 \), which gives the stress for dis-
tinct deflections or crack mouth opening displacements, (CMOD)
[20]. Although this last concept has the advantage of being easier
to evaluate, it is more susceptible to the irregularities of the
force–deflection relationships registered in the tests.
The specimen geometry proposed by RILEM TC 162-TDF recommendations [20] is shown in Fig. 1. For specific information on the method for casting the specimen, the curing procedures, the position and dimensions of the notch sawn into the specimen, the loading and specimen support conditions, the characteristics for both the equipment and measuring devices and the test procedures, the reader is forwarded to the RILEM TC 162-TDF recommendations. Such specific information has been omitted here for the sake of brevity.

A typical force (F) deflection (δ) relationship, F–δ, for this 3PBT testing procedure is shown in Fig. 2. If a displacement transducer is mounted at the notch mouth, the F–CMOD relationship can also be recorded. Using these relationships, RILEM TC 162-TDF proposed the evaluation of the load at the limit of proportionality (F1), the equivalent (feq,2 and feq,3) and the residual (fR,1 and fR,4) flexural tensile strength parameters [46,48]. F1 is the highest value of the load recorded up to a deflection (or CMOD) of 0.05 mm. The parameters feq,2 and feq,3 are related to the material energy absorption capacity up to a deflection of δ2 and δ3 (δ2 = δ1 + 0.65 mm and δ3 = δ1 + 2.65 mm, where δ1 is the deflection corresponding to F1) provided by fibre reinforcement mechanisms (Dfz,2 and Dfz,3), as seen in Fig. 2. Dfz,2 and Dfz,3 are computed following the procedures described elsewhere [46]. The parameters fR,1 and fR,4 are the stresses for the forces F1,1 and F1,4, respectively, at deflection of δR,1 = 0.46 mm and δR,4 = 3.0 mm. According to RILEM TC 162-TDF, the limit of proportionality (fR,1), the equivalent [46] and the residual [20] flexural tensile strength parameters are obtained from the following equations:

\[
f_{R,1} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{F_{1}}{b h_{/p}} \quad (N/mm^{2})
\]

\[
f_{R,2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{F_{R,2}L}{b h_{/p}} \quad ; \quad f_{R,3} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{F_{R,3}L}{b h_{/p}} \quad (N/mm^{2})
\]

\[
f_{eq,2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{D_{fz,2}L}{0.50 b h_{/p}} \quad ; \quad f_{eq,3} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{D_{fz,3}L}{2.50 b h_{/p}} \quad (N/mm^{2})
\]

where b (=150 mm) and L (=500 mm) are the width and the span of the specimen, and h/p (=125 mm) is the distance between the tip of the notch and the top of the cross section.

3.2. fib Model Code 2010 recommendations

While the RILEM approach makes use of equivalent flexural tensile strength parameters (feq,2 and feq,3), the fib Model Code 2010 is based solely on the residual parameters (fR,j). Furthermore, in the fib Model Code 2010 the deformations are expressed in terms of CMOD, not being necessary to measure deflections, as recommended by the RILEM TC 162-TDF [46].

A typical Force–CMOD relationship obtained from a three-point beam bending test following the fib recommendations is represented in Fig. 3. The geometry and the production of the specimen are the same ones recommended by RILEM TC 162 TDF, as well as the loading and support conditions [46].

Based on the force values for the CMOD, (j = 1–4, see Fig. 3), the corresponding force values, Fz,j, are obtained, and the derived residual flexural tensile strength parameters are determined from the following equation:

\[
f_{R,j} = \frac{3F_{z,j}L}{2bh_{/p}}
\]

where fR,j (N/mm²) and Fz,j (N) are, respectively, the residual flexural tensile strength and the load corresponding to CMOD = CMODj (mm).

4. Experimental study

4.1. SFRSCC: mixtures and basic characterization

The constituent materials used in the composition of the studied concretes are: Cement CEM 1 42.5R (C), water (W), superplasticizer of third generation (S) based on polycarboxylates (SIKA 3005 HE), limestone filler (F), fine river sand (SFS), coarse river sand (CRS), limestone coarse aggregate (LCA) and hooked end steel fibres. The steel fibres are characterized by a length (Lf) equal to 35 mm, a diameter (df) equal to 0.55 mm, and an aspect ratio (l/f = Lf/df) of 65. According to the data given by the supplier, the yield stress of the steel fibres is 1244–1446 MPa.

The mix composition has followed the procedures described elsewhere [49] in order to take into account the influence of the fibre content and properties on the skeleton organization of the aggregates, and the paste percentage. The content of steel fibres (SFB) in all SFRSCC used in this research is kept constant and equal to 60 kg/m³. The present research is carried out considering data from tests performed with specimens obtained from different castings, but made with similar SFRSCC. They consist of the same constituent materials and with slightly different water contents, as shown in Table 1. The differences in the water content among the different castings were caused by adjustments to compensate the different moisture condition of the aggregates. In Table 1, W represents the total water content of the concrete used in the respective casting.

For all the castings, the flow spread of SFRSCC (Df) in the fresh state was registered by using the inverted Abrams cone and following the recommendations of EFNARC [50]. The obtained results are shown in Table 2. An average value of 672.5 mm was obtained. The authors believe that the relatively reduced spread obtained in

![Fig. 1. Three-point bending test setup [46] (units in millimetres).](image)
casting 10 was caused by the higher period of time between its mixing phase and the flow test.

The compressive strength \((f_{cm})\) and the elastic modulus \((E_{cm})\) of the SFRSCC were determined using cylinders of 150 mm diameter and 300 mm height. The tests of specimens from different castings were carried out at the ages indicated in Table 2. The number of specimens adopted are also indicated in Table 2. All the specimens were cured under laboratory temperature and humidity conditions (mean average ambient temperature at the curing period equal to 15 °C). The compressive tests were carried out under displacement control, in order to obtain the complete load–displacement curve, and therefore evaluate the strain upon reaching the compressive strength \((e_{c1})\) and the energy dissipated in compression \((G_{c})\). Details about how these parameters are computed can be found elsewhere [3]. The elasticity modulus is determined following the procedures described by the Portuguese standard LNEC E397 [51] that are similar to the procedures of other standards, such as RILEM TC 14-CPC [52] and ASTM C469 [53]. The obtained values for the compressive strength and elasticity modulus are given in Table 2. It is observed that the coefficients of variation of the results of compressive tests were high, and the differences registered amongst the groups are also significant, mainly between the casting group 8–10 and the remaining castings. Nevertheless, the authors believe that this is a minor issue for the main objectives of the present research, since in spite of the recognized favourable effect of the compressive strength on a better mobilization of the reinforcement mechanisms, the available research in this respect suggests that the obtained differences do not have significant impact in this context, as long as the fibre failure mode is not changed, such was the case [54].

### 4.2. Three-point bending test (3PBT)

A total of 40 beams of size \(150 \times 150 \times 600\text{ mm}^3\) were cast according to the recommendations of EN 14651 standard [21] by using SFRSCC from the castings presented in Table 1. The beams were notched at midspan by using a saw-cut equipment. The depth of the notch was about 25 mm along the width of the specimen’s cross section. The final dimensions of all the specimens were measured and were taken into consideration on the evaluation of the results.

Three-point bending tests (3PBTS) on notched specimens were carried out in accordance with the recommendations of RILEM Technical Committee TC 162-TDF [20] – see schematic representation and photo in Fig. 4. The tests were performed under displacement control in a servo-hydraulic testing machine by using a linear transducer (LVDT). Until a deflection of 1 mm, the test was performed at a constant rate of 0.2 mm/min. When this deflection was reached, the deflection rate was increased to 0.4 mm/min. Two additional LVDTs were used to measure the Crack Mouth Open Displacement (CMOD) placed at the locations shown in Fig. 4a. Following the recommendations of RILEM TC 162-TDF in terms of the loading and casting directions, the deflection and the CMOD were measured as illustrated in Fig. 4. The CMOD\(_T\) and CMOD\(_B\) represent, respectively, the CMOD registered in the top and bottom surfaces considered in the casting process of the specimen.

From the 3PBT, the following results were computed: limit of proportionality \((f_{R1})\); equivalent flexural tensile strength \((f_{eq,2}\) and \(f_{eq,3}\)) and residual flexural tensile strengths. These results were obtained corresponding to the CMOD equal to 0.5, 1.5, 2.5 and 3.5 mm \((f_{R1}, f_{R2}, f_{R3}\) and \(f_{R4}\), respectively), as recommended by the fib Model Code. All the 3PBT were performed up to a midspan deflection equal to 4.0 mm, which was verified to be almost coincident to a crack width of 4.0 mm, what was already expected based on the relationships between deflection and CMOD reported in the literature [46]. The 3PBT were always conducted 28 days after the casting of specimens.
4.3. Splitting test

For the splitting tests, only the SFRSCC from casting 16 was considered (see Table 2). The specimens used in this study were obtained by drilling from a flat plate (laboratory prototype) and from a full-scale sandwich wall panel developed by the authors in the context of the LEGOUSE applied research project [55,56]. The tests carried out in specimens extracted from these elements will therefore allow to take conclusions about the flow induced orientation and dispersion of fibres on the post-cracking behaviour of this material.

The flat plate has the dimensions of $1.5 \times 1.0 \times 0.06$ m$^3$ and was casted with the SFRSCC feeding permanently at its central point (see Fig. 5a), letting the SFRSCC to flow radially until complete filling of the steel formwork. Abrishambaf et al. [17] have shown that for a plate with dimensions $1.5 \times 1.0 \times 0.06$ m$^3$, a uniform flow profile that diffuses outwards radially from the centre of the panel is achieved. This casting process was chosen since the high flowability of the developed SFRSCC suggests high probably of being used in several real applications. The sandwich wall panel (shown in Fig. 5) was poured in accordance with the procedures commonly adopted in the precast plant of the industrial partner, with the SFRSCC feeder moving along all the surface of the panel. The SFRSCC layer thickness of the sandwich panel from which the specimens were extracted was equal to the thickness of the flat plate, that is, 60 mm. In both cases, no specimens were extracted from the vicinity of the edges of the formwork, as shown in Fig. 5, in order to reduce the interference of the wall effect from the lateral formwork on the results. The drilling operation was performed when the panels were already in their hardened-mature phase. In the flat plate the specimens were extracted from a distance of 300 mm and 600 mm from its centre (see Fig. 5a). In the sandwich panel, the specimens were extracted from the bottom layer of the panel, that was cast over the same steel surface used for casting the flat plate, thus keeping similar flowing conditions. As shown in Fig. 5b, the specimens of the sandwich panel were obtained from the lateral regions of its opening, in the region between the embedded Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) connectors. These GFRP plate connectors were positioned perpendicularly to the surface of the SFRSCC layers and were embedded on these layers, as is described in Lameiras et al. [55]. Due to its flat nature and the relative proximity of these connectors, it is believed that, independently of the casting procedure, the SFRSCC had the tendency of flowing along the corridors formed by the connectors ($y$-direction in Fig. 5b). In the $1.5 \times 1.0$ m$^2$ flat plate, the extracted specimens were submitted to a loading direction coincident with the notched plane executed in the specimen (Figs. 5 and 6). Since notched planes parallel and orthogonal to the flux lines of the SFRSCC were cut, the test results can provide information about the influence of the casting technology on the post-cracking behaviour of this material. With the same aim, the notched planes on the specimens extracted from the sandwich panel were cut in the $x$-direction and $y$-direction, since as already indicated, these are the critical directions in terms of fibre orientation and distribution. Before the drilling process, the positions and the notched planes of the specimens were marked, as shown in Fig. 5c and d.

All the specimens had a diameter of 150 mm. In terms of thickness, the specimens obtained from the $1.5 \times 1.0$ m$^2$ flat plate had a thickness that varied from 60.9 to 69.9 mm, while in the sandwich wall panel, the thickness ranged from 50.2 to 63.1 mm. This variation was probably a consequence of a non-perfectly flatness of the steel base of the formwork, and a certain lifting of polystyrene
foam during the casting process. Nine specimens were sawn out from the 1.5 × 1.0 m² flat plate, six at a distance of 300 mm and three of 600 mm from the centre of the plate. Among the specimens distanced 300 mm from the centre, four were used for tests with the load being applied parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines (P01, P04, P07 and P08), while the other two specimens were tested with the load being applied perpendicularly to the flux lines (P02 and P03). In the specimens obtained from a distance of 600 mm from

![Fig. 5](image_url_1). Schematic representation of the specimens sawn out from: (a) flat plate; (b) sandwich panel. Overall view of the drilling process for: (c) flat plate; (d) sandwich panel (units in millimetres).

![Fig. 6](image_url_2). Sequencing of implementation of the notches made on the specimens. Nominal dimensions inside the parentheses (units in millimetres; \( t_f \): total height; \( t_r \): notched height).
the centre, only one specimen was tested with the load being applied parallel to the flow (P09), while the other two specimens were tested with the load applied perpendicular to the flux lines (P15 and P16).

As already indicated, one of the objectives of the present research was to improve the test setup proposed by Abrishambaf et al. [17] in order to determine, as directly as possible, the stress-crack width relationship that can be representative of the material behaviour in real structures. As reported in the cited work, the specimens used for the splitting tests are cylindrical, with a 150 mm diameter and have 5 mm deep notches parallel to the loading direction, in order to localize the specimen's fracture surface along the notched plane (see notch 2 in Fig. 6). Nonetheless, in the present research two additional notches were executed following the procedures adopted by di Prisco et al. [36]. In fact, in an attempt of inducing a stress field corresponding to an almost pure fracture mode I in the notched plane, a V-shaped groove with 45° inclination has been cut at the extremities of the notched plane at the +45° and −45° directions, as illustrated in notch 1 of Fig. 6. The load is then applied by using steel rollers of 20 mm diameter that are accommodated into these grooves and directly pushed by the machine device, as shown in Fig. 7. This configuration aims to deviate the compressive stresses from the notched plane, creating, as much as possible, a uniaxial tensile stress field in the notched plane, orthogonal to this plane, as was done by di Prisco et al. [36]. Furthermore, following what was implemented by di Prisco et al. [36], two more 5 mm deep straight notches at the direction 90° (see notch 3 in Fig. 6), originating from the vertices of the V grooves are executed to force the crack opening at the reduced section and to move the crack tip away from the load application zones, where high stress concentrations generally rise.

The test was conducted under displacement control of the piston of the load machine by using the following displacement rates: 1.0 μm/s up to the displacement of 2.0 mm; 2 μm/s from 2.0 mm up to 3.0 mm; 4 μm/s until the end of the test. For an accurate detection and tracking of the crack propagation, five LVDTs of 5 mm stroke were used to measure the crack opening displacement: three at the side of the specimen corresponding to the upper side during casting, and the two remaining at the other side of specimen (corresponding to the side in contact with the metallic formwork). The exact position of each LVDT is schematically represented in the Fig. 7d. The adopted disposition of the LVDTs allows the evaluation of the in-plane and out-of-plane rotation of the specimen in consequence of the fibre orientation and distribution in the notched plane. The load is registered by means of a 150 kN load cell. All the splitting tests were carried out 56 days after casting.

4.4. Assessment of the number of effective fibres

After testing, each of the two faces of the fracture surface of a splitting tensile specimen was divided in four equal regions in order to evaluate the fibre distribution (see Fig. 8a –c). A similar procedure was adopted for the 3PBT specimens. However, for the 3PBT specimens, each of the two faces of the fracture surface was divided in nine regions as shown in Fig. 8d. The fibre distribution was evaluated by counting the number of effective fibres crossing the fractured surfaces (Fig. 8d). A fibre was considered effective when it was broken or when its visible length was, at least, two times larger than the length of the hooked part of fibre. Attention should be paid to the fact that this procedure for determining the number of effective fibres allows to draw conclusions about their orientation and distribution in the structural element.

![Fig. 7. Experimental set-up. (a) General view; (b) detail of the upper side during casting; (c) bottom side during casting and (d) positions of LVDTs (units in millimetres).]
5. Results and discussion

5.1. Three-point bending tests (3PBTs)

For 27 out of the 40 specimens tested in 3PBT only one visible crack was formed in the notch plane. Nonetheless, due to the relatively high content of fibres, failures with multiple cracks concentrated in the midspan region of specimens were also observed (13/40 of specimens). For 11/40 of specimens the desirable fracture plane was not attained at all. Instead of that, an irregular surface fracture was observed because the crack tip deviated from the notched section. However, for computing the equivalent and residual strengths all the tests were taken into account. The typical failure modes are depicted in Fig. 9a–c.

The average and envelope deflection versus CMOD relationship obtained for all the performed tests are presented in Fig. 10a. A relatively low dispersion of the results was obtained for this relationship. It was also verified that the average observed relationship fits very well the corresponding equation proposed by RILEM TC 162-TDF [20], which gives support on the reliability of this equation.

The average and envelope load versus CMOD curves of these tests are depicted in Fig. 10b and a detailed view of the initial part of the experimental response is depicted in Fig. 10c. The curves corresponding to the upper bound (U.B.) and lower bound (L.B.) with a confidence level equal to 95% are also presented. Table 3 shows a summary of the strength parameters, presenting the average (Avg.), coefficient of variation (CoV) and the lower bound value (characteristic value) obtained for a confidence level of 95% (L.B. 95).

The results are presented by separating the values obtained from different castings. From Table 3 it is verified that \( f_{\text{eff},L} \) is similar for all the SFRSCC castings. This parameter is less affected by the fibre reinforcement and is mainly dependent on the matrix properties. Fig. 10b shows that the dispersion of results among specimens was significantly increased after crack initiation, since this testing stage is mainly governed by the fibre reinforcement mechanisms, whose effectiveness is dependent on the fibre orientation and distribution. This dispersion is also denoted in the CoV of the strength parameters that characterize the post-cracking behaviour of these composites, as noticeable in the values indicated in Table 3.

The results from the fibre counting, represented in Fig. 11, show that some fibre segregation has occurred. Due to higher density of steel, the fibres have tended to settle to the bottom part of the specimens. The observed relationships between the strength parameters \( (f_{\text{eff},1}; f_{\text{R},1}; f_{\text{R},2}; f_{\text{eq},2} \text{ and } f_{\text{eq},3}) \) and the average number of effective fibres per cm\(^2\) at the fracture surface of 3PBT’s specimens are depicted in Fig. 12a–g.

Regarding the limit of proportionality \( (f_{\text{eff},L}) \), as expected, no significant relation is observed with the number of effective fibres at the specimen’s fracture surface. However, for the \( f_{\text{R}} \) and \( f_{\text{eq}} \) parameters, a clear tendency to increase, in an almost linear trend, with the number of effective fibres is visible. This behaviour was expected, since the stress transfer during the crack propagation is intimately related to the number of mobilized fibres. It is also possible to notice a tendency for decrease of the \( R^2 \) of the linear fit with the increase of the crack width at which the \( f_{\text{R}} \) and \( f_{\text{eq}} \) are evaluated, especially in the \( f_{\text{R}} \), since as larger is the crack width as smaller is the effectiveness of the fibre reinforcement mechanisms of the adopted fibres. The \( f_{\text{eq}} \) parameters are not so sensitive to this aspect, because \( f_{\text{eq}} \) is obtained by considering the energy dissipated up to the crack with it corresponds, while \( f_{\text{R}} \) parameters are determined by taking the load at the crack with it corresponds.

5.2. Splitting tests

Figs. 13 and 14 show the splitting tensile stress \( (\sigma_{\text{split}}) \) versus crack width curves, where \( \sigma_{\text{split}} \) is determined from the following equation:

\[
\sigma_{\text{split}} = \frac{2 \cdot P}{\pi \cdot t_c \cdot h}
\]
Fig. 9. Typical failure modes found in the 3PBT. (a) Only one visible crack formed in the notch plane, (b) multiple cracks concentrated in the midspan region and (c) deviation of the main crack tip.

Fig. 10. Results from 3PBT. (a) Deflection versus CMOD relationship, (b) load versus CMOD curves and (c) detailed view of the load versus CMOD curves up to a CMOD equal to 0.5 mm.
where $P$ is the compressive load applied in the specimen, $t_r$ is the height of the SFRSCC cylinder and $h$ is the diameter of the remaining SFRSCC cylinder after the notches are executed in the specimen, as shown in Fig. 6. The nominal values for $t_r$ and $h$ are equal to 50 and 120 mm, respectively.

The crack width of the abscissa axes of Figs. 13 and 14 corresponds to the average of the values measured in the five LVDTs installed in the splitting tensile specimen (Fig. 7). The average, envelope and Lower Bound (L.B.) and Upper Bound (U.B.) characteristics curves corresponding to a confidence level (k) equal to 95% are also presented in Figs. 13 and 14. The questionability of the experimental responses obtained in the specimens with the loading direction parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines (Fig. 13b) and in the specimens obtained from the sandwich panels with the loading direction parallel to the x-direction (Fig. 14a).

In the specimens tested with loading direction parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines (Figs. 13a and 14b), it is noticeable that upon crack initiation there is a small load decay followed by slight strain-hardening branch, whereas in the specimens with loading direction perpendicular to the SFRSCC flux lines, the fibres have the tendency to orientate orthogonally to the SFRSCC flux lines. The same tendency was observed in the specimens obtained from the sandwich panel (Fig. 14b). As already indicated, due to the procedures adopted for casting and considering the geometry of panel, the SFRSCC has preferentially flowed along the y-direction in the left and right sides of the opening. This fact explains the similarities between the experimental responses in Fig. 13a and b, corresponding to specimens loaded in a direction parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines. Similar arguments explain the similarity of the experimental responses obtained in the specimens with the loading direction perpendicular to the SFRSCC flux lines (Fig. 13b) and in the specimens cored from the sandwich panels with the loading direction parallel to the x-direction (Fig. 14a).

The relevant conclusions taken from the stress versus crack width curves. Despite the high dispersion of the results observed in specimens collected from the $1.5 \times 1.0$ m$^2$ panel (see Fig. 13), it is quite evident that the stress at crack initiation, and mainly the post-cracking tensile strength, were higher in the specimens loaded in the direction of the SFRSCC flux lines than in the orthogonal direction, since, as already demonstrated by Abrishambaf et al. [17], the fibres have the tendency to orientate orthogonally to the SFRSCC flux lines.

Table 3
Average and characteristic post-cracking parameters for different castings of SFRSCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Casting (number of specimens)</th>
<th>$f_{0.1t}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$f_{0.1t}(0.7 \times f_{0.1t})$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Equivalent flexural tensile strength</th>
<th>Residual flexural tensile strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$f_{0x1}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>$f_{0x2}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>$f_{0x3}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>$f_{0x4}$ (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (5) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>0.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (3) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>12.20%</td>
<td>13.20%</td>
<td>26.80%</td>
<td>26.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (7) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>8.50%</td>
<td>18.90%</td>
<td>19.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (5) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
<td>29.00%</td>
<td>28.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 (5) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
<td>7.40%</td>
<td>8.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (5) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>7.90%</td>
<td>7.90%</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>7.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (5) L.B.$\triangle$</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>10.90%</td>
<td>7.00%</td>
<td>15.60%</td>
<td>13.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Fibre distribution at the cross section of the 3PBT’s specimens.
Fig. 12. Relationships between the average number of effective fibres per square centimetre and the post-cracking parameters: (a) $f_{c,t,1}$; (b) $f_{c,t,2}$; (c) $f_{c,t,3}$; (d) $f_{c,t,4}$; (e) $f_{c,t,5}$; (f) $f_{c,t,6}$ and (g) $f_{c,t,7}$. 

$r^2$ values: (a) 0.5976; (b) 0.7669; (c) 0.7626; (d) 0.6747; (e) 0.7777; (f) 0.7689.
specimens obtained from the 1.5 \times 1.0 \text{m}^2 plate and from the sandwich wall panels, respectively. The values presented in the Tables 8 and 9 highlight the correlation between the post-cracking response of specimens and the effective fibre counting at the fractured specimens. Moreover, when the data in Table 8 corresponding to the 1.5 \times 1.0 \text{m}^2 plate are evaluated separately by load direction, it is verified that the average number of effective fibres in the specimens loaded parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines is twice the average number of fibres counted in the specimens with the load applied perpendicularly to the SFRSCC flux lines. For the sandwich wall panel (see Table 9), the ratio of the average number of fibres counted in the specimens with load applied parallel to the x-direction versus y-direction is 1.4, which is not as high as the value observed in the other panel due to the distinct flux conditions in the two types of panels. Furthermore, when the data contained in Table 8 is separated according to the distance of the specimens from the centre of the panel, the tendency of having higher number of effective fibres in the proximity of point from where the mould was fed by SFRSCC can be confirmed. The average number of effective fibres in the specimens collected nearer the centre of the plate was 13% higher for the specimens with the load applied parallel to the concrete flow. This percentage was 64% for the specimens with the load applied perpendicular to the concrete flow. Nonetheless, although the results obtained with the splitting tests seem consistent with this information, quantitative conclusions cannot be issued due to the relatively small number of tested specimens.

The results obtained in the specimens drilled from 1.5 \times 1.0 \text{m}^2 plate and from the sandwich panel are in accordance to the data presented by Abrishambaf et al. [17] and di Prisco et al. [36], since higher post-cracking parameters were obtained in the specimens with the fracture plane parallel to the SFRC flow direction. In these mentioned works, the difference of residual strengths was ascribed to a preferential fibre alignment influenced by the SFRC’s flow, in accordance to the observations of the current research work.

The results obtained by the splitting tests indicate that the properties are too affected by the fibre orientation caused by the concrete flow conditions and geometric characteristics of the casted structural element. Thus, the aforementioned observations reinforce the remark of di Prisco et al. [32] that, for the characterization of the FRC used in structural elements, there is a need to use specimens that can reproduce the real fibre reinforcement mechanisms of the actual structural element. This is particularly important in the case of elements with reduced thickness (slender slab-like type elements), where the “wall effect” gives to the FRC a pronounced orthotropic and even anisotropic behaviour that should be properly captured for a correct design. Furthermore, the results obtained in this work reiterate that, for the FRC with self-compacting properties, it is also necessary to take into account its flow characteristics and the casting procedure. The authors believe that the method employed in this work, which consists in casting a plate with the same thickness of the structural element, and applying a casting methodology similar to the one adopted

![Fig. 13. Splitting tensile stress versus crack width relationship for the specimens obtained from the 1.5 \times 1.0 \text{m}^2 plate, and with loading direction (a) parallel, and (b) perpendicular, to the SFRSCC flux lines.](image1)

![Fig. 14. Splitting tensile stress versus crack width relationship for the specimens obtained from the sandwich wall panel, and with the loading direction in (a) x-, and (b) y-direction.](image2)
in the corresponding real application, is a promising procedure to determine the values of the fracture parameters of SFRSCC to be assumed in the design of the actual structural element. In this context, the residual strength could be defined by considering the results of tests performed with specimens extracted from different distances from the feeding point, perpendicularly and parallel to the concrete flow, as was done in this work. By knowing the fibre orientation and distribution, different constitutive laws for the fracture process can be attributed to each representative volume by considering the crack orientation towards the governing fibre orientation, under the framework of the FEM-based material non-linear approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{max}}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{0.3}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{0.5}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{1.0}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{1.5}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{10}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$G_{0.3}$ (N/mm)</th>
<th>$G_{0.5}$ (N/mm)</th>
<th>$G_{1.0}$ (N/mm)</th>
<th>$G_{1.5}$ (N/mm)</th>
<th>$G_{10}$ (N/mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P01</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>9.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P07</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P08</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P09</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Maximum stress, residual stress and dissipated energy for the specimens extracted from 1.5 × 1.0 m² plate and with load applied parallel to the SFRSCC flux lines.
6. Constitutive modelling the post-cracking behaviour of SFRSCC according to the fib MC 2010 approach

6.1. fib MC 2010 constitutive law

fib MC 2010 proposes the stress versus crack width constitutive law represented in Fig. 15 with basis on the values of $f_{R,j}$ determined according to the approach described in Section 3. In the constitutive law represented in Fig. 15, $f_{Fts}$ represents the serviceability residual strength, defined as the post-cracking strength for serviceability crack openings, and $f_{Ftu}$ represents the ultimate residual strength. These two parameters are calculated through the following equations [44,45]:

\[
f_{Fts} = 0.45 f_{R,1} \tag{7}
\]

\[
f_{Ftu} = f_{Fts} - \frac{w_{ac}}{CMOD_{3}} (f_{Fts} - 0.5f_{R,3} + 0.2f_{R,1}) \geq 0 \tag{8}
\]

The maximum crack opening accepted in structural design ($w_{ac}$ presented in Fig. 15) was assumed equal to 0.3 mm.

6.2. fib MC 2010 model approach applied to the obtained experimental results

In this research, the experimental data from the 3PBT was used to compute the envelopes and characteristics curves, i.e.; lower bound and upper bound with a confidence level ($k$) equal to 95%. Fig. 16 depicts a comparison between the experimental results obtained from the splitting tests and by using the model proposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading direction</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Average number of effective fibres (fibres/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>W05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W09</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y$</td>
<td>W03</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W11</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W12</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. Stress-crack width constitutive law proposed by fib MC 2010 [44,45].

Fig. 16. Comparison between the stress versus crack width diagrams obtained considering the fib MC 2010 approach and the experimental results from splitting tests corresponding to the loading: (a) parallel to the SFRSCC flow; (b) perpendicular to the SFRSCC flow; (c) parallel to the x-direction (wall); (d) parallel to the y-direction (wall).
by the fib Model Code 2010 formulation, up to a crack width of 0.5 mm.

The results presented in Fig. 16 show a tendency of the approach of MC 2010 to overestimate the post-cracking tensile capacity of the adopted SFRSCC. This assertion is further confirmed in Fig. 17, that plots the ratios between the energy absorption capacity during the fracturing process, computed from the experimental data and the one calculated from the simplified MC 2010 approach. In this figure $G_{f0,MC}$ is this energy, evaluated up to 0.5 mm of crack with and by using the MC approach, while $G_{f0,split}$ is the corresponding one obtained from the splitting tests.

Figs. 16 and 17 interestingly show that the fib MC 2010 approach for the constitutive modelling of fibre reinforced concrete overestimates the energy absorption capacity even for the directions in which SFRSCC presents the uppermost energy absorption capacities (i.e.; with the loading applied parallel to the concrete flow), due to the flow induced orientation of fibres. This tendency was already observed by Salehian and Barros [57].

7. Conclusions

The research described herein presented an experimental investigation focused on the determination of the tensile constitutive behaviour of SFRSCC. A modified splitting test was proposed and making use of the developed test method, the influence of the flow driven orientation of fibres on the post-cracking behaviour of SFRSCC was assessed. Results from standard 3PBT method, proposed by RILEM TC 162-TDF for the characterization of regular FRC, were compared with the results obtained from specimens that are more representative of the actual structural element where the material is intended to be applied. Finally, the validity of fib MC 2010 approach for the constitutive modelling of SFRSCC applied to thin-section elements was questioned. From the research presented in this work, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- Although some undesirable failure modes were still obtained, the proposed test method is a promising procedure for the determination of the post-cracking behaviour of SFRSCC. Some optimization of the geometry of specimen (i.e.; diameter, dimensions of notches) is still necessary.
- For the materials and casting conditions used in this research, the fibre orientation seems to be highly affected by the concrete flow. Specifically for the flow conditions used in this research, i.e.; radial flow, the fibres tend to be oriented perpendicularly to the concrete flow.
- The post-cracking tensile behaviour of SFRSCC is affected by the fibre alignment resulting from the concrete flow and from the geometric characteristics of the structural element casted, but other parameters not covered in the present work also influence this behaviour, which deserves further research on the topic. Thus, the characterization of the material used in structural elements comprising SFRSCC should employ specimens that are geometrically representative of the actual structural element. Furthermore, to define the design value of the fracture properties the flow of concrete and the casting procedures should be taken into account.
- The method employed in this work, that consisted in obtaining the specimens from a plate with the same thickness of the structural element feeding the concrete in the centre of the
plate and performing splitting tests with load applied parallel and perpendicular to the flow, is a promising procedure to determine the values of the fracture parameters of SFRSCC to be adopted in the design of the thin-section structural elements. Having knowledge of the uppermost and the lowermost expected behaviour (i.e., obtained the proposed splitting tensile test with the load applied parallel and perpendicular to the concrete flow, respectively), and considering partial safety factors, the design values can be obtained.

- The fib MC 2010 approach for the constitutive modelling of fibre reinforced concrete seems to overestimate the energy absorption capacity even for the directions in which SFRSCC presents the uppermost energy absorption capacities (i.e; with the loading applied parallel to the concrete flow), due to the flow induced orientation of fibres.
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