ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Gene Expression Patterns

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gep

MicroRNA processing machinery in the developing chick embryo

Gil Carraco^{a,b,1}, Ana N. Gonçalves^{a,b,1}, Carlos Serra^{a,b}, Raquel P. Andrade^{a,b,*,2}^a Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal^b ICVS/3B's – PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 August 2014

Received in revised form 12 September 2014

Accepted 24 September 2014

Available online

Keywords:

DROSHA

DGCR8

XPO5

DICER1

Chick embryo

MicroRNA machinery

ABSTRACT

Gene expression regulation during embryo development is under strict regulation to ensure proper gene expression in both time and space. The involvement of microRNAs (miRNA) in early vertebrate development is documented and inactivation of different proteins involved in miRNA synthesis results in severe malformations or even arrests vertebrate embryo development. However, there is very limited information on when and in what tissues the genes encoding these proteins are expressed. Herein, we report a detailed characterization of the expression patterns of DROSHA, DGCR8, XPO5 and DICER1 in the developing chick embryo, from HH1 (when the egg is laid) to HH25 (5-days incubation), using *whole mount in situ* hybridization and cross-section analysis. We found that these genes are co-expressed in multiple tissues, mostly after stage HH4. Before early gastrulation DICER1 expression was never detected, suggesting the operation of a Dicer-independent pathway for miRNA synthesis. Our results support an important role for miRNAs in vertebrate embryo development and provide the necessary framework to unveil additional roles for these RNA processing proteins in development.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Vertebrate organisms employ efficient molecular mechanisms to regulate gene expression in cells, tissues and organs. Some of these mechanisms have been classically studied, such as transcription factor-mediated regulation or morphogen signalling cascades, among others, while novel mechanisms are emerging. Gene expression regulation by non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) has been gaining importance in the last few years (reviewed in Patil et al., 2014) and among the most studied regulatory RNA molecules are the microRNAs (miRNAs), small ncRNAs with 21–23 nucleotides (Bartel, 2004). In 1993, Lee et al. discovered the first miRNA (*lin-4*) in the development of *C. elegans* (Lee et al., 1993) and 7 years later, Pasquinelli et al. described the second miRNA, *let-7*, also in *C. elegans* (Pasquinelli et al., 2000). These two miRNAs are crucial for timing *C. elegans* development. In fact, loss-of-function of *lin-4* leads to a delay in larval stage transitions and *let-7* loss-of-function delays the shift from larval stage L4 to the adult organism (Grishok et al., 2001). From then on,

thousands of miRNAs were discovered (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2011) and a recent study has suggested that miRNAs are responsible for the regulation of more than 60% of the mammalian protein coding genes (Friedman et al., 2009). miRNAs regulate target mRNAs by blocking translation or even leading to mRNA degradation (reviewed in Moor et al., 2005). For that propose, the miRNA present in the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) binds the 3'UTR of the target mRNA, where a five or six nucleotide sequence (seed sequence) is particularly important for recognition of their target (reviewed in Moor et al., 2005).

There are several miRNA biosynthesis pathways (reviewed in Winter et al., 2009). The most common and best characterized, also known as the canonical pathway, begins with the transcription of an RNA molecule by RNA polymerase II (Lee et al., 2004). This RNA can be originated from a coding or non-coding gene, or even from an intron (Tang and Maxwell, 2008). The immature transcript, called primary miRNA (pri-miRNA), forms a stem-loop structure that is processed in the nucleus by an enzymatic microprocessor, composed of the RNase Drosha and its co-enzyme DGCR8 (DiGeorge syndrome critical region 8), releasing the stem-loop structure with approximately 70 nucleotides, called precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) (Denli et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2003; Yeom et al., 2006). Exportin-5, encoded by XPO5 gene, is responsible for the transport of pre-miRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (Yi et al., 2003). In the cytoplasm, another class II RNase III, Dicer and TAR RNA binding protein, bind the pre-miRNA and excise the loop off of the hairpin, forming a double-stranded RNA molecule of nearly 22 nucleotides (Daniels et al., 2009; Koscińska et al., 2011). Finally, Argonaute proteins bind the double stranded RNA to form the RISC

Abbreviations: ncRNA, non-coding RNA; miRNA, microRNA; RISC, RNA-induced silencing complex; pri-miRNA, primary miRNA; pre-miRNA, precursor miRNA; cKO, conditional KO; HH, Hamburger and Hamilton; PSM, presomitic mesoderm; P-PSM, prospective presomitic mesoderm; LPM, lateral plate mesoderm.

* Corresponding author. Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, Campus de Gualtar, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal. Tel.: +351 253604865.

E-mail address: raquel.peggo.andrade@gmail.com; rgandrade@ualg.pt (R.P. Andrade).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

² Present address: Regenerative Medicine Program, Departamento de Ciências Biomédicas e Medicina, Universidade do Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gep.2014.09.002>

1567-133X/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

loading complex (MacRae et al., 2008). After this step, other protein factors join the complex and one of the miRNA strands is chosen to form the active miRISC complex (Miyoshi et al., 2009).

Gene expression regulation mediated by miRNAs play a crucial role during embryo development (reviewed in Campos et al., 2011). For instance, Mir-9 is a crucial player in nervous system development by mediating repression of *hes1* expression by mRNA degradation (Bonev et al., 2012). Mir-430 is decisive for zebrafish maternal-to-zygote transition and gastrulation (Bazzini et al., 2012; Giraldez et al., 2006) and Mir-125a-5p participates in timing somite formation in chicken by repressing *Lunatic fringe* by translation repression and mRNA degradation (Riley et al., 2013). Moreover, inactivation of the proteins involved in miRNA processing and maturation also impact embryo development. Conditional KO (cKO) studies demonstrated that DGCR8 is required for proper central nervous system development in *Drosophila* (Fenelon et al., 2011) and cKO of DGCR8 in the mouse vascular system evidenced that embryos die in early developmental stages (Chen et al., 2012). DICER1 KO embryos die early in development, depleted of stem cells (Bernstein et al., 2003), evidencing an essential role for DICER1 in embryo development. DICER1 cKO in vascular smooth cells also lead to a development delay and premature embryonic death (Pan et al., 2011). Dicer has also been shown to participate in the anterior-posterior positioning of the limb buds (Zhang et al., 2011), as well as in the correct morphogenesis of these structures, since cKOs present smaller limbs (Harfe et al., 2005). It was also demonstrated that without DICER1 oligodendrogenesis and astroglialogenesis are impaired in brain development (Zheng et al., 2010).

Although the involvement of miRNAs and their processing machinery is now well established in embryo development, the expression patterns over time and space of the genes encoding these RNA processing enzymes remain largely unknown, particularly in early stages of development. In this study, we have characterized the expression of four crucial genes of the canonical miRNA biosynthesis pathway: DROSHA, DGCR8, XPO5 and DICER1. The aim of this work is to understand when and where the genes of the miRNA processing machinery are expressed during chick embryo development. These findings will provide novel insight on miRNA-mediated gene expression regulation in different tissues over development.

1. Results

1.1. DROSHA

A detailed characterization of the patterns of expression of four genes involved in the processing and maturation of miRNAs – DROSHA, DGCR8, XPO5 and DICER1 – was performed by whole mount *in situ* hybridization analysis and cross section histology in the developing chick embryo. DROSHA is expressed in the early embryo, presenting widespread expression in the epiblast in late blastula (stage HH1, $n = 8/9$) and also along the primitive streak at HH4 (gastrulation stage, $n = 6/6$) (Fig. 1A). At stage HH6 DROSHA is expressed along the primitive streak and anterior part of the embryo and is less expressed in the remaining epiblast (22–25h of incubation, $n = 4/4$). By stage HH8 (4-somite stage, Fig. 1A and B), DROSHA transcripts are localized in the head process mesoderm (Fig. 1Bi), anterior neural tube (Fig. 1Bii), central neural tube (Fig. 1Biii), neural plate (Fig. 1Biv) and prospective presomitic mesoderm tissue (P-PSM) at the level of the primitive streak. At this stage, DROSHA is not expressed in the notochord. At stage HH9[−] ($n = 5/5$), HH11 ($n = 4/4$) and HH13[−] ($n = 3/4$), DROSHA expression is maintained in the head, neural plate/tube and somites. It is also expressed in the lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) (Fig. 1A). Stages HH18 (3-day incubation, $n = 6/6$) and HH23 (4–4.5 day incubation, $n = 4/4$) embryos present expression in the brain, pharyngeal arches, somites, tail bud

and limb buds (Fig. 1A, C, D). Transversal sections of HH18 embryo evidence DROSHA expression in all the mesencephalon in a salt-and-pepper manner (Fig. 1Ci), in the retina, rhombencephalon, hypophysis and in the trigeminal ganglion (Fig. 1Cii), in oesophagus and pharyngeal arches, the neural tube (Fig. 1Ciii), nephric ducts, somites, notochord (Fig. 1Civ) and wing buds (Fig. 1Cv). At HH23 (Fig. 1D) it is also possible to see expression in somites, wing and leg buds, telencephalon (Fig. 1Di), pharyngeal arcs (Fig. 1Dii), retina and tail bud (Fig. 1Diii).

1.2. DGCR8

DGCR8 is typically not expressed at stage HH1 ($n = 10/12$). However, it could be observed in the epiblast of late HH1 embryos ($n = 2$) (Fig. 2A). At stage HH5 *dcgr8* expression is detected in all the epiblast and strongly along the primitive streak ($n = 8/8$). HH8 embryos present expression in the head neural folds, neural tube, somites, neural plate and in the caudal epiblast and primitive streak ($n = 7/7$) (Fig. 2A). Cross section analysis of the HH8 embryo evidenced DGCR8 expression in the dorsal neural tube (Fig. 2Bi) and neural plate (Fig. 2Bii). As previously observed for DROSHA, DGCR8 is also excluded from the notochord (Fig. 2Bii). DGCR8 is further expressed in the posterior neural plate and lateral plate mesoderm (Fig. 2Biii) and primitive streak (Fig. 2Biv). At stages HH10⁺ ($n = 5/7$) and HH14 ($n = 5/6$) it is possible to see expression in all developing brain structures, namely, forebrain, midbrain and hindbrain (Fig. 2A). DGCR8 is also expressed in the prospective heart region. More posteriorly, expression is observed in the somites, PSM, neural tube, intermediate mesoderm and tail bud. At stage HH17 (Fig. 2C) DGCR8 expression is present in the head structures, including eyes and otic vesicles. It is expressed also in the somites, limb buds and neural tube ($n = 7/7$). Transverse section analysis evidenced DGCR8 transcripts in the rhombencephalon, Rathke's pouch, notochord, lens vesicle, retina, infundibulum and prosencephalon (Fig. 2Ci), in the neural tube, pharyngeal arches and telencephalon (Fig. 2Cii). In the posterior portion of the embryo, is possible to see expression in the leg bud, somites, notochord and neural tube (Fig. 2Ciii). This expression pattern is mostly maintained at stage HH19 (Fig. 2A); however the expression spreads along the entire wing and leg buds ($n = 7/7$). At stage HH23, DGCR8 is expressed in the head, pharyngeal arches, limb buds, dorsal root ganglia and tail bud ($n = 6/6$) (Fig. 2A and D). Fig. 2D shows DGCR8 mRNA in the telencephalon, wing bud and pharyngeal arches (Fig. 2Di), dorsal root ganglia (Fig. 2Dii), diencephalon, myotome (Fig. 2Diii), retina and tail bud (Fig. 2Div).

1.3. XPO5

XPO5 has widespread expression in the early chick embryo (Fig. 3), namely in the epiblast of HH1 ($n = 4/4$) and HH4 ($n = 3/3$) embryos. By HH6, XPO5 exhibits stronger expression in the head process, neural tube and primitive streak ($n = 7/7$). At stage HH9[−], XPO5 expression is present in the head, neural tube, somites, neural plate, lateral plate mesoderm and primitive streak. As for the previous genes, there is no expression in notochord ($n = 5/5$). Transverse sections confirmed this analysis (Fig. 3D): XPO5 is observed in the neural folds (Fig. 3i), neural tube and somites (Fig. 3Dii) and neural plate (Fig. 3Diii and iv). At stage HH11 the expression of XPO5 is seen in the developing brain, epithelial somites, PSM, intermediate mesoderm, lateral plate mesoderm, neural tube and also in the remaining primitive streak ($n = 5/5$). A similar expression pattern was observed at stage HH13. At stage HH17 (Fig. 3C), XPO5 expression is visible in the retina and lens vesicle (Fig. 3Ci), in the rhombencephalon, Rathke's pouch, prosencephalon, optic stalks and trigeminal ganglia (Fig. 3Cii), limb buds, somites and neural tube (Fig. 3Ciii). Similar patterns were obtained for HH23 and HH25

Fig. 1. Expression patterns of DROSHA in the developing chick embryo. (A) *In situ* hybridization in toto of DROSHA in HH1 to HH23 embryos. (B) Transverse sections of HH8 to HH23 embryos; dorsal to left; (D) HH23 sagittal sections. epi, epiblast; PS, primitive streak; Hp, head process; nt, neural tube; som, somite; Hd, head; nt, neural tube; lpm, lateral plate mesoderm; im, intermediate mesoderm; pa, pharyngeal arches; otv, otic vesicle; wb, wing bud; lb, leg bud; drg, dorsal root ganglia; tb, tail bud; P-PSM, prospective PSM; mes, mesencephalon; hyp, hypophysis; ret, retina; tg, trigeminal ganglion; eso, oesophagus; nt, nephric duct; tel, telencephalon. Arrow head indicates absence of expression. Anterior to top.

Fig. 2. Expression patterns of DCCR8 in the developing chick embryo. (A) *In situ* hybridization in toto of DCCR8 in HH1 to HH23 embryos. (B) Transverse sections of HH8 and (C) HH17 embryo; dorsal to left; (D) HH23 sagittal sections. epi, epiblast; PS, primitive streak; Hp, head process; nt, neural tube; som, somite; np, neural plate; Hd, head; phr, prospective heart region; ln, intermediate mesoderm; PSM, presomitic mesoderm; tb, tail bud; ist, isthmus; otv, otic vesicle; wb, wing bud; lb, leg bud; pa, pharyngeal arches; drg, dorsal root ganglia; dnt, dorsal neural tube; lpm, lateral plate mesoderm; rh, rhombencephalon; no, notochord; rp, Rathke's pouch; inf, infundibulum; lv, lens vesicle; ret, retina; pro, prosencephalon; tel, telencephalon; myo, myotome. Arrow head indicates absence of expression. Anterior to top.

Fig. 3. Expression patterns of XPO5 in the developing chick embryo. (A) *In situ* hybridization in toto of XPO5 in HH1 to HH25 embryos. (B) Transverse sections of HH9 and (C) HH17 embryo; dorsal to left; (D) HH23 sagittal sections. epi, epiblast; PS, primitive streak; Hp, head process; nt, neural tube; Hd, head; som, somite; lpm, lateral plate mesoderm; np, neural plate; ist, isthmus; no, notochord; Im, intermediate mesoderm; PSM, presomitic mesoderm; tb, tail bud; otv, otic vesicle; pa, pharyngeal arches; wb, wing bud; lb, leg bud; nf, neural folds; rh, rhombencephalon; ret, retina; lv, lens vesicle; pro, prosencephalon; tg, trigeminal ganglion; rp, Rathke's pouch; os, optic stalk; mes, mesencephalon; die, diencephalon; dgr, dorsal root ganglia. Arrow head indicates absence of expression. Anterior to top.

(4–5 days of incubation) embryos (Fig. 3A and D). HH23 cross section analysis revealed XPO5 expression in the mesencephalon, dien-cephalon, retina, dorsal root ganglia (Fig. 3Di), eyes, pharyngeal arches (Fig. 3Dii), telencephalon and in the limb buds (Fig. 3Diii).

1.4. DICER1

Late blastula embryos (HH1) present no DICER1 expression (9/10) (Fig. 4). At stage HH3⁺ DICER1 transcripts are mainly located in the primitive streak ($n = 6/7$). In stage HH6 embryos, DICER1 is more widely expressed, being detected along the primitive streak and anterior embryo ($n = 4/4$) and by HH8 it is present in the head process, neural tube, somites, neural plate and primitive streak ($n = 8/8$). Section analysis at this stage shows DICER1 expression in the neural tube (Fig. 4Bi and ii), somites (Fig. 4Bii), PSM (Fig. 4Biii) and caudal hypoblast (Fig. 4Biv and v). At stage HH12, DICER1 is expressed in the primordial brain, isthmus, somites, PSM and neural tube (Fig. 4A). In the neural tube DICER1 expression is higher in the head and in the caudal embryo ($n = 4/4$). This widespread expression pattern is maintained at the stage HH14⁺ ($n = 4/4$), HH18 ($n = 5/6$) and HH23 ($n = 4/4$), including the limb buds, otic vesicles and pharyngeal arches (Fig. 4). Section analysis of an HH18 embryo revealed DICER1 expression in the mesencephalon (Fig. 4Ci), prosencephalon, retina, lens vesicle and Rathke's pouch (Fig. 4Cii), otic vesicle, pharyngeal arches and telencephalon (Fig. 4Ciii). In the main body axis (Fig. 4Civ and v) the expression of DICER1 is located in the somites, notochord and nephric duct. Only very low levels of expression are observed in the neural tube and DICER1 is excluded from the overlying ectoderm (Fig. 4Civ and v). At stage HH23 (Fig. 4D) DICER1 expression is localized in the retina, telencephalon, mesencephalon, otic and lens vesicles, pharyngeal arches, limb buds, somites and tail bud.

2. Discussion

The involvement of the canonical miRNA processing machinery in embryo development is well established. However, to fully understand the function of these proteins it is necessary to know when and where they are expressed. In the present study we provide a thorough characterization of the expression patterns of DROSHA, DGCR8, XPO5 and DICER1 along embryo chick development, from HH1 (when the egg is laid) to HH25.

In late blastula stage (HH1), DROSHA and XPO5 expression is already present (Figs. 1 and 3), suggesting a possible maternal contribution of these transcripts to the embryo. DGCR8 could only be observed in a few late HH1 embryos ($n = 2/12$, Fig. 2) and DICER1 was never detected at this stage (Fig. 4). These results suggest that miRNAs already have an important role in this early stage of chick development and that they are probably processed by a Dicer-independent pathway. This is suggestive of a role for miRNAs mediating chick maternal-to-zygotic transition, such as in zebrafish (Bazzini et al., 2012; Giraldez et al., 2006). By HH4, all the players of the canonical miRNA synthesis pathway, DICER1 and XPO5, DGCR8 and DROSHA, are expressed in a widespread manner, namely in the epiblast and cells surrounding the primitive streak where the presence of several miRNAs has been described (Darnell et al., 2006). In older stages, they continue to be co-expressed in multiple tissues along the entire embryonic anterior–posterior axis, namely in the developing head, pharyngeal arches, neural tube, somites, limbs and tail bud regions. This is concordant with widespread activation of miRNA expression at these stages (Darnell et al., 2006) and also with the reported roles for miR-365 and miR-221 in differentiation and proliferation of limb chondrocytes, respectively (Guan et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2010), miR-9 in the nervous system (Otaegi et al., 2011) and miR-196 in patterning of multiple tissue through *Hox* expression regulation (Asli and Kessel, 2010; Hornstein et al., 2005; McGlenn

et al., 2009). An interesting observation was made regarding the notochord, where none of the studied genes was expressed until stage HH11. This may suggest an intriguing late requirement for miRNA synthesis and contribution to gene expression regulation in this important embryonic structure.

Overall, we report that the genes encoding the canonical miRNA processing machinery are expressed in the developing chick embryo from early stages to HH25 (5-day incubation). Available information on the mouse embryo is scarce and only from embryonic day 10.5 onwards (Lu et al., 2005; Magdaleno et al., 2006; Maynard et al., 2003; Powles et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2005), but is overall in agreement with our findings. These results support an important role for miRNA-mediated gene expression regulation in embryo development and constitute a valuable tool to study additional roles for these proteins in embryo development.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Eggs and embryos

Fertilized chick (*Gallus gallus*) eggs obtained from commercial sources were incubated at 37.8 °C in a 49% humidified atmosphere and staged according to the Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) classification (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1992).

3.2. In situ hybridization and RNA probes

Embryos were fixed in fresh 3.7% formaldehyde with 2 mM EGTA in PBS at pH 7.5 overnight at 4 °C, rinsed in PBT (PBS, 0.1% Tween 20), dehydrated in methanol and stored at –20 °C. *In situ* hybridization was performed as previously described (Henrique et al., 1995). *In situ* probes for DROSHA, DGCR8, XPO5 and DICER1 probes were generated by amplifying chick cDNA with primer pairs 5'-ACCAGTCTGGGTTCTGCTGTACC-3' and 5'-TCATTGCGTGCTGGCAGACA-3', 5'-GATCTGCCTGCTACAAAAGTCGTC-3' and 5'-GTTTGGCAGTCCAGTTCTGAAGAC-3', 5'-TCTTGCTGCCAGCTCATCA-3' and 5'-CCCGTTATGAGGCGTTTGAA-3', 5'-GGATCCAGAAGTGGCTTACATC-3' and 5'-GCACACTGGTTTCTTCTTCATCA-3', respectively. PCR products were cloned in the pCRII-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and orientation confirmed upon sequencing.

3.3. Cross-section preparation

Embryos obtained from *in situ* hybridization were dehydrated in ethanol, then incubated in inclusion solution of Technovit 7100 (Heraeus kulzer, GmbH), placed in the desired orientation and left to solidify. Transversal (HH8, HH9, HH17 and HH18) and sagittal (HH23) sections of 30 μm were made using Microm HM325 on SuperFrost Plus (Menzel-Glaser) slides and allowed to dry overnight at 37 °C.

3.4. Imaging

Whole mount embryos were photographed in 0.1% azide in PBT using an Olympus SZX16 stereomicroscope with Cell[^]B program. Sections were photographed using an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope with Cell[^]P program.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank C.J. Sheeba for lab coaching to C.S. and I. Palmeirim, S. Thorsteinsdottir and lab members for helpful discussions. G.C. and A.C.G. were supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal (grants UMINHO/BI/282/2013 and UMINHO/BI/8/2014, respectively). R.P.A. was funded by Programa Operacional Regional do Norte (ON.2) NORTE-07-0124-FEDER-

Fig. 4. Expression patterns of DICER1 in the developing chick embryo. (A) *In situ* hybridization in toto of chick embryos. (B) Transverse sections of HH8 and (C) HH18 embryo; dorsal to left; (D) HH23 sagittal sections. PS, primitive streak; Hp, head process; nt, neural tube; som, somite; np, neural plate; Hd, head; ist, isthmus; Im, intermediate mesoderm; PSM, presomitic mesoderm; otv, otic vesicle; wb, wing bud; lb, leg bud; drg, dorsal root ganglia; tb, tail bud; hyp, hypoblast; mes, mesencephalon; pa, pharyngeal pouch; ret, retina; lv, lens vesicle; pro, prosencephalon; no, notochord; nr, nephric duct. Arrow head indicates absence of expression. Anterior to top.

000017. This work was supported by FCT, Portugal (National and FEDER COMPETE Program fund, PTDC/SAU-BID/121459/2010 to R.P.A.).

References

- Asli, N.S., Kessel, M., 2010. Spatiotemporally restricted regulation of generic motor neuron programs by miR-196-mediated repression of Hoxb8. *Dev. Biol.* 344, 857–868.
- Bartel, D.P., 2004. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* 116, 281–297.
- Bazzini, A.A., Lee, M.T., Giraldez, A.J., 2012. Ribosome profiling shows that miR-430 reduces translation before causing mRNA decay in zebrafish. *Science* 336, 233–237.
- Bernstein, E., Kim, S.Y., Carmell, M.A., Murchison, E.P., Alcorn, H., Li, M.Z., et al., 2003. Dicer is essential for mouse development. *Nat. Genet.* 35, 215–217.
- Bonev, B., Stanley, P., Papalopulu, N., 2012. MicroRNA-9 modulates hes1 ultradian oscillations by forming a double-negative feedback loop. *Cell Rep.* 2, 10–18.
- Campos, V.F., Urtiaga, G., Goncalves, B., Deschamps, J.C., Collares, T., 2011. MicroRNAs and its role in embryonic development. *Cienc. Rural* 41, 85–93.
- Chen, Z.X., Wu, J.M., Yang, C.H., Fan, P., Balazs, L., Jiao, Y., et al., 2012. DiGeorge Syndrome Critical Region 8 (DGCR8) protein-mediated microRNA biogenesis is essential for vascular smooth muscle cell development in mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 19018–19028.
- Daniels, S.M., Melendez-Pena, C.E., Scarborough, R.J., Daher, A., Christensen, H.S., El Far, M., et al., 2009. Characterization of the TRBP domain required for Dicer interaction and function in RNA interference. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 10, 38.
- Darnell, D.K., Kaur, S., Stanislaw, S., Konieczka, J.H., Yatskevych, T.A., Antin, P.B., 2006. MicroRNA expression during chick embryo development. *Dev. Dyn.* 235, 3156–3165.
- Denli, A.M., Tops, B.B.J., Plasterk, R.H.A., Ketting, R.F., Hannon, G.J., 2004. Processing of primary microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. *Nature* 432, 231–235.
- Fenelon, K., Mukai, J., Xu, B., Hsu, P.K., Drew, L.J., Karayiorgou, M., et al., 2011. Deficiency of Dgcr8, a gene disrupted by the 22q11.2 microdeletion, results in altered short-term plasticity in the prefrontal cortex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 4447–4452.
- Friedman, R.C., Farh, K.K.H., Burge, C.B., Bartel, D.P., 2009. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.* 19, 92–105.
- Giraldez, A.J., Mishima, Y., Rihel, J., Grocock, R.J., Van Dongen, S., Inoue, K., et al., 2006. Zebrafish MiR-430 promotes deadenylation and clearance of maternal mRNAs. *Science* 312, 75–79.
- Grishok, A., Pasquinelli, A.E., Conte, D., Li, N., Parrish, S., Ha, I., et al., 2001. Genes and mechanisms related to RNA Interference regulate expression of the small temporal RNAs that control *C. elegans* developmental timing. *Cell* 106, 23–34.
- Guan, Y.J., Yang, X., Wei, L., Chen, Q., 2011. MiR-365: a mechanosensitive microRNA stimulates chondrocyte differentiation through targeting histone deacetylase 4. *FASEB J.* 25, 4457–4466.
- Hamburger, V., Hamilton, H.L., 1992. A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. *Dev. Dyn.* 195, 231–272.
- Harfe, B.D., McManus, M.T., Mansfield, J.H., Hornstein, E., Tabin, C.J., 2005. The RNaseIII enzyme Dicer is required for morphogenesis but not patterning of the vertebrate limb. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 10898–10903.
- Henrique, D., Adam, J., Myat, A., Chitnis, A., Lewis, J., Ish-Horowicz, D., 1995. Expression of a Delta homologue in prospective neurons in the chick. *Nature* 375, 787–790.
- Hornstein, E., Mansfield, J.H., Yekta, S., Hu, J.K., Harfe, B.D., McManus, M.T., et al., 2005. The microRNA miR-196 acts upstream of Hoxb8 and Shh in limb development. *Nature* 438, 671–674.
- Kim, D., Song, J., Jin, E.J., 2010. MicroRNA-221 regulates chondrogenic differentiation through promoting proteasomal degradation of slug by targeting Mdm2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 26900–26907.
- Koscianska, E., Starega-Roslan, J., Krzyzosiak, W.J., 2011. The role of dicer protein partners in the processing of MicroRNA precursors. *PLoS ONE* 6, e28548.

- Kozomara, A., Griffiths-Jones, S., 2011. miRBase: integrating microRNA annotation and deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, D152–D157.
- Lee, R.C., Feinbaum, R.L., Ambros, V., 1993. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. *Cell* 75, 843–854.
- Lee, Y., Ahn, C., Han, J.J., Choi, H., Kim, J., Yim, J., et al., 2003. The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. *Nature* 425, 415–419.
- Lee, Y., Kim, M., Han, J.J., Yeom, K.H., Lee, S., Baek, S.H., et al., 2004. MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. *EMBO J.* 23, 4051–4060.
- Lu, J., Qian, J., Chen, F., Tang, X., Li, C., Cardoso, W.V., 2005. Differential expression of components of the microRNA machinery during mouse organogenesis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 334, 319–323.
- MacRae, I.J., Ma, E., Zhou, M., Robinson, C.V., Doudna, J.A., 2008. In vitro reconstitution of the human RISC-loading complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 512–517.
- Magdaleno, S., Jensen, P., Brumwell, C.L., Seal, A., Lehman, K., Asbury, A., et al., 2006. BGEM: an in situ hybridization database of gene expression in the embryonic and adult mouse nervous system. *PLoS Biol.* 4, 28.
- Maynard, T.M., Haskell, G.T., Peters, A.Z., Sikich, L., Lieberman, J.A., LaMantia, A.S., 2003. A comprehensive analysis of 22q11 gene expression in the developing and adult brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 14433–14438.
- McGlinn, E., Yekta, S., Mansfield, J.H., Soutschek, J., Bartel, D.P., Tabin, C.J., 2009. In ovo application of antagomiRs indicates a role for miR-196 in patterning the chick axial skeleton through Hox gene regulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 18610–18615.
- Miyoshi, K., Okada, T.N., Siomi, H., Siomi, M.C., 2009. Characterization of the miRNA-RISC loading complex and miRNA-RISC formed in the *Drosophila* miRNA pathway. *RNA* 15, 1282–1291.
- Moor, C.H.D., Meijer, H., Lissenden, S., 2005. Mechanisms of translational control by the 3' UTR in development and differentiation. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 16, 49–58.
- Otaegi, G., Pollock, A., Hong, J., Sun, T., 2011. MicroRNA miR-9 modifies motor neuron columns by a tuning regulation of FoxP1 levels in developing spinal cords. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 809–818.
- Pan, Y.Q., Balazs, L., Tigyi, G., Yue, J.M., 2011. Conditional deletion of Dicer in vascular smooth muscle cells leads to the developmental delay and embryonic mortality. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 408, 369–374.
- Pasquinelli, A.E., Reinhart, B.J., Slack, F., Martindale, M.Q., Kuroda, M.I., Maller, B., et al., 2000. Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7 heterochronic regulatory RNA. *Nature* 408, 86–89.
- Patil, V.S., Zhou, R., Rana, T.M., 2014. Gene regulation by non-coding RNAs. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 49, 16–32.
- Powles, N., Babbs, C., Ficker, M., Schimmang, T., Maconochie, M., 2004. Identification and analysis of genes from the mouse otic vesicle and their association with developmental subprocesses through in situ hybridization. *Dev. Biol.* 268, 24–38.
- Riley, M.F., Bochter, M.S., Wahi, K., Nuovo, G.J., Cole, S.E., 2013. Mir-125a-5p-mediated regulation of Lfng is essential for the avian segmentation clock. *Dev. Cell* 24, 554–561.
- Tang, G.Q., Maxwell, E.S., 2008. *Xenopus* microRNA genes are predominantly located within introns and are differentially expressed in adult frog tissues via post-transcriptional regulation. *Genome Res.* 18, 104–112.
- Winter, J., Jung, S., Keller, S., Gregory, R.I., Diederichs, S., 2009. Many roads to maturity: microRNA biogenesis pathways and their regulation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 11, 228–234.
- Yang, W.J., Yang, D.D., Na, S., Sandusky, G.E., Zhang, Q., Zhao, G., 2005. Dicer is required for embryonic angiogenesis during mouse development. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 9330–9335.
- Yeom, K.H., Lee, Y., Han, J.J., Suh, M.R., Kim, V.N., 2006. Characterization of DGCR8/Pasha, the essential cofactor for Drosha in primary miRNA processing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, 4622–4629.
- Yi, R., Qin, Y., Macara, I.G., Cullen, B.R., 2003. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. *Genes Dev.* 17, 3011–3016.
- Zhang, Z., O'Rourke, J.R., McManus, M.T., Lewandoski, M., Harfe, B.D., Sun, X., 2011. The microRNA-processing enzyme Dicer is dispensable for somite segmentation but essential for limb bud positioning. *Dev. Biol.* 351, 254–265.
- Zheng, K., Li, H., Zhu, Y., Zhu, Q., Qiu, M., 2010. MicroRNAs are essential for the developmental switch from neurogenesis to gliogenesis in the developing spinal cord. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 8245–8250.