Research Highlights

- >Automatic surgical prosthesis modeling for pectus excavatum surgical correction without CT scan.
- >Automatic algorithm for ribs position prediction through skin surface based on artificial neural networks (ANN) and image processing methods.
- >ANN trained with soft tissue thicknesses along different orientations of the thoracic wall.
- > Ribs position estimated with an average error less than 5 mm.
- >Considerable step forward towards the total replacement of CT for prosthesis personalization.

Title Page

Manuscript Title: Automatic Modeling of *Pectus Excavatum* Corrective Prosthesis using Artificial Neural Networks

Authors:

Pedro L. Rodrigues^{1,2,3*}, Nuno Rodrigues^{3,4}, ACM Pinho⁵, Jaime Fonseca², Jorge Correia-Pinto¹, João L. Vilaça^{1,3}

¹ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal.

²Algoritmi Center, School of Engineering, University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal.

³DIGARC – Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave, Barcelos, Portugal.

⁴HASLab/INESC TEC, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

⁵ Mechanical Department, University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

***Corresponding to:** Pedro L. Rodrigues

Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

Tel: +351 253604881

Fax: +351 253 604 847

E-mail: pedrorodrigues@ecsaude.uminho.pt

Abstract

Pectus excavatum is the most common deformity of the thorax. Pre-operative diagnosis usually includes Computed Tomography (CT) to successfully employ a thoracic prosthesis for anterior chest wall remodeling. Aiming at the elimination of radiation exposure, this paper presents a novel methodology for the replacement of CT by a 3D laser scanner (radiation-free) for prosthesis modelling.

The complete elimination of CT is based on an accurate determination of ribs position and prosthesis placement region through skin surface points. The developed solution resorts to a normalized and combined outcome of an artificial neural network (ANN) set. Each ANN model was trained with data vectors from 165 male patients and using soft tissue thicknesses (STT) comprising information from the skin and rib cage (automatically determined by image processing algorithms). Tests revealed that ribs position for prosthesis placement and modeling can be estimated with an average error of 5.0 ± 3.6 mm. One also showed that the ANN performance can be improved by introducing a manually determined initial STT value in the ANN normalization procedure (average error of 2.82 ± 0.76 mm). Such error range is well below current prosthesis manual modeling (approximately 11 mm), which can provide a valuable and radiation-free procedure for prosthesis personalization.

Keywords: *pectus excavatum*, artificial neural networks, image segmentation, prosthesis modelling

1. Introduction

Pectus Excavatum is the most common deformity of the thoracic wall, occurring between 1/400 and 1/1000 births and a male to female ratio of 4:1. It is characterized by a depression in the patient chest, which demands surgical correction in a high percentage of cases [1, 2].

A minimally invasive surgical procedure, purposed by Donald Nuss [3], is commonly carried out to remodel the anterior chest wall, by inserting an intrathoracic convex prosthesis (a metal bar) in substernal position [4, 5].

In what concerns to prosthesis modeling, only a few works addresses this problem. Lai, et. al. [6] manually draw the prosthesis shape over ribs position in Computed Tomography (CT) images, achieving accurate prosthesis curvature and length, especially in older patients and females. Vilaça et. al. [13, 14] developed a novel methodology, composed by mechanical and software models, that automatically model and bend the surgical prosthesis preoperatively by using (CT) images. The usage of CT for prosthesis modelling is still a controversial issue, since the main driver for *pectus excavatum* surgical correction is cosmesis and the surgery is usually performed in young adolescents (due to their soft cartilages), a population inherently more radiosensitive [15, 16]. In order to avoid radiation consequences, Fonseca et al. [17] recently proposed a methodology to reconstruct an axial plane, using 2D ultrasound images, equivalent to a CT-slice. This preliminary study only presents qualitative results, is user dependent and relies on rigid registration between 2D ultrasound images, which may induce additional errors in determining the rib outline.

Regarding the literature pitfalls, this work presents a novel solution aiming at the replacement of the CT by a radiation-free 3D laser scanner to automatically model the surgical prosthesis. Considering the ability of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN's) to approximate non-linear functions, these computational structures were chosen to infer the ribs position from the 3D model of the patient chest surface acquired by the 3D scanner.

2. Methods

Fig. 1 provides an overview of the proposed new method, presenting the main steps for prosthesis modeling:

a) Acquisition and reconstruction of the full anterior chest wall surface of the patient, using the 3D laser scanner (Fig. 1-a);

b) Determination of the skin line that transversely passes through the greatest sternum depression point (Fig 1-b). This set of points determine the position in the patient's skin surface under which the prosthesis bar is implanted;

c) ANN software module that receives the set of skin points calculated in the previous step and computes the necessary set of lines (blue lines in Fig 1-c) for *pectus* prosthesis modeling (Fig 1-d) [13, 14].

All the applied methods for the acquisition of the ANN training vectors were implemented using C++ with VTK (The Visualization ToolKit) and ITK (Segmentation & Registration Toolkit) support, while the ANN training procedure was implemented and tested using the neural network toolbox from MATLAB.

2.1 3D Chest Wall Surface Reconstruction

The patient 3D chest wall surface reconstruction begins by repeatedly swiping the laser scan (from FastSCAN Polhemus Liberty) over the anterior patient chest. An electromagnetic tracking system is used to track the scanner wand location in the 3D space. Equipment specifications determine that acquisition resolution depends on wand-object range, guaranteeing a 0.5 mm resolution at 200 mm range and improved resolutions (as low as 0.1 mm) for smaller ranges. Considering this, all scans were performed below 200 mm wand-object range.

The reconstruction of the thoracic wall mesh, from the acquired point cloud (Fig. 2-a), was based on Hoppe et. al. work [25], which comprehends an algorithm that determines the zero set of an estimated signed distance function, and is capable of automatically inferring the topological type of the surface, including the presence of boundary curves.

The resulting triangular mesh (Fig. 2-b) of the patient thorax often contains small surface holes due to inappropriate conditions during scan acquisition, namely patient movements and occlusions. Even though the mesh holes do not represent a significant problem for the calculation of the transversal chest line to place the prosthesis, it does influence the validation of the 3D thorax scan quality, in particular for its comparison with CT scans. Therefore, one has developed an automatic process for detecting and filling the mesh holes (Table 1). NURBS were chosen due to their smoothing properties, finer shape control, flexibility to design large variety of shapes and good overall curve.

The main purpose of the thorax reconstruction is to identify an axial slice that passes through the maximum depression point (MDP) (skin points line in Fig 2-b). The MDP is determined by considering the maximum value of the distance map along Oz (Fig. 2-c and -d) at the mesh center region.

2.2 ANN Software Module Development

The ANN model development comprehended 2 main phases, namely the acquisition of the training vectors (Section 2.2.1), and the definition of ANN in terms of topology and training algorithms (Section 2.2.2).

2.2.1 ANN Training Vectors

2.2.1.1 Population Data

ANN's models were trained and validated with information acquired from thorax CT scans of

165 different patients. Test data consists of information acquired with the laser scanner from 20 other patients. These have also been subject to CT examination to serve as ground truth data of the ribs structures.

The CT images for the 185 patients were acquired at São João Hospital, Porto, Portugal and Hospital of Braga, Portugal, according to the ethical review board of the University of Minho. Each CT slice has 512x512 pixels, with a slice thickness of 3 mm. The age of all patients ranged from 5 to 18 years (mean of 13.55 ± 3.42). Female patients were not considered in this study because of the impossibility of correlating the breasts with the shape of the ribs. Regarding STTs characterization Table 2 shows the STT differences in different areas along the thoracic wall.

2.2.1.2 Axial Plane Prosthesis Placement

The employed methodology starts with the automatic calculation of the axial plane with the greatest sternum depression (number 4, Fig. 3-a and -b). To this extent, the noise corrupting the CT image is firstly reduced by smoothing the image with a Gaussian filter ($\sigma=2$ pixels). Then, a sigmoid non-linear filter mapped the image intensities into a new range. The output pixel I' was given by $I' = (I_{Max}-I_{Min}) * (1/(1+\exp(-(I-\beta)/\alpha)))$ where I is the input pixel intensity, I_{max} (= 3000) and I_{Min} (= 0) output maximum and minimum intensities, α (=400) is intensity range width and β (=1500) is the intensity around which the range is centered. These values were determined experimentally in order to enhance the bones structures. These were finally segmented using a threshold method, which level was automatically calculated as the one maximizing the total entropy E_T of the binary output: $E_T = E_B(i) + E_O(i)$, where $E_O(i)$ is the total object entropy and $E_B(i)$ is the total background entropy. The sternum structure was finally isolated as the most anterior structure (Fig. 3-a).

The inferior sternum region with highest depression, which corresponds to the desired CT slice to place the prosthesis, was determined using a ray-pixel intersection method that computes the minimum distances between the coronal plane (Tp, Fig. 3-b) parallel to the chest wall and the sternum (Ts, Fig. 3-b).

Although the xiphoid process (number 2, Fig. 3-a) showed the greatest depression values, this small structure was not considered due to its low ossification and inability to support the prosthesis during two/three years of implantation [28].

2.2.1.3 STT Calculation

Bone and Skin Segmentation

The calculation of the STT, for the ANN training procedure, was accomplished by determining the ribs external outline (red polynomials in Fig. 3-e) and skin points (green points in Fig. 3-e) in CT images.

The skin points were segmented from the non-linear filter outcome, using the Otsu threshold method. All skin pixels were selected by vertically and horizontally tracking the first pixels in the binary image object.

On the other hand, bone structures were segmented using a region-based methodology, previously developed by the authors in volumetric CT datasets [29, 30]. The process uses the gradient magnitude of the non-linear filter and the minimum description length algorithm to create an image partitioning of different levels (Fig. 3-c and -d).

This outcome was used to calculate the coronal and sagittal planes that define the regions for prosthesis placement and a reference point for all the lines used to acquire the STT (Fig. 3-e).

Although an automatic segmentation methodology to accelerate STT identification was used, the final results were manually validated in order to assure sound training vectors.

STT Training Vectors

After bone segmentation, two polynomials were calculated by a Monotone cubic Hermite interpolation spline [31], one to delineate the external contour line of the left ribs and another to delineate the right ribs (red line in Fig. 3-e).

The STT external to the ribs was determined through different lines which slope was incremented with from 0° to 80° degrees (step of 1° degree), and decremented from 180° to 100° degrees (step of 1° degree), on the right and left sides of the patient, respectively (blue and yellow lines, Fig 3-e). The reference point for all these lines was the intersection between the coronal and median planes. These polynomials allow the STT determination in all regions where the prosthesis is placed, instead of only considering the ribs locations.

The STT computational representation in the training vectors is defined by 2 sets of line segments: the first set is defined by the distances in millimeters between the reference point and the patient ribs (blue lines in Fig. 3-e), while the second set corresponds to the distances between the same reference point and the chest surface (blue plus yellow lines in Fig. 3-e).

2.2.2 ANN Topology, Training and Ensemble Normalization

Considering the ability to approximate non-linear functions, two different networks topologies were used for predicting the external ribs outline: FNN's (feed-forward neural networks) and CNN's (cascade-forward neural networks - includes a weight connection from the input to each layer and a connection from each layer to the successive layers) [32].

During training, the weights and biases of the network (randomly initialized) were iteratively adjusted to maximize the network performance function that measures the mean squared error between the ANN output vector and the ANN target vector.

Four different training algorithms were used to adjust the weights and biases of the ANN models. Three of these training algorithms are conjugate gradient algorithms, namely Scaled

Conjugate Gradient (SCG), Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) and Resilient Back propagation (RP), while the fourth is a One Step Secant (OSS) algorithm named Quasi-Newton algorithm [33-36].

Conjugate gradient algorithms start by moving the network weights and biases along the negative gradient of the performance function based on a back propagation technique. These algorithms were chosen because:

(a) LM is a known algorithm with reduced processing time for convergence, accurate results and lower mean square errors for problems with a small number of network weights; (b) SCG and RP have also been extensively used over a wide variety of problems and medical applications [18-20], particularly for networks with a large number of weights. These are almost as fast as the LM algorithm on function approximation problems and even faster for large networks;

(c) OSS Newton's method often converges faster than conjugate gradient methods and requires less storage and computation per epoch, making it useful to approximate non-linear relationships.

The optimal ANN model was found by a systematic and repetitive process during which the number of hidden layers (between 1 and 4) with different number of neurons (between 15 and 75 sigmoid neurons) were changed for CNN and FNN topologies and training algorithms.

The training procedure was performed 15 times for each network configuration until one of the following parameters was achieved: (1) number of epochs was higher than 1000; (2) minimum error gradient lower than 1×10^{-5} ; (3) maximum number of iterations on which the gradient error increases was lower than 10 – avoiding overfitting situations; (4) small learning rate of 0.15.

In the end, the ANN performance was evaluated through a statistical regression analysis,

222 measuring the correlation coefficient (CC) between the output and target vectors (strong
223 correlation when $CC > 0.90$).

224 Instead of taking into account only one network output to predict the ribs external contour,
225 an ensemble solution from a set S_N of ANN models (with $i=1,2,\dots,N$ with N being the total
226 number models) with a CC score higher than 0.92 through the following steps:

227 1) Each ANN model M_i (with $i=1,2,\dots,N$ with N being the total number of ANN models in
228 the set S_N) predicts the distances between the reference points and the ribs external outline
229 along the thoracic wall for each patient of the test data;

230 2) When M_i did not converge to a reliable result (convergence evaluated taking into account
231 the entropy, average, minimum and maximum values of the network outcomes), M_i was
232 discarded from S_N ;

233 3) The harmonic mean of the remaining networks M_i in S_N were input to a normalization
234 procedure that computes the final ribs external contour by adjusting the harmonic mean
235 values whenever:

236 a) The difference between the STT of the line with slope i and the one of a line with slope
237 $i-1$ was higher than 4 mm;

238 b) The length of the line from the reference point to the skin was smaller than the distance
239 determined with the ANN (rib point);

240 c) For each case described in (a) and (b) a new length is determined in accordance with a
241 weighted mean of the three previous lengths.

242 Initially all ANN models with $CC > 0.92$ ($N > 100$) were used to predict the ribs outline
243 according to the previous steps. However, since this solution required a significant
244 computational effort, one decided to remove neural networks with lower CC, while studying
245 its repercussions in the final result. The optimum value of $N=30$ ANN models assured the
246 best compromise between performance and accuracy when predicting the ribs outline, with

no statically differences when compared to using all ANN models.

Given that the computed STT in a certain angle is influenced by its neighboring values, the accuracy and reliability of the results computed was also evaluated when using a manually determined initial STT values after the ANN normalization procedure (i.e. for points in 0° and 180° degrees calculated from the CT axial slice).

3. Results

Depending on the number of active neurons and hidden layers, ANN usually took 7 to 85 seconds to be fitted using an Intel Core i7 Q740 1.73GHz computer. Table 3 shows the CC scores (average and standard deviation) for different ANN models with various configurations of number of neurons, hidden layers, training algorithms and topologies.

Independently of the ANN architecture, fewer neurons in the hidden layer (25-45 neurons) performed better than a higher number of neurons (>75 neurons). With a higher number of neurons the ANN object overfits the training data instead of generalizing the input and target relationships.

Best results were obtained with the SCG (Table 3) training algorithm and FNN topology, therefore, only those ANN objects will be discussed. The closest results were gathered using the RP training algorithm. From Table 3, conjugate gradient backpropagation algorithms shown to be the best with higher CC scores. Although the OSS was the training algorithm with fastest convergence, it also shows lower CC scores.

Fig. 4 show the STT error when (1) using the manual modelling template that copies the skin points (Fig. 4-a and -b); (2) ANN outcome without normalization (Fig. 4-c and -d); (3) ANN with normalization (Fig. 4-e and -f); (4) ANN with normalization and first points (Fig. 4-g and -h).

As shown in Fig. 4, the highest errors for ideal prosthesis modeling are with the skin points.

For both patient sides, the error is always higher than 5 mm. Apart from ANN output, highly statistical significance ($p<0.001$) was always found between ANN outcome and skin points.

Table 4 shows the average STT error for all 20 patients when using the skin points, ANN without normalization and input point, ANN with normalization algorithm and ANN with normalization algorithm and input points.

Fig. 5 illustrates some examples of the ANN output overlapped by the bone ground truth outline obtained from CT images.

4. Discussion

The study of the STT showed that symmetric or asymmetric patients have always asymmetric variations in STT. The necessity of modeling and bending the thoracic prosthesis using ribs position and dimension is of outmost importance, in particular when taking into account the asymmetry of each patient, instead of using a template that only copies the chest wall curvature [7].

Conducted tests showed that ribs position can be estimated with an average error of 4.9 ± 3.23 mm and 5.1 ± 3.98 mm for the left and right side of the patient using ANN with a normalization procedure.

The highest errors in this study were found in lower ($0-15^\circ$) and higher angles ($65-80^\circ$) due to patient anatomic variability in these areas, making ANN not always able to converge to a desirable function. Therefore, the ANN outcome was improved by introducing a manually determined initial STT value, which can be acquired in future by a simple ultrasound procedure, without involving any radiation exposure. This allowed the average STT error to be further reduced to 2.98 ± 0.88 mm and 2.67 ± 0.63 mm for the left and right side of the patient.

Although, with limited user input, this methodology will no longer fulfill the fully

automated requirement, it increases the accuracy and suitability while decreasing the procedure uncertainty. The main associated problems were due to physical characteristics, namely morphology deformity, degree of asymmetry and patient age.

However, this last methodology demands further evaluation regarding its clinical applicability. After further tests one found that the average error tends to increase from 2.82 mm to 2.95, 3.21, 3.33 and 3.44 mm when the input points were shifted from the ideal position in 1, 2, 3 and 4 mm. Although this offset error may be dependent on the physician expertise or imaging system, one offset error up to 5 mm still yields to better results when compared to manual modelling or ANN itself without a first point.

The overall magnitude of the errors obtained allows one to discharge them, as the current prosthesis manual modeling error (Fig. 4-a and -b) is commonly higher than 10 mm, due to the high STT in some patients.

Although Magnetic Resonance (MR) and Ultrasounds (US) are also radiation-free options, they also comprise some shortcomings. MR is more expensive than the laser scan and unaffordable for some clinics. On the other hand, US does not provide direct information regarding the entire rib cage, which significantly limits the automatic modeling and ribs segmentation for prosthesis placement.. However, the US can be used to check if the ANN's outcome is reliable in some lateral positions.

5. Conclusion

This study explores the substitution of CT by 3D laser scanning in the treatment of *pectus excavatum*. The final result of this work is a software capable of computing personalized shapes of *pectus excavatum* prosthesis, based on 3D scan from the patients' thorax. The results obtained show that 3D scan technology, combined with state of the art knowledge and techniques in image processing and intelligent algorithms, represents a valid alternative for

prosthesis modelling in *pectus excavatum* surgical correction. One can also speculate the applicability of such an approach to the diagnosis itself for *pectus excavatum*.

In spite of the promising results, several research paths for the improvement of the developed process should be investigated. In particular, one should explore the impact of an increased number of patients to train the ANN, use classified groups of patients according to the different morphological types of *pectus excavatum*, and investigate how the process could be extended to female patients.

Competing interests: None declared

Funding: The authors acknowledge to Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) - Portugal for the fellowships with references: SFRH/BD/74276/2010 and PTDC/SAU-BEB/103368/2008.

Ethical approval: Not required

References

- [1] Kim DH, Hwang JJ, Lee MK, Lee DY, Paik HC. Analysis of the Nuss Procedure for Pectus Excavatum in Different Age Groups. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2005;80:1073-7.
- [2] Krasopoulos G, Dusmet M, Ladas G, Goldstraw P. Nuss procedure improves the quality of life in young male adults with pectus excavatum deformity. *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*. 2006;29:1-5.
- [3] Nuss D, Kelly RE, Croitoru DP, Katz ME. A 10-year review of a minimally invasive technique for the correction of pectus excavatum. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 1998;33:545-52.
- [4] Wang W-d, Shi Y-k, Wang H, Yin L-n. Research on Biomechanical Model of Children with Pectus Excavatum. *Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, 2009 BMEI '09 2nd International Conference on*2009. p. 1-4.
- [5] Park HJ, Lee SY, Lee CS, Youm W, Lee KR. The Nuss procedure for pectus excavatum: evolution of techniques and early results on 322 patients. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2004;77:289-95.
- [6] Lai J-Y, Wang C-J, Chang P-Y. The measurement and designation of the pectus bar by computed tomography. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2009;44:2287-90.
- [7] Rodrigues PL, Direito-Santos B, Moreira AH, Fonseca JC, Pinho AC, Rodrigues NF, et al. Variations of the soft tissue thicknesses external to the ribs in Pectus Excavatum patients. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2013;48:1878-86.
- [8] Chang PY, Hsu Z-Y, Chen D-P, Lai J-Y, Wang C-J. Preliminary analysis of the forces on the thoracic cage of patients with pectus excavatum after the Nuss procedure. *Clinical Biomechanics*. 2008;23:881-5.
- [9] Wei Y-b, Shi Y-k, Wang H, Gao Y. Simulation of Nuss Orthopedic for Pectus Excavatum. *Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, 2009 BMEI '09 2nd International Conference on*2009. p. 1-4.
- [10] Nagasao T, Noguchi M, Miyamoto J, Jiang H, Ding W, Shimizu Y, et al. Dynamic effects of the Nuss procedure on the spine in asymmetric pectus excavatum. 2010;140:1294-9.

- [11] Nagasao T, Miyamoto J, Kokaji K, Yozu R, Jiang H, Jin H, et al. Double-bar application decreases postoperative pain after Nuss procedure. 2010;140 (1):39-44.
- [12] Rechowicz KJ, McKenzie FD. Development and validation methodology of the Nuss procedure surgical planner. SIMULATION. 2013.
- [13] Vilaça J, Pinho A, Correia-Pinto J, Fonseca J, Peixinho N. System for automatic and personalized modelling/bending of surgical prosthesis for correction of pectus excavatum based on pre-surgical imaging information, Patent, WO2009/035358. 2009.
- [14] Vilaça JL, Henriques-Coelho T, Soares TR, Fonseca J, Pinho A, Rodrigues PL, et al. Automatic pre-bended customized prosthesis for Pectus Excavatum minimal invasive surgery correction. Surg Innov. 2013.
- [15] Hollingsworth C, Frush DP, Cross M, Lucaya J. Helical CT of the body: a survey of techniques used for pediatric patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;180:401-6.
- [16] Mao Y-Z, Tang S-T, Wang Y, Tong Q-S, Ruan Q-L. Nuss operation for pectus excavatum: a single-institution experience. World Journal of Pediatrics. 2009;5:292-5.
- [17] Fonseca JG, Moreira AHJ, Rodrigues PL, Fonseca JC, Pinho ACM, Correia-Pinto J, et al. Thoracic wall reconstruction using ultrasound images to model/bend the thoracic prosthesis for correction of pectus excavatum. 2012. p. 83200L-L-11.
- [18] Ceylan R, Ozbay Y, Karlik B. A novel approach for classification of ECG arrhythmias: Type-2 fuzzy clustering neural network. Expert Syst Appl. 2009;36:6721-6.
- [19] Güler İ, Übeyli ED. Implementing wavelet/probabilistic neural networks for Doppler ultrasound blood flow signals. Expert Syst Appl. 2007;33:162-70.
- [20] Xie H-B, Zheng Y-P, Guo J-Y, Chen X, Shi J. Estimation of wrist angle from sonomyography using support vector machine and artificial neural network models. Med Eng Phys. 2009;31:384-91.
- [21] Ennett CM, Frize M, Charette E. Improvement and automation of artificial neural networks to estimate medical outcomes. Med Eng Phys. 2004;26:321-8.
- [22] Hosseini HG, Luo D, Reynolds KJ. The comparison of different feed forward neural network architectures for ECG signal diagnosis. Med Eng Phys. 2006;28:372-8.
- [23] Middleton I, Damper RI. Segmentation of magnetic resonance images using a combination of neural networks and active contour models. Med Eng Phys. 2004;26:71-86.
- [24] Yardimci A. Soft computing in medicine. Applied Soft Computing. 2009;9:1029-43.
- [25] Hoppe H, Deroose T, Duchamp T, McDonald J, Stuetzle W. Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points. Comp Graph. 1992;26:71-8.
- [26] Moreira AHJ, Fonseca JG, Rodrigues PL, Fonseca JC, Pinho A, Rodrigues NF, et al. Assessment of 3D scanners for modeling Pectus Carinatum corrective bar. International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications (VISAPP)2013.
- [27] Kumar A, Shih A, Ito Y, Ross D, Soni B. A Hole-filling Algorithm Using Non-uniform Rational B-splines. In: Brewer M, Marcum D, editors. Proceedings of the 16th International Meshing Roundtable: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2008. p. 169-82.
- [28] Alexander AF, Nury MS, William AA, Karen EA. Anatomical, Histologic, and Genetic Characteristics of Congenital Chest Wall Deformities. Seminars in thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2009;21:44-57.
- [29] Pedro L, Rodrigues AHJM, Jaime C, Fonseca, A.C. Pinho, Nuno F. Rodrigues, João L. Vilaça. A Region-Based Algorithm for Automatic Bone Segmentation in Volumetric CT: NOVA Publishers; 2012.
- [30] Rodrigues P, Vilaça JL, Fonseca J. An image processing application for liver tumour segmentation. Bioengineering (ENBENG), 2011 ENBENG 2011 1st Portuguese Meeting in 2011. p. 1-6.
- [31] Fritsch FN, Carlson RE. Monotone Piecewise Cubic Interpolation. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. 1980;17:238-46.
- [32] Goyal S, Goyal GK. Cascade and Feedforward Backpropagation Artificial Neural Network Models For Prediction of Sensory Quality of Instant Coffee Flavoured Sterilized Drink. Canadian Journal on Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Pattern Recognition. 2011;2.
- [33] Møller MF. A scaled conjugate gradient algorithm for fast supervised learning. Neural Networks. 1993;6:525-33.
- [34] Hagan MT, Menhaj MB. Training Feedforward Networks with the Marquardt Algorithm. Ieee T Neural Networ. 1994;5:989-93.
- [35] Riedmiller. Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN). IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN). San Francisco 1993. p. 586-91.
- [36] Battiti R. First- and Second-Order Methods for Learning: Between Steepest Descent and Newton's Method. Neural Computation. 1992;4:141-66.

Title Page

Manuscript Title: Automatic Modeling of *Pectus Excavatum* Corrective Prosthesis using Artificial Neural Networks

Authors:

Pedro L. Rodrigues^{1,2,3*}, Nuno Rodrigues^{3,4}, ACM Pinho⁵, Jaime Fonseca², Jorge Correia-Pinto¹, João L. Vilaça^{1,3}

¹ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal.

²Algoritmi Center, School of Engineering, University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal.

³DIGARC – Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave, Barcelos, Portugal.

⁴HASLab/INESC TEC, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

⁵ Mechanical Department, University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

***Corresponding to:** Pedro L. Rodrigues

Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

Tel: +351 253604881

Fax: +351 253 604 847

E-mail: pedrorodrigues@ecsaude.uminho.pt

Abstract

Pectus excavatum is the most common deformity of the thorax. Pre-operative diagnosis usually includes Computed Tomography (CT) to successfully employ a thoracic prosthesis for anterior chest wall remodeling. Aiming at the elimination of radiation exposure, this paper presents a novel methodology for the replacement of CT by a 3D laser scanner (radiation-free) for prosthesis modelling.

The complete elimination of CT is based on an accurate determination of ribs position and prosthesis placement region through skin surface points. The developed solution resorts to a normalized and combined outcome of an artificial neural network (ANN) set. Each ANN model was trained with data vectors from 165 male patients and using soft tissue thicknesses (STT) comprising information from the skin and rib cage (automatically determined by image processing algorithms). Tests revealed that ribs position for prosthesis placement and modeling can be estimated with an average error of 5.0 ± 3.6 mm. One also showed that the ANN performance can be improved by introducing a manually determined initial STT value in the ANN normalization procedure (average error of 2.82 ± 0.76 mm). Such error range is well below current prosthesis manual modeling (approximately 11 mm), which can provide a valuable and radiation-free procedure for prosthesis personalization.

Keywords: *pectus excavatum*, artificial neural networks, image segmentation, prosthesis modelling

1. Introduction

Pectus Excavatum is the most common deformity of the thoracic wall, occurring between 1/400 and 1/1000 births and a male to female ratio of 4:1. It is characterized by a depression in the patient chest, which demands surgical correction in a high percentage of cases [1, 2].

A minimally invasive surgical procedure, purposed by Donald Nuss [3], is commonly carried out to remodel the anterior chest wall, by inserting an intrathoracic convex prosthesis (a metal bar) in substernal position [4, 5].

In what concerns to prosthesis modeling, only a few works addresses this problem. Lai, et. al. [6] manually draw the prosthesis shape over ribs position in Computed Tomography (CT) images, achieving accurate prosthesis curvature and length, especially in older patients and females. Vilaça et. al. [13, 14] developed a novel methodology, composed by mechanical and software models, that automatically model and bend the surgical prosthesis preoperatively by using (CT) images. The usage of CT for prosthesis modelling is still a controversial issue, since the main driver for *pectus excavatum* surgical correction is cosmesis and the surgery is usually performed in young adolescents (due to their soft cartilages), a population inherently more radiosensitive [15, 16]. In order to avoid radiation consequences, Fonseca et al. [17] recently proposed a methodology to reconstruct an axial plane, using 2D ultrasound images, equivalent to a CT-slice. This preliminary study only presents qualitative results, is user dependent and relies on rigid registration between 2D ultrasound images, which may induce additional errors in determining the rib outline.

Regarding the literature pitfalls, this work presents a novel solution aiming at the replacement of the CT by a radiation-free 3D laser scanner to automatically model the surgical prosthesis. Considering the ability of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN's) to approximate non-linear functions, these computational structures were chosen to infer the ribs position from the 3D model of the patient chest surface acquired by the 3D scanner.

2. Methods

Fig. 1 provides an overview of the proposed new method, presenting the main steps for prosthesis modeling:

a) Acquisition and reconstruction of the full anterior chest wall surface of the patient, using the 3D laser scanner (Fig. 1-a);

b) Determination of the skin line that transversely passes through the greatest sternum depression point (Fig 1-b). This set of points determine the position in the patient's skin surface under which the prosthesis bar is implanted;

c) ANN software module that receives the set of skin points calculated in the previous step and computes the necessary set of lines (blue lines in Fig 1-c) for *pectus* prosthesis modeling (Fig 1-d) [13, 14].

All the applied methods for the acquisition of the ANN training vectors were implemented using C++ with VTK (The Visualization ToolKit) and ITK (Segmentation & Registration Toolkit) support, while the ANN training procedure was implemented and tested using the neural network toolbox from MATLAB.

2.1 3D Chest Wall Surface Reconstruction

The patient 3D chest wall surface reconstruction begins by repeatedly swiping the laser scan (from FastSCAN Polhemus Liberty) over the anterior patient chest. An electromagnetic tracking system is used to track the scanner wand location in the 3D space ~~as the operator moves the wand over the subject~~. Equipment specifications determine that acquisition resolution depends on wand-object range, guaranteeing a 0.5 mm resolution at 200 mm range and improved resolutions (as low as 0.1 mm) for smaller ranges. Considering this, all scans were performed below 200 mm wand-object range.

98 The reconstruction of the thoracic wall mesh, from the acquired point cloud (Fig. 2-a), was
99 based on Hoppe et. al. work [25], which comprehends an algorithm that determines the zero
100 set of an estimated signed distance function, and is capable of automatically inferring the
101 topological type of the surface, including the presence of boundary curves.

102 The resulting triangular mesh (Fig. 2-b) of the patient thorax often contains small surface
103 holes due to inappropriate conditions during scan acquisition, namely patient movements and
104 occlusions. Even though the mesh holes do not represent a significant problem for the
105 calculation of the transversal chest line to place the prosthesis, it does influence the validation
106 of the 3D thorax scan quality, in particular for its comparison with CT scans. Therefore,
107 one has developed an automatic process for detecting and filling the mesh holes (Table 1).
108 NURBS were chosen due to their smoothing properties, finer shape control, flexibility to
109 design large variety of shapes and good overall curve.

110 The main purpose of the 3D-thorax reconstruction is to identify an axial slice that passes
111 through the maximum depression point (MDP) (skin points line in Fig 2-b). The MDP is
112 determined by considering the maximum value of the distance map along Oz (Fig. 2-c and -d)
113 at the mesh center region.

114

115 2.2 ANN Software Module Development

116 The ANN model development comprehended 2 main phases, namely the ~~definition and~~
117 acquisition of the training vectors (Section 2.2.1), and the definition of ANN in terms of
118 topology ~~and~~ training algorithms ~~and normalization~~ (Section 2.2.2).

119

120 2.2.1 ANN Training Vectors

121 2.2.1.1 Population Data

122 ANN's models were trained and validated with information acquired from thorax CT scans of

165 different patients. Test data consists of information acquired with the laser scanner from 20 other patients. These have also been subject to CT examination to serve as ground truth data of the ribs structures.

The CT images for the 185 patients were acquired at São João Hospital, Porto, Portugal and Hospital of Braga, Portugal, according to the ethical review board of the University of Minho. Each CT slice has 512-x-512 pixels, with a slice thickness of 3 mm. The age of all patients ranged from 5 to 18 years (mean of 13.55 ± 3.42). Female patients were not considered in this study because of the impossibility of correlating the breasts with the shape of the ribs. Regarding STTs characterization Table 2 shows the STT differences in different areas along the thoracic wall.

2.2.1.2 Axial Plane Prosthesis Placement

The employed methodology starts with the automatic calculation of the axial plane with the greatest sternum depression (number 4, Fig. 3-a and -b). To this extent, the noise corrupting the CT image is firstly reduced by smoothing the image with a Gaussian filter ($\sigma=2$ pixels). Then, a sigmoid non-linear filter mapped the image intensities into a new range, ~~enhancing the bones structures as the lightest areas.~~ The ~~output pixel I' was given by $I' = (IMax - IMin) * (1 / (1 + \exp(-(I - \beta) / \alpha)))$ where I is the input pixel intensity, $IMax (= 3000)$ and $IMin (= 0)$ output maximum and minimum intensities, $\alpha (=400)$ is intensity range width and $\beta (=1500)$ is the intensity around which the range is centered. These values were determined experimentally in order to enhance the bones structures. These were finally segmented ~~for further segmentation~~ Finally, the bone structures segmentation was accomplished using a ~~n~~ ~~iso surface~~ threshold ~~method T , which T , whose~~ level was automatically calculated as the one maximizing the total entropy E_T of the binary ~~image output~~: $E_T = E_B(i) + E_O(i)$, where $E_O(i)$ is the total object entropy and $E_B(i)$ is the total background entropy. The sternum~~

148 structure was finally isolated as the most anterior structure (Fig. 3-a).
149 The ~~region of the~~ inferior sternum region with highest depression, which corresponds to the
150 desired CT slice to place the prosthesis, was determined using a ray-pixel intersection method
151 that computes the minimum distances between the coronal plane (Tp, Fig. 3-b) parallel to the
152 chest wall and the sternum (Ts, Fig. 3-b).
153 Although the xiphoid process (number 2, Fig. 3-a) showed the greatest depression values, this
154 small structure was not considered due to its low ossification and inability to support the
155 prosthesis during two/three years of implantation [28].

156

157 2.2.1.3 STT Calculation

158 *Bone and Skin Segmentation*

159 The calculation of the STT, for the ANN training procedure, was accomplished by
160 determining the ribs external outline (red polynomials in Fig. 3-e) and skin points (green
161 points in Fig. 3-e) in CT images.

162 The skin points were ~~easily~~ segmented from the non-linear filter outcome, using the Otsu
163 threshold method. All skin pixels were selected by vertically and horizontally tracking the
164 first pixels in the binary image object.

165 On the other hand, bone structures were segmented using a ~~region-~~based methodology,
166 previously developed ~~and validated~~ by the authors in volumetric CT datasets [29, 30].
167 ~~Briefly,~~ the process uses the gradient magnitude of the non-linear filter and the minimum
168 description length algorithm to create an image partitioning of different levels (Fig. 3-c and –
169 d).

170 This outcome was used to calculate the coronal and sagittal planes that define the regions
171 for prosthesis placement and a reference point for all the lines used to acquire the STT (Fig.
172 3-e).

Although an automatic segmentation methodology to accelerate STT identification was used, the final results were manually validated in order to assure sound training vectors ~~for~~ the ANN.

STT Training Vectors

After bone segmentation, two polynomials were calculated by a Monotone cubic Hermite interpolation spline [31], one to delineate the external contour line of the left ribs and another to delineate the right ribs (red line in Fig. 3-e).

The STT external to the ribs was determined through different lines which slope was incremented with from 0° to 80° degrees (step of 1° degree), and decremented from 180° to 100° degrees (step of 1° degree), on the right and left sides of the patient, respectively (blue and yellow lines, Fig 3-e). The reference point for all these lines was the intersection between the coronal and median planes. These polynomials allow the STT determination in all regions where the prosthesis is placed, instead of only considering the ribs locations.

The STT computational representation in the training vectors is defined by 2 sets of line segments: the first set is defined by the distances in millimeters between the reference point and the patient ribs (blue lines in Fig. 3-e), while the second set corresponds to the distances between the same reference point and the chest surface (blue plus yellow lines in Fig. 3-e).

2.2.2 ANN Topology, Training and Ensemble Normalization

Considering the ability to approximate non-linear functions, two different networks topologies were used for predicting the external ribs outline: FNN's (feed-forward neural networks) and CNN's (cascade-forward neural networks - includes a weight connection from the input to each layer and a connection from each layer to the successive layers) [32].

During training, the weights and biases of the network (randomly initialized) were iteratively adjusted to maximize the network performance function that measures the mean

squared error between the ANN output vector and the ANN target vector.

Four different training algorithms were used to adjust the weights and biases of the ANN models. Three of these training algorithms are conjugate gradient algorithms, namely Scaled Conjugate Gradient (SCG), Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) and Resilient Back propagation (RP), while the fourth is a One Step Secant (OSS) algorithm named Quasi-Newton algorithm [33-36].

Conjugate gradient algorithms start by moving the network weights and biases along the negative gradient of the performance function based on a back propagation technique. These algorithms were chosen because:

(a) LM is a known algorithm with reduced processing time for convergence, accurate results and lower mean square errors for problems with a small number of network weights; (b) SCG and RP have also been extensively used over a wide variety of problems and medical applications [18-20], particularly for networks with a large number of weights. These are almost as fast as the LM algorithm on function approximation problems and even faster for large networks;

(c) OSS Newton's method often converges faster than conjugate gradient methods and requires less storage and computation per epoch, making it useful to approximate non-linear relationships.

The optimal ANN model was found by a systematic and repetitive process during which the number of hidden layers (between 1 and 4) with different number of neurons (between 15 and 75 sigmoid neurons) were changed for CNN and FNN topologies and training algorithms.

The training procedure was performed 15 times for each network configuration until one of the following parameters was achieved: (1) number of epochs was higher than 1000; (2) minimum error gradient lower than 1×10^{-5} ; (3) maximum number of iterations on which

223 the gradient error increases was lower than 10 – avoiding overfitting situations; (4) small
224 learning rate of 0.15.

225 In the end, the ANN performance was evaluated through a statistical regression analysis,
226 measuring the correlation coefficient (CC) between the output and target vectors (strong
227 correlation when $CC > 0.90$).

228 Instead of taking into account only one network output to predict the ribs external contour,
229 an ensemble solution ~~was determined~~ from a set S_N of ANN models (with $i=1,2,\dots,N$ with N
230 being the total number models) with a CC score higher than 0.92 through the following steps:

231 1) Each ANN model M_i (with $i=1,2,\dots,N$ with N being the total number of ANN models in
232 the set S_N) predicts the distances between the reference points and the ribs external outline
233 along the thoracic wall for each patient of the test data;

234 2) When M_i did not converge to a reliable result (convergence evaluated taking into account
235 the entropy, average, minimum and maximum values of the network outcomes), M_i was
236 discarded from S_N ;

237 3) The harmonic mean of the remaining networks M_i in S_N were input to a normalization
238 procedure that computes the final ribs external contour by adjusting the harmonic mean
239 values whenever:

240 a) The difference between the STT of the line with slope i and the one of a line with slope
241 $i-1$ was higher than 4 mm;

242 b) The length of the line from the reference point to the skin was smaller than the distance
243 determined with the ANN (rib point);

244 c) For each case described in (a) and (b) a new length is determined in accordance with a
245 weighted mean of the three previous lengths.

246 Initially all ANN models with $CC > 0.92$ ($N > 100$) were used to predict the ribs outline
247 according to the previous steps. However, since this solution required a significant

248 computational effort, one decided to remove neural networks with lower CC, while studying
249 its repercussions in the final result. The optimum value of N=30 ANN models assured the
250 best compromise between performance and accuracy when predicting the ribs outline, with
251 no statically differences when compared to using all ANN models.

252 Given that the computed STT in a certain angle is influenced by its neighboring values, the
253 accuracy and reliability of the results computed was also evaluated when using a manually
254 determined initial STT values after the ANN normalization procedure (i.e. for points in 0°
255 and 180° degrees calculated from the CT axial slice).

256

257 3. Results

258 | Depending on the number of active neurons and hidden layers, ~~the ANN models~~ usually
259 took 7 to 85 seconds to be fitted using an Intel Core i7 Q740 1.73GHz computer. Table 3
260 shows the CC scores (average and standard deviation) for different ANN models with various
261 configurations of number of neurons, hidden layers, training algorithms and topologies.

262 Independently of the ANN architecture, fewer neurons in the hidden layer (25-45 neurons)
263 performed better than a higher number of neurons (>75 neurons). With a higher number of
264 neurons the ANN object overfits the training data instead of generalizing the input and target
265 relationships.

266 Best results were obtained with the SCG (Table 3) training algorithm and FNN topology,
267 therefore, only those ANN objects will be discussed. The closest results were gathered using
268 the RP training algorithm. From Table 3, conjugate gradient backpropagation algorithms
269 shown to be the best with higher CC scores. Although the OSS was the training algorithm
270 with fastest convergence, it also shows lower CC scores.

271 Fig. 4 show the STT error when (1) using the manual modelling template that copies the
272 skin points (Fig. 4-a and -b); (2) ANN outcome without normalization (Fig. 4-c and -d); (3)

ANN with normalization (Fig. 4-e and -f); (4) ANN with normalization and first points (Fig. 4-g and -h).

As shown in Fig. 4, the highest errors for ideal prosthesis modeling are with the skin points. For both patient sides, the error is always higher than 5 mm. Apart from ANN output, highly statistical significance ($p<0.001$) was always found between ANN outcome and skin points.

Table 4 shows the average STT error for all 20 patients when using the skin points, ANN without normalization and input point, ANN with normalization algorithm and ANN with normalization algorithm and input points.

Fig. 5 illustrates some examples of the ANN output overlapped by the bone ground truth outline obtained from CT images.

4. Discussion

The study of the STT showed that symmetric or asymmetric patients have always asymmetric variations in STT. The necessity of modeling and bending the thoracic prosthesis using ribs position and dimension is of outmost importance, in particular when taking into account the asymmetry of each patient, instead of using a template that only copies the chest wall curvature [7].

Conducted tests showed that ribs position can be estimated with an average error of 4.9 ± 3.23 mm and 5.1 ± 3.98 mm for the left and right side of the patient using ANN with a normalization procedure.

The highest errors in this study were found in lower ($0-15^\circ$) and higher angles ($65-80^\circ$) due to patient anatomic variability in these areas, making ANN not always able to converge to a desirable function. Therefore, the ANN outcome was improved by introducing a manually determined initial STT value, which can be acquired in future by a simple ultrasound procedure, without involving any radiation exposure. This allowed the average STT error to

298 be further reduced to 2.98 ± 0.88 mm and 2.67 ± 0.63 mm for the left and right side of the
299 patient.

300 Although, with limited user input, this methodology will no longer fulfill the fully
301 automated requirement, it increases the accuracy and suitability while decreasing the
302 procedure uncertainty ~~of the procedure~~.

303 The main associated problems were due to physical characteristics, namely morphology
304 deformity, degree of asymmetry and patient age.

305 However, this last methodology demands further evaluation regarding its clinical
306 applicability. After further tests one found that the average error tends to increase from 2.82
307 mm to 2.95, 3.21, 3.33 and 3.44 mm when the input points where shifted from the ideal
308 position in 1, 2, 3 and 4 mm. Although this offset error may be dependent on the physician
309 expertise or imagiology system, one offset error up to 5 mm still yields to better results when
310 compared to manual modelling or ANN itself without a first point.

311
312 The overall magnitude of the errors obtained allows one to discharge them, as the current
313 prosthesis manual modeling error (Fig. 4-a and -b) is commonly higher than 10 mm, due to
314 the high STT in some patients.

315 Although Magnetic Resonance (MR) and Ultrasounds (US) are also radiation-free
316 ~~alternative imaging~~ options, they also comprise some shortcomings. MR is more expensive
317 than the laser scan and unaffordable for some clinics. On the other hand, US does not provide
318 direct information regarding the entire rib cage, which significantly limits the automatic
319 modeling and ribs segmentation ~~for prosthesis placement of the ribs where the prosthesis is~~
320 ~~placed~~. However, the US can be used to check if the ANN's outcome is reliable in some
321 lateral positions.

322

323 5. Conclusion

324 This study explores the substitution of CT by 3D laser scanning in the treatment of *pectus*
325 *excavatum*. The final result of this work is a software ~~tool~~-capable of computing personalized
326 shapes of *pectus excavatum* prosthesis, based on 3D scan ~~data~~-from the patients' thorax. The
327 results obtained show that 3D scan technology, combined with state of the art knowledge and
328 techniques in image processing and intelligent algorithms, represents a valid alternative for
329 prosthesis modelling in *pectus excavatum* surgical correction. One can also speculate the
330 applicability of such an approach to the diagnosis itself ~~in-for~~ *pectus excavatum*-patients.

331 In spite of the promising results, several research paths for the improvement of the
332 developed process should be investigated. In particular, one should explore the impact of an
333 increased number of patients to train the ANN, use classified groups of patients according to
334 the different morphological types of *pectus excavatum*, and investigate how the process could
335 be extended to female patients.

336

337

338 **Competing interests:** None declared

339 **Funding:** The authors acknowledge to Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) -

340 Portugal for the fellowships with references: SFRH/BD/74276/2010 ~~and -This work~~

341 ~~was also supported by FCT R&D project~~ PTDC/SAU-BEB/103368/2008.

342 **Ethical approval:** Not required

343

344 References

- 345 [1] Kim DH, Hwang JJ, Lee MK, Lee DY, Paik HC. Analysis of the Nuss Procedure for Pectus Excavatum in
346 Different Age Groups. The Annals of Thoracic Surgery. 2005;80:1073-7.
347 [2] Krasopoulos G, Dusmet M, Ladas G, Goldstraw P. Nuss procedure improves the quality of life in young
348 male adults with pectus excavatum deformity. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. 2006;29:1-5.
349 [3] Nuss D, Kelly RE, Croitoru DP, Katz ME. A 10-year review of a minimally invasive technique for the
350 correction of pectus excavatum. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 1998;33:545-52.

Formatted: Left, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

[4] Wang W-d, Shi Y-k, Wang H, Yin L-n. Research on Biomechanical Model of Children with Pectus Excavatum. Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, 2009 BMEI '09 2nd International Conference on 2009. p. 1-4.

[5] Park HJ, Lee SY, Lee CS, Youm W, Lee KR. The Nuss procedure for pectus excavatum: evolution of techniques and early results on 322 patients. The Annals of Thoracic Surgery. 2004;77:289-95.

[6] Lai J-Y, Wang C-J, Chang P-Y. The measurement and designation of the pectus bar by computed tomography. Journal of pediatric surgery. 2009;44:2287-90.

[7] Rodrigues PL, Direito-Santos B, Moreira AH, Fonseca JC, Pinho AC, Rodrigues NF, et al. Variations of the soft tissue thicknesses external to the ribs in Pectus Excavatum patients. J Pediatr Surg. 2013;48:1878-86.

[8] Chang PY, Hsu Z-Y, Chen D-P, Lai J-Y, Wang C-J. Preliminary analysis of the forces on the thoracic cage of patients with pectus excavatum after the Nuss procedure. Clinical Biomechanics. 2008;23:881-5.

[9] Wei Y-b, Shi Y-k, Wang H, Gao Y. Simulation of Nuss Orthopedic for Pectus Excavatum. Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, 2009 BMEI '09 2nd International Conference on 2009. p. 1-4.

[10] Nagasao T, Noguchi M, Miyamoto J, Jiang H, Ding W, Shimizu Y, et al. Dynamic effects of the Nuss procedure on the spine in asymmetric pectus excavatum. 2010;140:1294-9.

[11] Nagasao T, Miyamoto J, Kokaji K, Yozu R, Jiang H, Jin H, et al. Double-bar application decreases postoperative pain after Nuss procedure. 2010;140 (1):39-44.

[12] Rechowicz KJ, McKenzie FD. Development and validation methodology of the Nuss procedure surgical planner. SIMULATION. 2013.

[13] Vilaça J, Pinho A, Correia-Pinto J, Fonseca J, Peixinho N. System for automatic and personalized modelling/bending of surgical prosthesis for correction of pectus excavatum based on pre-surgical imaging information, Patent, WO2009/035358. 2009.

[14] Vilaça JL, Henriques-Coelho T, Soares TR, Fonseca J, Pinho A, Rodrigues PL, et al. Automatic pre-bended customized prosthesis for Pectus Excavatum minimal invasive surgery correction. Surg Innov. 2013.

[15] Hollingsworth C, Frush DP, Cross M, Lucaya J. Helical CT of the body: a survey of techniques used for pediatric patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;180:401-6.

[16] Mao Y-Z, Tang S-T, Wang Y, Tong Q-S, Ruan Q-L. Nuss operation for pectus excavatum: a single-institution experience. World Journal of Pediatrics. 2009;5:292-5.

[17] Fonseca JG, Moreira AHJ, Rodrigues PL, Fonseca JC, Pinho ACM, Correia-Pinto J, et al. Thoracic wall reconstruction using ultrasound images to model/bend the thoracic prosthesis for correction of pectus excavatum. 2012. p. 83200L-L-11.

[18] Ceylan R, Ozbay Y, Karlik B. A novel approach for classification of ECG arrhythmias: Type-2 fuzzy clustering neural network. Expert Syst Appl. 2009;36:6721-6.

[19] Güler İ, Übeyli ED. Implementing wavelet/probabilistic neural networks for Doppler ultrasound blood flow signals. Expert Syst Appl. 2007;33:162-70.

[20] Xie H-B, Zheng Y-P, Guo J-Y, Chen X, Shi J. Estimation of wrist angle from sonomyography using support vector machine and artificial neural network models. Med Eng Phys. 2009;31:384-91.

[21] Ennett CM, Frize M, Charette E. Improvement and automation of artificial neural networks to estimate medical outcomes. Med Eng Phys. 2004;26:321-8.

[22] Hosseini HG, Luo D, Reynolds KJ. The comparison of different feed forward neural network architectures for ECG signal diagnosis. Med Eng Phys. 2006;28:372-8.

[23] Middleton I, Damper RI. Segmentation of magnetic resonance images using a combination of neural networks and active contour models. Med Eng Phys. 2004;26:71-86.

[24] Yardimci A. Soft computing in medicine. Applied Soft Computing. 2009;9:1029-43.

[25] Hoppe H, Deroose T, Duchamp T, McDonald J, Stuetzle W. Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points. Comp Graph. 1992;26:71-8.

[26] Moreira AHJ, Fonseca JG, Rodrigues PL, Fonseca JC, Pinho A, Rodrigues NF, et al. Assessment of 3D scanners for modeling Pectus Carinatum corrective bar. International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications (VISAPP)2013.

[27] Kumar A, Shih A, Ito Y, Ross D, Soni B. A Hole-filling Algorithm Using Non-uniform Rational B-splines. In: Brewer M, Marcum D, editors. Proceedings of the 16th International Meshing Roundtable: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2008. p. 169-82.

[28] Alexander AF, Nury MS, William AA, Karen EA. Anatomical, Histologic, and Genetic Characteristics of Congenital Chest Wall Deformities. Seminars in thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2009;21:44-57.

[29] Pedro L. Rodrigues AHJM, Jaime C. Fonseca, A.C. Pinho, Nuno F. Rodrigues, João L. Vilaça. A Region-Based Algorithm for Automatic Bone Segmentation in Volumetric CT: NOVA Publishers; 2012.

[30] Rodrigues P, Vilaça JL, Fonseca J. An image processing application for liver tumour segmentation. Bioengineering (ENBENG), 2011 ENBENG 2011 1st Portuguese Meeting in 2011. p. 1-6.

[31] Fritsch FN, Carlson RE. Monotone Piecewise Cubic Interpolation. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. 1980;17:238-46.

411 [32] Goyal S, Goyal GK. Cascade and Feedforward Backpropagation Artificial Neural Network Models For
412 Prediction of Sensory Quality of Instant Coffee Flavoured Sterilized Drink. Canadian Journal on Artificial
413 Intelligence, Machine Learning and Pattern Recognition. 2011;2.
414 [33] Møller MF. A scaled conjugate gradient algorithm for fast supervised learning. Neural Networks.
415 1993;6:525-33.
416 [34] Hagan MT, Menhaj MB. Training Feedforward Networks with the Marquardt Algorithm. Ieee T Neural
417 Networ. 1994;5:989-93.
418 [35] Riedmiller. Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN). IEEE International
419 Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN). San Francisco1993. p. 586-91.
420 [36] Battiti R. First- and Second-Order Methods for Learning: Between Steepest Descent and Newton's Method.
421 Neural Computation. 1992;4:141-66.

422

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure Captions

~~Fig 1. Three Dimensional reconstruction of the skin surface of a male (right) and female (left) with pectus excavatum showing the chest wall deformities.~~

Fig 12. Overview of the main steps to automatic model/bend the thoracic prosthesis using 3D scan data.

~~Fig. 32 – Overview of the chest wall surface reconstruction: a) unorganized point cloud; b) chest wall surface mesh with holes; c) smoothed surface mesh without holes; d) color map showing distances along Z axis and the maximum depression point; e) Overview of the chest wall surface reconstruction: a) unorganized point cloud; b) chest wall surface mesh with holes; e) smoothed surface mesh without holes; d) color map showing the maximum depression point (mdp).~~

~~Fig. 4~~ Left: Schematic of the algorithm for filling a hole in a surface triangle mesh; Right: Surface without holes after the filling holes method.

~~Fig 3. Overview of the different steps to automatically determine the region of the greatest sternum depression: (a) 3D sternum structure: 1- sternum, 2-xiphoid process and 3-manubrium; (b) ray intersection results and the greatest sternum depression slice (number 4); c) and d) representation of different image partitioning for bone segmentation; d) also shows a schematic how the control points were determined to define ribs external contour line; e)~~

~~Fig 5. 1~~Representation of different substructures segmented in a CT axial slice: ~~a)~~bone segmentation at surgical prosthesis location (polynomials in red); ~~b)~~skin segmentation; ~~e)~~ representation of lines between the central anatomical point and the patient ribs and skin surface used for ANN training;

Formatted: Footnote Text

~~Fig. 6—Effect of the Sigmoid filter with $I_{Min} = 1$, $I_{Max} = 3000$, $\beta = 1500$, $\alpha = 400$.~~

~~Fig 7. Overview of the different steps to automatically determine the region of the greatest sternum depression: (a) sternum representation; (b) 3D sternum: 1—sternum, 2—xiphoid process and 3—manubrium; (c) ray intersection results and (4) the greatest sternum depression slice.~~

~~Fig 8. Representation of the image partitioning (a1) and region merging (a2) results; b) schematic of the control points determination used to define external contour line of the right and left ribs.~~

~~Fig 9. Schematic of the training procedure; the image processing module gives an overview about the different steps to train ANN's to predict ribs position from skin points.~~

~~Fig 10. Schematic of the two ANN's topologies used in this work: FNN and CNN.~~

~~Fig 11. 4. Boxplots of the STT error according to 30 ANN topologies to predict ribs position in 20 patient test data. The boxplots have ends at one and three quartiles (Q1 and Q3). The horizontal line in the box shows the median of data. The farthest points that are not outliers (i.e. that are within 1.5 times the interquartile range of Q1 and Q3) are shown as sphere points. The mean errors are shown by crosses within the box. The red line shows the 5 mm error.~~

~~Boxplots of the STT error according to 30 ANN topologies to predict ribs position in one patient test. The circle represents the mean value of each boxplot.~~

~~Fig 12. Boxplots illustrating the STT error variations for all the 20 scanners according to the ANN normalization algorithm. The mean error of each patient is represented with a circle.~~

~~Fig 13. 5. Illustration of different ANN results (blue lines) when using the ANN without~~

normalization and input points (a1, b1, c1 and d1), ANN with normalization (a2, b2, c2 and d2)
and ANN with normalization and initial input points (a3, b3, c3 and d3).

Illustration of different ANN results (blue lines)

∴ a1, b1, c1, d1 illustrates results without ANN normalization, where the outer line corresponds to the skin points obtained from scanner and the inner line corresponds to the ground truth of the bone line calculated from CT; a2, b2, c2, d2 presents the same results with ANN normalization.

Table 1 ~~Automatic algorithm for mesh hole filling~~**Fill Holes Algorithm**

Input: Mesh with holes

Output: Smoothed mesh surface without holes

~~% = Comment~~

% Data Structures

Vertex :: (int, int, int) % *A vertices is defined with 3 integers*

Edges :: (vertex, vertex) % *An edge is defined with 2 vertices*

Triangle :: (Edge, Edge, Edge) % *A triangle is defined with 3 edges*

Mesh :: [Triangle] % *A mesh is defined with a list of triangles*

% Variables

surfaceMesh : Mesh % *Thoracic wall mesh*

singleEdges : [Edge] % *List of all edges that shares only 1 triangle – Mesh hole*

edgeClosures : [[Edge]] % *List of list of edges for each holes in the surface mesh*

edgeClosuresVertices : [[Vertex]] % *List of list of vertices for each hole in the surface mesh*

~~holeRing: [[L][Vertex]] % List of list of vertices that defines a ring of edges around the hole at level L (Fig. 2-e) – Level 1 - dark blue square points; Level 2 - blue square points; Level 3 - light blue square points)~~

~~holeRing_L1: [[Vertex]] % List of list of vertices that defines a ring of edges around the hole at level 1(Fig. 4 – dark blue square points)~~

~~holeRing_L2: [[Vertex]] % List of list of vertices that defines a ring of edges around the hole at level 2(Fig. 4 – blue square points)~~

~~holeRing_L3: [[Vertex]] % List of list of vertices that defines a ring of edges around the hole at level 3(Fig. 4 – light blue square points)~~

ray : [[Vertex]] % *List of list of rays*

nurb : Mesh % *Final Mesh that fills the hole*

%Processing

singleEdges $\leftarrow$ GetSingleEdges(surfaceMesh) % *Detect all the holes in the given triangular mesh surfaceMesh by identifying all edges that are shared by a single triangle*

edgeClosures $\leftarrow$ GetEdgeClosures(singleEdges) % *Label different edges as belonging to a different surface mesh holes, by automatically searching the subsets of edges that are connected to each other and form a closed path.*

edgeClosuresVertices $\leftarrow$ GetVertices(edgeClosures) % *Get vertices from each edgeClosure*

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: Italic

For each Vertice v in edgeClosuresVertices

(Edge_i, Edge_ipius) $\leftarrow$ GetAdjacentEdges(v) % (Fig. 2-e)4 – e_i and e_{i+1})

Double ang $\leftarrow$ CalculateAngle(Edge_i, Edge_ipius) % Calculate the angle (Fig. 2-e)4 – angle θ) between two adjacent boundary edges(Edge_i and Edge_ipius)

ray[v] $\leftarrow$ CreateRay(v , $\theta/2$) % Calculate a ray with starting point in a hole vertex V and direction define by the $\theta/2$ between the two edges that shares V (ray in Fig. 2-e)4)

GetLevelRing(holeRing, v) % Calculate points at the different three levels of vertices around the hole (blue squares in Fig. 2-e)

~~holeRing_L1[v] $\leftarrow$ GetLevel1Ring(v) % Calculate points at Level 1~~

~~holeRing_L2[v] $\leftarrow$ GetLevel2Ring(v) % Calculate points at Level 2~~

~~holeRing_L3[v] $\leftarrow$ GetLevel3Ring(v) % Calculate points at Level 3~~

End

nurb $\leftarrow$ CreateNURB(ray, holeRing) % Estimate the missing mesh surface through the calculation of Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines from previously calculated data

~~nurb $\leftarrow$ CreateNURB(ray, holeRing_L1, holeRing_L2, holeRing_L3) % Create NURB mesh from previously calculated data~~

nurb $\leftarrow$ LaplacianSmooth(nurb) % Laplacian smooth method to reduce the shrinking effect

*CreateNURB function implements a NURB curve [13] defined as

$$C(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n N_{i,p}(t)w_i P_i / \sum_{i=0}^n N_{i,p}(t)w_i$$
 where $p=3$ is the surface order, $N_{i,p}(t)$ is the B-Spline function, w_i is the weight for curve smoothness and P_i are the control points calculated from the starting and end point of the ray and its ring neighbors.

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Table 2 – Summary of anatomical STT characterization of all patients

STT Differences according to patient side		
Measured Area	Left	Right
Breasts	9.90± 3.6	11.0±3.2
Most lateral areas	22.2±6.23	23.8±7.1
Other thoracic wall angles	11.9±6.9*	12.3±6.7*

*The coefficient of variation among all patients was 0.37.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Table 3 – CC scores between different ANN with different training algorithms, topologies, neurons and hidden layers.

<u>Training</u> <u>Algorithm</u>	<u>ANN</u> <u>Topology</u>	<u>One Hidden Layer</u>					<u>Two Hidden Layer</u>				
		<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>SCG</u>	<u>FNN</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>0.90</u>	<u>0.87</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>0.84</u>	<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.99</u>	<u>0.93</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.88</u>
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
	<u>CNN</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.02</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.05</u>	<u>0.28</u>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>0.21</u>
		<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.86</u>	<u>0.97</u>	<u>0.93</u>	<u>0.84</u>	<u>0.79</u>	<u>0.87</u>	<u>0.85</u>	<u>0.80</u>	<u>0.82</u>
<u>LM</u>	<u>FNN</u>	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		<u>0.24</u>	<u>0.09</u>	<u>1.47</u>	<u>1.77</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>0.15</u>
	<u>CNN</u>	<u>0.75</u>	<u>0.86</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.87</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>0.83</u>	<u>0.96</u>	<u>0.93</u>	<u>0.91</u>	<u>0.91</u>
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
<u>RP</u>	<u>FNN</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>0.04</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2.12</u>	<u>1.27</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0.37</u>	<u>0.87</u>	<u>1.44</u>
		<u>0.66</u>	<u>0.90</u>	<u>0.93</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>0.95</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.90</u>	<u>0.88</u>
	<u>CNN</u>	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		<u>2.8</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>1.35</u>	<u>1.94</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>1.45</u>	<u>4.2</u>
<u>OSS</u>	<u>FNN</u>	<u>0.75</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.94</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.88</u>	<u>0.97</u>	<u>0.91</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.79</u>
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
	<u>CNN</u>	<u>2.67</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.02</u>	<u>0.18</u>	<u>2.56</u>	<u>1.20</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.37</u>	<u>1.10</u>
		<u>0.77</u>	<u>0.86</u>	<u>0.94</u>	<u>0.92</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.89</u>	<u>0.97</u>	<u>0.91</u>	<u>0.91</u>	<u>0.81</u>
<u>OSS</u>	<u>FNN</u>	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		<u>2.4</u>	<u>0.70</u>	<u>1.03</u>	<u>1.57</u>	<u>0.91</u>	<u>1.25</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0.68</u>	<u>0.43</u>	<u>2.44</u>
	<u>CNN</u>	<u>0.53</u>	<u>0.83</u>	<u>0.80</u>	<u>0.75</u>	<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.64</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>0.74</u>	<u>0.60</u>	<u>0.47</u>
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
<u>OSS</u>	<u>FNN</u>	<u>2.65</u>	<u>1.76</u>	<u>2.10</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>2.28</u>	<u>1.52</u>	<u>1.98</u>	<u>2.27</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>2.20</u>
		<u>0.68</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.71</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>0.71</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.54</u>
	<u>CNN</u>	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		<u>6.02</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>5.55</u>	<u>6.02</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>7.2</u>	<u>0.10</u>	<u>2.51</u>

Table 4 – STT average and standard deviation errors for prosthesis modelling according to different input data

STT error for prosthesis modelling according to the patient side (mm)

Input model data	Left	Right
<i>Skin points</i>	11.34±3.99	12.07±4.03*
<i>ANN</i>	7.04±5.29	6.60±6.20 [#]
<i>ANN</i> <i>+ norm</i>	4.92±3.23	5.10±3.98 ^{&}
<i>ANN</i> <i>+ norm</i> <i>+ input points</i>	2.98±0.88	2.67±0.63

* High significant differences (p<0.001) with other input models for both patient sides.

Significant differences (p<0.05) for the left patient side and non-significant differences (p>0.05) for the right side, when compared to ANN+norm. High significant differences (p<0.001) with ANN+norm+input points for both patient sides.

& Statistical differences (p<0.01) with ANN+norm+input points for both patient sides.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold, English (U.S.)

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold, English (U.S.)

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold, Portuguese (Portugal)

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold, Portuguese (Portugal)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic, Portuguese (Portugal)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic, Portuguese (Portugal)

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic, English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic, English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.2 li

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Italic, English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted ...

Formatted ...