Complementary Eigenvalue Problem in systems with frictional contact: the Stiffness Matrix for the contact nodes between different materials

Maria Antónia Forjaz  
Center of Mathematics  
University of Minho  
Email: maf@math.uminho.pt

António Mário Almeida  
Department of Physics  
University of Minho  
Email: coimbra@fisica.uminho.pt  
tla@fisica.uminho.pt

Jorge Pamplona  
CIGR  
University of Minho  
Email: jopamp@dct.uminho.pt

Abstract—This work addresses a numerical study of static equilibrium states of finite dimensional systems with frictional contact and its application to the particular problem of friction between two geological layers with different viscosity. Its formulation as a complementarity eigenproblem requires the building up of mass $M$ and stiffness $K$ matrices to solve the eigenvalue equations for the relative deformation of two contacting materials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The classical Eigenvalue Problem finds important applications in different areas of economics, science and engineering [1]. In Physics its applications are beyond mention for so wide and important. Particularly, in the typical models employed in condensed matter physics which are based in the oscillator paradigm, vibrations are everywhere and so are the eigenvalues associated with them [2]. In fact, it is in the dynamic behavior of a physical system that the eigenvalue problems are best known.

The study of instabilities and bifurcations in systems with friction has been motivated by many experimental observations related to technological problems or industrial processes. In [3] and [4] propose a mixed explicit complementarity eigenproblem, equivalent to a mixed complementarity-inclusion eigenproblem, and also to a set of classical generalized linear eigenproblems.

This work is presented according to: Section I, which contains the mathematical definitions for the complementary eigenproblem; Section II where the formulation of finite dimensional frictional contact problem for different material nodes is studied and the crucial stiffness matrix for this situation is established; Section III includes the procedure to formulate the frictional contact issue as a Complementary Eigenvalue Problem.

A. Eigenproblem

The classical Eigenvalue Problem (EP) consists of finding a scalar $\lambda$ (eigenvalue) and a non-zero vector $x$ (eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda$) such that

$$Ax = \lambda x,$$

(1)

where $A$ is a square, complex or real, matrix.

In some circumstances, considering $A$ symmetric, it is advantageous to use an optimization approach to the Rayleigh quotient, $R_A(x)$, [3]

$$R_A(x) := \frac{x^T Ax}{x^T x}.$$ 

In fact, since $x \neq 0$, the gradient of the Rayleigh quotient is given by

$$\nabla R_A(x) := \frac{2}{x^T x} (A - R_A(x) I) x,$$

and the (non-zero) critical points of $R_A(x)$ are precisely the eigenvectors of $A$, and hence the critical points corresponding to a given eigenvalues form a space whose dimension is equal to the dimension of this eigenvalue. The critical points corresponding to the largest (respectively smallest) eigenvalue are global maxima (respectively minima) of $R_A(x)$.

Given two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the problem of finding a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and a non-vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$Ax = \lambda Bx,$$

is often referred as the Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (GEP).

B. The Complementary Eigenproblem

The Eigenvalue Complementary Problem (EiCP) is an extension of EP, first defined in [3], [5]. For a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a positive matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (i.e. $x^T B x >$
0, \forall x \neq 0), the EiCP consists on finding \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), and the two vectors \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
  w &= (\lambda B - A)x \\
  w^T \geq 0, & x \geq 0 \\
  x^T w &= 0 \\
  e^T x &= 1
\end{align*}
\] (2)
where \( e \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is a vector of ones. The last constraint guarantees that the null vector \( x \) is not a solution to the problem.

The EiCP differs from the classical Eigenvalue Problem (EP) on the existence of nonnegative constraints on its variables and complementarity constraints between pairs of variables.

The EiCP can be formulated as a Variational Inequality Problem (VI) on the simplex
\[
\Omega = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : e^T x = 1, x \geq 0 \}
\]
and, since the VI on the simplex has a solution, the same is true for the EiCP [6].

EiCP is called symmetric if the matrices \( A \) and \( B \) are both symmetries, and \( B \) is positive definite, and in this case EiCP is equivalent to the problem of finding a stationary point of the Rayleigh function on the simplex [3].

Different algorithms have been developed in order to find a complementary eigenvalue and the associated eigenvector [7], [8], [9], [10] or, more recently, to find all the complementary eigenvalues [11].

C. The Mixed Complementary Eigenvalue Problem

The EiCP is a particular case of the so-called Mixed Complementary Eigenvalue Problem (MEiCP) that consists on finding \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), and the non-zero vector \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and the vector \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
  w &= (\lambda B - A)x \\
  w^T \geq 0, & x_j \geq 0 \\
  x^T w &= 0 \\
  e^T x &= 1
\end{align*}
\] (3)
where \( e \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is a vector of ones, \( x_j \equiv (x_j, j \in J) \), \( w_j \equiv (w_j, j \in J) \), \( J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \overline{J} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus J \). Observe that the EiCP is for \( J = \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

II. SYSTEMS WITH FRICTIONAL CONTACT: THE STIFFNESS MATRIX

In the present work we build up the stiffness matrix \( K \) at the frictional contact between two materials with different visco-elastic properties [12].

In geological processes (e.g. layering, folding and boudinage), materials with contrasting physical properties are often in contact. The comprehension of the contact dynamics of such two layers is the first step to solve relevant and more complex geological problems.

The herein problem consists on two materials, \( A \) and \( B \), with different mechanical properties (namely, density, viscosity, and stiffness) in direct contact. Each material is described by a two-dimensional mesh whose nodes are separated by distance \( a \) and to which is assigned a fraction of the total mass (\( \text{density} \times a^2 \)). Bonding between every two point masses is characterized by stiffness \( k \) (which includes the relevant properties to the problem).

Actually, the net force \( \mathbf{F} \) acting on the individual point masses relate to their respective displacements \( \mathbf{u} \) by
\[
\mathbf{F} = k \mathbf{u}
\]
(4)

In Figure 1, two layers of point masses are shown: round dots for material \( A \) and squares for material \( B \). Dashed lines depict the interactions amid them. The particular connections between neighbouring point masses belonging to either one or the other material are coloured in black.

Fig. 1. A model of materials \( A \) and \( B \) in contact.

A 4–mass cell consisting of two point masses of the lower level \( A \) and two other of the upper material \( B \) is outlined in Figure 2 with its nodal forces and displacements.

Fig. 2. Applied forces and displacement at each node.

A stiffness matrix is to be built up for those 4 point masses and interactions. For bonding between adjoining points belonging to the same layer, we take the known properties of that material to define \( k \). In every likelihood, these materials are isotropic and homogeneous and so we assume \( k \) to be constant - \( k_A \) for material \( A \) and \( k_B \) for material \( B \). When addressing interactions between point masses of different materials, one must take either the average of \( k_A \) and \( k_B \), or evaluate the
For the subset of point masses 1, 2, 3 and 4 we define the angles $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\theta$, as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Deformation at the contact of the two materials.](image)

The K matrix that encompass all the nodes in the structure results from rightfully adding the four individual stiffness matrices

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} c_i^2 & cs_i \\ cs_i & s_i^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

of each point mass. The elements of these matrices represent the projection of the force and respective displacement along the directions X and Y, according to the angles $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\theta$ (see Figure 3). (We use the short notation $c_i = \cos i$, $s_i = \sin i$, $cs_i = \cos i \sin i$.)

Thus, the global stiffness matrix writes

$$K = k_{AB} \begin{pmatrix} P & \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} A_\alpha & A_\gamma & A_\beta \\ \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} A_\alpha & Q & A_\beta & A_\theta \\ A_\gamma & A_\beta & R & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} A_\alpha \\ A_\beta & A_\theta & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} A_\alpha & S \end{pmatrix}$$

Each block $P$, $Q$, $R$ and $S$ traduces the global stiffness related to each node with contributions, as appropriate, by each neighbour node.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} c_\alpha^2 + c_\beta^2 + c_\gamma^2 & \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma \\ \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma & \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} s_\alpha^2 + s_\beta^2 + s_\gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$k_{AB}$ suitable for the situation, possibly by using empirical data, when available.

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} c_\alpha^2 + c_\beta^2 + c_\gamma^2 & \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma \\ \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma & \frac{k_A}{k_{AB}} s_\alpha^2 + s_\beta^2 + s_\gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} c_\alpha^2 + c_\beta^2 + c_\gamma^2 & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma \\ \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} s_\alpha^2 + s_\beta^2 + s_\gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} c_\alpha^2 + c_\beta^2 + c_\gamma^2 & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma \\ \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} cs_\alpha + cs_\beta + cs_\gamma & \frac{k_B}{k_{AB}} s_\alpha^2 + s_\beta^2 + s_\gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The four blocks placed in the upper-left position relate to the A-material and the connections between the two materials, since they only contemplate 1–2–type bonds and A-B (1–4, 2–3, 1–3, 2–4) bonds. Else, the four blocks placed in the down-right position relate to the sole B and A-B bonds. For such reason, in the former case only $k_A$ and $k_{AB}$ parameters are contemplated while in the latter $k_B$ and $k_{AB}$ parameters appear.

### III. COMPLEMENTARY EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

Under constant applied forces $F^0$ the dynamics of the whole mass system is governed by momentum balance equations

$$M \ddot{u}(t) + Ku(t) = F^0 + R(t)$$

where $M$ is the mass matrix (symmetric and positive definite) and $K$ is the stiffness matrix (positive definite) and $R(t)$ denotes the reaction forces at time $t$ ($t \geq 0$).

Under the same applied forces $F^0$ for a constant displacement rate ($\ddot{u} = 0$), equation (6) assumes the form

$$Ku^0 = F^0 + R^0.$$  

In [13] it is shown that for some $t$ there are dynamic solutions of the form

$$u(t) = u^0 + \alpha(t)v$$

$$R(t) = R^0 + \beta(t)w$$

if and only if there exists a number $\lambda \geq 0$, and two vectors $v$ and $w$ ($v \neq 0$), are such that

$$(\lambda^2 M + K)v = w$$

$$w_j = 0$$

$$v_j = 0$$

Note that $v$ and $w$ define constant directions in the sets of right admissible displacement and reaction rates at the equilibrium state ($u^0$, $R^0$), the function of time $\alpha$ is twice continuously differentiable, $\alpha$ and $\dot{\alpha}$ are non-negative and non-decreasing in $[\tau, \tau + \Delta \tau]$, the function $\beta$ is continuous, non-negative and non-decreasing in the same interval, and the initial values $\alpha(\tau) \geq 0$, $\dot{\alpha}(\tau) \geq 0$ are arbitrarily small.

The problem is subsequently transformed into a non-monotone mixed complementarity problem (MEiCP), in which
the unknown eigenvalue is treated as a non-negative variable that is complementary with an additional variable involved in a normalising constraint that prevents the trivial solution, stated as it is in equation (3).

IV. Future Work

The method of MEiCP is to be applied to extensive layers of different materials in contact and under intense strain in order to study the deformation at the contact surface.

Latter on we aim to build up the stiffness matrix of an high viscosity layer embedded in a low viscosity one, which leads to deformation.
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