Compressive strength of tungsten mine waste- and metakaolin-based geopolymers
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Abstract

Neuro-fuzzy approach has been successfully applied to a wide range of civil engineering problems so far. However, this is limited for geopolymeric specimens. In the present study, compressive strength of different types of geopolymers has been modeled by adaptive neuro-fuzzy interfacial systems (ANFIS). The model was constructed by 395 experimental data collected from the literature and divided into 80\% and 20\% for training and testing phases, respectively. Curing time, Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} content, NaOH concentration, mold type, aluminosilicate source and H\textsubscript{2}O/Na\textsubscript{2}O molar ratio were independent input parameters in the proposed model. Absolute fraction of variance, absolute percentage error and root mean square error of 0.94, 11.52 and 14.48, respectively in training phase and 0.92, 15.89 and 23.69, respectively in testing phase of the model were achieved showing the relatively high accuracy of the proposed ANFIS model. By the obtained results, a comparative study was performed to show the interaction of some selected factors on the compressive strength of the considered geopolymers. The discussions findings were in accordance to the experimental studies and those results presented in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Geopolymers, a class of inorganic polymers having an amorphous structure consisting of [SiO\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{4−} and [AlO\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{5−} tetrahedral which share the entire corners with each other through oxygen atoms, are generally produced by mixing of an raw aluminosilicate source in the form of a powder with an alkaline silicate solution followed by curing \cite{1,2}. On account of production by little carbon emission, geopolymers are one of the primary replacements for ordinary Portland cement (OPC) whose production requires large amounts of energy and emits much anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} \cite{2,3}. Various aluminosilicate sources are used to date for production environmentally friendly geopolymers. Kaolin and metakaolin \cite{4–8}, fly ash \cite{9–14} and different types of slags and muds \cite{10,15–18} are among the most used aluminosilicate sources for geopolymerization.

Although such soft-computing techniques as artificial neural networks (ANNs), genetic programming (GP) and adaptive neuro-fuzzy interfacial systems (ANFIS) have been successfully applied to a wide range of civil engineering problems so far \cite{19–30}, this is very limited in geopolymers field as a new type high-performance construction materials and is only limited to the previous works (see \cite{31–33} for example). In the previous work \cite{31}, compressive strength of geopolymers with different aluminosilicate source was modeled by ANNs. It was reported that ANNs are capable to predict the compressive strength of geopolymers by a suitable accuracy. In the present study, ANFIS has been utilized to predict the compressive strength of the previously modeled geopolymers. Through using fuzzy sets and a linguistic model incorporating a set of IF–THEN fuzzy rules, ANFIS integrates the human-like reasoning approach of fuzzy systems. Besides the ability to petition for interpretable IF–THEN rules, being universal approximators is the main strength of ANFIS approximations \cite{34}.

To construct the ANFIS model in the present study, curing time (days), Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} content (wt\%), NaOH concentration,
mold type, aluminosilicate source and H2O/Na2O molar ratio were considered as independent input parameters and the compressive strength of the investigated geopolymers as independent target value. The experimental databases were divided into training (80%) and testing (20%) sets and modeled by the proposed ANFIS model which was constructed by a total of 128 rules.

2. Data collection

The collected data were same as those used in the previous work [31]. Three main series of geopolymers each made from a certain aluminosilicate source were considered in this study same as the previous work:

(1) The first series of samples were the compressive specimens made from tungsten mine wastes. Tungsten mine waste mud which was subjected to a thermal treatment, the fine aggregate which was crushed sand from the same mine, distilled water, the sodium hydroxide flakes, sodium silicate solution and calcium hydroxide were the materials used to produced geopolymeric compressive specimens using 50 × 50 × 50 mm³ cubic molds, according to ASTM C109. The complete preparation method of the considered geopolymers has been given in Ref. [35].

(2) Metakaolin-based geopolymers made from metakaolin, calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, sodium silicate solution, superplasticizer, sand and distilled water was used to dissolve the sodium hydroxide flakes [12]. Alkali-activated mortars were a mixture of aggregates, metakaolin, calcium hydroxide and alkaline silicate solution were poured into 160 × 40 × 40 mm³ cubic specimens according to EN 1015-11. The preparation method for compressive strength tests has been presented in Ref. [8].

(3) The third group of geopolymers made by tungsten waste mud was consisted of aggregates, waste mud, calcium hydroxide, alkaline silicate solution and water in a similar way to the method described above for the data gathered from Ref. [35].

3. Fuzzy logic

A wide range of covering engineering, process control, image processing, pattern recognition and classification, management, economics and decision making has been considered over the last decade by fuzzy logic, an interesting method invented by Lotfi Zadeh [36] in 1965 [37].

Fuzzy systems can be defined as rule-based systems that are constructed from a collection of linguistic rules which can represent any system with accuracy, i.e., they work as universal approximators. The rule-based system of the fuzzy logic theory uses linguistic variables as its antecedents and consequents where antecedents express an inference or the inequality, which should be satisfied and consequents are those, which we can infer, and is the output if the antecedent inequality is satisfied. The fuzzy rule-based system is actually an IF–THEN rule-based system, given by, IF antecedent, THEN consequent [38].

FL operations are based on fuzzy sets where the input data may be defined as fuzzy sets or a single element with a membership value of unity. The membership values (μ₁ and μ₂) are found from the intersections of the data sets with the fuzzy sets as shown in Fig. 1 which illustrates the graphical method of finding membership values in the case of a single input [39].

A fuzzy set contains elements which have varying degrees of membership in the set, unlike the classical or crisp sets where a member either belongs to that set or does not (0 or 1). However, a fuzzy set allows a member to have a varying degree of membership which can be mapped into a function or a universe of membership values [40].

The implementation of fuzzy logic to real applications considers the following steps [40]:

1. Fuzzification which requires conversion of classical data or crisp data into fuzzy data or Membership Functions (MFs).
2. Fuzzy Inference Process which connects membership functions with the fuzzy rules to derive the fuzzy output.
3. Defuzzification which computes each associated output.

3.1. Neuro-fuzzy systems

Fuzzy systems can also be connected with Neural Networks to form neuro-fuzzy systems which exhibit advantages of both approaches. Neuro-fuzzy systems combine the natural language description of fuzzy systems and the learning properties of neural networks. Various neuro fuzzy systems have been developed that are known in literature under short names. Adaptive Network-based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) developed by Jang [41], is one of these neuro-fuzzy systems which allow the fuzzy systems to learn the parameters using adaptive back propagation learning algorithm [37]. Mainly three types of fuzzy inference systems have been widely employed in various applications: Mamdani, Sugeno and Tsukamoto fuzzy models. The differences between these three fuzzy inference systems compromise as a result of their fuzzy rules, as well as
their aggregation and defuzzification procedures which differ accordingly [41]. In this study, the Sugeno FIS is used where each rule is defined as a linear combination of input variables. The corresponding final output of the fuzzy model is simply the weighted average of each rule’s output. A Sugeno FIS consisting of two input variables \( x \) and \( y \), for example, a one output variable \( f \) will lead to two fuzzy rules:

Rule 1: If \( x \) is \( A_1 \), \( y \) is \( B_1 \) then \( f_1 = p_1x + q_1y + r_1 \)

Rule 2: If \( x \) is \( A_2 \), \( y \) is \( B_2 \) then \( f_2 = p_2x + q_2y + r_2 \)

where \( p_i \), \( q_i \), and \( r_i \) are the consequent parameters of \( i \)th rule. \( A_i \), \( B_i \) and \( C_i \) are the linguistic labels which are represented by fuzzy sets shown in Fig. 2 [41].

![Fig. 2. The Sugeno fuzzy model [41].](image)

![Fig. 3. Initial membership functions [42].](image)

![Fig. 4. Final membership functions [42].](image)
3.2. Solving a simple problem with ANFIS

To illustrate how ANFIS works for function approximation, let us suppose one is given a sampling of the numerical values from the simple function below [42]:

\[ y_i = a^3 + b^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are independent variables chosen over random points in the real interval \([1,9]\). In this case, a sample of data in the form of 17 pairs \((a,b,y_i)\) is given where \( x_i \) is the value of the independent variable in the given interval \([1,9]\) and \( y_i \) is the output of the function given in Eq. (1) and presented in Table 2. The aim is to construct the ANFIS model fitting those values within minimum error for Eq. (1) by using the simplest ANFIS model that is available where the number of rules is 2 for each variable and the type of output membership function is constant. Initial and final membership values of rules for each input are given in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Suppose one will find the output for input values of 1 and 9. The inference diagram of the proposed ANFIS model is given in Fig. 5 for input values of 1 and 9 with corresponding values of output membership which is chosen as constant. For the first input which is 1 the value of the membership function is observed to be 1 shown on left side of Fig. 7. For the second input which is 9 the value of the membership function is observed to be 1 again shown on left side of Fig. 7. Thus the final output will be \( 82 \times 1 = 82 \).

The exact result for \( a=1 \) and \( b=9 \) from Eq. (1) will be \( y = 1^3 + 9^2 = 82 \).

Fig. 5. Fuzzy inference diagram [42].
The proposed ANFIS models use Gaussian membership function with 2 rules. The output membership function is chosen as constant value. Features of the proposed ANFIS model are given in Table 1. Statistical parameters of testing and training sets and overall results of the ANFIS model are presented in Table 2. The overall correlation of the ANFIS model can be seen in Fig. 6. ANFIS results are observed to be very close to actual results. The initial and final membership functions of inputs for compressive strength are presented in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. The fuzzy inference diagram is presented in Fig. 9 with a total of 128 rules.

4. Results and discussion

Absolute fraction of variance ($R^2$), the absolute percentage error (MAPE) and the root mean square error (MSE) were used in this study to represent the error arose during the training and testing in the proposed ANFIS model and they were calculated by Eqs. (2), (3) and (4) respectively [43]:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (t_i - o_i)^2}{\sum (o_i)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum \left| \frac{t_i - o_i}{t_i} \right| \times 100 

\text{(3)}

MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum (t_i - o_i)^2 

\text{(4)}

where \( t \), \( o \) and \( n \) are the target value, the output value and the number of data sets in each training and testing phases.

The calculated performance values for the proposed ANFIS model have been presented in Table 1. The values of \( R^2 \), MAPE and MSE in training phase of the model are 0.94, 11.52 and 14.48, respectively while these values in testing phase are 0.92, 15.89 and 23.69, respectively. These values together with the results illustrated in Fig. 6 show that the proposed ANFIS models could predict the compressive strength of the considered geopolymers appropriately. However, the predicted compressive strength for two geopolymeric mixtures with compressive strengths of about 56 and 68 MPa have the most deviation in the model. Although, some deviation is observed for some of the other data, the values predicted by the model have accuracy more than 90% and one may propose the presented model as a suitable one for prediction the compressive strength of the considered geopolymers.

A comparison between the predicted results by the proposed ANFIS model in this study and that of the previous work [31] shows that both ANNs models and ANFIS could predict the compressive strength of the evaluated geopolymers well.

Since the presented soft-computing techniques are limited to those presented in the previous works (see for example [31–33]), it is not possible to present a comprehensive evaluation with different geopolymeric specimens. However, all of the proposed models in the previous work and that presented in this work show that such soft-computing methods as ANFIS, ANNs and GP could be suitably adopted for predicting the properties of geopolymeric specimens.

The 3D interaction graph between some of selected variables generated by the proposed model can be seen in Fig. 10. Fig. 10a shows the interaction between \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O} \) ratio and NaOH concentration on compressive strength of the considered geopolymers. The results show that the highest strength has been achieved in higher concentration of NaOH and lower \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O} \) ratio. This is in accordance to the previous work.
Strength of a geopolymeric mixture depends on several factors in which NaOH concentration has a significant effect. However, the effect of NaOH concentration on compressive strength of geopolymers is completely antithesis. While some reported the increased strength with high NaOH concentration [11,44,45], the others [46,47] showed the negative impact of high NaOH concentration. An investigation on the proposed NaOH concentration for production geopolymers with higher strength shows that this depends mainly on the aluminosilicate source [48]. This has been completely evident in Fig. 10b where for type 1 aluminosilicate source even at low NaOH concentration, the strength is high and in some cases for this source type, the strength has been decreased by the increased NaOH concentration. However, the results of the three sources in this work show that the strength and NaOH increments behave in a parallel manner.

Fig. 10c shows the interaction between NaOH concentration and the amount of superplasticizer. The figure shows that higher amount of superplasticizer at all NaOH concentrations resulted in higher strengths. This is in accordance to the previous work [31], where higher content of superplasticizer usage lead to the reduced required water and hence increased strength. This is completely established for concrete specimens and since the nature of these constructional materials is similar, one may anticipate that geopolymers behave in the same manner.

One of the most interesting findings by the proposed ANFIS model has been illustrated in Fig. 10d where the interaction between Ca(OH)$_2$ content and NaOH concentration has been presented. The figure shows that in all of NaOH concentration and high Ca(OH)$_2$ content, the compressive strength is very low. The highest strengths have been achieved at intermediate NaOH concentrations and up to 10% of Ca(OH)$_2$. The findings show that even at high NaOH concentration, excessive Ca(OH)$_2$ content may lead to the decreased strength. This is in accordance to [48] where geopolymers were produced by ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and high content of lime. Relatively low compressive strength of those geopolymers show the possible formation of Ca(OH)$_2$ during incomplete geopolymerisation.

Finally, Fig. 10e–i shows the interaction between curing time and the other parameter. In all cases, it has been predicted that the compressive strength of the considered geopolymers increased up to 28 days and then will be decreased. This is in accordance to the previous work [31]. Although the conducted works on the post 28-days compressive strength of the geopolymers are limited, some of them have reported the decreased strength after 28 days of curing [44]. However, in
Fig. 10. The 3D interaction graph between some of selected variables generated by the proposed ANFIS model: (a) NaOH concentration and H₂O/Na₂O, (b) NaOH concentration and aluminosilicate source, (c) NaOH concentration and superplasticizer amount, (d) NaOH concentration and Ca(OH)₂ content, (e) curing time and H₂O/Na₂O, (f) curing time and aluminosilicate source, (g) curing time and NaOH concentration, (h) curing time and superplasticizer amount, and (i) curing time and Ca(OH)₂ content.
contrary, some reported the increased strength after 28 days of curing [47]. This may be related to the production method and aluminosilicate source and requires further investigations.

5. Conclusion

Application of neuro-fuzzy approaches for the prediction of compressive strength of the considered geopolymers is very scarce. This paper presents a pioneer work on neuro-fuzzy approach in this field. The proposed ANFIS model is a unified rule-based model based on experimental data. The proposed ANFIS models show very good agreement with experimental results. The values of $R^2$, MAPE and MSE in training phase of the model were acquired as $0.94$, $11.52$ and $14.48$, respectively and $0.92$, $15.89$ and $23.69$, respectively, in testing phase. The predicted results by the proposed ANFIS model showed that the effect of NaOH concentration as a main factor depends on the other factors. However, by considering the effect of the entire factors, the strength is decreased after 28 days of curing. As a conclusion of this study, neuro-fuzzy may serve as an effective alternative tool for the modeling compressive strength of geopolymers in the future.
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