Response to "Are personality traits really weak/moderate predictors of empathy?"

Journal:	<i>Medical Teacher</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Categories:	Letters
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Costa, Manuel Joao; ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal, Costa, Patrício; University of Minho, School of Health Sciences Magalhães, Eunice; ISCTE – Lisbon University Institute,
Keywords:	Communication skills < Learning outcomes, Patient management < Learning outcomes, Undergraduate < Phase of education, Ethics/attitudes < Learning outcomes, Professionalism < Learning outcomes

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Dear Sir,

The results described in the letter to the editor in response to our manuscript describes support our conclusions that personality traits and empathy are correlated. The authors used a different scale to measure empathy - the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI) – and described correlations between IRI total scores and Big5 personality traits higher than the ones we found between JSPE and the same traits. The concurrence of both studies strengthens the hypothesis that something about students’ empathy is conditioned by personality. The authors found a contradiction in the results of the two studies concerning the correlation between conscientiousness and empathy scores. We would argue this is not the case since we reported correlations of JSPE total score with Conscientiousness (as displayed in Table 2) even though only Agreeableness and Open to Experience were significant predictors of falling into the top top tercile of JSPE scores. We also believe that the correlations of personality with IRI- subscores will not necessarily have the same meaning than the correlations with total JSPE scores. The items on the IRI ask the respondent to answer how he/she identifies she/herself with the item whereas the JSPE items ask respondents to assess the importance of the item within the physician/patient relationship.

The authors raise reservations on the use of JSPE to capture the empathy of 1st year students. This is a thoughtful issue as JSPE has been developed and trialed with the intention of being “specific for patient care situations” (Hojat et al. 2001) and was adapted to US medical students, whose profile would be probably more equivalent to European 4th year students – nevertheless the JSPE structure has been replicated internationally (Costa et al. 2012).

However, related to the JSPE, the IRI scale has the limitation of having been developed with no intention to capture the specificities of medical education. To decide on which is the bests scale to use for first year students, we believe it would be important to clarify methodological and conceptual issues. Methodologically, it would be important to assess and test the possible moderating role of year of study in the relation between the IRI and JSPE scores, and the relation of both empathy scores and personality traits. Conceptually, we need to answer questions such as to what extent medical schools expect admitted medical students to differ in empathy from the general population, what distinguishes the two empathy constructs and which would be the appropriate period in which the empathy of the entering student would evolve in to “medical student empathy”. We believe that longitudinal empathy studies with both instruments and the conceptual clarifications are both necessary evolutions in this field of research.

Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca T. The Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy: Development and preliminary psychometric data. *Educ Psychol Meas* 2001; 61: 349–365

Costa P, Magalhães E, Costa MJ. 2012. A latent growth model suggests that empathy of medical students does not decline over time. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract.* - Published online 4 July, DOI: 10.1007/s10459-012-9390-z

For Peer-Review Only