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Abstract
Macro/microporous silk/nano-sized calcium phosphate scaffolds (SC16) with bioactive and superior physicochemical properties are currently being developed. In this study, we evaluated the new bone formation ability in rat femur of the SC16 scaffolds in vivo, using silk fibroin scaffolds (S16) as control. The CaP distribution profile in the scaffolds was characterized by micro-computed tomography and the CaP phase was found to be distributed homogeneously in the SC16 scaffolds. Mineralization was only observed in SC16 scaffolds, and both scaffolds gradually degraded with time. By staining the explants, new bone growth was observed directly on the SC16 surface and with higher density than that observed on the S16 scaffolds. These results demonstrated that the SC16 hybrid scaffolds are osteoconductive and can be good candidates for bone tissue engineering as they promote superior de novo bone formation.
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Introduction

Bone defects derived from trauma or diseases often require grafts to regenerate the function of the impaired bone tissues.\textsuperscript{1,2} Currently, autografts and allografts are the dominant treatments for bone defects.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, both of them have limitations, such as lack of sufficient supplies and risks of disease transmission.\textsuperscript{1,2} To counter such drawbacks, tissue engineered bone can be a promising alternative strategy for bone regeneration.\textsuperscript{3,4} Porous biodegradable scaffolds play a crucial role toward this goal.\textsuperscript{5} Several ceramic-based or polymeric biomaterials have been investigated as scaffold materials, such as hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate,\textsuperscript{6–9} collagen, chitosan, and silk fibroin (SF).\textsuperscript{10–13} However, it has been recognized that the ideal scaffolds for bone regeneration are those with osteoconductive or osteoinductive properties, as well as with good mechanical performance.\textsuperscript{7,14,15} Calcium phosphate–based biomaterials are osteoconductive; however, the mechanical properties are compromised by their fragile nature.\textsuperscript{16} With this in mind, composite-based scaffolds composed of a calcium phosphate (CaP) phase and a polymeric phase have been studied intensively.\textsuperscript{17–20}

SF derived from \textit{Bombyx mori} or other species has been used as versatile degradable biomaterial for years.\textsuperscript{21–26} Several methods have been used to prepare silk scaffolds with controlled structure and mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{11,12,27–32} The compatibility of silk-based biomaterials with different kinds of cells has also been studied.\textsuperscript{15,23–26,33–35} Scaffolds composed of SF and CaP have been explored in a few studies,\textsuperscript{16,18,19,36} but the homogeneous distribution of the inorganic phase within the SF matrix remains challenging.\textsuperscript{19} In order to overcome this problem, we have developed a novel strategy, which uses an in situ synthesis method to produce SF/nano-sized CaP composites and subsequently generates the macro/microporous scaffolds by salt leaching/freeze drying.\textsuperscript{37} The final scaffolds have a homogeneous distribution of submicron CaP particles. These macro/microporous silk/nano-sized calcium phosphate (Silk/Nano-CaP) scaffolds have bioactivity and good mechanical properties. Systematic in vitro mineralization and in vitro long-term stability of the scaffolds were assessed in this study. In parallel, the in vivo new bone formation ability of these scaffolds was evaluated by implantation in rat femur defects.

Materials and methods

Materials and reagents

\textit{B. mori} cocoons were purchased from the Portuguese Association of Parents and Friends of Mentally Disabled Citizens (APPACDM, Castelo Branco, Portugal). Commercial sodium chloride particles were obtained from the local market (Portugal). The silicone tubing was purchased from Deltalab (Barcelona, Spain). All the other materials or reagents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless addressed otherwise.

Scaffold preparation

The SF extraction and scaffold preparations were made by following previously reported methods.\textsuperscript{12,37} Briefly, 0.02 mol/L of boiling sodium carbonate solution was used to remove sericin from the cocoons for 1 h. And then, the purified SF was dissolved in 9.3 mol/L of lithium bromide solution for 1 h at 70°C, followed by dialyzing in distilled water for 48 h using a benzoylated dialysis tubing (molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) = 2000). The concentrated SF solution was obtained by dialyzing the SF solution against a 20 wt% poly(ethylene glycol) solution. The final SF content in the solution was determined by drying the SF solution at 70°C overnight. The SF solution was kept at temperature below 6°C before use. Normally, the SF solution was stored for less than 24 h before use.
Silk/Nano-CaP scaffold preparation

6 mol/L of calcium chloride and 3.6 mol/L of ammonia dibasic phosphate solutions were sequentially added into the 16 wt% SF solution. The final calcium-to-phosphate atomic ratio in the silk solution was fixed at 1.67, equaling that in Ca$_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$(OH)$_2$ (hydroxyapatite). The mixture of the calcium chloride and ammonia dibasic phosphate solutions will form the CaP particles in an aqueous environment with an appropriate pH value. The CaP particles will transform into hydroxyapatite by aging the suspension under basic conditions. The viscous silk solution (16 wt%) prevents the aggregation of the formed CaP particles, and thus, a homogeneous dispersion of the CaP particles was achieved. The CaP content was 16 wt% of the SF mass, with the assumption that all the introduced calcium and phosphate ions reacted completely and transformed into hydroxyapatite. The pH value of the obtained milky suspension was adjusted to 8.5. The mixture was gently stirred for 30 min and subsequently aged for 24 h. Then, 2 g of sodium chloride particles (500–1000 µm) was added into 1 mL of Silk/Nano-CaP suspension in a silicone tube, and the tube was dried in air for 2 days. The final Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds were prepared by leaching out the sodium chloride particles in distilled water followed by lyophilization of the scaffolds. The salt-leached SF scaffolds were prepared by addition of the sodium chloride particles into the 16 wt% SF solution, and the remaining steps were the same as mentioned earlier. The salt-leached Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds and SF scaffolds were denoted as SC16 and S16. We have chosen SC16 and S16 for our current studies on bone regeneration on the basis of previous mechanical property and in vitro mineralization studies. CaP particles were prepared using the procedure mentioned earlier, but the silk solution was replaced with distilled water. The prepared particles were washed after aging, followed by freeze-drying.

Scaffold characterization

The scaffolds were coated with a layer of Au/Pd SC502-314B in an evaporator coater (E6700; Quorum Technologies, East Grinstead, UK) before morphology observation by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Nova NanoSEM 200; FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The distribution of CaP particles into the SF matrix was examined in a backscattered SEM model without any coating on the powder from the SC16. Three specimens were tested for each group of scaffolds.

The crystallinity states of SF and CaP in the scaffolds were determined in an X-ray diffractometer (PW1710; Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154056 nm). The data were recorded from 0° to 60° 2θ values, with step width and counting time set at 0.02° and 2 s/step, respectively. Three specimens were analyzed for each group.

The SF conformation and scaffold composition information were evaluated by attenuated total reflectance (ATR) model in a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (IRPrestige-21, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), equipped with a germanium crystal. Each specimen was scanned 48 times with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. Triplicate samples were used for each group of scaffolds. CaP control was also analyzed.

The compressive modulus of the scaffolds was recorded in a universal testing machine (Instron 4505), with a compressive speed of 2 mm/min. The modulus was determined from the slope of the linear domain in the stress–strain curve. At least five samples were examined for S16 or SC16 scaffolds.

The porosity, CaP distribution profile in the scaffolds, and total CaP content (vol.%) in the scaffolds were analyzed by micro-computed tomography (micro-CT, 1072 scanner; SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium). For the porosity determination, the S16 and SC16 samples were scanned at 40 keV/248 µA and 61 keV/163 µA, respectively. For the CaP distribution and CaP content, the scaffolds were both scanned at 61 keV/163 µA. The data sets were processed into bitmap images in...
NRecon v1.4.3 software (SkyScan) in a cone-beam model. For the porosity calculation, the images were transformed into binary images using a gray value ranging from 40 to 255. Regarding the CaP content analysis, gray values comprised between 120 and 255 were used. The CaP content and distribution in the scaffolds were analyzed vertically. At least three specimens were evaluated for each formulation.

The mineralization of the scaffolds was performed by immersing the S16 and SC16 scaffolds in a simulated body fluid (SBF) solution at 37°C for 1, 3, 7, and 14 days. At the end of each time point, the samples were removed, and the surfaces were observed by SEM as mentioned earlier. The energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) detector (Nova NanoSEM 200; FEI) was used to analyze the surface elements of the scaffolds after in vitro mineralization. An area of 5 × 5 µm was selected to scan each specimen. Three samples were used for each group at each time point.

The long-term hydration degree and degradation profile were studied by immersing the scaffolds in 0.154 mol/L of sodium chloride isotonic saline solution (ISS; pH = 7.4) at 37°C in a water bath (GFL 1086) at dynamic condition (60 r/min). The wet weight of each specimen was measured immediately after removing the samples at the end of 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. The dry weight was recorded after drying the samples at 60°C for 24 h. The hydration degree and the weight loss ratio were obtained by the following equations.

\[
\text{Hydration degree} = \left[ \frac{(m_{w,t} - m_o)}{m_o} \right] \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{Weight loss ratio} = \left[ \frac{(m_o - m_{d,t})}{m_o} \right] \times 100\%
\]

where \(m_o\) is the original weight of the specimen before hydration, \(m_{w,t}\) is the wet weight after removing from ISS at the end of each time point, and \(m_{d,t}\) is the dry weight after immersion in ISS. Five samples were measured for each group at each time point.

**In vivo implantation and evaluation**

**Implantation procedure.** Young male Wistar rats (\(n = 6\) per group) with body weight of 125–150 g were purchased from Charles River (Senneville, Quebec, Canada), housed in light- and temperature-controlled rooms, and fed a standard diet. Bone defects were drilled bilaterally in each distal femur, proximal to the epiphyseal plate, of every rat. The defects were made using a low-speed drill (2.3 mm in diameter) with copious saline irrigation. The defects were made until it reached the bone marrow domain. Previously prepared scaffolds were then press fit into the defects. Before the implantation, the wet scaffolds were cut into 3 mm in height and punched into 4 mm in diameter, followed by lyophilization and subsequently sterilized by ethylene oxide. The diameter of the lyophilized scaffold was around 3.3 mm. Thus, the diameters of the defect and the scaffolds were very close. The maintenance and use of animals were in accordance to the Ethics Committee of University of Minho.

**Histological processing.** Animals were sacrificed after 3 weeks, and the femurs were removed. The femurs (\(n = 5\) per group) were fixed in neutral formalin, decalcified in a 1:1 mixture of 45% formic acid and 20% sodium citrate, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections, 5-µm thick, perpendicular to the long axis of the implant were cut with a Spencer 820 microtome (American Optical Company, New York, NY, USA). Sections were then stained with Masson’s trichrome stain.
to selectively stain muscle, collagen fibers, fibrin, and erythrocytes. A green color is attributed to collagen in the newly formed bone.

**Histomorphometry.** Bone histomorphometry was evaluated by using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The images of the Masson’s trichrome slides (area for each slide = 0.45 mm × 0.35 mm) of each explants were first converted to gray-value images. And then, proper threshold values were selected for each image in order to best match the new bone area in original images. The new bone area in each slide image was calculated by the software. Slides from four explants were used for each group, and at least 10 slides were evaluated per explant.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were presented as an average and its standard deviation. The compressive modulus, porosity, CaP content, and new bone area were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The average values of each group were compared by Tukey’s test, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results and discussion**

**Conformation and chemical composition**

It is generally recognized that there are mainly three conformations in native and regenerated SF, namely, random coil (amorphous), silk-I (also called α-helix or type II β-turn), and silk-II (antiparallel β-sheet). The amorphous state usually exists in diluted regenerated aqueous SF solution, silk-I is generated from amorphous silk solution by a well-controlled water annealing procedure, and silk-II can also be induced from the amorphous silk solution by many stimuli (such as temperature, pH value, organic solvent, or saline). Among these three conformations, silk-II is the most stable state and has been taken advantage of in formulating silk-based matrices for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Regarding the scaffold preparation, Kim et al. found that the addition of sodium chloride particles in aqueous silk solution could induce β-sheet formation, and this finding led to the formation of salt-leached silk scaffold derived from aqueous solution. Recently, we have produced SF scaffolds from high-concentration aqueous SF solution. Salt-leached Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds were also produced using this process.

The conformation of SF has been studied by different technologies, such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), cross-polarization/magic-angle spinning (CP/MAS) nuclear magnetic resonance, and FTIR. The most typical XRD peak of β-sheet structure in SF is at 20.8°. Hydroxyapatite presents characteristic XRD peaks at around 32° and 39°. In Figure 1, it was found that both S16 and SC16 had main peaks at ~20.5°, confirming the silk-II structure in both scaffolds. Furthermore, the XRD patterns also had peaks at ~32° and ~39°, which are feature peaks of hydroxyapatite. The low intensity of these two peaks may due to the low crystallinity of the formed CaP and also the low content of CaP in the silk matrix. The lower crystallinity of the CaP phase is related with the preparation procedure. ATR-FTIR has been used as a reliable and facile way to reflect any subtle conformation change of SF, normally in the absorbance areas of amide-I and amide-II. Figure 2 shows the ATR-FTIR spectra of S16 and SC16 scaffolds, and spectra of CaP control were shown in Figure S1. Both scaffolds have broad absorbances between 1480–1590 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1590–1700 cm\(^{-1}\), with peaks located at 1520 and 1627 cm\(^{-1}\). These two absorbance ranges are assigned to amide-I and amide-II, respectively. Both peaks (1520 and 1627 cm\(^{-1}\)) indicated the β-sheet
conformation in the silk matrix. Similar to the CaP control spectra (Figure S1), SC16 scaffold had a distinct absorbance between 1000 and 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) (peak position was around 1030 cm\(^{-1}\)), which was the vibration from a \(\text{PO}_4^{3-}\) group in the CaP. The XRD patterns and the ATR-FTIR spectra proved that the CaP was incorporated into the scaffolds, and the scaffolds were composed of SF in \(\beta\)-sheet conformation and CaP of low crystallinity. The \(\beta\)-sheet conformation of silk matrix is crucial for the mechanical properties and structural stability of the produced scaffolds.

Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of the salt-leached silk fibroin–based scaffolds: (a) S16 and (b) SC16.

Figure 2. Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectra of the salt-leached silk fibroin–based scaffolds: (a) S16 and (b) SC16.
Structure, CaP distribution, and mechanical properties

For bone tissue engineering, the pore size and porosity of the scaffolds are important. The ideal scaffold should have adequate porosity and proper pore size in order to facilitate the new bone ingrowth, vessel invasion, cell migration, and nutrients/metabolic product transportation. Many methods have been used to generate porous scaffolds for bone tissue engineering, including rapid prototyping, salt leaching, and supercritical fluid evaporation. Based on our previous work, salt leaching was selected for this study and produced porous structures in both S16 and SC16 scaffolds (Figure 3(a) and (b)). As observed from the SEM images, both scaffolds presented a macro/microporous structure (Figure 3(d) and (e)), with the macropore size ranging between 500 and 1000 µm. Based on the micro-CT analysis data given in Table 1, the porosities of S16 and SC16 were 79.8% and 63.6%, respectively. SC16 displayed an inferior mean pore size and a higher trabecular thickness as compared with S16. The structure information indicated that CaP does affect the structure of the scaffolds, but the porosity of the SC16 scaffolds is still higher than 63% and thus still adequate for bone tissue regeneration.

The homogeneous distribution of CaP particles in the scaffolds is challenging to produce. By physically blending nano-sized CaP particles in the scaffolds, it is difficult to maintain their original size. The particles usually aggregate and subsequently lead to inhomogeneous dispersions. Herein, an in situ synthesis method was used to introduce CaP into the silk scaffolds. The in situ formed nano-sized CaP particle was homogeneously distributed in the silk matrix (Figure 3(c)) at a microscopic level. And the size of the CaP particles was less than 200 nm. From our previous study, it was found that around 87% of the introduced CaP was maintained in the scaffolds after leaching out the sodium chloride particles. Based on the micro-CT analysis, the CaP occupied ~9.2 vol.% in the scaffolds. As shown in Figure 4, the CaP distribution was quite even along the SC16 scaffold. By the procedure used herein for micro-CT analysis, there was 0% of CaP detected in the S16 scaffold (data not shown). Considering the resolution of micro-CT, the volume percentage obtained cannot completely reflect the CaP volume percentage, but it still can give us an idea of the CaP distribution in the scaffolds at a macroscopic level. Compared to previous work, the SC16 scaffolds prepared in this study presented better CaP distribution.

The mechanical properties of the scaffolds are quite important since they certainly will be under load once implanted. Attention has been paid to strengthen the silk-based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering, such as by means of chemical crosslinking, fiber filling, or particle reinforcement. The S16 and SC16 scaffolds present similar compressive modulus as given in Table 1, which indicated that the introduction of CaP did not compromise the scaffold strength. In the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean porosity (%)a</th>
<th>Mean pore size (µm)a</th>
<th>Mean trabecular thickness (µm)b</th>
<th>Compressive modulus (MPab)</th>
<th>CaP content (vol.%c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S16d</td>
<td>79.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>285.1 ± 52.3</td>
<td>69.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC16e</td>
<td>63.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>251.0 ± 15.0</td>
<td>90.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>19.0 ± 5.8</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Micro-CT: micro-computed tomography; Silk/Nano-CaP: silk/nano-sized calcium phosphate.

aThe mean porosity, mean pore size, and mean trabecular thickness were obtained from the micro-CT analysis.
bThe compressive test was performed in a dry state.
cThe CaP content was determined by micro-CT analysis.
dSalt-leached silk fibroin scaffolds derived from 16 wt% aqueous silk fibroin solution.
eSalt-leached silk fibroin/nano-CaP scaffolds derived from 16 wt% aqueous silk fibroin solution and contains theoretically 16 wt% CaP (CaP:silk, wt%) in the silk matrix.
Figure 3. Morphologies of the salt-leached silk fibroin–based scaffolds and the nano-CaP particle distribution in the scaffold: (a) and (b) macroscopic photographs of S16 and SC16, respectively (scale bar: 3 mm); (c) backscattered scanning electron microscopy image of SC16, the white spots are nano-CaP particles and the gray domain is silk matrix (scale bar: 3 µm); and (d) and (e) scanning electron microscopy images of S16 and SC16, respectively (scale bar: 500 µm).

Figure 4. Calcium phosphate distribution in the SC16 scaffold as determined by micro-CT. CT: computed tomography.
In a previous study, we tested the dynamic mechanical properties of the scaffolds in a wet state, and the SC16 had a slightly higher storage modulus as compared to that for S16. The mechanical properties of the scaffolds produced in this study were superior to the scaffolds produced in previous studies using freeze-drying or salt-leaching approaches. The good mechanical properties of the S16 and SC16 scaffolds came from the β-sheet conformation of the silk matrix in the scaffolds and also from the high-concentration aqueous silk solution.

**In vitro mineralization and long-term stability**

The bioactivity test in SBF solution is a commonly used method to predict the osteoconductive properties of materials. There are numerous successful examples using this method to evaluate the in vivo bone bonding ability of materials, such as hydroxyapatite and bioactive glass. Using this method, we found that SC16 scaffolds rapidly induce apatite crystal formation on their surface on the first day (Figure 5(a)). This finding is confirmed by the EDX spectra (Figure 5(e)). The number of crystal clusters increased at day 3 (Figure 5(b)). At day 7, the crystals almost covered the
entire surface of the SC16 scaffold (Figure 5(c)). After 2 weeks, the surface was completely covered by the crystal (Figure 5(d)), with improved elemental signal of calcium and phosphate (Figure 5(f)). No apatite crystal formation was observed on the S16 scaffold surface after 2 weeks (Figure 5(g) and (h)). These results confirmed that the SC16 scaffolds possess good bioactivity and induce apatite crystal formation in a short time. This finding also validated that the in situ synthesis of nano-sized CaP particles in silk matrix can endow the final porous scaffolds with bioactivity.

The long-term stability of the scaffolds attracts much concern since the implanted scaffolds need to stay in vivo from weeks to months, or even longer. Figure 6(a) shows that both scaffolds maintained their hydration degree from 1 month up to 12 months. Regarding weight loss, S16 and SC16 both lost their weight in a slow manner during the test period. After 1 year, SC16 scaffolds lost around 15% of their original mass, and SC16 had ~10% loss (Figure 6(b)). Thus, the silk-based scaffolds were quite stable in a hydrated condition and were stable enough for long-term implantation. The stability of the silk scaffolds can be attributed to the β-sheet conformation in SF.

In our previous study, it was confirmed that the silk-based scaffolds were noncytotoxic by culturing the cells in the scaffolds’ extractions. Based on their bioactivity and long-term stability, the SC16 scaffolds merit evaluation of their behavior during in vivo bone regeneration.

**In vivo new bone formation**

The in vitro bone tissue formation has been studied by culturing stem cells in silk and hydroxyapatite scaffolds. In vivo implantation was also tested in mice using freeze-dried silk/CaP scaffolds. Based on these interesting studies, CaP can improve bone tissue formation. Here, we report the in vivo behavior of the Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds. Figure 7 shows a typical image of the implanted scaffold section stained with the Masson’s trichrome. After 3 weeks of implantation, no chronic inflammation was observed nor was a fibrous capsule detected for both scaffolding materials (Figure 7). Only some scattered multinucleated giant cells (MGCs) were found in the S16 scaffold (Figure 7(b)). Moreover, as can be identified in Figure 7(a) and (c), bone growth was observed within the porous structure of both scaffolds. SC16 presented a more intense staining of collagen as compared to S16, which indicated that the new bone was more mature in SC16 as compared to that observed in S16. Another difference observed in both groups was the presence of soft tissue in the bone/scaffold interface in the S16 group. This apparent soft tissue did not present the typical...
Figure 7. Masson’s trichrome staining of the salt-leached silk fibroin–based scaffolds after implantation in rat femur defect for 3 weeks: (a and b) S16 scaffold and (c and d) SC16 scaffold ((b) and (d) are enlarged images of (a) and (c), respectively). Among the images, “S,” “B,” “M,” and “R” correspond to scaffold residuals, formed new bone, bone marrow, and rapid forming new bone (scale bar: 200 µm for (a) and (c) and 100 µm for (b) and (d)).

Our results indicate that both tested scaffolds were biocompatible as no obvious inflammation or fibrous encapsulation was observed. Additionally, the macroporous structure and high porosity of both S16 and SC16 scaffolds supported new bone formation and ingrowths. The fibrous tissue observed for the S16 group was not identified as inflammatory tissue, as it resembles what has been previously described as rapidly forming bone by Salgado et al.39 This tissue most likely represents an early bone matrix similar to that seen in advancing fronts of intramembranous bone formation. As previously mentioned, this tissue was not found in the SC16 group, which may indicate that for this group, bone is being synthesized and remodeled at a higher rate when compared to the S16 group. Furthermore, this group also had bone growing directly on its surface, which indicated that this scaffold has osteoconductive properties. Impressively, the SC16 group
Figure 8. Bone histomorphometry of the S16 and SC16 explants by means of using the software WCIF Image: (a and b) representative trichrome images of S16 and SC16, respectively; (c and d) processed images from (a) and (b) for bone histomorphometry analysis, respectively; (e) calculation of the new bone area in the Masson’s trichrome images (area for each slide: 0.45 mm × 0.35 mm) after image processing. Four explants were used for each group, and at least 10 slides were evaluated per explant (scale bar: 50 µm). *Significant difference (p < 0.05).

exhibited an outstanding ability to induce new bone formation after 3 weeks of implantation, compared with the S16 group. Therefore, the SC16 scaffold induced better new bone formation and is more osteoconductive than the S16 group. These data are also consistent with the in vitro mineralization results.
Conclusion

Novel salt-leached Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds were prepared with a homogeneous CaP distribution and a rapid bioactive response in vitro. During long-term degradation, both the silk and Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds had adequate biostability in terms of hydration degree along with a slow weight loss. After 3 weeks of implantation, both scaffold types supported new bone ingrowth, and no acute inflammatory response was observed. The silk-based scaffolds were shown to be osteoconductive since they supported new bone formation on their surfaces. Furthermore, Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds induced significantly higher amount of new bone formation as compared to that observed for silk scaffolds. The Silk/Nano-CaP scaffolds are potentially good candidates for bone tissue engineering.
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