OPEN ACCESS International Journal of Molecular Sciences ISSN 1422-0067 www.mdpi.com/journal/ijms

Short Note

Interactions between *Lactobacillus crispatus* and Bacterial Vaginosis (BV)-Associated Bacterial Species in Initial Attachment and Biofilm Formation

António Machado^{1,2}, Kimberly Kay Jefferson² and Nuno Cerca^{1,*}

- ¹ Centre of Biological Engineering, IBB—Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar 4710-057, Braga, Portugal; E-Mail: machadobq@deb.uminho.pt
- ² Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23298-0678, USA; E-Mail: kkjefferson@vcu.edu
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: nunocerca@ceb.uminho.pt; Tel.: +351-253-604-400; Fax: +351-253-604-429.

Received: 28 March 2013; in revised form: 16 May 2013 / Accepted: 31 May 2013 / Published: 5 June 2013

Abstract: Certain anaerobic bacterial species tend to predominate the vaginal flora during bacterial vaginosis (BV), with Gardnerella vaginalis being the most common. However, the exact role of G. vaginalis in BV has not yet been determined. The main goal of this study was to test the hypothesis that G. vaginalis is an early colonizer, paving the way for intermediate (e.g., Fusobacterium nucleatum) and late colonizers (e.g., Prevotella bivia). Theoretically, in order to function as an early colonizer, species would need to be able to adhere to vaginal epithelium, even in the presence of vaginal lactobacilli. Therefore, we quantified adherence of G. vaginalis and other BV-associated bacteria to an inert surface pre-coated with Lactobacillus crispatus using a new Peptide Nucleic Acid (PNA) Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) methodology. We found that G. vaginalis had the greatest capacity to adhere in the presence of L. crispatus. Theoretically, an early colonizer would contribute to the adherence and/or growth of additional species, so we next quantified the effect of G. vaginalis biofilms on the adherence and growth of other BV-associated species by quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) technique. Interestingly, G. vaginalis derived a growth benefit from the addition of a second species, regardless of the species. Conversely, G. vaginalis biofilms enhanced the growth of P. bivia, and to a minor extent of F. nucleatum. These results contribute to our understanding of BV biofilm formation and the progression of the disorder.

Keywords: *Lactobacillus* spp.; *Gardnerella vaginalis*; BV anaerobes; initial adhesion; epithelial cell line; fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; peptide nucleic acid; quantitative-PCR

1. Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common vaginal disorder in women of reproductive age but its etiology is still unclear [1]. However, BV is characterized by a decrease in beneficial vaginal bacteria, such as Lactobacillus cripatus, and by an increase of the number of anaerobic bacteria, such as Gardnerella vaginalis, Mobiluncus mulieris, Atopobium vaginae, Prevotella bivia and Fusobacteria nucleatum [2-4]. BV is typically a polymicrobial condition [5,6]. Recently it has been found that multi-species microbial biofilms are involved in BV [4]; however, the process by which this multi-species biofilm is established remains unknown. In general, single-species biofilm formation involves two main independent steps: initial adhesion to the surface and biofilm accumulation [7]. In contrast, multi-species biofilm formation may be more complex and depend upon interactions between the species involved. The most thoroughly studied clinically relevant polymicrobial biofilm is the oral biofilm associated with periodontitis [8]. During the development of these biofilms, early colonizers first adhere to the tooth pellicle and provide a surface to which intermediate colonizers can adhere as well as producing more optimal conditions for growth of successive species [9,10]. This community in turn provides an environment conducive to the adherence and growth of secondary colonizers. Similar to oral biofilms, it has been hypothesized that G. vaginalis is the initial colonizing species and that G. vaginalis biofilms are conducive to growth, adherence and/or biofilm formation by other BV anaerobes, but this has yet to be demonstrated [4].

The main goal of our work was to assess the potential of bacterial species commonly found in BV as early or late colonizers. We first quantified the initial adhesion potential to an inert surface pre-coated with *Lactobacillus crispatus* and then compared single-species or dual-species biofilms formation in order to assess the potential symbiotic interactions between BV-associated bacterial species.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Determination of Early Adhesion Potential to Surface Coated with L. crispatus

In 1983, Spiegel and colleagues postulated that bacterial vaginosis was a polymicrobial infection, where *G. vaginalis* was the prevalent species [11]. However, the etiology of BV remains unknown, and it is unclear which, if any of the BV-associated anaerobes are capable of disrupting an established *Lactobacillus* population and initiate colonization on the vaginal epithelium. Several species of lactobacilli may colonize the healthy vagina, however each species differs in its probiotic activity due to differences in their abilities to endure changes in conditions, such as pH variations due to menstruation or sexual intercourse, and due to differences in their abilities to produce antimicrobial compounds such as lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide and bacteriocins [12]. *L. crispatus* is able to produce several antimicrobial compounds and is inversely associated with BV [13]. We therefore chose this species as a representative for use in our study. We attempted to evaluate the early adhesion

to an inert surface pre-coated with *L. crispatus* by know BV associated anaerobes at different concentrations $(1 \times 10^3 \text{ and } 3 \times 10^9 \text{ CFU/mL})$. As shown in Table 1, *G. vaginalis* was more adherent at either concentration than the other BV anaerobes (*ANOVA Tukey* statistical test, p < 0.05), followed by *F. nucleatum* and *P. bivia*, respectively. These results are in agreement with several previous studies [14–16] supporting evidence that *G. vaginalis* has significant initial adhesion potential. This suggests that *G. vaginalis* could be the early colonizer in the progression of BV. Although *A. vaginae* and *M. mulieris* are often associated with BV [17–19], their capacity to adhere to glass pre-coated with *L. crispatus* was the lowest of all tested anaerobes, suggesting that they are not strong candidates as early colonizers in BV. Interestingly, *M. mulieris* appeared to displace *L. crispatus* more effectively than any of the other anaerobes tested, including *G. vaginalis* (*ANOVA Tukey* statistical test value, p < 0.05; see Table 2). Since this species did not adhere as well, this suggests that it may secrete some soluble factors that displace the lactobacilli. However, these *in vitro* experiments are limited in that the bacteria were allowed to adhere to glass rather than vaginal epithelium and adherence to vaginal epithelium is likely influenced by a number of host-related and bacteria-specific factors, such as mucus production and the involvement of specific receptors on the epithelial surface [1,3].

Table 1. Blockage of adherence of bacterial vaginosis (BV)-associated anaerobes to glass by adherent *L. crispatus*. The number of each BV-associated anaerobes that adhered per cm^2 of glass (±standard deviation) is shown on the left and the percentage of bacteria that adhered when the glass was pre-coated with *L. crispatus* relative to the control (±standard deviation) is shown on the right.

	Number of BV anaerobe	Percentage adherent to	
per cm ²		L. crispatus-coated glass	
High inocula			
G. vaginalis 101	$5.71 imes 10^7 \ (\pm 2.14 imes 10^4)$	86.86% ^{c,d,e,f} (±14.14)	
A. vaginae FA	$6.85 imes 10^6 \ (\pm 3.38 imes 10^5)$	48.74% ^{a,b} (±3.36)	
M. mulieris ATCC 26-9	$5.76 imes 10^6 (\pm 1.21 imes 10^5)$	82.22% ^{a,b} (±0.37)	
P. bivia ATCC 29303	$1.64 \times 10^7 (\pm 6.29 \times 10^5)$	101.67% ^b (±28.19)	
F. nucleatum 718BVC	$2.54 \times 10^7 (\pm 9.41 \times 10^5)$	68.83% ^{a,b} (±5.60)	
Low inocula			
G. vaginalis 101	$6.89 imes 10^6 \ (\pm 1.26 imes 10^6)$	72.33% (±4.36)	
A. vaginae FA	$1.47 imes 10^5 (\pm 9.65 imes 10^4)$	50.27% ^a (±3.97)	
M. mulieris ATCC 26-9	$1.33 imes 10^6 \ (\pm 5.05 imes 10^4)$	70.15% (±7.80)	
P. bivia ATCC 29303	$2.99 \times 10^{6} \ (\pm 1.44 \times 10^{5})$	84.17% (±1.57)	
F. nucleatum 718BVC	$2.68 imes 10^6 \ (\pm 5.52 imes 10^4)$	60.15% ^a (±0.28)	

High inocula = 1×10^9 CFU/mL, Low inocula = 1×10^3 CFU/mL. ^a p < 0.05 when using *t*-student statistical analysis (95% confidence interval) for comparison of control and bacteria tested in the adhesion assay; ^b p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *G. vaginalis* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^c p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *A. vaginae* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^d p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *A. vaginae* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^d p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *M. mulieris* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^e p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *P. bivia* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^f p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *P. bivia* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^f p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *P. bivia* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^f p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *F. nucleatum* strain tested in the adhesion assay.

12007

Table 2. Displacement of adherent *L. crispatus* by BV-associated anaerobes. Following the addition of a BV-associated anaerobe, the number of remaining *L. crispatus* was counted and compared to the *L. crispatus* control counting $(7.36 \times 10^7 \pm 9.97 \times 10^4)$. The percentage (±standard deviation) of *L. crispatus* that remained adherent after addition of each BV anaerobe at high or low inocula is shown below.

	Percentage of <i>L. crispatus</i> remaining after addition of BV anaerobe			
High inocula				
G. vaginalis 101	88.60% ^{b,c} (±5.14)			
A. vaginae FA	99.29% ^a (±7.26)			
M. mulieris ATCC 26-9	76.62% ^a (±11.93)			
P. bivia ATCC 29303	94.86% (±20.60)			
F. nucleatum 718BVC	97.65% (±7.41)			
Low inocula				
G. vaginalis 101	101.51% ^{b,c} (±28.52)			
A. vaginae FA	71.18% ^a (±12.54)			
M. mulieris ATCC 26-9	68.48% ^a (±12.79)			
P. bivia ATCC 29303	97.39% (±2.44)			
F. nucleatum 718BVC	98.34% (±9.52)			

High inocula = 1×10^9 CFU/mL, Low inocula = 1×10^3 CFU/mL. ^a p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *G. vaginalis* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^b p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *A. vaginae* strain tested in the adhesion assay; ^c p < 0.05 analysed using ANOVA Tukey statistical test (95% confidence interval) for comparison with *M. mulieris* strain tested in the adhesion assay.

2.2. G. vaginalis Mediated Dual Species Biofilms

Studies have shown the prevalence of biofilm formation in BV samples, exposing G. vaginalis as a main component of these biofilms, leading to the hypothesis that G. vaginalis initiates the biofilm allowing successive species to adhere and proliferate [4,20]. However, this has yet to be determined experimentally. We examined whether synergistic or antagonistic interactions would contribute to or prevent growth of BV anaerobes within an early-stage G. vaginalis biofilm. G. vaginalis biofilms were allowed to develop for 24 h, after which a second anaerobe was introduced and co-cultured in the system for an additional 24 h. Quantitative PCR analysis was used to determine the number of G. vaginalis and the second species within the biofilm. Notably, G. vaginalis growth was augmented by the incorporation of a second anaerobe after the initial 24 h biofilm formation (Table 3). G. vaginalis growth increased in the presence of every species (≈ 3 fold increase) but the greatest increase was found in the presence of P. bivia (3.83-fold increase) and M. mulieris (3.78-fold increase) as shown in Table 3. Interestingly, F. nucleatum and P. bivia reached higher numbers when co-cultured with G. vaginalis strains, showing ≈ 2 and ≈ 4 fold increases (see Table 3), respectively. This is in agreement with a report from Pybus and Onderdonk revealing a symbiotic relationship between G. vaginalis and P. bivia [17] and suggesting that symbiotic relationships established between G. vaginalis and other anaerobes in BV biofilms could contribute to the progression of BV. The results with F. nucleatum were interesting as well. Although F. nucleatum has not been extensively studied in BV infection, it plays a key role in the establishment of oral biofilms as a bridging species [21]. In fact,

Foster and Kolenbrander [21] demonstrated that *F. nucleatum* is capable of co-aggregating with pathogenic bacteria and becoming a dominant member of the oral multispecies biofilm after several days of incubation although it commonly failed to grow by itself in biofilms. Similarly, our results suggest that *F. nucleatum* could be capable of joining an initial biofilm and eventually establishing a symbiotic relationship with *G. vaginalis*. Again, our study is limited in its complexity and lacks host-specific factors, but it does suggest that certain BV-related species can cooperate and this may provide some insight regarding the ability of these bacterial species to become dominant in an environment normally dominated by lactobacilli.

Biofilm	Single specie biofilm		Multispecies biofilm		- 0/ CN -
	GV control CT	2nd anaerobe control CT	GV fold increase	2nd anaerobe fold increase	- % GV in mixed biofilm
G. vaginalis (48 h) & M. mulieris (24 h)	14.13 (±0.12)	31.99 (±1.09)	3.78 (±1.10) ^a	0.89 (±0.17)	99.9997
<i>G. vaginalis</i> (48 h) & <i>A. vaginae</i> (24 h)	14.13 (±0.12)	26.38 (±0.33)	3.38 (±0.79) ^a	1.37 (±0.17)	99.9844
<i>G. vaginalis</i> (48 h) & <i>P. bivia</i> (24 h)	14.13 (±0.12)	24.84 (±0.03)	3.82 (±0.03 ^{) a}	4.20 (±0.92) ^a	99.8960
<i>G. vaginalis</i> (48 h) & <i>F. nucleatum</i> (24 h)	14.13 (±0.12)	24.24 (±2.57)	3.39 (±0.28) ^a	1.63 (±0.44)	99.9236

Table 3. Results of the quantitative PCR (qPCR) from mixed biofilm formation assays with *Gardnerella vaginalis* 101 and a second BV anaerobe. All experiments were done in triplicate.

Legend—GV, *G. vaginalis* 101; CT, threshold cycle; (\pm standard deviation), standard deviation from the average values from triplicate assays are in parenthesis after the average value. ^a p < 0.05 when using *t*-student statistical analysis (95% confidence interval) for comparison of control and bacteria tested in the biofilm assay.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Culture of Bacterial Strains

L. crispatus EX533959VC06 was grown in Man, Rogosa and Sharpe both (MRS; Sigma-Aldrich; Buchs, Switzerland) at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions (AnaeroGen Atmosphere Generation system; Oxoid; Cambridge, UK) for 24–48 h prior to adhesion assays. Also, *G. vaginalis* 101, *Atopobium vaginae* FA, *Mobiluncus mulieris* ATCC 26-9, *Prevotella bivia* ATCC 29303 and *Fusobacteria nucleatum* 718BVC were grown in supplement Brain Heart Infusion (sBHI; Oxoid) and incubated at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions (AnaeroGen Atmosphere Generation system; Oxoid) for 24–48 h prior to adhesion assays. Prior to displacement/blockage assays, all strains were harvested by centrifugation (4000g, 12 min, at room temperature), washed twice with sterile PBS. The pellet from each bacteria culture was resuspended in PBS and its concentration was adjusted to 1×10^9 CFU/mL by optical density at 600 nm using a microplate reader (Tecar; Zurich, Switzerland).

3.2. Early Adhesion Assays

Aliquots of 40 μ L of *L. crispatus* culture media with a concentration of 1 × 10⁹ CFU/mL were added to each well from the 8 chamber glass slide intended to the adhesion assay. Then, 8 chamber glass slides were incubated for 4h at 37 °C, in anaerobic conditions, and 120 rpm. Non-adherent lactobacilli were removed by washing with 400 μ L of sterile PBS and subsequently a second adhesion step was performed, using one BV-associated anaerobe with two different concentrations (1 × 10³ or 1 × 10⁹ CFU/mL), for 30 min at 37 °C, in anaerobic conditions and 120 rpm at the same range of concentrations. Finally, each well of the incubated 8 chamber slide was carefully washed twice with 40 μ L of sterile PBS to remove non-adherent bacteria and let to air-dry before FISH hybridization procedure. Adhesion controls were performed simultaneously in each 8 chamber slide adding each bacterium individually and maintaining the same experimental conditions. All these assays were elaborated with duplicates and each assay was repeated three independent times.

3.3. Fluorescent in Situ Hybridization and Adhered Bacteria Quantification

The 8 chamber glass slides containing the adhered bacteria were first fixed and hybridized with Lac663 and Gard162 PNA probes, that we previously developed and optimized [22]. Briefly, the adhered bacteria glass slides were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by 50% methanol, for 10 min, at room temperature, on each solution. After the fixation step, the glass slides were covered with 20 µL of hybridization solution with PNA probe (200 nM). Hybridization was performed at 60 °C for 90 min and for washing (60 °C for 30 min) and a fresh solution was prepared less than 24 h before use. Finally, the glass slides were allowed to air dry in the dark. An additional DAPI staining step was done at the end of the hybridization procedure, covering each glass slide with 20 µL of DAPI (2.5 µg/mL, Sigma) for 5 min at room temperature in the dark, followed by five washing steps with 20 µL of PBS. Then immediate observation was elaborated in the fluorescence microscope. Microscopic visualization was performed using an EVOS*fl* fluorescence microscope (AMG; Bothell, WA, USA) equipped with a CCD camera (Sony ICX285AO color; Fujian, China) and filters capable of detecting the two PNA probes and DAPI staining. All these assays were repeated three times, on separate days, with three fields of view assessed each time. In each experimental assay, a negative control was performed simultaneously with each step previous described, but where no probe or DAPI staining were added in the hybridization step. Bacteria adhered cells quantification was realized through the National Institutes of Health image analysis software ImageJ (version 1.451) [23].

3.4. G. vaginalis Mixed Species Biofilms Assays and Quantitative-PCR Procedure

The formation of *G. vaginalis* mixed biofilms were performed in a chemically medium (CDM), previously developed by Geshnizgani and Onderdonk [24]. An initial inoculation of 100 μ L overnight of *G. vaginalis* 101 growth was placed into 10 mL of CDM. Then, 2 mL of *G. vaginalis* strain were put in each well of 6-well plate and incubated for 24 h, at 37 °C, in anaerobic conditions. After 24 h, CDM media was changed in each well plate by a fresh CDM media and an inoculation of 50 μ L overnight culture from a different second anaerobe was performed. Next, the 6-well plates were incubated for another 24 h, at 37 °C, in anaerobic conditions. Finally, CDM media and planktonic cells

were removed from all 6-well plates and then DNA was extracted from biofilm samples by using a Dneasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen; Hilden, Germany), following manufacturer instructions. All qPCR assays were performed using a Taq 2× Master Mix (BioLabs; Ipswich, MA, USA) on an iCycler iQ5 real-time detection system (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA, USA). Each 25 μ L reaction mixture contained 12.5 μ L Taq 2× Master Mix, 1.0 μ L of 10 μ M from forward and reverse primers (see Table 4), 2 μ L template DNA, 8.5 μ L of nuclease-free water. Temperature cycling for all assays was 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, 54 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 15 s. Negative controls (no template DNA) were run with every assay to check for contamination. Assay results were expressed as threshold cycle number (C_t) of the 16S rRNA gene copies amplification per template DNA sample. All these assays were elaborated with duplicates and each assay was repeated three independent times.

Table 4. Set of primers used in this study according to the Ribosomal Database Project II (RDPII) for quantitative real-time PCR.

Bacteria target	qPCR primers	DNA target	Accession number in RDPII	Localization in RDPII sequence
G. vaginalis	Fw 5'-CACATTGGGACTGAGATACGG-3'	16S rRNA	S002289761	325-345
G. vaginalis	Rv 5'-AGGTACACTCACCCGAAAGC-3'	16S rRNA	S002289761	470-490
M. mulieris	Fw 5'-CGTGCTTAACACATGCAAGTCG-3'	16S rRNA	S000110434	44-65
M. mulieris	Rv 5'-GCTGGCTTTCACGACAGACG-3'	16S rRNA	S000110434	1073-1091
A. vaginae	Fw 5'-TATATCGCATGATGTATATGGG-3'	16S rRNA	S000607439	184–205
A. vaginae	Rv 5'-CATTTCACCGCTACACTTGG-3'	16S rRNA	S000607439	658–677
P. bivia	Fw 5'-CGCACAGTAAACGATGGATG-3'	16S rRNA	S000414458	806-825
P. bivia	Rv 5'-ATGCAGCACCTTCACAGATG-3'	16S rRNA	S000414458	1032-1051
F. nucleatum	Fw 5'-ATTTGTAGGAATGCCGATGG-3'	16S rRNA	S001577261	694–713
F. nucleatum	Rv 5'-TACTTATCGCGTTTGCTTGG-3'	16S rRNA	S001577261	842-861

Searched through RDPII (last accession, December 2012) with the following data set options: Strain—Both; Source—Both; Size—> 1200bp; Quality—Both.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

The data was analysed using a two-tailed ANOVA or Student's *t*-test with SPSS statistical software (version 17.0) and expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). *p* < 0.05 was considered significant.

4. Conclusions

Our results suggest that *G. vaginalis* may be more suited as an early colonizer relative to the BV-associated anaerobes tested in the initial adhesion assay, and that it may play a key role in the early establishment of BV biofilms, as previously postulated by Swidsinski *et al.* [4]. All anaerobes tested enhanced biofilm formation by *G. vaginalis* and also *G. vaginalis* biofilms enhanced the growth of *P. bivia* and to a minor extent of *F. nucleatum*. These observations provide some clarification regarding the ability of each individual BV-associated anaerobe tested to adhere in the presence of a protective layer of lactobacilli and regarding the ability of *G. vaginalis* biofilms to thrive in presence of other anaerobes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by European Union funds (FEDER/COMPETE) and by national funds (FCT) under the project with reference FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-008991 (PTDC/BIA-MIC/ 098228/2008) and in part by funds from the National Institutes of Health (P60-MD002256). AM acknowledges the FCT individual fellowship SFRH/BD/62375/2009.

Conflict of Interest

AM and NC have submitted a patent request for the PNA probes used in this study.

References

- 1. Turovskiy, Y.; Noll, K.S.; Chikindas, M.L. The etiology of bacterial vaginosis. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2011, 110, 1105–1128.
- De Backer, E.; Verhelst, R.; Verstraelen, H.; Alqumber, M.A.; Burton, J.P.; Tagg, J.R.; Temmerman, M.; Vaneechoutte, M. Quantitative determination by real-time PCR of four vaginal *Lactobacillus* species, *Gardnerella. vaginalis* and *Atopobium. vaginae* indicates an inverse relationship between *L. gasseri* and *L. iners. BMC Microbiol.* 2007, doi:10.1186/1471-2180-7-115.
- 3. Schwebke, J.R. New concepts in the etiology of bacterial vaginosis. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 2009, *11*, 143–147.
- 4. Swidsinski, A.; Mendling, W.; Loening-Baucke, V.; Ladhoff, A.; Swidsinski, S.; Hale, L.P.; Lochs, H. Adherent biofilms in bacterial vaginosis. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2005**, *106*, 1013–1023.
- 5. Fredricks, D.N.; Fiedler, T.L.; Marrazzo, J.M. Molecular identification of bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2005**, *353*, 1899–1911.
- 6. Verstraelen, H.; Swidsinski, A. The biofilm in bacterial vaginosis: Implications for epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *26*, 86–89.
- Cerca, N.; Martins, S.; Pier, G.B.; Oliveira, R.; Azeredo, J. Quantitative analysis of adhesion and biofilm formation on hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Res. Microbiol.* 2005, *156*, 506–514.
- Darveau, R.P. Periodontitis: A polymicrobial disruption of host homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2010, *8*, 481–490.
- 9. Bodet, C.; Chandad, F.; Grenier, D. Pathogenic potential of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, Treponema denticola and *Tannerella forsythia*, the red bacterial complex associated with periodontitis. *Pathol. Biol.* **2007**, *55*, 154–162.
- 10. Holt, S.C.; Ebersole, J.L. *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Treponema denticola*, and *Tannerella forsythia*: The "red complex", a prototype polybacterial pathogenic consortium in periodontitis. *Periodontol. 2000* **2005**, *38*, 72–122.
- Spiegel, C.A.; Davick, P.; Totten, P.A.; Chen, K.C.; Eschenbach, D.A.; Amsel, R.; Holmes, K.K. Gardnerella vaginalis and anaerobic bacteria in the etiology of bacterial (nonspecific) vaginosis. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. Suppl. 1983, 40, 41–46.

- Tamrakar, R.; Yamada, T.; Furuta, I.; Cho, K.; Morikawa, M.; Yamada, H.; Sakuragi, N.; Minakami, H. Association between *Lactobacillus* species and bacterial vaginosis-related bacteria, and bacterial vaginosis scores in pregnant Japanese women. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2007, *7*, 1–8.
- 13. McLean, N.W.; Rosenstein, I.J. Characterisation and selection of a *Lactobacillus* species to re-colonise the vagina of women with recurrent bacterial vaginosis. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **2000**, *49*, 543–552.
- Patterson, J.L.; Girerd, P.H.; Karjane, N.W.; Jefferson, K.K. Effect of biofilm phenotype on resistance of *Gardnerella vaginalis* to hydrogen peroxide and lactic acid. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2007, 197, 170.e1–170.e7.
- Patterson, J.L.; Stull-Lane, A.; Girerd, P.H.; Jefferson, K.K. Analysis of adherence, biofilm formation and cytotoxicity suggests a greater virulence potential of *Gardnerella vaginalis* relative to other bacterial-vaginosis-associated anaerobes. *Microbiology* 2010, *156*, 392–399.
- Harwich, M.D.; Alves, J.M.; Buck, G.A.; Strauss, J.F.; Patterson, J.L.; Oki, A.T.; Girerd, P.H.; Jefferson, K.K. Drawing the line between commensal and pathogenic *Gardnerella vaginalis* through genome analysis and virulence studies. *BMC Genomics* 2010, *11*, 375–386.
- Pybus, V.; Onderdonk, A. Evidence for a commensal, symbiotic relationship between gardnerella vaginalis and prevotella bivia involving ammonia: Potential significance for bacterial vaginosis. *J. Inf. Dis.* 1997, 175, 406–413.
- Ferris, M.J.; Masztal, A.; Aldridge, K.E.; Fortenberry, J.D.; Fidel, P.L., Jr.; Martin, D.H. Association of *Atopobium vaginae*, a recently described metronidazole resistant anaerobe, with bacterial vaginosis, *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2004, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-4-5.
- Srinivasan, S.; Liu, C.; Mitchell, C.M.; Fiedler, T.L.; Thomas, K.K.; Agnew, K.J.; Marrazzo, J.M.; Fredricks, D.N. Temporal variability of human vaginal bacteria and relationship with bacterial vaginosis. *PLoS One* 2010, *5*, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010197.
- Swidsinski, A.; Dörffel, Y.; Loening-Baucke, V.; Schilling, J.; Mendling, W. Response of Gardnerella vaginalis biofilm to 5 days of moxifloxacin treatment. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 2011, 61, 41–46.
- 21. Foster, J.S.; Kolenbrander, P.E. Development of a multispecies oral bacterial community in a saliva-conditioned flow cell. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2004**, *70*, 4340–4348.
- Machado, A.; Almeida, C.; Salgueiro, D.; Henriques, A.; Vaneechoutte, M.; Haesebrouck, F.; Vieira, M.J.; Rodrigues, L.; Azevedo, N.F.; Cerca, N. Fluorescence *in Situ* hybridization method using peptide nucleic acid probes for rapid detection of *Lactobacillus* and *Gardnerella* spp. *BMC Microbiol.* 2013, *13*, 82, doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-82.
- 23. Collins, T.J. ImageJ for microscopy. Biotechniques 2007, 43, 25-30.
- 24. Geshnizgani, A.; Onderdonk, A. Defined medium simulating genital tract secretions for growth of vaginal microflora. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1992**, *30*, 1323–1326.

© 2013 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).