Service Life of Concrete Structures Rehabilitated with Polymers

J. Aguiar* and A. Camões
University of Minho, Azurém, Guimarães, Portugal

*Corresponding author, E-mail: aguiar@civil.uminho.pt

Abstract

The service life prediction of concrete rehabilitation works is nowadays more and more significant. The rehabilitation techniques appeared as a need to solve problems posed by the degradation of concrete structures. Some years ago the rehabilitation techniques were not widespread developed and it was important to find effective and economic solutions for the problems. Now, there is more preoccupation with the service life of concrete rehabilitation techniques, like external strengthening with FRP, increase of concrete sections or reinforcement of cracked sections. The service life increase started with the quality of the concrete rehabilitation works. This includes the quality of the design, the products and the execution. Some standards are now available and establish specifications for concrete rehabilitation works. This work presents the main questions related with this subject. The use of polymers in concrete rehabilitation imposes a different analysis related with durability.
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Lebensdauer von Betonkonstruktionen, die mit Polymeren saniert wurden
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1 Introduction

During their service life concrete structures must present good levels of security and functionality. Nevertheless, several problems on design, construction and use can put some of these requirements at risk. In situ rehabilitation of concrete elements can be made using different techniques like external strengthening with FRP [1] or steel plates [2], increase of concrete sections [3] or reinforcement of cracked sections [4].

The quality of concrete rehabilitation works is mandatory in order to assure an increase of the service life period. The design of the concrete structures rehabilitation should be made at least with the same care as for new concrete structures. The selection of the rehabilitation systems and products needs to take into account the expected service life. The quality of products is considered for example in ACI [5]. The quality of the products is essential to obtain long service life. Control quality and conformity evaluation systems as the CE marking established in Europe [6] are essential. The last aspect is the quality control of the works. There are several documents that can be followed [7, 8, 9] to establish for each case an adequate quality control.

2 Service Life

2.1 Service Life Prediction

Based on the measured material properties, a service life prediction can be performed using a time dependent reliability analysis [10]. This provides the possibility to evaluate the probability of failure of a component. The term component describes a structure or a structural element whose limit state function is defined in terms of a single function known as the limit state function [11].

The planned service period of a structure is determined by the owner of the structure based on social factors, such as future changes in the type or level of performance required of the structure, and economic factors. This is determined at the time of designing together with the design service life [12]. The design service life may normally be assumed longer than the planned service period (Fig. 1). However, it is possible to assume a design service life shorter than the planned service period while maintaining the structure by repair and strengthening [12].

The prediction of the service life of concrete rehabilitated with polymers needs to take into account the deterioration progress of all the materials involved: concrete, polymers and others. The use of adequate methods to predict the deterioration of these materials has to be required. The deterioration progress can be easily estimated for some mechanisms of degradation of concrete. Talking about polymers and rehabilitated concrete structures the deterioration progress is not easy to determine because the mechanisms of degradation are not sufficiently studied.

The prediction of carbonation progress in concrete, for instance, should be made by using the square root relationship between time and carbonation depth. For the prediction of chloride ion diffusion the use of the diffusion equation under appropriate boundary conditions is recommended. The prediction of progress of steel reinforcement corrosion can be made taking into account the results of the carbonation progress and the chloride ion diffusion. The corrosion rate and the induced cracks should be also taken in consideration in the predictions to be made [12].

The European standard EN 1990 [13] gives guidelines in order to establish the desirable service life of a concrete structure. The service life should be 10 years for temporary structures, 50 years for buildings and other common structures and 100 years for monumental buildings, bridges and other special structures.

2.2 Concrete Structures Externally Strengthened with FRP

To assure the desirable service life in the case of concrete structures strengthened with FRP there is a need to consider either the degradation of the reinforced concrete and the externally bonded FRP system. Related with the last aspect, it is important to take into account not only the materials deterioration but also the interfaces degradation. The main factors that could affect the FRP systems are: water and moisture, salt solutions, alkaline environment, temperature, solar radiation, mechanical factors and fire.

The systems that use FRP to externally reinforce concrete structures have polymers in two different places, the saturating resin of the FRP and the adhesive. The glass transition temperature \( T_g \) is the temperature above which polymers change from relatively hard and elastic to viscous, rubbery materials. Moreover, when the polymer is exposed to high humidity, this temperature \( T_g \) decreases [14]. Because of this fact, some recommendations have suggested that FRP systems should not be used at temperatures above their \( T_g \) and further that the selected materials should have a \( T_g \) of at least 20 °C above the maximum expected service temperature [1].
Figure 1: Definition of service life and service period

However, in most of the technical literature, temperature is not considered as a variable. This is not consistent with the importance of the temperature variation on the bond behaviour. In fact, the bonding agent deteriorates quicker than concrete, steel or CFRP reinforcement as the temperature increase, and the characteristics of the adhesive affect the strength of the bond [2].

According to Gamage et al [15] both experimental and finite element results show that the epoxy adhesive temperature should not exceed 70 °C in order to maintain the integrity between the CFRP and concrete at high temperatures. These authors also indicate the need for a sound insulation system for CFRP strengthened concrete elements in order to promote higher fire resistance.
3 Experimental Program

3.1 Materials
To verify the influence of temperature on externally bonded CFRP strengthening for reinforced concrete structures, an experimental research program was defined in order to give simple and comparative results.

Two types of concrete were used: conventional (CC) and high performance (HPC). The concretes mix designs are presented in Table 1. The concretes were produced using two Portland cements classified as CEM I 42.5 R (CC) and CEM I 52.5 R (HPC), natural river sand (maximum aggregate size of 4.76 mm and fineness modulus of 3.21), crushed granite coarse aggregate (maximum aggregate size of 9.53 mm and fineness modulus of 5.82), and a copolymer based superplasticizer.

Conventional concrete was made with a water-cement ratio of 0.60 and slump varied between 80 and 100 mm. High performance concrete had a water cement ratio of 0.30 and slump varied between 150 and 180 mm. At the age of 28 days, the conventional concrete had an average compressive strength of 30 N/mm² and the high performance concrete achieved 90 N/mm².

Table 1: Concretes mix designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>HPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement (kg/m³)</td>
<td>340 (CEM I 42.5R)</td>
<td>550 (CEM I 52.5R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (kg/m³)</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel (kg/m³)</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>1158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (l/m³)</td>
<td>206.4</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superplasticizer (kg/m³)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate the flexural behaviour, 650x150x100 mm³ reinforced concrete beams were produced. The amount of steel reinforcement was the same in HPC and in CC beams and it was designed to avoid shear failures. The flexural reinforcement steel (f_{syd} = 400 N/mm²) was 6 mm in diameter and the shear reinforcement steel (f_{syd} = 500 N/mm²) was 3 mm in diameter (Fig. 2).

The beams were kept in the moulds during the first 24 hours. Afterwards, the specimens were removed and maintained for 20 days in water at a temperature of 20 °C. The CFRP reinforcement was applied to the beams when they were 28 days old. Before the CFRP application, the beams remained for 7 days in the laboratory at a temperature of 20 °C. The CFRP plates have a tensile strength of 2800 N/mm² and an elastic modulus of 165000 N/mm².

The adhesive used was an epoxy mortar (Table 2). It was mixed immediately before the application. Resin and hardener were mixed with a ratio of 3:1, respectively. They had different colours, so complete mixing could be evaluated after uniform colour had been achieved [16]. This adhesive contained calcareous filler.

Figure 2: Reinforced concrete beam specimens strengthened with CFRP
Table 2: Epoxy mortar properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific weight (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot-life – 35 °C (min.)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage (%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass transition temperature, T_g (°C)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Young’s modulus (N/mm²)</td>
<td>12800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient –</td>
<td>9 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from -10 °C to 40 °C (°C⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Degradation

A degradation program was established. The glass transition temperature of the epoxy mortar was of 62 °C, so, one of the temperatures of the thermal exposure was 60 °C. It would be equally important to exceed significantly that temperature and know the behaviour at lower temperatures, such as in the laboratory environment and between that and the glass transition temperature.

The thermal exposures were selected based on previous work [17] and on European standard EN 13733 [18]. The program of degradation is presented in Fig. 3. The time of each cycle was 6 hours at each temperature. The number of cycles was 50.

To verify the real temperature due to solar exposure on the surface of the adhesive, some measurements were made. A thermocouple was installed in a CFRP strengthened beam into the epoxy adhesive layer, and the temperatures were recorded during a spring day in May. The surface exposed to sun was black, colour of the CFRP plate. Beams were subjected to two different kinds of exposure conditions: protected and unprotected from wind action. In Fig. 4 one can see the results obtained.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, solar exposure can imply adhesive temperatures that can attain high values, higher than 60 °C during a warm and windy spring day of May. Moreover, this shows that the chosen thermal temperatures up to 60 °C, reflect real solar exposure conditions.

Figure 3: Variations of temperature during the thermal degradation
3.4 Three-point Bending Tests
The strengthened beams were subjected to three-point bending tests, after the thermal cycle exposure. The load test was carried out using a servo controlled system guaranteeing a mid-span deflection increase at a constant rate of 10 μm/s (Fig. 5). The test was carried out with the beams at the maximum temperature of the thermal cycle.

4 Results
The evaluation involved the numerical results and the visual analysis of the behaviour of the beams during and after the final test. Figures 6 to 9 represent the average curves of bending moment vs. mid-span deflection after each type of thermal exposure. In Fig. 10 one can see the evolution of the maximum resisting bending moment for the different degradations.
Beams without CFRP strengthening made with conventional and high performance concrete are referred as CC and HPC respectively. The abbreviation CC/CFRP and HPC/CFRP represents correspondingly the CFRP strengthened conventional and high performance reinforced concrete beams.

The failure types observed in the flexural tests are presented in Table 3. From Figures 11 to 13, one can see the different failure types that occurred: flexural failure (flex.), delamination of the concrete cover (del.) and CFRP debonding (deb.).

5 Analysis of Results

As expected, the increase in the severity of the thermal exposure decreased the CFRP reinforcement efficiency. When the glass transition temperature of the adhesive was nearly attained (exposition T60) or exceeded (expositions T80), CFRP started to debond.

With the increase in temperature, the bending moment vs. deformation curves (Figures 6 to 9) of the strengthened beams became closer to the curves
for the beams without reinforcement. The maximum bending moment increases associated with the presence of CFRP diminished significantly when the temperature increased. For T20, the CFRP strengthening gains (measured by maximum bending moments) were about 35% and 50% for CC and HPC, respectively. For T60 this was reduced to only about 10% (CC) and 20% (HPC) and for T80 exposure there was no apparent advantage in using CFRP reinforcement because the maximum bending moments of concrete beams with or without CFRP laminates were similar both for CC and for HPC.

In the series without degradation (T20) and degradation T40, the beams without reinforcement displayed flexural failure (Fig. 11), while the CFRP strengthened beams exhibited delaminations caused by failure of the cover concrete (Fig. 12).
Figure 10: Evolution of maximum bending moments with temperature, type of concrete and CFRP reinforcement

Table 3: Flexural strength failure types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal degradation</th>
<th>Failure type</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CC/CFRP</th>
<th>HPC</th>
<th>HPC/CFRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T20</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del.</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T40</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del.</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T60</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del. + deb.</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>del. + deb.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T80</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>deb.</td>
<td>flex.</td>
<td>deb.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: Flexural failure

Figure 12: Delamination of the concrete cover
When the aggressiveness of the thermal exposition was near the adhesive $T_g$ (T60), some debonding in the extremities of the CFRP reinforcement was noted. In these situations, particularly for the HPC/CFRP beams, debonding occurred at the concrete/adhesive interfaces (Fig. 13). In the most severe exposure (T80) and with HPC/CFRP beams, complete debonding of the CFRP reinforcement was observed.

6 Conclusions

The prediction of the service life of concrete structures rehabilitated with polymers needs to take into account the deterioration progress of all the materials involved: concrete, polymers and others. The mechanisms of deterioration of concrete are relatively well known. However, for polymers and for rehabilitation systems the mechanisms of deterioration need to be more studied.

CFRP laminates are currently used for reinforced concrete structural applications. In particular, repair and upgrading using CFRP bonded plates have gained acceptability all over the world in the construction field. Adhesive bonding represents the natural method for joining together different materials such as concrete and polymer composites. Consequently, assuring the durability of the externally bonded reinforcement system is crucial for the success of this technique. Among others, temperature is one of the aggressive actions that must be considered.

Based on the results obtained, it is possible to conclude that the epoxy adhesive bond properties deteriorate rapidly with exposure to high temperatures. This seems to be highly relevant, because even in solar exposure of a concrete element, it is possible to have temperatures high enough to cause some problems. Therefore, the use of reinforced systems bonded with epoxies in warm locations needs to be carried out in a very careful way. It is recommended to select epoxies with an elevated $T_g$ at least 20 °C above the maximum environmental temperature the application of protective insulation systems should be considered.

It is important to note that this study involved only the effect of temperature and load acting simultaneously, but there are other degradation actions to be considered. At the same time then, one must also take into account the effects of relative humidity, substrate moisture, substrate surface contamination by chlorides in marine location, or chemically aggressive environments.
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