Studies on the Behaviour of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Biofilms after *Ortho*-phthalaldehyde Treatment
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A relatively novel biocide, *ortho*-phthalaldehyde (OPA), was tested to control biofilms formed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on stainless steel surfaces. The toxic action of OPA was assessed in terms of inactivation and removal of the biofilm by means of, respectively, the determination of the respiratory activity and the variation in the dry weight of the biofilms. For comparison, the activity of OPA against suspended bacteria was also evaluated. The results showed that higher concentrations of OPA and longer exposure times are needed to inactivate *P. fluorescens* biofilms than planktonic populations, thus denoting that sessile bacteria have a reduced susceptibility to OPA. This appears to be associated with the reaction with the proteins of the matrix, as demonstrated by the reduction of the antimicrobial action of OPA in the presence of a protein (bovine serum albumin).

The application of OPA appeared to cause little effect in the removal of biofilms from the metal slides since the mass of biofilm that remained on the surfaces, after biocide treatment, was within the same range as those observed in the control tests. These results suggest that, with OPA application, biofilms can be inactivated but stay attached to the surfaces, decreasing thereby the success of the chemical treatment.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The development of biofilms on wet surfaces is a natural phenomenon in various places in nature as well as on industrial equipment and on medical devices, causing several drawbacks (Flemming, 1997; Griebe & Flemming, 1998). The main strategy of biofilm control is the use of chemical biocides (*i.e.* disinfectants) to kill the attached microorganisms and/or remove them from the surface. In industrial systems, it is of primary importance that both the inactivation of the microorganisms and the removal of biofilm from the surfaces are achieved. When disinfection without removal takes place, the inactivated biofilm may provide an ideal environment for further adhesion and growth. Moreover, if disinfection is not fully effective, regrowth of the biofilm may occur.

*Ortho*-phthalaldehyde (OPA) is a new type of disinfectant that is claimed to have a potent bactericidal and sporicidal activity (McDonnell & Russell, 1999; Cabrera-Martinez *et al*., 2002) and has been suggested as a possible alternative to glutaraldehyde (GTA) for high level disinfection (Alfa & Sitter, 1994; Walsh *et al*., 1999a; 2001; Fraud *et al*., 2001). These studies may indicate that OPA may also be used for biofilm control in industrial systems. So far, there are no reports on the effects of OPA on biofilms. Since biofilms are very complex structures, where bacteria are embedded in a complex matrix of polymers, the evaluation of the biocide against the sessile bacteria must be carried out in this special type of environment. Albeit the recommended procedure to evaluate biocide efficacy is based on tests on suspended cells (European Standard EN 1276) it may give misleading results. There are several reasons which may account for the differences between tests with suspended bacteria and with biofilms, viz.: the exopolymeric matrix produced by the microorganisms protects them from the action of the harmful agent (Christensen & Characklis, 1990); the matrix may have degradative enzymes that inactivate the biocide (Heinzel, 1998); reaction of the biocide with community components (Pereira & Vieira, 2001); the exopolysaccharide matrix is a charged matrix, being responsible for binding anti-
microbial agents before they reach the target cell (Costerton, 1985) and the physiological state of the sessile cells is different from that in the planktonic phase (Morton et al., 1998).

The mechanisms of resistance to biocides shown by microorganisms growing within a biofilm can be considered as being of two types: intrinsic resistance and acquired resistance (Russel, 1995). Intrinsic resistance is a natural controlled property or physiological adaptation of the microorganism. Acquired resistance results from genetic changes in a bacterial cell and arises either by mutation or by the acquisition of genetic material (plasmids, transposons) from another cell.

OPA is an aromatic compound with two aldehyde groups. Its antimicrobial action is not well known, although some authors (Walsh et al., 1999b) suggested an action similar to that of GTA, i.e. the strong reaction of OPA with primary amines and the consequence stabilization of the outer membrane and cell wall of the microorganisms may explain its lethal action.

This work reports the evaluation of the performance of ortho-phthalaldehyde against both suspended bacterial cultures and biofilms formed by Pseudomonas fluorescens, a very common strain in industrial environments. The experimental tests were performed using a range of concentrations of OPA and exposure times against biofilms formed over 6 d. Tests were also performed with P. fluorescens suspensions for comparison since disinfectants with high activity in suspension tests are not necessarily as active against biofilms as previously referred.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Microorganism

The Gram-negative aerobic bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens ATCC 13525 was used throughout this study.

Biocide

The biocide used in this study was ortho-phthalaldehyde obtained as a powder from Sigma (P-1378). Before each experiment, stock solutions of 1 and 2 g l⁻¹ were prepared in sterile distilled water and stored at room temperature. The biocide solutions were diluted to the required concentration with sterile distilled water.

Experiments with Suspended Microorganisms

Microorganism growth

A continuous pure culture of P. fluorescens was produced as described elsewhere (Pereira et al., 1998). The bacterial culture was grown at 27±1°C in a 3 l glass fermenter, suitably aerated and magnetically agitated. The fermenter was continuously fed with 10 ml h⁻¹ of a sterile nutrient solution composed of 5 g glucose l⁻¹, 2.5 g peptone l⁻¹ and 1.25 g yeast extract l⁻¹, in phosphate buffer at pH 7 (0.2 M Na₂HPO₄ and 0.2 M NaH₂PO₄).

Biocide Treatment

Periodically, a suitable amount of P. fluorescens culture was removed from the fermenter, centrifuged (3777 x g, 10 min) and washed three times with saline solution (NaCl, 0.85%). The pellets were resuspended in phosphate buffer pH 7 in order to obtain a final suspension with an optical density of 0.8 (λ = 640 nm) that corresponds to ~8 x 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹ (Stelmack et al., 1999). The bacterial culture was then divided into several sterilized glass flasks, exposed to different OPA concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20 and 50 mg l⁻¹) and then put in an orbital shaker (120 rpm). The effect of biocide was assessed over time (5, 60 and 180 min) by means of bacterial respiratory activity through oxygen consumption in a respiration chamber. The mass of bacteria present in each glass flask was estimated by the determination of the total volatile solids (TVS) of the bacterial cultures, according to the APHA Standard Methods (1989).

To investigate the influence of the proteins on the biocide efficacy, the procedure described above was followed, but with the addition of different concentrations of bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Merck 12018), namely 0.72, 0.36 and 0.18%, to the bacterial suspensions.

Experiments with Biofilms

Biofilm set-up

Biofilms were grown on ASI 316 stainless steel slides (2.5 x 2.5 cm and 1 mm thick) that were hung within a well stirred reactor containing a batch bacterial culture. The operating conditions of the reactor system have been described previously (Pereira & Vieira, 2001). The reactor was continuous fed with a sterile medium consisting of 50 mg glucose l⁻¹, 25 mg peptone l⁻¹ and 12.5 mg yeast extract l⁻¹, in phosphate buffer pH 7. The biofilm was allowed to grow for 6 d (the time need to reach the steady state) and was sampled prior to the start of the OPA treatment.

Bioicide treatment

The slides covered with biofilms were carefully transferred to closed vases containing the OPA solutions (10, 25, 50 and 100 mg l⁻¹). At known time intervals (5, 60 and 180 min of biocide contact time),
the metal slides plus biofilm were carefully removed from the biocide-containing flask and immediately analysed for the evaluation of OPA action.

The biofilm that covered the metal slides was completely scrapped from the metal slides into 10 ml of phosphate buffer pH 7 and vigorously homogenized in a vortex. The homogenized suspensions of biofilms were used to assess the bacterial activity of the biofilm. The biofilms suspensions that were not treated with OPA were also analysed for total protein and polysaccharide.

Analytical Methods

The proteins were determined using the Lowry modified method (SIGMA-Protein Assay Kit No P5656) and the polysaccharides by the phenolsulphuric acid method of Dubois et al. (1956). The dry biofilm mass accumulated on the slides was assessed by the determination of the total volatile solids (TVS) of the homogenized biofilm solutions, according to the APHA Standard Methods (1989), and expressed in g of biofilm per cm² of surface area of the metal slide (g.biofilm cm⁻²).

Respiratory Activity Assessment

The respiratory activity of the several samples was evaluated by measuring oxygen uptake rates in a biological oxygen monitor (BOM) in short-term assays. The assays were performed in a Yellow Springs Instruments BOM (Model 53), and the procedure used was described elsewhere (Pereira et al., 2002). Before each respirometric assay, the samples were carefully washed three times with saline solution (NaCl, 0.85%) in order to guarantee the absence of carbon sources and others external energy sources for the bacteria, resuspended in 10 ml of phosphate buffer pH 7 and placed in the temperature-controlled vessel of the BOM (T = 27 ± 1°C). With this careful washing procedure, the residual OPA concentration in each bacterial culture or biofilm sample was also reduced to a level significantly low so as not to cause any further cell damage. Therefore, it is acceptable to assume that the toxic effect of OPA was practically inactivated.

The temperature-controlled vessel of the BOM contains a dissolved oxygen (DO) probe connected to a DO meter. Once inside the vessel, the samples were aerated for 30 min to ensure oxygen saturation. The vessel was closed and the decrease in the oxygen concentration was monitored over time. The initial linear decrease observed corresponds to the endogenous respiration rate. To determine the oxygen uptake due to substrate oxidation, a small volume (50 µl) of a glucose solution (100 mg l⁻¹) was injected within each vessel. The slope of the initial linear decrease in the DO concentration, after glucose injection, corresponds to the total respiration rate. The difference between the two respiration rates gives the oxygen uptake rate due to glucose oxidation.

All the respirometric tests were carried out at least three times.

Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Observations

During the experiments, several stainless steel slides covered with biofilms were observed by SEM. The SEM inspection always comprised the observation of at least 10 fields of each biofilm-covered metal slide. Prior to SEM observations, the biofilm samples were gradually dehydrated in an absolute ethanol series to 100% (15 min each in 10, 25, 40, 50, 70, 80, 90 and 100% v/v), and dried in a desiccator for 3 d. The samples were sputter-coated with gold and examined with a Leica S360 scanning electron microscope at 10–15 kV. The slides were not fixed because fixation procedures involve the use of chemicals that tend to react with some of the components of the biological matrix, as documented by Azeredo et al. (1999), hence modifying the real biofilm structure.

SEM observations were documented through the acquisition of representative microphotographs.

Epifluorescence Microscopy

The effect of OPA on the biofilm-covered metal slides was also investigated using epifluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Microscope) fitted with fluorescence illumination (Filter Zeiss No 09, BP excitation between 450–490 nm) with acridine orange (Merck 15931.0025) as the fluorescent dye. Acridine orange (AO) binds to nucleic acids with the RNA-AO complex fluoresces green–red while the DNA-AO complex fluoresces green (Bitton et al., 1993). During the experiments, some biofilm-covered metal slides were removed from the vessels, and washed three-times gently in ultra-pure sterile water to remove the reversibly attached biofilm, and stained with 0.003% (w/v) acridine orange prepared in acetic acid solution for 5 min at room temperature in the dark. Prior to the observation, the slides were gently washed with sterile distilled water to remove excess stain.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of OPA on the P. fluorescens biofilms were investigated by assessing the respiratory activity of the bacterial cells and the variation in the mass of the biofilms during the disinfection period.

The results revealed that OPA reduced the biofilm activity for all the biocide concentrations studied (Figure 1). Nevertheless, the extent of respiratory
activity reduction was only significant for higher OPA concentrations and for longer exposure times. The total inactivation of the biofilm was only achieved with 50 and 100 mg l\(^{-1}\) of OPA after 3 h exposure to the biocide. Short exposure periods, such as 5 min, seem not to be sufficient for OPA to carry out its antimicrobial action, since a significant biofilm activity decrease was only detected with the higher OPA concentrations. These remarks point to OPA as a disinfectant dependent on exposure time.

The effect of OPA on biomass reduction can be observed in Figure 2. The results demonstrate that OPA seems to have poor removal action since the amount of biofilm mass that remained on the steel surfaces after biocide treatment was within the same range as those measured in the control assay. This is not surprising since OPA, as others aldehydes (Workman & Day, 1984; Flemming & Schaule, 1996), was originally used as tissue fixative. The biomass of the biofilm did not significantly decrease after OPA treatment because, most likely, biofilm was being “fixed” to some extent to the metal slide. Only for OPA concentrations >10 mg l\(^{-1}\) was it possible to notice some biofilm removal, this removal being, however, quite independent of the increase in OPA concentration. Apparently, for the higher OPA concentrations (50 and 100 mg l\(^{-1}\)), the removal was dependent on the exposure time since the reduction in biofilm mass increased with time. Nevertheless, these reduction values are not statistically relevant, especially when the standard deviation values are taken into account.

In this study, the evidence of bacterial biofilm on the metal slides before the treatment and the possible damage resulting from OPA treatment were inspected by SEM. Figure 3 displays some microphotographs representative of the several fields observed in each biofilm-covered metal slide. As can be seen in this figure, the extent of biofilm growth on the metal slides was considerable but not uniform. On the same stainless steel slide it was possible to observe areas totally covered by biofilm (Figure 3a) and zones with only scattered adhered bacteria (Figure 3b). The SEM microphotographs also showed that the OPA attack, for all the exposure times tested, seemed not to cause any noticeable damage to the structure and integrity of the bacterial biofilms.
The results presented so far underscore the fact that biofilm inactivation and biofilm removal are distinct processes. In this study, OPA caused a significant reduction in biofilm activity conversely to biofilm removal. Consequently, it is possible to conclude that OPA is more effective in disinfecting biofilms than in promoting their removal from the surfaces. This OPA characteristic supports the need for implementing, in conjunction with this biocide, another strategy of biofilm control in order to promote the disruption of the EPS matrix, followed by the removal of the biofilm. In many systems where problematic biofilm fouling occurs, the main objective is to have clean surfaces rather than an inactive biofilm attached to the surfaces (Chen & Stewart, 2000). For instance, in the medical area, where OPA is, up to this time, employed, full cleaning of medical devices and other surfaces is often required before treatment with biocides in order to remove organic substances that may interfere with biocide action.

For comparative purposes, the action of OPA against suspended cultures of \( \text{P. fluorescens} \) was also assessed. As can be seen in Figure 4, the application of OPA to the bacterial suspensions caused a decrease in the bacterial respiratory activity, for all the concentrations tested. However, the decrease in bacterial activity was gradually enhanced by increasing the OPA concentration.

As can be seen in Figures 1 and 4, the OPA concentration needed to cause the total inactivation of the suspended bacterial cultures was lower than the biocide amount required for biofilm inactivation. To make easier and more helpful the comparison of the results presented in these figures, the percentage decreases obtained due to the application of different concentrations of OPA to both biofilms and suspended cultures were calculated and are presented in Table I. Conversely to what seemed to happen with biofilms, the action of OPA against the planktonic cultures appeared to be more immediate, i.e. its toxic action did not significantly increase with increasing biocide contact time. These data also emphasize that \( \text{P. fluorescens} \), when grown in a biofilm, was less sensitive to the aggressive action of OPA.

Several suggestions have been made to explain biofilm resistance to biocides (Morton et al., 1998). In this study, the reduced bacterial susceptibility to OPA when the cells were entrapped in a biofilm may also be explained by the polymeric matrix that biofilms exhibit (Heinzel, 1998). The SEM microphotographs depicted in Figure 3 revealed that the \( \text{P. fluorescens} \) biofilms had a stronger exopolymer (EPS) matrix, which seemed not to be disrupted with the application of OPA. Several biofilm samples were also inspected by epifluorescence microscopy with the aim of identifying the exopolymer layer after the staining procedure with acridine orange (AO), since this dye reacts with polymeric matrix. It is known that DNA is one of the components of \( \text{Pseudomonas} \) biofilm matrixes (Palmgren & Nielsen, 1996; Jahn et al., 1999) and AO binds to nucleic acids with the RNA-AO complex fluorescing orange–red while the RNA-AO complex fluorescing green (Bitton et al., 1993). Therefore, it is not surprising to observe a polymeric layer fluorescing green, since AO binds to the DNA of the matrix. The epifluorescence microphotographs of the biofilms (Figure 5) reinforce the fact that the polymeric layer was not disrupted, since it was possible to observe that the EPS matrix (stained green) that involves the bacteria remained unchanged after attack with 50 mg l\(^{-1}\) of the disinfectant for 1 h. This EPS matrix may constitute a penetration barrier to the diffusional entrance of OPA conferring, in this way, on the bacteria of the

**TABLE I** Comparison of the reduction in the respiratory activity of the \( \text{P. fluorescens} \) biofilms and the suspended bacterial cultures after treatment with several OPA concentrations, as a function of time. The percentage reduction was calculated on the basis of the control assays, that is on the respiratory activity of the bacterial biofilms and suspended bacterial cultures, in the presence of OPA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPA contact time (min)</th>
<th>10 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>25 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>50 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>100 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>5 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>10 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>15 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>20 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
<th>50 mg l(^{-1}) OPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
biofilm partial protection from the bactericidal agent, as suggested by Cloete et al. (1998). Heinzle (1998) also states that the formation of an EPS matrix by the bacteria growing within biofilms is the main mechanism of intrinsic resistance to antibacterial agents.

Nevertheless, the barrier protection provided by the biofilm EPS matrix may not be the sole explanation for the increased OPA resistance of biofilm-resident bacteria. Besides the physical hindrance of biocide diffusion, the protective property of the EPS matrix could also be due to other factors such as absorption (Nichols, 1994) or chemical reaction (Morton et al., 1998) between the aggressive agent and the biofilm surface.

Previous works (Jahn et al., 1999; Pereira & Vieira, 2001) have shown that the EPS matrix of biofilms developed by the genus Pseudomonas was composed of proteins in addition to the polysaccharide content. Pereira and Vieira (2001) also reported that the EPS matrix of P. fluorescens biofilms had a content of total proteins higher than the polysaccharide fraction. Thus, in the case under study, the higher protein content displayed by the bacterial biofilms, when compared with the polysaccharide fraction (Table II) comprises not solely that of the bacteria but also includes the proteins of the polymeric matrix. Simons et al. (2000) reported that OPA, due to its lipophilic aromatic nature, interacts strongly with microorganisms and also with amino acids and proteins. In the light of these remarks, it is suggested that the low efficacy of OPA when applied against biofilms may also be due to the reaction of OPA with the proteins of the polymeric matrix.

The data displayed in Figure 6 corroborate this suggestion, since it revealed that the antimicrobial action of this disinfectant was severely affected by the presence of a protein (BSA). As can be seen in Figure 6, the lethal action of 50 mg l⁻¹ of OPA against the bacterial cells became significantly less intense in the presence of BSA. The reduction in bacterial activity observed after exposure to 50 mg l⁻¹ OPA for 1 h (≈99.3%) decreased substantially when the protein was added to the suspended cultures (23.8, 24.5 and 34.2%, respectively for 0.72, 0.36 and 0.18% BSA).

The interaction between OPA and the proteins of the EPS matrix of the P. fluorescens biofilms confers on this bacterium an increased tolerance to OPA that can also be characterized as intrinsic resistance.

### CONCLUSIONS

This study showed that, when entrapped in a biofilm, P. fluorescens presents a reduced susceptibility to OPA. This fact probably results from the interaction of the biocide with the proteins of the polymeric matrix, since it was demonstrated that the antimicrobial action of OPA is reduced in the presence of BSA. The nature of the interaction, i.e. chemical reaction or physical binding, is not yet clear, so further studies are needed.

It can also be concluded that the action of OPA, when used to control biofilms formed by P. fluorescens, is essentially that of a disinfectant since this chemical agent causes a substantial reduction in biofilm activity but not biofilm removal. Nevertheless, the biocidal efficacy of OPA was improved by increasing the exposure time and the concentration. The low efficiency of OPA in removing biofilm from the metal slides could be due to the fixation of the biomass to the metal surfaces, since OPA was originally used as a tissue fixative. These findings highlight the fact that, besides the chemical treatment with disinfectants, biofilm control strategies should always comprise practical cleaning for biofilm removal.

### TABLE II Characteristics of P. fluorescens biofilms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biofilm mass (g/biofilm/cm²)</th>
<th>Total protein (mg/g biofilm)</th>
<th>Total polysaccharide (mg/g biofilm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.203 ± 0.018</td>
<td>217.7 ± 22.5</td>
<td>63.3 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values ± SD

![FIGURE 5 Epifluorescence photomicrographs of a 6 d old P. fluorescens biofilm formed on stainless steel slides, without biocide application (control) and after 60 min treatment with 50 mg l⁻¹ OPA. ×100 magnification; green colour = polymeric matrix; black colour = background.](image)

![FIGURE 6 Bacterial activity of the suspended cultures of P. fluorescens without biocide application and after treatment with 50 mg l⁻¹ OPA, with and without BSA addition. Mean values ± SD.](image)
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