

Figure 1-Schematic representation of the supporting structure utilised in the tests.


Figure 2 - Schematic representation of load application and data acquisition equipment.


Figure 3- Relationship between the soil pressure and the average displacement, obtained in plate loading test before performing the first (a) and second (b) series of tests with slabs on soil.
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Figure 4 - Load-displacement relationship at $L V D T$ number 1 (see Figure 2) for the first (a) and second (b) series of tests.


Figure 5-L Load-displacement relationship at LVDT number 1 (see Figure 2) for the slabs of plain concrete (a), reinforced with 30 (b) and 45 (c) $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of fibers, and reinforced with wire mesh (d).
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Figure 6 - Displacements measured in the LVDTs placed along the diagonal A3 (see Figure 2), for the first series of tests and for five load levels: 50 kN (a), 100 kN (b), 150 kN (c), 200 kN (d), 250 kN (e).


Figure 7 - Displacements measured in the LVDTs placed along the diagonal A3 (see Figure 2), for the second series of tests and for five load levels: 50 kN (a), 100 kN (b), 150 kN (c), 200 kN (d), 250 kN (e).
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Figure 8 - Crack pattern of the slabs of the second series of tests: $S L 2 s 00$ (a), $S L 2 s 30$ (b), $S L 2 s 45$ (c) and $S L 2 s m s$ (d).


Figure 9 - Schematic representation of the strain decomposition concept for cracked concrete.


Figure 10 - Load-displacement relationship at $L V D T$ number 1 (see Figure 2) for the slabs of plain concrete (a),
reinforced with 30 (b) and 45 (c) $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of fibers, and reinforced with wire mesh (d).

| Component | Content $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cement (c) | 450 |
| Fine aggregate (0-3 mm) | 732 |
| Coarse aggregate (3-15 mm) | 1055 |
| Water (w) | 171 |
|  | $(w / c$ ratio $=0.38)$ |
| Additive (ad) | 2.25 |
| (Rheobuild 561) | $(\%$ in weight by cement=0.5) |
| Fibers (Dramix ZX60/.80) | $0,30,45$ |
|  | $(\%$ in volume: $0.0,0.38,0.57)$ |

Table 2- Average values of concrete properties evaluated from the tests on the specimens.

| Specimens | Uniaxial compression |  | Three point bending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| corresponding to <br> the slab | $f_{c m}^{(3)}$ <br> (MPa) | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon_{c 1}^{(4)} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Net bending stress ${ }^{13}$, $f_{\text {fnet }},(\mathrm{MPa})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fracture energy }{ }^{10}, \\ G_{f},(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Toughness factor ${ }^{12}$, $f_{f e q},(\mathrm{MPa})$ |
| SLIsOO( ${ }^{(1)}$ | 38.3 | 2.47 | 5.1 at 139 days | $0.213 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{(5)}$ | 0.51 |
| SLIs30(1) | 48.8 | 3.05 | 5.1 at 228 days | $2.957 / 25 \mathrm{~mm}^{(5)}$ | 2.43 |
| SL1s45 ${ }^{(1)}$ | 56.8 | 2.94 | 6.6 at 190 days | $7.506 / 25 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{(5)}$ | 4.80 |
| SL1sms ${ }^{(1)}$ | 43.4 | 2.39 | 4.6 at 161 days | $0.227 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{(5)}$ | 0.40 |
| SL2s00 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 42.2 | 2.36 | 4.7 at 29 days | $0.206 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{(5)}$ | 0.48 |
| SL2s30(2) | 51.1 | 2.68 | 5.4 at 178 days | $3.355 / 25 \mathrm{~mm}^{(5)}$ | 3.1 |
| SL2s45 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 50.0 | 2.99 | 5.5 at 143 days | $8.617 / 25 \mathrm{~mm}^{(5)}$ | 4.1 |
| SL2sms ${ }^{(2)}$ | 47.4 | 2.46 | 4.7 at 61 days | $0.185 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{(5)}$ | 0.43 |

(1) - Slabs of the first series of tests (00-plain concrete; 30, 45 -reinforced with $30,45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of fibers; ms-reinforced with wire mesh)
(2) - Slabs of the second series of tests
(3) - Strength at the age of slab testing (average of three to six tests)
(4) - Strain corresponding to peak stress
(5) - Estimated ultimate deflection

Table 3 - Soil characteristics and properties.

| Soil classification | Silty sand well graded, non plastic; |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | SM in the classification of soils for engineering purposes (ASTM, D2487-85); |
|  | A-1-b(0) in the AASHO classification for highway and road construction |
| Modified Proctor tests | Maximal dry density $\gamma_{d \max }=19.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> Optimal moisture content $W_{\text {opt }}=11.2 \%$ <br> California Bearing Ratio ${ }^{14}(\mathrm{CBR})$ |

Table 4 - Ultimate load and failure mode of the slabs.

| Slab reference | Ultimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| (See table 2) | load |  |
| (kN) |  |  |
| SL1s00 | 153 | The cracks crossed the slab thickness splitting the slab in parts. Punching failure. |
| SL2s00 | 163 |  |
| SL1s30 | 211 | The cracks did not reach the slab top surface. Large deformations in the soil-slab system. |
| SL2s30 | 260 |  |
| SL1s45 | 257 | The cracks did not reach the slab top surface. Large deformations in the soil-slab system. |
| SL2s45 | 248 | The cracks did not reach the slab top surface. Test stopped at 248 kN. |
| SL1sms | 245 | The cracks did not reach the slab top surface. Large deformations in the soil-slab system. |
|  | 274 | After rupture of some wires of the reinforcing mesh, the cracks crossed the slab thickness. |

