Influence of superheating on casting of γ-TiAl
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Abstract

In this work, a Ti–48Al alloy was induction melted and allowed to cool and solidify in a ZrO2 based crucible with an inner layer of Y2O3, and the effect of superheating parameters (time and temperature) on the metal–crucible interface, alloy chemical composition, microstructure and microhardness is evaluated. Microhardness variation and segregation profiles of residual elements, namely yttrium and oxygen, from the surface to the inside of samples are presented and compared, in order to establish a relationship between the processing parameters, alloy contamination and the “alpha-case” extent. The alloy microstructure at the metal–crucible interface is characterized for each superheating time and temperature. The Y2O3 crucible layer was found to suffer some erosion and be slightly dissolved by the molten alloy and the extent of that dissolution, and consequent metal contamination with yttrium and oxygen, depend on both superheating temperature and holding time. A relationship was found between oxygen concentration profiles and microhardness profiles of the α2+γ microconstituent, from the surface to the inside of samples, which depends on the superheating temperature, but is not affected by the holding time.
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1. Introduction

The main industrial applications of titanium aluminides are in areas where the combination of high tensile properties, creep strength and corrosion resistance, associated with superior strength-to-weight ratio is important, and cost is not a crucial factor, like aeronautical, aerospace and military equipments. In the short term, the production of titanium parts is expected to increase significantly as a result of new emerging markets, like the automotive industry, sports equipment and biomedical and biomechanical applications, as well as the development of new and cheaper processing techniques than those used so far. Important steps to decrease significantly the present high cost of titanium parts might be the use of the investment casting process using traditional ceramic crucible melting and melting stocks made of commercially pure titanium and other elements of the alloy [1–3]. The use of suitable crucible and moulding materials would avoid both the use of specially designed high cost melting furnaces and the production of mother alloys with the desired composition by multiple remelts, decreasing the production costs [1,3,4].

However, the production of titanium castings is not an easy task, mainly due to the high reactivity of the alloys with traditional refractory materials used in the production of moulds and melting crucibles. Titanium and titanium alloys, namely titanium aluminides, present very low fluidity at pouring temperatures, requiring a significant amount of superheating in order to avoid misrun and cold laps in the cast parts [5,6]. On the other hand, titanium castings are usually complex shaped parts, with thin walls in order to be as light as possible, which require a high metal pouring temperature and/or a high mould preheat temperature. Research so far reveals that the use of low superheating temperatures and high mould preheat temperatures, associated with pressure assisted pouring, like centrifugal pouring, is usually the best solution to avoid
simultaneously casting defects like misrun and cold laps, metal chemical contamination and solidification defects like shrinkage [1,2,5]. Nevertheless, turbulence associated with the pouring operation may lead to gas entrapment in the solidified cast part, in the shape of bubbles, or even to the formation of oxide films that can be found both in the interdendritic shrinkage cavities and in the inner surface of the entrained bubbles [6].

When melting is performed in a ceramic crucible, the control of the superheating parameters is crucial in order to decrease the probability of a metal–crucible interaction, and consequent contamination of the cast alloy with elements coming from the crucible material, like oxygen for example [1,3,4]. When this happens, embrittlement of the cast alloy [2,3,7] and oxide formation can be expected [6]. On the other hand, using melting stocks based on the commercially pure elements of the alloy requires very accurate control of superheating temperature and holding time at that temperature in order to assure the chemical homogenization of the cast alloy [1].

Until now, no refractory material was found to be absolutely inert against titanium alloys and some interaction between the alloy and the crucible materials always occurs during melting, leading to metal contamination. During the last years, the authors developed extensive research in this field, and a production technique of multilayer crucibles and ceramic moulds to process titanium aluminides was developed and protected by patents [8,9].

### 2. Experimental

A Ti–48Al alloy was selected for melting and was prepared from commercially pure titanium and aluminium, whose compositions are presented in Table 1. Melting charges weighing 1.3 × 10⁻¹ kg were melted in a 0.3 l ceramic crucible induction melting furnace equipped with a 30% porosity ZrO₂ based crucible with a 200 μm thick Y₂O₃ face-coat, produced according to a technique described elsewhere [3,4]. The melting stock was positioned inside the crucible in a way that the titanium was located above the aluminium fragments in order to avoid direct contact between the Ti fragments and the crucible wall during heating. Melting was performed inside a sealed chamber, where a controlled atmosphere of commercially pure dry argon (medical grade — O₂ < 10 ppm; N₂ < 5 ppm; H₂O < 1 ppm) was maintained at 10⁻¹ bar. Before the heating cycle, the chamber was evacuated down to 10⁻³ bar and back-filled with argon five times, in order to reduce the oxygen content to a minimum level. The superheating temperatures (T) were 1550 and 1600 °C, and the holding times (tₕ) were 60, 90 and 120 s for each temperature. Temperature was measured and controlled with a type B (Pt–PtRh) thermocouple with a Mo—Al₂O₃ protection sheath. The heating operation was always performed at constant power and the alloy that was forming remained on a mushy state due to the dissolution of titanium in aluminium, which gradually increased the melting temperature of the alloy. When the temperature reached around 1500 °C the first liquid metal became visible, after 22 min since the start of the heating cycle. Afterwards, the thermocouple was immersed in the liquid metal to control the superheating, and power was increased in order to reach the superheating temperature as fast as possible. Heating from 1500 °C up to the desired superheating temperature took less than a minute, in order to decrease the metal–crucible contact time. For each time/temperature pair, the molten alloy was allowed to solidify and cool to room temperature inside the crucible, in order to simulate the worst practical situation concerning the occurrence of a metal–crucible interaction.

Samples for characterization were collected from the middle of the cast cylinders by sectioning them at 50% of their height. Phase identification and chemical composition were performed by quantitative EDS analysis with standards of pure Ti, Al, Zr and Y using a JEOL JSM 35C scanning electron microscope. A 10 keV potential and a 100 s acquisition time were used. The measurement window was carefully located over the microconstituents, avoiding small inclusions of yttria dispersed on the matrix. Overall oxygen content was measured by the IGF technique (Inert Gas Fusion), and SIMS (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry) was used to determine the diffusion profile of oxygen from the surface to the inside of the samples. X-ray diffraction was used to identify compounds present on the metal—mould interface. Microhardness was evaluated on a Shimadzu hardness tester using a 50 g load for 15 s.

The extent of the “alpha-case” was evaluated by measuring the distance from the sample surface to the point after which the microhardness assumed values similar to the average value in the bulk.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Microstructure

For every cast sample, the as-cast microstructure contained two microconstituents: a lamellar one with a strong dendritic pattern, with two phases (α₂ + γ), and a γ interdendritic phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti CP</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al CP</td>
<td>0.06 max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The volume fraction of the lamellar constituent was higher in every sample. The volume fraction of the interdendritic γ phase was lower in the outside part of the samples (metal—crucible interface) and increased towards the inside, following the decrease in the cooling rate. This suggests a strong initial development of the dendritic constituent, which segregates Al to the melt. This microstructure is the typical TiAl bi-phase structure ($\alpha_2 + \gamma$) in which the amount of aluminium is slightly below 49%, and the amount of γ constituent increases with increasing Al content [2,5,10].

Every sample revealed the presence of dispersed small non-metallic inclusions that the EDS and XRD spectra suggest to be Y$_2$O$_3$ particles (Fig. 2).

3.2. Chemical composition

Tables 2 and 3 present the chemical composition of every cast sample at different distances from the sample surface, for each temperature/holding time pair determined using the technique described in Section 2.

In every sample the lamellar dendritic constituent was richer in titanium (50.2–56.2 at%) and the monophase interdendritic constituent had a higher aluminium content (50.9–54.8 at%), which agrees with the available Refs. [3,10,11]. No Zr was found in the cast samples, but every sample showed a slight contamination with Y (average values between 0.10 and 0.16 at%), that dissolved in both microconstituents,

![Fig. 1. Microstructure of a cast Ti–48Al sample, revealing the presence of small particles of yttrium oxide.](image1)

![Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction spectrum of a cast Ti–48Al sample, revealing the coexistence of TiAl, Ti$_3$Al and Y$_2$O$_3$ particles.](image2)
although a little more in the lamellar one (Tables 2 and 3). In every sample, the Y concentration was higher at the surface (0.15–0.25 at% in $\alpha_2 + \gamma$, at a distance of 25 µm from the surface and 0.10–0.17 at% in the $\gamma$ phase, at a distance of 50 µm from the surface), and it decreased towards the inside of the samples until reaching the average values presented in Tables 2 and 3. The distance from the surface where the Y concentration becomes constant (Y diffusion depth) seems to be a function of the superheating temperature (around 50 µm for $T = 1550 ^\circ C$ and 50–75 µm for $T = 1600 ^\circ C$) (Tables 2 and 3), which affected the crucible temperature, thus the cooling rate. The diffusion depth of Y was not affected by the holding time, as this parameter has no influence on the cooling rate.

The average Y concentration of the cast samples strongly depended on the superheating parameters and changed directly with them. In samples where the superheating temperature was 1600 ºC, the average Y content varied from 0.16 at% for 120 s holding time to a value less than 0.10 at% for 60 s holding time. Those values slightly decreased in samples where the superheating temperature was 1550 ºC, but kept changing directly with the holding time (from 0.14 at% for 120 s holding time to less than 0.10 at% when holding time was 60 s) (Fig. 3a and Tables 2 and 3).

Besides Y, the cast alloy was found to be contaminated with oxygen, whose overall content was found to depend on both the superheating temperature and holding time.

### Table 3

Chemical composition of cast Ti–48Al samples at different depths from surface, for different holding times at 1600 ºC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holding time (s)</th>
<th>Chemical composition (at%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depth from surface (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>$\alpha_2 + \gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>$\alpha_2 + \gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>$\alpha_2 + \gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Average concentration of (a) Y and (b) O in cast Ti–48Al samples for different holding times at 1550 and 1600 ºC.
for $T = 1550 \, ^\circ C$ and 0.24–0.31 wt% for $T = 1600 \, ^\circ C$ (Fig. 3b), which agrees with other references where ceramic crucibles were used to cast Ti based alloys [1,3]. Oxygen concentration profiles from the samples surface to the inside were determined for each superheating temperature, for 120 s holding time. Fig. 4 shows those profiles and suggests that both overall and surface oxygen concentrations as well as the oxygen diffusion depth were higher for $T = 1600 \, ^\circ C$, following the tendency that was found for yttrium. The diffusion depth of oxygen in the alloy (around 100 $\mu m$ — see Fig. 4) was higher than the yttrium diffusion depth (50–75 $\mu m$), for both superheating temperatures. Peaks visible in the oxygen concentration curves obtained by SIMS (Fig. 4) might correspond to $Y_2O_3$ inclusions dispersed in the matrix.

3.3. Microhardness

Tables 4 and 5 show the microhardness variation of microconstituents from the surface to the bulk of samples, for different holding times at 1550 and 1600 $^\circ C$. Microhardness values in $\alpha_2 + \gamma$ were higher than those in the interdendritic $\gamma$ phase in every cast sample, and decreased from the surface to the inside of samples, following the decrease in the oxygen content with a similar curve profile. Microhardness values in the interdendritic $\gamma$ phase were similar in every sample (289–296 HV), and seemed to be independent of the holding time and superheating temperature.

Those samples heated up to 1600 $^\circ C$ showed slightly higher microhardness values in the lamellar constituent than those heated up to 1550 $^\circ C$ (average values of 293–309 HV for 1550 $^\circ C$ and 296–311 HV for 1600 $^\circ C$). Average $\alpha_2 + \gamma$ microhardness values were also affected by the holding time, for a given superheating temperature (Tables 4 and 5).

The “alpha-case” thickness was a little below 100 $\mu m$ in samples heated up to 1550 $^\circ C$ and a little higher than 100 $\mu m$ in samples heated up to 1600 $^\circ C$ (Figs. 5 and 6). However, for each superheating temperature, the “alpha-case” thickness was not affected by the holding time (Figs. 5 and 6).

3.4. Metal–crucible interface

Figs. 7 and 8 show the microstructures at the metal–crucible wall interface for different superheating time/temperature pairs. For every sample, a continuous layer of inclusions with higher density than the cast alloy, that the EDS spectrum suggests as yttrium oxide (Fig. 9a), was present at the surface, as well as the lamellar microconstituent $\alpha_2 + \gamma$ (Fig. 9b). At the interface, the $\gamma$ phase was not present due to the higher cooling rate in that region when compared with the bulk of the samples [3,11].

Figs. 7, 8 and 10 reveal that the thickness of the yttrium oxide layer depended on both the superheating temperature and holding time. For $T = 1550 \, ^\circ C$, the layer thickness varied from 15 $\mu m$ for $t_h = 60 \, s$ to 35 $\mu m$ for $t_h = 120 \, s$. For $T = 1600 \, ^\circ C$, that value varied from 25 $\mu m$ for $t_h = 60 \, s$ to 65 $\mu m$ for $t_h = 120 \, s$.

Table 6 summarises the influence of superheating temperature and holding time on some characteristics of the cast Ti–48Al samples.

4. Discussion

The average chemical composition of every sample was very close to the desired Ti–48Al standard alloy, as presented in Tables 2 and 3, suggesting that 1550 $^\circ C$ superheating temperature and 60 s holding time were enough to produce the alloy from commercially pure titanium and aluminium. However, the presence of residual elements like Y and O in solution (Tables 2 and 3) reveals that some sort of interaction occurred between the $Y_2O_3$ layer of the melting crucible and the
cast alloy. According to its free energy of formation, Y₂O₃ is thermodynamically much more stable than titanium and aluminium oxides, thus no reaction of the crucible inner layer with the cast alloy should be expected. Thus, the most probable cause is the dissolution of the Y₂O₃ layer by the metal, which confirms the results of other researchers [12–14]. Moreover, the presence of small particles of Y₂O₃ both dispersed in the matrix (Fig. 1) and as a continuous film at the samples surface (Figs. 7 and 8), suggests that besides dissolution, an erosion phenomenon of the inner Y₂O₃ layer of the melting crucible might have occurred, in agreement with other researchers [12].

These findings suggest that some aspects of the experimental technique used in this work need to be improved, namely

### Table 5

Microhardness variation from the bulk to the surface of cast Ti–48Al samples, for different holding times at 1600 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holding time (s)</th>
<th>Microhardness (HV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depth from surface (μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>α₂ + γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>α₂ + γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>α₂ + γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Microhardness variation profiles, from the surface to the inside of samples in both microconstituents, for different overheating times at 1550 °C: (a) 60 s; (b) 90 s; (c) 120 s.
the technique used to produce the Y$_2$O$_3$ layer. In fact, that layer was applied by painting followed by a drying operation, and no sintering was performed; thus porosity was high and some erosion might have occurred. Thus, independently from its chemical behaviour against the cast alloy, the porosity of the yttria layer should be decreased and the mechanical resistance should be improved, either by sintering (although it is a better solution than the one used in this work, some loose Y$_2$O$_3$ particles dispersed in the matrix can’t be excluded [12]), or by using a different deposition techniques, like plasma spraying, for example. Nevertheless, the absence of Zr in the cast alloy revealed the effective barrier capability of the Y$_2$O$_3$ layer avoiding contact between the crucible base material (ZrO$_2$) and the molten metal, due to its good wettability facing TiAl, which is also referred by other researchers for full Y$_2$O$_3$ melting crucibles [12].

In Fig. 11, the average Y content of the cast samples and the concentration at 25 $\mu$m from the surface are plotted against the holding time for both superheating temperatures. It is clearly seen that the average Y content of the cast samples is directly proportional both to the holding time and to the superheating temperature, suggesting that the extent of the Y$_2$O$_3$ coating dissolution was a function of those parameters. When metal reached the liquid state and its fluidity became high enough, it could easily penetrate the interstices between the Y$_2$O$_3$ grains, thus increasing the erosion effect and the grain dissolution rate. Although the stirring effect of induction heating was not very high due to the high frequency of the melting furnace (1.3 MHz), it helped to distribute those Y$_2$O$_3$ particles detached from the coating in the liquid metal, which dissolved them, and the dissolution products (Y and O) went into solution with the alloy. Thus, a uniform composition was easily reached, whose value depended on the dissolution extent, which in turn depended on the melt temperature and the holding time. However, when the heating stopped and cooling started, the metal fluidity decreased very quickly, the erosion mechanism became much slower, the distribution of yttria particles in the alloy stopped, and they were retained at the samples’ surface as a layer (Figs. 7 and 8). The thickness of the layer depended on the superheating temperature and the holding time, as they affect the metal fluidity and the metal-refractory contact time, respectively, and, as a consequence, the amount of particles detached from the crucible wall at the moment when the heating stopped.
In order to confirm this mechanism, one sample was allowed to solidify after reaching 1500 °C, just when the first liquid metal could be seen. In that sample, neither Y₂O₃ particles nor Y and O contaminations were found at the sample surface or in the bulk, suggesting that erosion and diffusion mechanisms started only after the metal reached the liquid state, and no reaction occurred in the solid/mushy state, which agrees with the free energy of formation of Y₂O₃.

Fig. 7. Yttria layer at the Ti-48Al samples surface for different holding times at 1550 °C.

Fig. 8. Yttria layer at the cast Ti-48Al samples surface for different holding times at 1600 °C.
The presence of dispersed Y$_2$O$_3$ particles suggests that in some cases they were not completely dissolved by the molten metal. Another possible explanation could be the re-precipitation during cooling/solidification, due to the reduction in the solubility of Y and O, although this explanation seems to be not so consistent. In fact, as the O and Y concentrations decrease gradually from the surface towards the bulk (Fig. 4 and Tables 2 and 3), the volume fraction of Y$_2$O$_3$ particles should follow the same tendency, but it doesn’t. On the other hand, those samples with higher O and Y contents should reveal a higher volume fraction of particles, which is not the case, as it is quite similar in every cast sample.

As the temperature decreased, dissolution and diffusion rates of Y and O became much slower, mainly after the start of solidification, leading to concentration gradients from the surface to the inside of samples (Tables 2 and 3). As the cooling conditions (metal and crucible temperatures, crucible material) were the same for each superheating temperature, they led to similar dissolution and diffusion rates, and as a consequence, to similar Y and O concentration gradients from the surface to the inside of the cast samples, independently of the holding time. Fig. 11 reveals that the increase of the Y content at the surface after cooling started was almost constant for each superheating temperature, and did not depend on the holding time — around 0.07 at% for $T = 1550$ °C (Fig. 11a) and around 0.1 at% for $T = 1600$ °C (Fig. 11b). For graphical representation purpose, the average Y content for 60 s holding time was considered to be 0.1 at%, although the real value was lower than that (Tables 2 and 3). Thus, the balance to the Y concentration at 25 μm from the sample surface was in fact higher than the one plotted, for both superheating temperatures.

The dissolution of the Y$_2$O$_3$ coating was confirmed by the overall oxygen content of the cast alloys, which also depended on the superheating parameters as they led to different dissolution extents. In fact, the oxygen content of the cast samples — 0.21–0.26 wt% for $T = 1550$ °C and 0.24–0.31 wt% for $T = 1600$ °C (Fig. 3b) — was higher than it should be. According to the melting stock composition, the maximum oxygen content should be around 0.16 wt% (see Table 1). Furthermore, melting was performed in a dry argon atmosphere, to exclude the possibility of oxygen pick-up. Thus, the oxygen enrichment of the cast alloy due to the melting operation was between 500 and 1500 ppm, depending on both the superheating temperature and holding time (Table 6). These values are slightly higher than those reported for cast TiAl melted in full Y$_2$O$_3$ sintered crucibles [12], but are much lower than those referred by other researchers for similar crucibles (although no information is presented about their production technique [14–16]) as well as for TiAl obtained in other current ceramic crucibles, like CaO [1,3], ZrO$_2$ [3,14], and Al$_2$O$_3$ [12] based ones.

The oxygen content of the cast alloys is the main reason for microhardness variation as it dissolves interstitially in the matrix. For this reason, the microhardness of the α$_2$ + γ constituent and the oxygen concentration profiles are quite similar, decreasing inwards from the samples’ surfaces reaching constant values after similar distances from the surface (Figs. 4–6). This is related to the fact that oxygen dissolves preferentially in the lamellar constituent being almost constant in the γ
phase, where its solubility limit is around 230 ppm [17]. As a consequence, if no other residual elements are present in solution, the microhardness variation profile in $\alpha_2 + \gamma$ represents, in fact, the oxygen variation profile in the cast alloy [3,4,13,14]. Due to such a strict relationship, the microhardness depends significantly on the superheating temperature and holding time, because as these parameters increase, the more extensive is the crucible material dissolution, with a consequent increase in the alloy oxygen content. Although there was a small microhardness increase at the surface, the maximum value $e^{319}$ HV $e^{319}$ was significantly lower than those reported by other researchers for as-cast Ti$_4$Al$_8$ [2,5] and agrees with suggested microhardness values for this kind of alloy [18].

For the same reason, the oxygen diffusion depth also corresponds to the “alpha-case” thickness, which can be confirmed by comparing Fig. 4 with Figs. 5 and 6. The “alpha-case” thickness was a little below 100 $\mu$m in samples heated up to 1550°C and a little higher than 100 $\mu$m in samples heated up to 1600°C (Figs. 5 and 6), as a consequence of different cooling rates that led to different diffusion depths of oxygen (Fig. 4). Looking at Fig. 4, these values correspond to those distances from the surface where the oxygen concentrations become similar to the average values inside the samples. However, for each superheating temperature, the “alpha-case” thickness was not affected by the holding time (Figs. 5 and 6), because oxygen concentration gradients from the surface to the inside of samples started to develop only when cooling starts, as explained before. Although there is an effective harder layer of around 100 $\mu$m thickness at the surface, the maximum microhardness value in that region (319 HV) is only 8.8% higher than the average value in the bulk (293 HV), which means that the hardness increase at the surface is in fact very small. Moreover, the maximum value of 319 HV is still low enough to avoid chemical milling.

In this work, it was not possible to evaluate the influence of Y content on the microhardness. In $\alpha_2 + \gamma$, it was not possible to characterize the individual effect of Y and O, as both elements showed a gradient in concentration from the surface to the inside of samples. In the interdendritic phase, where the O content remained constant and the Y concentration decreased from the surface to a depth between 25 and 50 $\mu$m, it was not possible to measure microhardness due to the very small volume fraction of $\gamma$ phase in that area.

5. Conclusions

- For the processing conditions used in this work, it is possible to obtain cast Ti–48Al from melting stocks made of commercially pure titanium and aluminium, using 1550°C superheating temperature for 60 s.
Y$_2$O$_3$ was found to be a suitable material to be used as face-coat of melting crucibles for induction melting of TiAl alloys, although erosion phenomena and a slight dissolution by the molten alloys can still occur.

Superheating temperature and holding time are important factors in the interaction between melting crucibles with an Y$_2$O$_3$ face-coat and molten TiAl and directly influence the concentration of residual elements and microhardness.

The extent of the “alpha-case” depends on the superheating temperature, but it is independent of the holding time.
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